

## Sleep-wake regulation in pre-term and term infants

Anastasis Georgoulas <sup>a\*</sup>, Laura Jones <sup>b\*</sup>, Maria Pureza Laudiano-Dray <sup>b</sup>, Judith Meek <sup>c</sup>,  
Lorenzo Fabrizi <sup>b</sup>, Kimberley Whitehead <sup>b</sup>

\* Contributed equally as co-first authors.

<sup>a</sup> Research IT Services, University College London, London, United Kingdom; and <sup>b</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, University College London, London, United Kingdom; and <sup>c</sup> Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Wing, University College London Hospitals, London, United Kingdom

**Address correspondence to:** Kimberley Whitehead, G17 Medawar Building, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, [[k.whitehead@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:k.whitehead@ucl.ac.uk)], +44(0)20 7679 3533

© Sleep Research Society 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Sleep Research Society.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## **Abstract**

### **Study Objectives**

In adults, wakefulness can be markedly prolonged at the expense of sleep, e.g. to stay vigilant in the presence of a stressor. These extra-long wake bouts result in a heavy-tailed distribution (highly right-skewed) of wake but not sleep durations. In infants, the relative importance of wakefulness and sleep are reversed, as sleep is necessary for brain maturation. Here we tested whether these developmental pressures are associated with unique regulation of sleep-wake states.

### **Methods**

In 175 infants 28-40 weeks postmenstrual age (PMA), we monitored sleep-wake states using electroencephalography and behaviour. We constructed survival models of sleep-wake bout durations and the effect of PMA and other factors including stress (salivary cortisol), and examined whether sleep is resilient to nociceptive perturbations (a clinically necessary heel lance).

### **Results**

Wake durations followed a heavy-tailed distribution as in adults, and lengthened with PMA and stress. However, differently from adults, active sleep durations also had a heavy-tailed

distribution, and with PMA these shortened and became vulnerable to nociception-associated awakenings.

## **Conclusions**

Sleep bouts are differently regulated in infants, with especially long active sleep durations which could consolidate this state's maturational functions. Curtailment of sleep by stress and nociception may be disadvantageous, especially for pre-term infants given the limited value of wakefulness at this age. This could be addressed by environmental interventions in future.

## **Keywords**

neonate, premature, pain

Accepted Manuscript

## Statement of Significance

Sleep dominates neonatal life, and is known in animals to be necessary for normal brain development. However, how sleep-wake states are regulated in human infants is unknown. To resolve this, we monitored sleep-wake cycling in 175 pre-term infants spanning the 12 weeks leading up to the average time of birth. The results show that sleep is differently regulated in human neonates to adults, leading to prolonged rapid eye movement (REM) sleep bouts, and that clinically necessary painful procedures and physiological stress perturb this intrinsic regulation. This study identifies tractable factors which could be targeted to protect sleep in the pre-term population, which we discuss together with a conceptual sleep-wake regulatory model consistent with basic neurobiology.

## Introduction

In adults, positive feedback mechanisms within brainstem circuitry have likely evolved to allow wakefulness to be extensively prolonged at the expense of sleep when necessary, e.g. to stay vigilant in the presence of a fitness-reducing stressor like a predator<sup>1</sup>. These extra-long wake bouts result in a heavy-tailed distribution (i.e. highly right-skewed) of wake durations, but not sleep durations. Instead, sleep durations are comparatively capped in length<sup>2</sup>.

In early mammalian life, the relative importance of wakefulness and sleep are reversed. In neonatal animal models, active sleep in particular (precursor to rapid eye movement (REM) sleep) is more efficient than wakefulness at supporting neural activity-dependent sensorimotor development<sup>3-5</sup>. Consequently, sleep deprivation and suppression of sleep behavioural patterns can impair cortical activity levels and synaptic plasticity<sup>6-8</sup>. In human infants, sleep-specific motor activity evokes somatotopic cortical activity<sup>9,10</sup>, and learning can take place during sleep<sup>11</sup>. Meanwhile, wakefulness may confer relatively little advantage, especially in pre-term infants who are too young to demand-feed (they are fed via a nasogastric tube, which can happen while asleep), and have little capacity to ‘fight or flight’ to a stressor. Here we tested whether these ontogenetic pressures are associated with unique regulation of sleep-wake states, and the influence of demographic and environmental factors relevant to this population, including age, stress, and sensory perturbations.

## Methods

### Subjects

175 infants spanning the 12 weeks leading up to the average time of birth (40 weeks postmenstrual age (PMA)<sup>12</sup>) were recruited from the neonatal and postnatal wards at the Elizabeth Garrett Anderson wing of University College London Hospitals between July 2015 and October 2019 for research examination (Table 1). No neonates were acutely unwell, mechanically ventilated or had received sedative medications in the 24 hours prior to the study. Two/175 infants were receiving a weaning regime of oral morphine.

Ethical approval was obtained from the NHS Research Ethics Committee, and informed written parental consent was obtained prior to each study. Additional written parental consent was obtained to publish a photograph of one infant.

### Sleep-wake state assessment

Sleep-wake states were defined using electroencephalography (EEG) (mean 17 recording electrodes (range 2-19)), heart rate, respiratory, and cot side behavioural monitoring.

Recordings had a median length per subject of 57 minutes (inter-quartile range: 44-70 minutes), and commenced between 07:00 and 19:00. All infants were offered individualised, developmentally appropriate comfort measures during electrode placement as and when

required (e.g. swaddling if they became unsettled)<sup>13</sup>. Recordings were acquired and then manually scored by the same Clinical Scientist (KW) as wakefulness, active sleep, transitional sleep, or quiet sleep in 30-s epochs (Fig. 1), according to the criteria of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine for infants<sup>14</sup> (using Analyzer 2's Sleep Scoring Solution (Brain Products)). In order to score a state transition, the minimum length of the new sleep-wake state was one minute, as in previous neonatal studies<sup>15-19</sup>.

Wakefulness was defined by continuously or almost continuously wide-open eyes, or closed or obscured eyes if crying or feeding respectively, high muscle tone and profuse movements. Active sleep was defined by closed eyes with intermittent rapid eye movements, isolated facial and body movements, brief vocalisations, largely irregular breathing, and relatively continuous EEG compared to quiet sleep. Quiet sleep was defined by closed eyes, almost complete behavioural quiescence, and relatively regular and/or deep breathing and discontinuous EEG compared to active sleep and wakefulness. Transitional sleep was scored when eyes were closed and there was no crying, but other characteristics provided equal support for active or quiet sleep.

#### Assessing the influence of sensory perturbations and physiological stress

A subset of 102 infants received at least one clinically necessary heel lance during the recording and 135 infants received at least one non-noxious (control) mechanical somatosensory stimulus (sham heel lance (see 04:05 into video here:<sup>20</sup>) or tap (see videos here:<sup>21,22</sup>)). The infants who received a lance or non-noxious stimulus did not differ in PMA

from infants who did not receive these (Mann-Whitney U Test: lance  $p = .775$ , non-noxious  $p = .215$ ). In 55 of the infants who received a lance during the recording, a salivary cortisol value was available (Fig. S1). Cortisol samples were collected before, during, and at the end of the recording (methodology described in <sup>23</sup>). Cortisol concentrations did not significantly differ across these time points ( $p = .854$  Friedman's Two-way Analysis of Variance by Ranks,  $n = 19$  subjects for whom all three samples were available), so we used the average of those values available per subject as a measure of physiological stress throughout the test period. (Cortisol production does not yet follow a circadian rhythm in neonates <sup>24</sup>). In order to assess interactions between the sensory environment and sleep-wake state, we annotated the occurrence of nociceptive and non-noxious stimuli in parallel with the sleep-wake scores, as well as the infant's held status (held/unheld by a caregiver).

#### Analysis: distributions of sleep-wake bout durations

We first calculated the mean percentage of each sleep-wake state during the recording in very, moderately, and late pre-term infants, and full-term infants (Table 1; Fig. 2) <sup>12,25</sup>. However, to investigate developmental changes in sleep-wake regulation, it is necessary to model bout durations. Bout onsets were identified using custom-written software code. To characterise the distributions of wakefulness, active sleep, and quiet sleep bout durations, we constructed parametric Accelerated Failure Time 'survival' models using R package *flexsurvreg* <sup>26</sup>. Survival models take account of 'censored' observations, i.e. bout durations contribute to the model even if bout offset was not captured. This is important because extra-long bouts may not offset during the recording, but are of particular interest. The advantage of *parametric* survival models is that bout durations can be shown to follow statistical

distributions commonly found throughout biology, for which there is a large literature on generative mechanisms<sup>27</sup>.

Following review of the statistical distributions used to characterise sleep-wake bout durations in the literature<sup>28,29</sup>, bout durations were tested against three distinct alternative distributions of increasing right-skew: i) light-tailed (right tail may be long, but is lightly skewed relative to an exponential distribution): Weibull distribution with shape parameter  $>1$ ), ii) exponential, or iii) heavy-tailed (right tail is very long, and heavily skewed relative to an exponential distribution): lognormal was the heavy-tailed distribution tested; a power law was ruled out using R package `powerLaw`<sup>30,31</sup>. Which distribution fits the data provides insight into state regulation. An exponential distribution indicates that transitions out of the bout are random<sup>32</sup>; sleep bout durations in healthy adults fit an exponential distribution<sup>33</sup>. A bout duration distribution which is either lighter- or heavier-tailed than an exponential distribution indicates that transitions out of the bout cannot be random. For example, sleep bouts in adults with sleep-disordered breathing fit a light-tailed distribution (very little probability of extra-long sleep bouts), which has been interpreted as a bias towards the opposing state – i.e. wakefulness – secondary to the wakefulness-inducing apnoeas/hypopnoeas<sup>28</sup>. On the other hand, *wake* bouts in adults fit a *heavy*-tailed distribution (higher probability of extra-long wake bouts)<sup>33</sup>, which can be interpreted as *more* state stability, e.g. via positive feedback mechanisms which make long-lasting bouts even more likely to endure.

The model representing the distribution of the durations of each sleep-wake state was selected as the one which minimised Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). Using this

criterion addresses the risk of model overfitting, because it penalises models with a higher number of parameters. After establishing which model distribution fit the bout durations of each sleep-wake state, we modelled changes in the parameters of those fits according to demographic and environmental variables. We selected PMA as the most likely explanatory variable, based upon the existing literature<sup>18,34–36</sup>. We selected postnatal age, lower vs. higher risk of adverse neurodevelopment (see Supplementary Information), a preceding sensory perturbation, physiological stress, and position of the bout within the sleep-wake cycle (i.e. which transition occurred at bout offset) to additionally enter into the model as potential secondary explanatory variables, according to previous work<sup>16,37–42</sup>. The first three variables, which were available for all data points, were entered into the overall models. For the latter variables which were only available for a subset of data points, we constructed separate models for these smaller datasets. Variables were defined as improving model fit if they reduced AIC, and the internal validity of model fits was evaluated based upon a graphical comparison between empirical Kaplan–Meier survival curves (derived from the data) and fitted survival curves (generated from the models). To provide a visual representation of continuous variables which influenced sleep-wake bout durations, we generated survival curves in which the variable was split into two groups (e.g. lower and higher PMA). Additional analysis was carried out using SPSS version 26. Statistical significance threshold was set to 0.05 for all tests.

## Results

The mean proportion of time spent in active sleep and transitional sleep decreased with PMA, alongside an increase in the proportion of time spent in quiet sleep and awake: in particular, the proportion of wakefulness increased from just 3% in very pre-term infants to 15% in full-term infants (Fig. 2).

### Sleep and wake bout durations were differently distributed

Characterising the relative percentage of each sleep-wake state cannot capture their time courses, which differed markedly between infants (Fig. S2). (For example, 50% prevalence each of active and quiet sleep during a one-hour period could reflect consecutive short 1-minute bouts, or two consolidated 30-minute bouts.) Therefore we next analysed data at the level of the onset and duration of each sleep-wake bout<sup>43</sup> (Table 2).

Wake and active sleep bout durations were most consistent with a heavy-tailed distribution: the exit rate from the state eventually plateaued (at approximately 10 and 30 minutes respectively), resulting in a small number of extra-long bouts (Table 3; Fig. 3 left panel). On the other hand, quiet sleep bout durations were most consistent with a light-tailed distribution (i.e. very little probability of extra-long bouts) (Table 3; Fig. 3 left panel).

### **Active sleep bout durations were longest in pre-term infants**

Having established which distributions best fit sleep-wake bout durations, we assessed the influence of PMA (Fig. 3 right panel). Wake bouts persisted for 15% longer with every week of PMA (exp(est) 1.146 (95% CI: 1.024-1.282)). Quiet sleep bouts persisted for 3% longer with every week of PMA (exp(est) 1.027 (95% CI: 0.997-1.058)). On the contrary, active sleep bouts were 6% *shorter* with every week of PMA (exp(est) 0.945 (95% CI: 0.896-0.997)). In all cases, the inclusion of PMA improved model fit (AIC wake 261.185 vs. 264.629, quiet sleep 989.493 vs. 990.749, active sleep 819.445 vs. 821.681). Inclusion of postnatal age or risk category did not improve PMA-only model fits. Please see Supplementary Information for further information on model fitting.

### **Active sleep resilience to disturbance was highest in pre-term infants**

Prolonged sleep duration signifies high sleep pressure, i.e. need<sup>44</sup>. Having demonstrated that active sleep pressure was highest in very pre-term infants, as indexed by its extended duration, we sought to confirm this in another way by testing whether their sleep was more resilient to disturbance. To do this we examined whether awakenings from sleep were less likely to be evoked by a nociceptive or somatosensory perturbation in younger infants<sup>45-48</sup>.

None of the 8 awakenings in very and moderately pre-term infants, which all emerged from active sleep, occurred within 5 minutes of a heel lance, demonstrating that their sleep was

resilient to sensory perturbations. On the other hand, 10/35 (29%) awakenings in older infants clustered during the 5 minutes following a lance (skewness of latencies to wakefulness: 2.680), 9/10 of which emerged from active sleep. In contrast to awakenings, transitions from active to quiet sleep, or vice versa, did not cluster after a lance (only  $\leq 8\%$ : likelihood ratio test  $p = .002$ , Cramer's  $V .248$ ; Fig. 4). This indicates that sleep in older infants is specifically vulnerable to awakenings following nociceptive stimuli, rather than more subtle changes in sleep depth. (These lance-associated awakenings did not last for significantly longer than other awakenings (inclusion of nociceptive perturbation variable did not improve wake bout durations model fit)).

In contrast to lances, there was no clustering of awakenings in the 5 minutes following a *non-noxious* stimulus in older infants (lesser skewness of latencies to wakefulness: 1.553; likelihood ratio test  $p = .720$ ), indicating that only nociceptive stimuli evoked awakenings.

### **Physiological stress lengthened wake bout durations**

Wake bouts persisted for longer with increasing cortisol level (exp(est) per  $\mu\text{g}/10 \text{ dL}$  1.335 (95% CI: 1.019-1.749) (Fig. 5), and the addition of this variable improved PMA-only model fit (AIC 144.688 vs. 147.289; 20 wake onsets captured from 19 infants 32-40 weeks PMA, with offset captured in 16/20 instances). On the other hand, cortisol level did not improve sleep bout durations model fits.

## Long active sleep bouts were more likely to offset into quiet sleep than wakefulness

Next, we examined the influence of which transition occurred at bout offset. Active sleep could offset into either quiet sleep or wakefulness (unlike wakefulness and quiet sleep which always offset into active sleep: Table 2). Active sleep bouts were 60% longer which terminated in quiet sleep, when compared to bouts which terminated in wakefulness (exp(est) 1.602 (95% CI: 1.203-2.133; Fig. 6). The addition of this variable improved PMA-only model fit (AIC 663.782 vs. 671.493).

## Discussion

Human foetuses are thought to remain almost exclusively asleep<sup>49,50</sup> (intermittent motor activity is consistent with sleep during this developmental stage<sup>9,10</sup>). Here we show that pre-term infants too can spend up to 97% of their time asleep, even following the physiological changes associated with birth<sup>51,52</sup>.

We demonstrate that the substructure of this sleep is uniquely regulated. Active sleep bout durations are prolonged and follow a heavy-tailed distribution. Quiet sleep bout durations follow a *light*-tailed distribution, concordant with bias towards the opposing (active) sleep state preventing extra-long quiet sleep bouts. This is the opposite pattern to older children and adults<sup>53-57</sup>. These sustained periods of active sleep may consolidate this state's crucial developmental functions, including sensorimotor plasticity<sup>9,10,58-60</sup>. Meanwhile, the

increasing probability of transition to quiet sleep with increasing active sleep length is consistent with a homeostatic process which ensures quiet sleep can balance or complement these active sleep functions<sup>61,62</sup>. For example, in adults it has been theorised that REM sleep complements the accurate memory consolidation which occurs during non-REM sleep, by integrating these memories<sup>63</sup>. However, the order in which sleep states occur in infants, i.e. active sleep first<sup>18,64,65</sup>, is the inverse of the pattern observed in adults<sup>66</sup>. This unique sleep behaviour may point at differences in the functioning of the underlying circuitry, relative to adults. In adults, activity within the locus coeruleus of the pons can promote wakefulness, while cessation of its firing opens the gate to active sleep<sup>67,68</sup>. Therefore very low spontaneous firing within this structure, which has been reported in neonatal animals, may at least partly explain the dominance of active sleep in human infants and foetuses<sup>69-71</sup>.

Although sleep pervades neonatal life, indeed there were only 43 bouts of wakefulness across 175 infants, we demonstrate a developmental increase in wakefulness across the vulnerable pre-term period, which occupied 15% of the time by full-term age. Increased firing in the locus coeruleus can promote a switch to wakefulness by exerting noradrenergic inhibition to the (otherwise sleep-promoting) ventrolateral preoptic region of the hypothalamus<sup>68,72</sup> (Fig. S3). Therefore age-related increases in locus coeruleus firing rate, and noradrenergic innervation of brain structures, may underlie the increase of wakefulness with maturation<sup>70,73</sup>. We also show that awakenings can be triggered by nociception from late pre-term age. This is consistent with neonatal animal models which demonstrate that although *basal* firing rate of locus coeruleus neurons is low, these neurons can fire robustly to nociceptive stimuli<sup>64,70,74-79</sup>.

While nociception can trigger awakenings, physiological stress can *prolong* periods of wakefulness from at least as early as moderately pre-term age, as in older children and adults<sup>1,40,80,81</sup>. Cortisol is the final effector of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical stress axis, and its production is mediated by the release of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) in the hypothalamus. There is reciprocal stimulation between CRH release and locus coeruleus firing<sup>82,83</sup>, and between CRH release and the orexinergic neuronal activity which reinforces locus coeruleus firing<sup>84-90</sup>. Therefore stress could prolong wakefulness in human infants by influencing these positive feedback circuits, in keeping with neonatal animal models<sup>91-93</sup>.

Taken together, infants who experience nociceptive stimuli and stress spend excessive time awake at the expense of sleep, during a sensitive period in which sleep supports cortical development<sup>94,95</sup>, but this additional wakefulness may be of relatively little value<sup>3-5</sup>. For example, vigilance to a nociceptive stressor could be less useful when infants do not have the same capacity to ‘fight or flight’ as an adult. Further, in this cohort one advantage of wakefulness – being picked up by a caregiver – did not apply until full-term age (Supplementary Information and Fig. S4).

Sleep-wake architecture in human infants has been associated with cognitive and sensorimotor outcome<sup>96-99</sup>. However, environmental interventions to improve sleep quality have demonstrated only modest - and often discrepant - results<sup>100-103</sup>, in part because of an incomplete understanding of sleep-wake regulation in this population. Here we address this by formulating a conceptual model consistent with basic neurobiology, which could support the simulation and pre-clinical planning of hypothesis-driven interventions. In particular, we identified two tractable factors – noxious procedures and physiological stress – which could

be targeted to protect sleep in this vulnerable population. For example, it may be advantageous to avoid periods of active sleep, which are vulnerable to nociception-evoked awakenings, when conducting necessary noxious procedures. Given that active sleep is associated with specific cardio-respiratory markers (Fig. 1), it would be feasible to integrate a state-detection algorithm into the cot-side heart- and respiratory-rate monitors, which would flag this state to staff. Secondly, as we show here that stress curtails sleep by extending wakefulness, future studies should assess whether sensory interventions - which can reduce physiological stress in infants<sup>104,105</sup> - could thereby promote sleep. Particular emphasis should be paid to the effectiveness of interventions which are available 24/7, i.e. even when parents are not present, such as supportive positioning in the cot/incubator<sup>106</sup>. Quiet sleep shows excellent promise as a post-intervention outcome measure, as it has high inter-bout consistency which makes the identification of outliers straightforward, can be parsimoniously modelled with a single parameter (PMA)<sup>107</sup>, and is associated with neurodevelopmental outcome<sup>99</sup>.

This work has some limitations. There are a few reports that sleep duration is a relatively insensitive index of sleep pressure early in development<sup>45,108</sup>. However, our interpretation is strengthened by the converging evidence that pre-term infants' sleep is also resilient to nociceptive perturbations, a separate index of sleep pressure validated by experiments in neonatal non-human primates, rats and mice<sup>45-48</sup>. A second limitation is that the recording length was insufficient to capture multiple sleep-wake cycles. Although it has been reported that short-term neonatal sleep-wake recordings are representative of long-term recordings<sup>16</sup>, future work should aim to replicate our results using 24 hours, and ideally serial, recordings to capture longitudinal trajectories. Thirdly, the analyses regarding physiological stress and

nociceptive procedures were conducted on relatively small samples, given the complexity of combining and timing multiple physiological measures during the same recording.

## Conclusion

Sleep-wake regulation evolves with maturity from the equivalent of the late foetal period to adulthood. Here we show that pre-term infants have extraordinarily high active sleep pressure. With increasing age, wake bouts become longer, and nociception and stress additionally funnel infants towards this state of heightened vigilance which will eventually occupy two thirds of adult life, an enormous increase from the 3% occupancy in very pre-term infants.

Accepted Manuscript

**Acknowledgements:** This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, and Medical Research Council UK Confidence in Concept funding. We would like to thank the families who participated in this research. Kimberley Whitehead acknowledges her co-members of the ‘What is Sleep?’ working group (<https://centre.santafe.edu/complextime>), especially Cecilia Diniz Behn for helpful feedback on this manuscript.

Financial Disclosure: none

Non-financial Disclosure: none

Accepted Manuscript

## References

1. Rodenbeck A, Huether G, Rütger E, Hajak G. Interactions between evening and nocturnal cortisol secretion and sleep parameters in patients with severe chronic primary insomnia. *Neuroscience Letters*. 2002;324(2):159-163. doi:10.1016/S0304-3940(02)00192-1
2. Lo C-C, Amaral LAN, Havlin S, et al. Dynamics of sleep-wake transitions during sleep. *EPL*. 2002;57(5):625. doi:10.1209/epl/i2002-00508-7
3. Yang G, Gan W-B. Sleep contributes to dendritic spine formation and elimination in the developing mouse somatosensory cortex. *Developmental Neurobiology*. 2012;72(11):1391-1398. doi:10.1002/dneu.20996
4. Mukherjee D, Yonk AJ, Sokoloff G, Blumberg MS. Wakefulness suppresses retinal wave-related neural activity in visual cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 2017;118(2):1190-1197. doi:10.1152/jn.00264.2017
5. Dooley JC, Blumberg MS. Developmental “awakening” of primary motor cortex to the sensory consequences of movement. Martin JH, Ivry RB, eds. *eLife*. 2018;7:e41841. doi:10.7554/eLife.41841
6. An S, Kilb W, Luhmann HJ. Sensory-Evoked and Spontaneous Gamma and Spindle Bursts in Neonatal Rat Motor Cortex. *J Neurosci*. 2014;34(33):10870-10883. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4539-13.2014
7. Akhmetshina D, Nasretdinov A, Zakharov A, Valeeva G, Khazipov R. The Nature of the Sensory Input to the Neonatal Rat Barrel Cortex. *J Neurosci*. 2016;36(38):9922-9932. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1781-16.2016
8. Frank MG. Sleep and plasticity in the visual cortex: more than meets the eye. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 2017;44(Supplement C):8-12. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2017.01.001
9. Milh M, Kaminska A, Huon C, Lapillonne A, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R. Rapid Cortical Oscillations and Early Motor Activity in Premature Human Neonate. *Cereb Cortex*. 2007;17(7):1582-1594. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhl069
10. Whitehead K, Meek J, Fabrizi L. Developmental trajectory of movement-related cortical oscillations during active sleep in a cross-sectional cohort of pre-term and full-term human infants. *Scientific Reports*. 2018;8(1):17516. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-35850-1
11. Fifer WP, Byrd DL, Kaku M, et al. Newborn infants learn during sleep. *PNAS*. 2010;107(22):10320-10323. doi:10.1073/pnas.1005061107
12. Spong CY. Defining “Term” Pregnancy: Recommendations From the Defining “Term” Pregnancy Workgroup. *JAMA*. 2013;309(23):2445-2446. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.6235

13. Whitehead K, Jones L, Laudiano Dray P, Meek J, Fabrizi L. Full 10-20 EEG application in hospitalised neonates is not associated with an increase in stress hormone levels. *Clinical Neurophysiology Practice*. 2017;3:20-21. doi:10.1016/j.cnp.2017.12.002
14. Grigg-Damberger MM. The Visual Scoring of Sleep in Infants 0 to 2 Months of Age. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2016;12(3):429-445. doi:10.5664/jcsm.5600
15. Dreyfus-Brisac C. Ontogenesis of sleep in human prematures after 32 weeks of conceptional age. *Dev Psychobiol*. 1970;3(2):91-121. doi:10.1002/dev.420030203
16. Stern E, Parmelee AH, Harris MA. Sleep state periodicity in prematures and young infants. *Developmental Psychobiology*. 1973;6(4):357-365. doi:10.1002/dev.420060409
17. Kraemer HC, Hole WT, Anders TF. The Detection of Behavioral State Cycles and Classification of Temporal Structure in Behavioral States. *Sleep*. 1984;7(1):3-17. doi:10.1093/sleep/7.1.3
18. Hoppenbrouwers T, Hodgman J, Arakawa K, Geidel SA, Sterman MB. Sleep and Waking States in Infancy: Normative Studies. *Sleep*. 1988;11(4):387-401. doi:10.1093/sleep/11.4.387
19. Hahn JS, Tharp BR. Neonatal and Paediatric Encephalography. In: *Electrodiagnosis in Clinical Neurology*. Fifth. Elsevier; 2005.
20. Fabrizi L, Worley A, Patten D, et al. Electrophysiological Measurements and Analysis of Nociception in Human Infants. *JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments)*. 2011;(58):e3118. doi:10.3791/3118
21. Whitehead K, Papadelis C, Laudiano-Dray MP, Meek J, Fabrizi L. The Emergence of Hierarchical Somatosensory Processing in Late Prematurity. *Cereb Cortex*. 2019;29(5):2245-2260. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhz030
22. Donadio A, Whitehead K, Gonzalez F, et al. A novel sensor design for accurate measurement of facial somatosensation in pre-term infants. *PLOS ONE*. 2018;13(11):e0207145. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0207145
23. Jones L, Fabrizi L, Laudiano-Dray M, et al. Nociceptive Cortical Activity Is Dissociated from Nociceptive Behavior in Newborn Human Infants under Stress. *Current Biology*. 2017;27(24):3846-3851.e3. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.10.063
24. Spangler G. The emergence of adrenocortical circadian function in newborns and infants and its relationship to sleep, feeding and maternal adrenocortical activity. *Early Human Development*. 1991;25(3):197-208. doi:10.1016/0378-3782(91)90116-K
25. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Chou D, et al. Born Too Soon: The global epidemiology of 15 million preterm births. *Reproductive Health*. 2013;10(1):S2. doi:10.1186/1742-4755-10-S1-S2
26. Jackson CH. flexsurv: A Platform for Parametric Survival Modeling in R. *J Stat Softw*. 2016;70. doi:10.18637/jss.v070.i08

27. Limpert E, Stahel WA, Abbt M. Log-normal Distributions across the Sciences: Keys and Clues On the charms of statistics, and how mechanical models resembling gambling machines offer a link to a handy way to characterize log-normal distributions, which can provide deeper insight into variability and probability—normal or log-normal: That is the question. *BioScience*. 2001;51(5):341-352. doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0341:LNDATS]2.0.CO;2
28. Norman RG, Scott MA, Ayappa I, Walsleben JA, Rapoport DM. Sleep Continuity Measured By Survival Curve Analysis. *Sleep*. 2006;29(12):1625-1631. doi:10.1093/sleep/29.12.1625
29. Sorribes A, Þorsteinsson H, Arnardóttir H, et al. The ontogeny of sleep-wake cycles in zebrafish: a comparison to humans. *Front Neural Circuits*. 2013;7. doi:10.3389/fncir.2013.00178
30. Arnardóttir H, Þorsteinsson H, Karlsson KÆ. Dynamics of Sleep–Wake Cyclicity at Night Across the Human Lifespan. *Front Neurol*. 2010;1. doi:10.3389/fneur.2010.00156
31. Gillespie CS. Fitting Heavy Tailed Distributions: The powerLaw Package. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2015;64(1):1-16. doi:10.18637/jss.v064.i02
32. Blumberg MS, Seelke AMH, Lowen SB, Karlsson KÆ. Dynamics of sleep-wake cyclicity in developing rats. *PNAS*. 2005;102(41):14860-14864. doi:10.1073/pnas.0506340102
33. Lo C-C, Chou T, Penzel T, et al. Common scale-invariant patterns of sleep–wake transitions across mammalian species. *PNAS*. 2004;101(50):17545-17548. doi:10.1073/pnas.0408242101
34. Anders TF, Keener M. Developmental Course of Nighttime Sleep-Wake Patterns in Full-Term and Premature Infants During the First Year of Life. I. *Sleep*. 1985;8(3):173-192. doi:10.1093/sleep/8.3.173
35. Hoppenbrouwers T, Hodgman JE, Rybine D, et al. Sleep Architecture in Term and Preterm Infants Beyond the Neonatal Period: The Influence of Gestational Age, Steroids, and Ventilatory Support. *Sleep*. 2005;28(11):1428-1436. doi:10.1093/sleep/28.11.1428
36. Curzi-Dascalova L, Figueroa JM, Eiselt M, et al. Sleep State Organization in Premature Infants of Less Than 35 Weeks' Gestational Age. *Pediatr Res*. 1993;34(5):624-628. doi:10.1203/00006450-199311000-00013
37. Dijk DJ, Czeisler CA. Contribution of the circadian pacemaker and the sleep homeostat to sleep propensity, sleep structure, electroencephalographic slow waves, and sleep spindle activity in humans. *J Neurosci*. 1995;15(5):3526-3538. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.15-05-03526.1995
38. Grunau RE, Oberlander TF, Whitfield MF, Fitzgerald C, Lee SK. Demographic and Therapeutic Determinants of Pain Reactivity in Very Low Birth Weight Neonates at 32 Weeks' Postconceptional Age. *Pediatrics*. 2001;107(1):105-112. doi:10.1542/peds.107.1.105

39. Osredkar D, Toet MC, Rooij LGM van, Huffelen AC van, Groenendaal F, Vries LS de. Sleep-Wake Cycling on Amplitude-Integrated Electroencephalography in Term Newborns With Hypoxic-Ischemic Encephalopathy. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(2):327-332. doi:10.1542/peds.2004-0863
40. Scher A, Hall WA, Zaidman- Zait A, Weinberg J. Sleep quality, cortisol levels, and behavioral regulation in toddlers. *Developmental Psychobiology*. 2010;52(1):44-53. doi:10.1002/dev.20410
41. Whitehead K, Laudiano-Dray MP, Meek J, Fabrizi L. Emergence of mature cortical activity in wakefulness and sleep in healthy preterm and full-term infants. *Sleep*. 2018;41(8). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsy096
42. Abramsky R, Stavsky M, Novack V, Shany E. Appearance of sleep cycling after birth in term neonates: an electro-physiologic study. *Pediatr Res*. Published online September 7, 2019:1-6. doi:10.1038/s41390-019-0560-z
43. Swihart BJ, Caffo B, Bandeen-Roche K, Punjabi NM. Characterizing Sleep Structure Using the Hypnogram. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2008;4(4):349-355.
44. Rechtschaffen A, Bergmann BM, Gilliland MA, Bauer K. Effects of Method, Duration, and Sleep Stage on Rebounds from Sleep Deprivation in the Rat. *Sleep*. 1999;22(1):11-31. doi:10.1093/sleep/22.1.11
45. Berger RJ, Meier GW. The Effects of Selective Deprivation of States of Sleep in the Developing Monkey. *Psychophysiology*. 1966;2(4):354-371. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.1966.tb02665.x
46. Blumberg M, Middlemis-Brown J, Johnson E. Sleep Homeostasis in Infant Rats. *Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2004;118(6):1253-1261.
47. Todd WD, Gibson JL, Shaw CS, Blumberg MS. BRAINSTEM AND HYPOTHALAMIC REGULATION OF SLEEP PRESSURE AND REBOUND IN NEWBORN RATS. *Behav Neurosci*. 2010;124(1):69-78. doi:10.1037/a0018100
48. de Vivo L, Nagai H, De Wispelaere N, et al. Evidence for sleep-dependent synaptic renormalization in mouse pups. *Sleep*. 2019;42(11). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsz184
49. Lagercrantz H. *Infant Brain Development: Formation of the Mind and the Emergence of Consciousness*. Springer; 2016.
50. de Vries JIP, Fong BF. Normal fetal motility: an overview. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;27(6):701-711. doi:10.1002/uog.2740
51. Lagercrantz H. Stress, Arousal, and Gene Activation at Birth. *Physiology*. 1996;11(5):214-218. doi:10.1152/physiologyonline.1996.11.5.214
52. Mellor DJ, Diesch TJ, Gunn AJ, Bennet L. The importance of 'awareness' for understanding fetal pain. *Brain Research Reviews*. 2005;49(3):455-471. doi:10.1016/j.brainresrev.2005.01.006

53. Roffwarg HP, Muzio JN, Dement WC. Ontogenetic Development of the Human Sleep-Dream Cycle. *Science*. 1966;152(3722):604-619. doi:10.1126/science.152.3722.604
54. Burns JW, Crofford LJ, Chervin RD. Sleep stage dynamics in fibromyalgia patients and controls. *Sleep Medicine*. 2008;9(6):689-696. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2007.10.022
55. Chervin RD, Fetterolf JL, Ruzicka DL, Thelen BJ, Burns JW. Sleep Stage Dynamics Differ Between Children With and Without Obstructive Sleep Apnea. *Sleep*. 2009;32(10):1325-1332. doi:10.1093/sleep/32.10.1325
56. Bianchi MT, Cash SS, Mietus J, Peng C-K, Thomas R. Obstructive Sleep Apnea Alters Sleep Stage Transition Dynamics. *PLOS ONE*. 2010;5(6):e11356. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011356
57. Klerman EB, Wang W, Duffy JF, Dijk D-J, Czeisler CA, Kronauer RE. Survival analysis indicates that age-related decline in sleep continuity occurs exclusively during NREM sleep. *Neurobiology of Aging*. 2013;34(1):309-318. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2012.05.018
58. Whitehead K, Slobodina M, Meek J, Fabrizi L. Fronto-central slow cortical activity is attenuated during phasic events in rapid eye movement sleep at full-term birth. *Early Human Development*. 2019;136:45-48. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2019.07.007
59. Cao J, Herman AB, West GB, Poe G, Savage VM. Unraveling Why We Sleep: Quantitative Analysis Reveals Abrupt Transition from Neural Reorganization to Repair in Early Development. *bioRxiv*. Published online November 1, 2019:827212. doi:10.1101/827212
60. Bridi MCD, Aton SJ, Seibt J, Renouard L, Coleman T, Frank MG. Rapid eye movement sleep promotes cortical plasticity in the developing brain. *Science Advances*. 2015;1(6):e1500105. doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500105
61. Pace-Schott EF, Hobson JA. The Neurobiology of Sleep: Genetics, cellular physiology and subcortical networks. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2002;3(8):591-605. doi:10.1038/nrn895
62. Thurber A, Jha SK, Coleman T, Frank MG. A preliminary study of sleep ontogenesis in the ferret (*Mustela putorius furo*). *Behavioural Brain Research*. 2008;189(1):41-51. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2007.12.019
63. Rasch B, Born J. About Sleep's Role in Memory. *Physiological Reviews*. 2013;93(2):681-766. doi:10.1152/physrev.00032.2012
64. Anders TF, Roffwarg HP. The effects of selective interruption and deprivation of sleep in the human newborn. *Developmental Psychobiology*. 1973;6(1):77-89. doi:10.1002/dev.420060110
65. Ellingson RJ, Peters JF. Development of EEG and daytime sleep patterns in normal full-term infants during the first 3 months of life: Longitudinal observations. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*. 1980;49(1):112-124. doi:10.1016/0013-4694(80)90357-0

66. Benington JH, Heller HC. Does the function of REM sleep concern non-REM sleep or waking? *Progress in Neurobiology*. 1994;44(5):433-449. doi:10.1016/0301-0082(94)90005-1
67. Diniz Behn CG, Booth V. Simulating Microinjection Experiments in a Novel Model of the Rat Sleep-Wake Regulatory Network. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 2010;103(4):1937-1953. doi:10.1152/jn.00795.2009
68. Saper CB, Fuller PM, Pedersen NP, Lu J, Scammell TE. Sleep State Switching. *Neuron*. 2010;68(6):1023-1042. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.032
69. Bowe TR, Anders TF. The Use of the Semi-Markov Model in the Study of the Development of Sleep-Wake States in Infants. *Psychophysiology*. 1979;16(1):41-48. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.1979.tb01436.x
70. Nakamura S, Sakaguchi T. Development and plasticity of the locus coeruleus: A review of recent physiological and pharmacological experimentation. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 1990;34(6):505-526. doi:10.1016/0301-0082(90)90018-C
71. Groome LJ, Swiber MJ, Atterbury JL, Bentz LS, Holland SB. Similarities and Differences in Behavioral State Organization during Sleep Periods in the Perinatal Infant Before and After Birth. *Child Development*. 1997;68(1):1-11. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.1997.tb01920.x
72. Szymusiak R, Alam N, Steininger TL, McGinty D. Sleep-waking discharge patterns of ventrolateral preoptic/anterior hypothalamic neurons in rats. *Brain Research*. 1998;803(1):178-188. doi:10.1016/S0006-8993(98)00631-3
73. Levitt P. Structural and functional maturation of the developing primate brain. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 2003;143(4):35-45. doi:10.1067/S0022-3476(03)00400-1
74. Foote SL, Aston-Jones G, Bloom FE. Impulse activity of locus coeruleus neurons in awake rats and monkeys is a function of sensory stimulation and arousal. *PNAS*. 1980;77(5):3033-3037. doi:10.1073/pnas.77.5.3033
75. Koyama Y, Jodo E, Kayama Y. Sensory responsiveness of "Broad-spike" neurons in the laterodorsal tegmental nucleus, locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe of awake rats: Implications for cholinergic and monoaminergic neuron-specific responses. *Neuroscience*. 1994;63(4):1021-1031. doi:10.1016/0306-4522(94)90569-X
76. Fulcher BD, Phillips AJK, Robinson PA. Modeling the impact of impulsive stimuli on sleep-wake dynamics. *Phys Rev E*. 2008;78(5):051920. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.78.051920
77. Takahashi K, Kayama Y, Lin JS, Sakai K. Locus coeruleus neuronal activity during the sleep-waking cycle in mice. *Neuroscience*. 2010;169(3):1115-1126. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.06.009
78. Ennis M, Behbehani M, Shipley MT, Bockstaele EJ van, Aston-Jones G. Projections from the periaqueductal gray to the rostromedial pericoerulear region and nucleus locus coeruleus: Anatomic and physiologic studies. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 1991;306(3):480-494. doi:10.1002/cne.903060311

79. Giraudin A, Bon-Jégo ML, Cabirol M-J, Simmers J, Morin D. Spinal and Pontine Relay Pathways Mediating Respiratory Rhythm Entrainment by Limb Proprioceptive Inputs in the Neonatal Rat. *J Neurosci*. 2012;32(34):11841-11853. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0360-12.2012
80. Hatzinger M, Brand S, Perren S, et al. Electroencephalographic sleep profiles and hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenocortical (HPA)-activity in kindergarten children: Early indication of poor sleep quality associated with increased cortisol secretion. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 2008;42(7):532-543. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2007.05.010
81. Fernandez- Mendoza J, Vgontzas AN, Calhoun SL, et al. Insomnia symptoms, objective sleep duration and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal activity in children. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2014;44(5):493-500. doi:10.1111/eci.12263
82. Tsigos C, Chrousos GP. Hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, neuroendocrine factors and stress. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2002;53(4):865-871. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(02)00429-4
83. Dunn AJ, Swiergiel AH, Palamarchouk V. Brain Circuits Involved in Corticotropin-Releasing Factor-Norepinephrine Interactions during Stress. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2004;1018(1):25-34. doi:10.1196/annals.1296.003
84. Bourgin P, Huitrón-Reséndiz S, Spier AD, et al. Hypocretin-1 Modulates Rapid Eye Movement Sleep through Activation of Locus Coeruleus Neurons. *J Neurosci*. 2000;20(20):7760-7765. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-20-07760.2000
85. Kayaba Y, Nakamura A, Kasuya Y, et al. Attenuated defense response and low basal blood pressure in orexin knockout mice. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*. 2003;285(3):R581-R593. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00671.2002
86. Yamanaka A, Beuckmann CT, Willie JT, et al. Hypothalamic Orexin Neurons Regulate Arousal According to Energy Balance in Mice. *Neuron*. 2003;38(5):701-713. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00331-3
87. Winsky-Sommerer R, Boutrel B, de Lecea L. Stress and arousal. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2005;32(3):285-294. doi:10.1385/MN:32:3:285
88. Cano G, Mochizuki T, Saper CB. Neural Circuitry of Stress-Induced Insomnia in Rats. *J Neurosci*. 2008;28(40):10167-10184. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1809-08.2008
89. Huppertz-Kessler CJ, Poeschl J, Hertel R, Unsicker K, Schenkel J. Effects of a new postnatal stress model on monoaminergic neurotransmitters in rat brains. *Brain and Development*. 2012;34(4):274-279. doi:10.1016/j.braindev.2011.07.008
90. Sakurai T. The role of orexin in motivated behaviours. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2014;15(11):719-731. doi:10.1038/nrn3837
91. Blumberg MS, Coleman CM, Johnson ED, Shaw C. Developmental divergence of sleep-wake patterns in orexin knockout and wild-type mice. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. 2007;25(2):512-518. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.05292.x

92. Gall AJ, Joshi B, Best J, Florang VR, Doorn JA, Blumberg MS. Developmental Emergence of Power-Law Wake Behavior Depends Upon the Functional Integrity of the Locus Coeruleus. *Sleep*. 2009;32(7):920-926. doi:10.1093/sleep/32.7.920
93. Patel M, Rangan A. Role of the locus coeruleus in the emergence of power law wake bouts in a model of the brainstem sleep-wake system through early infancy. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. 2017;426:82-95. doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2017.05.027
94. Frank MG, Issa NP, Stryker MP. Sleep Enhances Plasticity in the Developing Visual Cortex. *Neuron*. 2001;30(1):275-287. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00279-3
95. Tokariev A, Roberts JA, Zalesky A, et al. Large-scale brain modes reorganize between infant sleep states and carry prognostic information for preterms. *Nature Communications*. 2019;10(1):2619. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10467-8
96. Wikström S, Pupp IH, Rosén I, et al. Early single-channel aEEG/EEG predicts outcome in very preterm infants. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2012;101(7):719-726. doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.2012.02677.x
97. Hellström-Westas L, Rosén I, Svenningsen NW. Cerebral Function Monitoring During the First Week of Life in Extremely Small Low Birthweight (ESLBW) Infants. *Neuropediatrics*. 1991;22(1):27-32. doi:10.1055/s-2008-1071411
98. Nicholls A, Williams JM. Can the Precht method for the qualitative assessment of general movements be used to predict neurodevelopmental outcome, at eighteen months to three years, of infants born preterm? *Physical Therapy Reviews*. 2016;21(3-6):131-137. doi:10.1080/10833196.2016.1250032
99. Shellhaas RA, Burns JW, Hassan F, Carlson MD, Barks JD, Chervin RD. Neonatal Sleep-Wake Analyses Predict 18-month Neurodevelopmental Outcomes. *Sleep*. 2017;40(11). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsx144
100. Gerard CM, Harris KA, Thach BT. Spontaneous Arousals in Supine Infants While Swaddled and Unswaddled During Rapid Eye Movement and Quiet Sleep. *Pediatrics*. 2002;110(6):e70-e70. doi:10.1542/peds.110.6.e70
101. Ludington-Hoe SM, Johnson MW, Morgan K, et al. Neurophysiologic Assessment of Neonatal Sleep Organization: Preliminary Results of a Randomized, Controlled Trial of Skin Contact With Preterm Infants. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117(5):e909-e923. doi:10.1542/peds.2004-1422
102. Scher MS, Ludington-Hoe S, Kaffashi F, Johnson MW, Holditch-Davis D, Loparo KA. Neurophysiologic assessment of brain maturation after an 8-week trial of skin-to-skin contact on preterm infants. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2009;120(10):1812-1818. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2009.08.004
103. van den Hoogen A, Teunis CJ, Shellhaas RA, Pillen S, Benders M, Dudink J. How to improve sleep in a neonatal intensive care unit: A systematic review. *Early Human Development*. 2017;113:78-86. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2017.07.002

104. Jansen J, Beijers R, Riksen-Walraven M, de Weerth C. Cortisol reactivity in young infants. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2010;35(3):329-338. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.07.008
105. Schwilling D, Vogeser M, Kirchhoff F, et al. Live music reduces stress levels in very low-birthweight infants. *Acta Paediatr*. 2015;104(4):360-367. doi:10.1111/apa.12913
106. Maitre NL, Key AP, Chorna OD, et al. The Dual Nature of Early-Life Experience on Somatosensory Processing in the Human Infant Brain. *Current Biology*. 2017;27(7):1048-1054. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.02.036
107. Goldie L, Svedsen-Rhodes U, Easton J, Robertson NRC. The Development of Innate Sleep Rhythms in Short Gestation Infants. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*. 1971;13(1):40-50. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.1971.tb03030.x
108. Frank MG, Morrissette R, Heller HC. Effects of sleep deprivation in neonatal rats. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*. 1998;275(1):R148-R157. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1998.275.1.R148

Accepted Manuscript

**Figure 1.** Two examples each of epochs indicating quiet sleep, active sleep, and wakefulness in the same infant 29+3 weeks+days postmenstrual age. Two-headed arrows depict the flow of state transitions (see Table 2).

**Figure 2.** The mean proportion of time spent in wakefulness, quiet sleep, transitional sleep, and active sleep in infants of very pre-term, moderately pre-term, late pre-term, and full-term postmenstrual age (Table 1).

**Figure 3.** Survival curves for persistence of wakefulness, active sleep, and quiet sleep. Left panel: Fitted survival curves (red lines) and confidence intervals (red dashed lines), alongside empirical Kaplan–Meier survival curves (black lines) and confidence intervals (black dashed lines). Curves are drawn with the covariate of postmenstrual age (PMA) set to its mean values in the data. Right panel: Fitted survival curves for younger infants (<36 weeks PMA, orange lines) and older infants ( $\geq 36$  weeks PMA, crimson lines). Confidence intervals omitted here for clarity.

**Figure 4.** Transitions to wakefulness are associated with nociceptive stimuli in older infants (34-40 weeks postmenstrual age (PMA)), but not younger infants (29-33 weeks PMA).

**Figure 5.** Fitted survival curves for wake persistence in infants with lower (yellow line) and higher (bronze line) physiological stress levels, alongside empirical Kaplan–Meier survival curves. Lower cortisol value range = .11 - .19  $\mu\text{g/dL}$ ,  $n = 10$ ; higher cortisol value range = .21 - .81  $\mu\text{g/dL}$ ,  $n = 10$ . Confidence intervals omitted for clarity. Fitted curve is drawn with the covariate of postmenstrual age set to its mean value in the data.

**Figure 6.** Fitted survival curves for active sleep persistence prior to transition to quiet sleep (dark blue line) or wakefulness (mid blue line), alongside empirical Kaplan–Meier survival curves. Confidence intervals omitted for clarity. Fitted curve is drawn with the covariate of postmenstrual age set to its mean values in the data.

Accepted Manuscript

Table 1: Demographics of 175 subjects

Sex	89 female: 86 male
Gestational age (weeks+days)	23+2 - 40+1 (median 34+3)
Postnatal age (days)	0.5 - 96
Postmenstrual age (weeks+days) <sup>1</sup>	28+2 - 40+1 (median 35+4)
Subgroups (weeks+days)	Very pre-term (28+2 - 31+6), n = 29
	Moderately pre-term (32+0 - 33+6), n = 22
	Late pre-term (34+0 - 36+6), n = 66
	Full-term (37+0 - 40+1), n = 58
Ward location at time of study <sup>2</sup>	112 neonatal ward; 63 postnatal ward

<sup>1</sup> Postmenstrual age = Gestational age + Postnatal age

<sup>2</sup> Infants on the neonatal ward require close nursing and medical care; infants on the postnatal ward are cared for by their parents.

Table 2: Sleep-wake bouts

<b>State</b>	<b>No. of bout onsets captured</b>	<b>No. when bout onset and offset captured</b>	<b>State that the bout offset into</b>
Active sleep	183 (from 135 infants 28-40 weeks PMA)	75/183	Quiet sleep 49/75 Wakefulness 26/75
Quiet sleep	157 (from 132 infants 28-40 weeks PMA)	118/157	Active sleep 117/118 Wakefulness 1/118
Wakefulness	43 (from 40 infants 29-40 weeks PMA)	29/43	Active sleep in 29/29

PMA = Postmenstrual age (Gestational age + Postnatal age)

Table 3: Goodness of fit of models of sleep-wake bout durations

	Model	AIC	K	Delta	Estimates
Wakefulness	<b>Lognormal</b>	<b>264.629</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	Mean log 3.187 (95% CI: 2.860-3.514), SE: 0.167 SD log 0.996 (95% CI: 0.762-1.302), SE: 0.136
	Weibull	273.282	2	8.6531	
	Exponential	271.608	1	6.9792	
Active sleep	<b>Lognormal</b>	<b>821.681</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	Mean log 4.137 (95% CI: 3.959-4.314), SE: 0.091 SD log 0.891 (95% CI: 0.755-1.051), SE: 0.075
	Weibull	827.129	2	5.4476	
	Exponential	845.805	1	24.1235	
Quiet sleep	<b>Weibull</b>	<b>990.749</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	Shape 2.181 (95% CI: 1.897-2.507), SE: 0.155

---

Scale 34.243 (95% CI: 31.496-

37.229), SE: 1.461

Lognormal	999.533	2	8.7836	
Exponential	1070.848	1	80.0989	

---

AIC = Akaike's Information Criterion

K = Number of estimated parameters (degrees of freedom)

Delta = the difference between each AIC and the smallest AIC

CI = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation, SE = standard error

Accepted Manuscript











