Spectral variability of a sample of extreme variability quasars and implications for the Mg II broad-line region
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ABSTRACT

We present new Gemini/GMOS optical spectroscopy of 16 extreme variability quasars (EVQs) that dimmed by more than 1.5 mag in the g band between the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and the Dark Energy Survey epochs (separated by a few years in the quasar rest frame). These EVQs are selected from quasars in the SDSS Stripe 82 region, covering a redshift range of $0.5 < z < 2.1$. Nearly half of these EVQs brightened significantly (by more than 0.5 mag in the g band) in a few years after reaching their previous faintest state, and some EVQs showed rapid (non-blazar) variations of greater than 1–2 mag on time-scales of only months. To increase sample statistics, we use a supplemental sample of 33 EVQs with multi-epoch spectra from SDSS that cover the broad Mg II line. Leveraging on the large dynamic range in continuum variability between the multi-epoch spectra, we explore the associated variations in the broad Mg II, whose variability properties have not been well studied before. The broad Mg II flux varies in the same direction as the continuum flux, albeit with a smaller amplitude, which indicates at least some portion of Mg II is reverberating to continuum changes. However, the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of Mg II does not vary accordingly as continuum changes for most objects in the sample, in contrast to the case of the broad Balmer lines. Using the width of broad Mg II to estimate the black hole mass with single epoch spectra therefore introduces a luminosity-dependent bias.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The canonical unification model of active galactic nucleus (AGN) dictates that Type 2 objects (with only narrow emission lines) are
drawn from the same underlying population as Type 1 objects (with both broad and narrow emission lines), but the AGN continuum and broad-line emission is obscured by a dust ‘torus’ (Antonucci 1993; Urry & Padovani 1995). However, this static classification scheme is challenged by an increasingly large body of discoveries of quasars that apparently change spectral types on multiyear time-scales (e.g. Denney et al. 2014; LaMassa et al. 2015; MacLeod et al. 2016; McElroy et al. 2016; Ruan et al. 2016; Runnoe et al. 2016; Gezari et al. 2017; Stern et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2018; MacLeod et al. 2019), mostly from recent multi-epoch imaging and spectroscopic surveys of quasars. The broad Balmer emission lines, including Hα, Hβ, and Hγ, and broad helium lines were observed to have dramatically changed between the dim and bright epochs, even completely disappearing or emerging, following large-amplitude variability in the continuum. This population of changing-look quasars (CLQs) challenges the unified model of AGN, and is difficult to understand in the standard accretion disc theory given the observed short timescale of the changes. They may have profound implications for accretion physics (Lawrence 2018).

The continuum radiation of quasars is observed to vary typically by 0.2 mag on time-scales of months to years (e.g. Vanden Berk et al. 2004; Wilhite et al. 2005; Sesar et al. 2007; Schmidt et al. 2010; MacLeod et al. 2012; Morganson et al. 2014). Rumbaugh et al. (2018) found that approximately 10 per cent of quasars can vary by > 1 mag, dubbed extreme variability quasars (EVQs), over an observed baseline of ~15 yr. Because the broad emission lines are presumably powered by the ionizing continuum, they will reverberate to the continuum variability on the broad-line region (BLR) light-travel time-scales of days to weeks, which is much shorter compared to the BLR dynamical time-scale of the order of a few years. Thus the study of the EVQ population is not only useful to understand accretion physics in the context of extreme variability, but also important to characterize the response of the broad lines to the extreme continuum variability, which in turn will shed light on the kinematics and structure of the BLR.

While there have been numerous variability studies on the broad Hβ line with, e.g. reverberation mapping (RM) data, similar studies on the broad Mg II line have been sparse (e.g. Trevese et al. 2007; Woo 2008; Hryniewicz et al. 2014; Cackett et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2015; Zhu, Sun & Wang 2017). However, the broad Mg II line is an important line, as it is used for RM (Clavel et al. 1991; Reichert et al. 1994; Metzroth, Onken & Peterson 2006; Shen et al. 2016) and single-epoch black hole mass estimation of quasars at redshift z > 1 (e.g. McLure & Jarvis 2002; McLure & Dunlop 2004; Shen et al. 2008, 2011; Wang et al. 2009), and thus it is important to understand the variability properties of broad Mg II as the continuum varies.

Assuming that the BLR is virialized, the BH mass is determined by the BLR size and the virial velocity (e.g. Wandel, Peterson & Malkan 1999). Practically, the width of broad emission line is used as an indicator of the virial velocity assuming that the line is Doppler broadened. For individual object, the inner gas orbits faster in the virial theorem. Under these assumptions, the broad line width should increase (or decrease) as the BLR contracts (or expands) in respond to a decrease (or increase) in the continuum (i.e. the ‘breathing’ of the BLR). An anticorrelation between the broad-line width and the BLR size (proportional to the continuum luminosity) is expected, and has been seen in broad Hβ (e.g. Park et al. 2012; Shen 2013). However, the situation is much less clear for Mg II and C IV (Shen 2013). The full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of broad Mg II is strongly correlated with that of broad Hβ (e.g. Shen et al. 2008, 2011; Wang et al. 2019), but the range of dispersion seen in the width of Mg II is smaller than that for Hβ for the same objects (Shen et al. 2011), indicating that Mg II is less variable (e.g. Sun et al. 2015) and possibly has slightly different kinematic structure than broad Hβ. In addition, there is a population of galaxy spectra with broad Mg II, but no broad Hβ (e.g. Roig, Blanton & Ross 2014). All these observations suggest that broad Mg II is somewhat different, and a systematic study of Mg II is required to understand its phenomenology and physics.

Motivated by the questions about how EVQs vary and how their broad emission lines vary accordingly, we select a sample of EVQs that vary by more than 1.5 mag in the g band, using data from DES and SDSS. We obtain new Gemini/GMOS optical spectroscopy of 16 EVQs, and compare with earlier SDSS spectra. Our EVQ sample covers a redshift range of 0.5 < z < 2.1 with Mg II coverage in both SDSS and GMOS spectra for all our targets, providing a unique opportunity to study Mg II variability leveraged on the extreme continuum variability. Compared with previous works on CLQs, most of our targets are at higher redshifts and probe higher quasar luminosities.

In this work we present our new GMOS spectroscopy and study the spectral variability of these 16 EVQs between the SDSS and the more recent GMOS epoch. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the imaging data from multiple sources and SDSS spectroscopic data. Section 3 outlines the target selection, spectroscopic observations using Gemini/GMOS, and spectral fitting procedures. We present our results in Section 4 and discuss the implications in Section 5. We summarize in Section 6. We use a ΛCDM cosmology with parameters ΩΛ = 0.7, Ωm = 0.3, and H0 = 70 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹.

## 2 DATA

### 2.1 Imaging data

The Dark Energy Survey (DES) observed 5000 deg² of the sky in five filters (griycDECam), using a wide-field camera (DECam) on the 4-m Blanco Telescope (Flaugher et al. 2015). The 10σ single-epoch PSF magnitude limit in the five griyc bands are 23.57, 23.34, 22.78, 22.10, and 20.69, respectively (Abbott et al. 2018). We used 5 yr DES data starting from 2013 (Abbott et al. 2018; Diehl et al. 2018). For the preliminary year five data, we applied the zero-point calibration with an accuracy of ~0.01–0.02 mag.

SDSS mapped the sky in five filters (ugrizSDSS) using a 2.5 m telescope (Gunn et al. 2006) at the Apache Point Observatory (Abazajian et al. 2009), covering 11 663 deg² of the sky. SDSS also repeatedly imaged a 120º × 2.5º stripe along the celestial equator centred at zero declination in the Southern Galactic Cap (Stripe 82) from 1998 to 2007. The frequency was increased from 2005 to 2007 for the supernova survey (Frieman et al. 2008). Over the 10 yr duration of the program, there are, on average, more than 60 epochs in the Stripe 82 region.

The Pan-STARRS1 (PS1; Chambers et al. 2016) survey mapped three-quarters of the sky in five broad-band filters (gizYrps1), using a 1.8-m telescope with a 1.4 Gigapixel camera. PS1 data were obtained from 2011 to 2014, filling the gap between the SDSS and DES. We used multi-epoch photometry from the detection catalogue in the PS1 Data Release 2 (DR2). For quasars, the magnitude offset between the SDSS and PS1 (DES) g bands is negligible, between −0.053 (−0.065) and 0.005 (0.008) mag at redshift z < 2 (Yang et al. 2018). PS1 covers the entire SDSS Stripe 82 region, and DES covers most of the Stripe 82 region with R.A. between 21h and 3h (approximately 228 square degrees).
2.2 SDSS spectroscopic data

We used the SDSS spectra as the earlier epoch comparison spectra. The SDSS spectroscopy from the SDSS-I/II covers a wavelength range from 3800 to 9200 Å at an average resolution of \( R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 2000 \) (Abazajian et al. 2009), ranging from \( R \sim 1500 \) at 3800 Å to \( R \sim 2500 \) at 9000 Å.

3 METHODS

3.1 Target selection

3.1.1 Main EVQ sample

Given the large overlap between DES and SDSS within the Stripe 82 region, we carried out a systematic search for EVQs from 9 258 quasars in the Stripe 82 region (MacLeod et al. 2012), with improved calibration (Ivezić et al. 2007; Sesar et al. 2007) and detailed spectral measurements from their SDSS spectra (Shen et al. 2011). Among them, 7 516 were observed by DES, and 95 per cent of them were detected by PS1. Combining the SDSS Stripe 82 and DES light curves, we selected quasars with a maximum \( g \)-band variability larger than 1.5 mag over the combined baseline. For the GMOS follow up, we only used DES data up to Y3 (2016) at the time of the proposal. However, in this work we use all the available DES data up to Y5 to construct photometric light curves. In selecting EVQs from the combined SDSS and DES photometric light curves, we rejected points that deviate from the running median of a ±100 d window by more than 0.5 mag, and noisy points with magnitude uncertainties larger than 0.15 mag. This search resulted in 146 quasars. We imposed additional criteria: (1) the quasars were restricted to be those that were in the faint state during the DES epochs; (2) the latest DES epoch (as in Y3 data at the time of the Gemini proposal) is brighter than 22 mag in \( g \)-band for reasonably good Gemini spectroscopy; (3) the object did not show frequent large amplitude (>0.5 mag) and rapid (shorter than a month) optical variability, which may be due to blazar activity; (4) it is not a broad absorption line quasar according to the SDSS spectrum, thus excluding objects in which the variability is caused by outflows; (5) we rejected objects with poor or problematic SDSS spectra after visual inspection. We finally selected 27 EVQs, all of which have relatively smooth light curves.

3.1.2 A supplemental sample of EVQs

To increase sample statistics, we add a supplemental sample of EVQs with multi-epoch spectra covering Mg II from the SDSS quasar catalogue\(^1\) in the 14th Data Release (DR14Q; Pâris et al. 2018). The selection criteria are (1) the quality flag ‘zWarning’ in the quasar catalogue is 0; (2) the median spectral signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) per pixel is higher than 2; (3) the spectrum covers Mg II; (4) the median spectral S/N around Mg II and the continuum at rest-frame 3000 Å, specifically from 2700 to 3100 Å, is higher than 5 to ensure the good quality of our spectral fitting; (5) multi-epoch spectra of the same quasar must all satisfy the criteria from (1) to (4); and (6) for the same quasar, the continuum flux ratio at rest-frame 3000 Å between the brightest and faintest epochs from SDSS is larger than 3, which corresponds to a magnitude difference of 1.2 mag. These criteria result in 33 EVQs at 0.44 < \( z \) < 2.33 selected from SDSS spectroscopy alone, nearly triple our EVQ sample with multi-epoch spectra.

3.2 Spectroscopic observations

We observed 16 of the 27 main-sample EVQs during the Gemini 2018A run from May to September, using the Gemini GMOS-South spectrograph (summarized in Table 1). The targets were observed with the R150 grating and a 0.5 arcsec slit. We choose this configuration to balance the needs for wavelength coverage, spectral resolution, and sensitivity. To mitigate the effect of CCD gaps on coverage, we split the exposures (ExpTime in Table 1) into pairs with central wavelengths of 5800 Å and 6000 Å, respectively. The coadded spectra cover a wavelength range of 4000–10 200 Å with a spectral resolution of \( R \sim 630 \). The impact of the spectral resolution difference between the GMOS and SDSS spectra is negligible, leading to less than 0.7 per cent difference in line width measurements when FWHM > 4000 km s\(^{-1}\) as in the case for the quasars we observed.

The spectra were reduced using standard IRAF\(^2\) routines (Tody 1986, 1993). We used two standard stars (LTT9239 or LTT7987) for our flux calibration. For the 12 objects observed before July 14, the standard stars were observed during the same night of our science observations. For the 4 objects observed on July 14 and September 5, including J2343+0038, J2350+0025, J0140−0035, and J0140+0052, we use the standard star LTT9239 observed on July 12. These four objects, as well as the standard star, were observed on photometric nights.

3.3 Spectral fitting

We fit the spectra using the spectral fitting code from Shen et al. (2018), which models the quasar continuum, broad Fe II emission, and emission line components. The spectra were fit in the rest frame of the quasar after correcting for Galactic reddening using the dust map in Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998) and the extinction curve from Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989). The continuum includes a power-law continuum and a positive 3rd-order polynomial accounting for dust reddening internal to the quasar. We used empirical UV Fe II emission templates from the literature (Vestergaard &Wilkes 2001; Tsuzuki et al. 2006; Salviander et al. 2007) covering from 1000 Å to 3500 Å, and an optical Fe II template (3686–7484 Å) from Boroson & Green (1992). We fitted the Fe II emission with three free parameters: normalization, broadening, and wavelength shift. We first fit the continuum and Fe II emission together, choosing a few continuum windows. For instance, to avoid the influence of Mg II emission, we masked the region between 2675 Å and 2925 Å.

We then subtracted the continuum and Fe II emission components, and fitted the other emission lines. Following Shen et al. (2018), we used three (one) Gaussian components for the broad (narrow) H\(\beta\) line, and two Gaussian components for broad Mg II. In addition, we used two Gaussian components for the narrow Mg II \( \lambda \lambda 2796, 2803 \) Å doublet (Wang et al. 2009). To quantify the measurement uncertainties, we used a Monte Carlo approach by adding a random Gaussian deviate to the flux at each pixel, with the Gaussian \( \sigma \) equal to the spectral error at that pixel. We measured the continuum or line properties and their uncertainties using the median value and the semi-amplitude of the range enclosing the 16th and 84th percentiles of the distribution from 50 trials. Our fitting results show that the narrow emission line luminosity is consistent between the SDSS

---

\(^1\)https://data.sdss.org/sas/dr14/eboss/qso/DR14Q/DR14Q_v4_A.fits.

\(^2\)IRAF is the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility, written and supported by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories (NOAO) operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
and GMOS spectra, as the narrow-line flux is expected to remain constant on multiyear time-scales for our quasars. For example, the narrow [O III] λ3728 luminosity for J2159+0005 is $10^{42.34 \pm 0.05}$ and $10^{42.20 \pm 0.01}$ erg s$^{-1}$ from the SDSS and GMOS spectra, indicating that our flux calibration is reasonably accurate.

4 RESULTS

We summarize the photometric variability of the main-sample EVQs observed by Gemini in Table 1. $\Delta \gamma_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum variability in the g band. $\Delta \gamma_{\text{spec}}$ is the spectrophotometry magnitude difference with the SDSS and Gemini spectra convolved with the SDSS g-band filter. $\Delta \gamma_{\text{back}}$ is the g-band magnitude difference between the latest DES imaging epoch and the faintest DES epoch.

### Table 1. Variability of EVQs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>R.A.</th>
<th>Dec.</th>
<th>Redshift</th>
<th>SDSS Flux 2018</th>
<th>ExpTime (s)</th>
<th>$\Delta \gamma_{\text{max}}$ (mag)</th>
<th>$\Delta \gamma_{\text{spec}}$ (mag)</th>
<th>$\Delta \gamma_{\text{back}}$ (mag)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J2159+0005</td>
<td>21:59:44.32</td>
<td>+00:05:27.8</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0140+0052</td>
<td>01:40:27.89</td>
<td>+00:52:12.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2213–0037</td>
<td>22:13:12.08</td>
<td>–00:37:25.6</td>
<td>2.063</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2252–0004</td>
<td>22:52:50.73</td>
<td>+00:04:18.3</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2217–0029</td>
<td>22:17:39.23</td>
<td>+00:29:04.4</td>
<td>1.643</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2249–0047</td>
<td>22:49:24.01</td>
<td>+00:47:50.4</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2282–0032</td>
<td>22:28:36.23</td>
<td>–00:32:02.9</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2252+0038</td>
<td>22:52:02.81</td>
<td>+00:38:54.7</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2282+0038</td>
<td>22:38:30.04</td>
<td>–00:53:13.6</td>
<td>1.551</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2338–0101</td>
<td>23:38:53.44</td>
<td>–01:01:19.4</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2335–0049</td>
<td>23:35:17.82</td>
<td>–00:49:27.0</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0140–0035</td>
<td>01:40:48.62</td>
<td>–00:35:00.9</td>
<td>1.383</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2141–0016</td>
<td>21:41:30.61</td>
<td>–00:16:49.1</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0048–0113</td>
<td>00:48:26.09</td>
<td>–01:13:10.7</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2209–0055</td>
<td>22:09:08.24</td>
<td>–00:55:58.8</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2350+0025</td>
<td>23:50:40.09</td>
<td>+00:25:58.9</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table is ranked by $\Delta \gamma_{\text{spec}}$, which is the g-band magnitude difference between imaging photometry closest to the Gemini and SDSS spectra epochs. $\Delta \gamma_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum variability in the g band. $\Delta \gamma_{\text{spec}}$ is the spectrophotometry magnitude difference with the SDSS and Gemini spectra convolved with the SDSS g-band filter. $\Delta \gamma_{\text{back}}$ is the g-band magnitude difference between the latest DES imaging epoch and the faintest DES epoch.

The narrow Mg II is still visible (albeit at reduced flux; see below). The narrow Mg II emission on top of the broad-line emission is more distinctive in the faint state spectrum. We witness a normal blue quasar changing to a quasar with a broad Mg II emission line but no broad Hβ emission line, which bears some similarities to the objects presented in Roig et al. (2014), and similar to those CLQs presented in MacLeod et al. (2019). Although the SDSS spectrum does not cover Hβ, this quasar is possibly a CLQ with the highest redshift of $z = 0.936$ known to date. It is plausible that some broad-line quasars at redshift $z > 1$, where Hβ moves out of the optical window, with a red continuum are similar to J2159+0005 seen at redshift $z < 1$.

EVQ J2343+0038 is a CLQ at $z = 0.667$, based on the behaviour of Hβ. It has broad Hβ emission with $L_{\text{H}\beta} = 10^{42.32 \pm 0.09}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $\text{FWHM}_{\text{H}\beta} = 4068 \pm 1980$ km s$^{-1}$ in the bright state from the SDSS spectra. In the dim state, in the GMOS spectrum, the broad Hβ emission has disappeared and we can clearly see Hβ in absorption instead.

The light curves and spectra of the other 14 EVQs are listed in the Appendix. Hβ is available in eight GMOS spectra, in four of them Hβ is broad in the faint state (J0140–0052, J0048–0113, J2209–0055, and J2350+0025), in one it is narrow (J2159+0005), in one it is absorption (J2343+0038), and in other two Hβ is absent (J2252+0004 and J2228–0032). The Hβ and [O III] lines in J2252+0004 and J2228–0032 are marginally present probably because these lines lie beyond 10 000 Å, where the S/N is too low to verify the existence of these lines. Hβ is only available in three of the SDSS spectra, including J2343+0038, J2355–0049, and J2209–0055. Unfortunately, Hβ of J2355–0049 lands within a CCD gap, and we accidentally failed to obtain one exposure for it with the central wavelength at 6000 Å. The Hβ emission of J2209–0055 is broad in both epochs, and its light curves show that it brightened before its GMOS spectrum was taken. The continuum of J2141–0016, at $\lambda < 2200$ Å in the rest frame, becomes much redder when it fades, similar to cases in Guo & Gu (2016), Ross et al. (2018). But the S/N of the GMOS spectra near 4000 Å is low, and a higher quality spectrum is needed to confirm this result.

Broad Mg II line remains visible in all 16 EVQs in both bright and faint epochs. We fit the SDSS and GMOS spectra in detail to analyse their continuum and emission-line properties, and explore Mg II variability in Sections 4.1 and 4.2.
Extreme variability quasars

Figure 1. Two EVQs that have changed their spectroscopic appearance, J2159+0005 (upper panels) and J2343+0038 (bottom panels). Left: the light curves in the $g$ (filled markers) and $r$ (open markers) bands. The photometric data are from the SDSS $g/r$ (black/grey circles), PS1 $g/r$ (blue/light-blue diamonds), and DES $g/r$ (red/violet stars) bands. The vertical dashed lines mark the epochs of the SDSS (black) and recent GMOS (red) spectra. The spectrophotometric magnitude is computed from convolving the spectrum with SDSS $g$-and-$r$-band filter curves (green/light-green squares). Right: the spectrum at the earlier epoch (SDSS, black) and the most recent epoch (GMOS, red). The spectra were smoothed with a 3-pixel boxcar. The bottom panel shows the difference, $\Delta f_{\lambda}$, between the bright and faint epochs. The upper-left panel shows that J2159+0005 faded consistently continuously since $\sim$2001. In the dim state, there is no detectable broad H$\beta$ emission line, but broad MgII is still visible. Although the SDSS spectrum does not cover H$\beta$, this quasar is possibly a CLQ. If confirmed, it would be the most distant one known to date at $z=0.936$. J2343+0038 is a CLQ as the broad H$\beta$ emission, that is visible in the SDSS spectrum, disappears when the quasar is fainter.

4.1 Mg II line variation

Our EVQ sample reveals that the broad Mg II line does vary in the same direction as the continuum. We summarize the spectral fitting of the main-sample EVQs in Table 2. Fig. 3 shows the variability of broad Mg II line luminosity between the two spectroscopic epochs, $\Delta \log L(\text{Mg} II)$, as a function of the 3000 Å continuum luminosity variability between the same two epochs, $\Delta \log L(3000\text{ Å})$ (in the left-hand panel). The filled black dots are the 16 main-sample EVQs selected from SDSS and DES and followed up with Gemini, and the open grey circles represent the additional EVQs selected from SDSS. The broad Mg II line luminosity increases when the continuum luminosity increases, as naively expected from photoionization. We perform least-square fits to the main EVQ sample of 16 objects, forcing the line to cross $[0,0]$. We obtain

$$\Delta \log L(\text{Mg} II) = (0.39 \pm 0.07) \times \Delta \log L(3000\text{ Å}).$$

(1)

The result for the combined sample, including the main sample and the supplemental sample, is

$$\Delta \log L(\text{Mg} II) = (0.47 \pm 0.05) \times \Delta \log L(3000\text{ Å}).$$

(2)

The variation of broad Mg II is indeed smaller than that in the continuum, but still echoes the continuum variation to some extent. This result suggests that at least some part of broad Mg II reverberates to continuum changes, or that the continuum flux that ionizes broad Mg II varies less than the continuum at rest-frame 3000 Å. It is interesting to note that Bruce et al. (2017) found that Mg II flux does not seem to respond to continuum variations in two extreme variability quasars, where the extreme variability can be caused by rare, high-amplitude microlensing events.

The weaker Mg II variations relative to the nearby continuum variations also manifests as an anticorrelation between the equivalent width ($W_\lambda$ hereafter) of Mg II, $\log W_\lambda(\text{Mg} II)$, with continuum luminosity, $\log L(3000\text{ Å})$, which is the well-known Baldwin effect (e.g. Baldwin 1977; Green, Forster & Kuraszkiewicz 2001). In the right-hand panel of Fig. 3, we fit linear regressions and obtain

$$\log W_\lambda(\text{Mg} II) = (-0.47 \pm 0.07) \times \log L(3000\text{ Å}) + (23.01 \pm 2.97),$$

(3)

for the main sample, and

$$\log W_\lambda(\text{Mg} II) = (-0.33 \pm 0.03) \times \log L(3000\text{ Å}) + (16.91 \pm 1.31),$$

(4)

for the combined sample. For the same object, the $W_\lambda$ increases when the continuum becomes fainter (listed in Table 2). For the most variable EVQ, J2159+0005, in this sample, the $\log W_\lambda(\text{Mg} II)$
The variable $W$ indicates that the dramatic decrease in continuum and Mg II is not caused by variable dust reddening.

### 4.2 Mg II line width

In contrast to the flux, we found that the velocity width (in terms of FWHM) of the broad Mg II line barely changes with luminosity for the bulk of the sample. For J2159+0005, which has the most dramatic change in continuum flux, the broad Mg II component has a FWHM of $9881 \pm 693$ km s$^{-1}$ for the bright state and a FWHM of $10858 \pm 579$ km s$^{-1}$ for the faint state, resulting in a change of FWHM of $977 \pm 903$ km s$^{-1}$. Assuming that the gas clouds emitting broad Mg II are virialized, the BH mass is

$$M_{\text{BH}} = \frac{V^2 R}{G} = f \frac{W^2 R}{G},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

where $V$ is the virial velocity, $R$ is the BLR radius, $f$ is a scalefactor that accounts for the orientation, kinematics, and structure of the BLR, and $W$ is the width of the broad line assuming that the broad line is Doppler broadened by virial motion. If the BLR size increases as continuum luminosity increases, as observed for broad Hβ as $R \propto L^{0.5}$ as found in local RM studies or based on the simple photoionization argument, then a relation between the EW and luminosity of the form $\Delta \log W = -0.25 \Delta \log L$ is expected. Fig. 4 shows the changes of broad Mg II FWHM between the bright and faint epochs, $\Delta \log \text{FWHM (Mg II)}$, as a function of $\Delta \log L(3000 \text{ Å})$, the corresponding changes of the continuum luminosity. Our results show that the broad Mg II width does not vary as expected from the simple virial relation above, in sharp contrast to the behaviour of broad Hβ (e.g. Park et al. 2012; Shen 2013). Fitting a linear regression model to our main EVQ sample, we obtain

$$\Delta \log \text{FWHM (Mg II)} = (-0.013 \pm 0.030) \Delta \log L(3000 \text{ Å}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

For the combined EVQ sample we obtain

$$\Delta \log \text{FWHM (Mg II)} = (0.012 \pm 0.012) \Delta \log L(3000 \text{ Å}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

In both cases the broad Mg II FWHM remains more or less constant despite the large changes in the continuum luminosity, which is consistent with the findings in Shen (2013).

To eliminate a possibility that variable fitting of Fe II emission compromises the line width variation of Mg II, we do a test by fixing the Fe II parameters for the two epochs of the same object. We get

$$\Delta \log \text{FWHM (Mg II)} = (-0.016 \pm 0.030) \Delta \log L(3000 \text{ Å}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

for the main EVQ sample, and

$$\Delta \log \text{FWHM (Mg II)} = (0.019 \pm 0.012) \Delta \log L(3000 \text{ Å}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

for the combined sample. The result shows that the line width of Mg II barely changes, irrespective of our approach.

We discuss the potential causes of the non-variable broad Mg II FWHM and its implications for virial BH mass estimation using Mg II in Section 5.
5 DISCUSSION

The coordinated variations in the continuum flux and in the broad Mg II flux suggest that at least part of the Mg II is photoionized by the continuum. This result offers support to use the RM technique to infer the distances of the Mg II broad-line clouds. The associated Mg II variability is reduced compared to the level of continuum changes, which is generally consistent with observations of Mg II variability (Sun et al. 2015) and photoionization calculations (e.g., Goad, O’Brien & Gondhalekar 1993; Guo et al. 2019). The weaker Mg II variability compared with other broad lines (e.g. Hβ) makes it generally more difficult to detect an RM lag for broad Mg II.

On the other hand, for the bulk of EVQs in our sample, the FWHM of broad Mg II does not vary with luminosity as expected from the simple virial assumption, in contrast to the case of broad Hβ. This result suggests that the single-epoch virial BH mass based on Mg II, which depends both on the continuum luminosity and single-epoch Mg II width, will have an additional scatter in individual objects when luminosity varies, while Mg II width remains the same. To demonstrate this point, we estimate single-epoch Mg II-based black hole masses using the bright and faint-state spectra and the recipe from McLure & Dunlop (2004; listed in Table 2). Apart from some quasars with small continuum changes (since some EVQs brightened again in our late GMOS spectroscopy) and some quasars with bad spectral quality, the black hole mass estimates in the bright and faint states are inconsistent (at >1σ of measurement errors) for eight of the EVQs in our main sample, including J2159+0005, J2225+0004, J2249+0047, J2228–0032, J2328–0053, J2335–0049, J2335–0053, J2341–0016, and J2209–0055. For example, the black hole mass estimates for J2159+0005 are (1.48 ± 0.17) × 10^8 M⊙ in the bright state and (5.03 ± 1.18) × 10^8 M⊙ in the dim state. These two black hole masses are inconsistent at >4σ confidence level. Using the width of broad Mg II to estimate the black hole mass therefore introduces a luminosity-dependent bias (see detailed discussion in Shen 2013) with the single-epoch mass technique that is most severe for quasars that undergo significant luminosity changes. For individual quasars, clearly the R – L relation is different or even absent for broad Mg II, although there may still be a global R – L relation for quasars over a broad range of luminosity. The latter case will justify the use of Mg II as a single-epoch mass estimator for the general population of quasars (albeit still suffering from the luminosity-dependent scatter in individual objects), and the existence of such a global R – L relation for broad Mg II can be tested with upcoming RM results on large quasar samples (e.g. Shen et al. 2015; Czerny et al. 2019; Grier et al. 2019; Hoormann et al. 2019; Shen et al. 2019).

As luminosity increases, clouds at larger radii in the BLR will fulfill the ionization requirements to produce line emission, and thus the observed (flux-weighted) line width decreases. This phenomenon, the so-called breathing effect, is observed conclusively for Hβ (Korista & Goad 2004; Cackett & Horne 2006; Denney et al. 2009; Hoormann et al. 2019).
Figure 3. Left: the broad Mg II luminosity variability as a function of the continuum luminosity variability between two epochs of spectroscopy. Both the line and continuum variability measures the changes from the earlier epoch to the later epoch. The filled black dots are the 16 EVQs selected from SDSS+DES imaging and followed up with GMOS spectroscopy, and the open grey circles represent the supplemental EVQs selected from SDSS spectroscopy alone. The Mg II broad line luminosity increases when the continuum luminosity increases, but with a slope shallower than 1. Right: the relation between the equivalent width of broad Mg II and the continuum luminosity. In both panels, the red dot–dashed line shows the one-to-one relation between the (fractional) variability of the line and the continuum, and the blue dot–dashed line corresponds to constant line flux independent of continuum changes. These two cases are the two extreme cases where the Mg II line either fully responds to the continuum changes or does not respond at all. On average these quasars are between these two extreme cases. The black dashed line and grey dotted line are the best-fitting linear regression results for the main sample and the combined sample.

Figure 4. Broad Mg II FWHM variability versus continuum variability. The red dotted line indicates the expected virial relation of $\Delta \log W = -0.25 \Delta \log L$. The FWHM of broad Mg II does not vary accordingly in response to changes in the continuum (see Section 4.2 for details).

Park et al. 2012; Barth et al. 2015; Runco et al. 2016). Different from H$\beta$ as a recombination line, Mg II are mainly collisionally excited. The greater optical depth for Mg II, which results in a large number of scatterings before escape from the BLR, may cause the Mg II photons to be emitted over a larger radius than H$\beta$ (Korista & Goad 2004). Thus the variation in ionizing continuum may be diluted at a larger radius, leading to more or less constant broad Mg II width. Detailed photoionization calculations seem to support this scenario (e.g. Goad et al. 1993; Korista & Goad 2004; Guo et al. 2019). Another possibility is that part of gas emitting Mg II is virialized and photoionized, while the other part is not. It has been shown that Mg II profile is complex (Kovačević-Dojčinović & Popović 2015; Jonić et al. 2016), and can be affected by non-virial effects, such as outflows (León-Tavares et al. 2013; Popović, Kovačević-Dojčinović & Marčeta-Mandić 2019), especially for very broad Mg II (FWHM > 6000 km s$^{-1}$). Potential detailed shape change of Mg II profile can be analysed with dedicated Mg II RM data and used to test these scenarios.

There are some cases where the extreme variability of quasars can be reasonably well explained by microlensing (e.g. Lawrence et al. 2016; Bruce et al. 2017), with a smooth, bell-shaped light curve. The light curve of J2159+0005 is smooth, but cannot be well fitted by a simple point source, point-lens microlensing model. As described in Lawrence et al. (2016) and Bruce et al. (2017), microlensing events cannot explain all hypervariable AGN activity, but likely explain a sub-set of them. It is possible that some of these large-amplitude variations are due to microlensing that can be fitted by more complicated lensing models.

6 SUMMARY

We have presented Gemini/GMOS spectroscopy of 16 EVQs, with $\geq 1.5$ mag maximum variability in the $g$ band from the combined DES and SDSS photometric light curves (Rumbaugh
et al. 2018), and studied their spectral changes between the GMOS spectra and the earlier SDSS spectra.

Our main results are:

1. About half of the EVQs brightened again a few years since reaching the minimum flux. This is consistent with the conclusions in Rumbaugh et al. (2018) that extreme variability events are common among the general population of quasars on multiyear time-scales, as well as the findings in the recent spectroscopic work by MacLeod et al. (2019). The extreme variability can also occur, albeit much less frequently, on much shorter time-scales of <1 yr, consistent with the findings in previous studies (Gezari et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2018; Trakhtenbrot et al. 2019).

2. We identified two quasars that apparently changed their spectroscopic appearance. J2159+0005 is a possible CLQ. If confirmed, it would be the most distant CLQ so far discovered at $z = 0.936$. J2343+0038 is a CLQ, at $z = 0.667$, based on the disappearance of H$\beta$.

3. The MgII broad line flux varies accordingly as the continuum changes, albeit with a smaller amplitude than that for the continuum, $\Delta \log L(\text{MgII}) = (0.39 \pm 0.07) \times \Delta \log L(3000 \AA)$. This suggests that at least part of the broad MgII emission is photoionized, or the continuum flux that ionizes broad MgII varies less than the continuum at rest-frame 3000 Å.

4. The MgII broad line FWHM remains roughly constant for the bulk of the objects, even though the continuum luminosity changed significantly, in contrast to the properties of broad H$\beta$. We discussed potential causes of the different kinematic properties of the broad MgII line, but to confirm these speculations require future dedicated MgII RM data. Nevertheless, the different variability properties of broad MgII compared to broad H$\beta$ provide some cautionary notes on the use of MgII as a single-epoch BH mass estimator. In particular, the absence of a clear intrinsic $R-L$ relation for MgII will inevitably lead to a luminosity-dependent bias in the BH mass estimates in individual systems as the continuum luminosity varies significantly.
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APPENDIX A:
The light curves and spectra of the remaining 14 EVQs, in addition to the two shown in Fig. 1, are provided in Fig. A1, in the same order as that in Table 1 in terms of the level of dimming.
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Figure A1. The rest of the EVQ sample observed with GMOS. Notations are the same as Fig. 1.
Figure A1. (Continued.)
Figure A1. (Continued.)