Characterization of the Colonic Response to Bisacodyl in Children with Treatment-Refractory Constipation

Running title:
Bisacodyl in Children with Constipation

Authors:
Paul T Heitmann¹, Lukasz Wiklendt¹, Nikhil Thapar², Osvaldo Borrelli², Carlo Di Lorenzo³, Desalegn T Yacob³, Desiree F Baaleman³, Mana H Vriesman³,⁶, Samuel Nurko⁴, Khalil El-Chammas⁵, Ajay Kaul⁵, Marc A Benninga⁶, Ilan JN Koppen⁶, David A Wattchow DA¹, Simon JH Brookes¹, Phil G Dinning¹

Institution:
¹ College of Medicine and Public Health & Centre for Neuroscience, Flinders University, South Australia, Australia
² Division of Neurogastroenterology & Motility, Department of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Great Ormond Street Hospital, and Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine, UCL Institute of Child Health, London, United Kingdom
³ Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio, United States of America
⁴ Center for Motility and Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders, Boston Children’s Hospital, Boston, United States of America
⁵ Neurogastroenterology and Motility Disorders Center, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Centre, Cincinnati, Ohio, United States of America
⁶ Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Correspondence:
Associate Professor Phil Dinning
Department of Gastroenterology
Flinders Medical Centre, Flinders Drive, Bedford Park SA 5042
phil.dinning@flinders.edu.au

Author contributions:
PH, PD, SB and DW were responsible for study concept and design, data analysis, interpretation of analysis, drafting of the manuscript; DY, DB, MV, KE, and IK were responsible for data acquisition; NT, OB, CL, SN, AK, and MB were responsible clinical supervision and patient care, and critical manuscript review; LW wrote the software for the analysis of manometry data and contributed to the statistical analysis and graphical representation of data. All authors provided critical revision of the manuscript.
Abstract

Background: Colonic manometry with intraluminal bisacodyl infusion can be used to assess colonic neuromuscular function in children with treatment-refractory constipation. If bisacodyl does not induce high-amplitude propagating contractions (HAPCs), this can be an indication for surgical intervention. A detailed characterisation of the colonic response to intraluminal bisacodyl in children with constipation may help to inform clinical interpretation of colonic manometry studies. Methods: Studies were performed in five paediatric hospitals. Analysis included identification of HAPCs, reporting HAPCs characteristics, and an area under the curve (AUC) analysis. Comparisons were performed between hospitals, catheter type, placement techniques, and site of bisacodyl infusion. Results: 165 children were included (median age 10, range 1-17 years; n=96 girls). 1893 HAPCs were identified in 154 children (12.3 ± 8.8 HAPCs/child, 0.32 ± 0.21 HAPCs/min; amplitude 113.6 ± 31.5mmHg; velocity 8.6 ± 3.8mm/s, propagation length 368mm ± 175mm). The mean time to first HAPC following bisacodyl was 553s ± 669s. Prior to the first HAPC, there was no change in AUC when comparing pre- vs post-bisacodyl (Z=-0.53, p=0.60). The majority of HAPCs terminated in a synchronous pressurization in the rectosigmoid. Defecation was associated with HAPCs (χ²(1)=7.04, p<0.01). Site of bisacodyl administration, catheter type, and hospital location did not alter the response. Conclusions & Inferences: Intraluminal bisacodyl induced HAPCs in 93% of children with treatment-refractory constipation. The bisacodyl response is characterised by ≥1 HAPC within 12 minutes of infusion. The majority of HAPCs terminate in a synchronous pressurization in the rectosigmoid. Optimal clinical management based upon colonic manometry findings is yet to be determined.
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Introduction

Constipation is one of the most common gastrointestinal symptoms(1, 2). In both adults and children with constipation, colonic manometry studies have recorded abnormal colonic contractile responses to meals and morning awakening(3-5). However, these responses remain poorly defined, even in healthy adults. Chemical stimuli can induce distinctive, rapid colonic responses that allow researchers and clinicians to assess colonic neuromuscular function. Of particular diagnostic interest is the colonic response to intraluminal infusion of bisacodyl.

Bisacodyl is a stimulant laxative, belonging to the group of diphenylmethanes. In the colon, diphenylmethanes are hydrolyzed to their active metabolites, which are hypothesized to exert a local prokinetic effect(6-8) and stimulate intestinal secretion(6, 9, 10). Application of bisacodyl to the colonic mucosa induces rapid, distinctive propagating motor patterns (11), which have been labelled ‘high-amplitude propagating contractions’ (HAPCs) (12).

In some tertiary/quaternary pediatric hospitals, colonic manometry with intraluminal bisacodyl infusion is used as a diagnostic modality to assess colonic neuromuscular function in children with treatment-unresponsive (refractory) constipation(13-18). There are some site-specific differences in equipment and study protocol, including; (1) water-perfused or solid-state catheters, (2) variable sensor count and resolution/spacing, and (3) catheter placement techniques, all of which could influence results. Initiation of HAPCs following bisacodyl is considered to be a “normal” colonic response(19-24). A diagnosis of an “abnormal” bisacodyl response has a significant bearing on the clinical course of the child, as it can be an indication for surgical intervention(17, 19, 25-29). Surgical management can include anal sphincter botulinum toxin injection(30), caecostomy/appendicostomy for administration of antegrade continence enemas(26, 31), colonic diversion via an ileostomy or colostomy(28, 32), and/or partial/total colectomy(33, 34).

Given the importance of the colonic response to intraluminal bisacodyl in children, a detailed characterization of the induced motor patterns is critical to inform the interpretation of these findings.
Previous smaller studies have reported the time interval between bisacodyl administration and the first HAPC, as well as the amplitude and frequency of HAPCs (35, 36). The “completeness” of the HAPCs is also described, with previous studies demonstrating that HAPCs terminating >15cm from the anal verge may be pathological(37). The colonic bisacodyl response is comparable when bisacodyl is infused into the left(38, 39) or right(5) hemicolon, and is dose-dependent; with higher doses of bisacodyl being associated with an increase in length of HAPC propagation and total HAPC count(40).

In this study, we have collated colonic manometry data from five tertiary/quaternary paediatric hospitals in the United States of America (USA), the United Kingdom (UK), and the Netherlands (NL), with the aim of characterising the colonic response to intraluminal infusion of bisacodyl in children with treatment-refractory constipation. In addition, we assessed whether hospital site, catheter type, placement technique, or site of drug administration had any influence upon the recorded colonic response.

**Methods**

This study involved a retrospective analysis of de-identified clinical data. Local institution review board approval was granted. A data sharing agreement between the participating hospitals was enacted in accordance with US legislation (HIPAA).

Children referred to a tertiary paediatric hospital for investigation of constipation refractory to intensive medical treatment were included in the study. This included a variable combination of osmotic and stimulant laxatives (bisacodyl, polyethylene glycol with electrolytes, sodium picosulfate, prucalopride, lubiprostone, senna, lactulose, magnesium oxide, magnesium citrate, psyllium husk, and/or prunes/prune juice), in conjunction with per rectal enemas and/or rectal irrigation.

Colonic manometry studies were performed at five tertiary paediatric hospitals; Great Ormond Street Hospital, UK, Nationwide Children’s Hospital, USA, Boston Children’s Hospital, USA, Cincinnati
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Children’s Hospital Medical Centre, USA, and the Emma Children’s Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, NL.

Data analysis was performed at Flinders University, Australia.

Catheter placement was achieved using either;

1) Colonoscopy, performed under general anaesthesia with propofol. A snare was passed through the biopsy channel of the colonoscope to grasp a suture loop attached to the tip of the catheter. The catheter was then placed under direct vision and, in some instances, fixed to the colonic mucosa using a haemostatic clip. Alternatively, a guide wire was advanced through the colonoscope and an exchange performed under fluoroscopy, with advancement of the catheter over the wire until it reached the cecum.

2) Fluoroscopy (41), performed under general anaesthesia with propofol. A guidewire was used to position the catheter under radiological guidance without colonoscopy. No haemostatic clips were used for fixation via this approach.

An abdominal x-ray was performed pre- and post-study to confirm catheter position. All five hospitals used high-resolution manometry catheters manufactured by Unisensor (Switzerland) and the same signal conditioning and recording equipment (MMS, The Netherlands/Laborie, Canada). All recordings were made at 10Hz and prior to placement all catheters were calibrated in a pressure chamber between 0-100mmHg. The specific details of total sensor count, sensor spacing, placement techniques, and study protocol are detailed for each hospital in Table 1.

**Data analysis**

Analysis of colonic manometry data was performed using software (PlotHRM) developed by one of the authors (LW). PlotHRM was written in Matlab© (The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) and JavaTM (Sun Microsystems, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and has been described in previous publications (42, 43). PlotHRM produces a visual display of the manometry tracing, allowing for manual identification and labelling of propagating contractions. Once a propagating contraction is labelled, PlotHRM captures the amplitude of pressure waves, length of propagation, the recording sensor at which the contractions commenced and terminated, and the velocity of propagation.
**High-amplitude propagating contractions**

Manual analysis of the manometry tracings was performed in PlotHRM. All HAPCs that occurred in the pre- and post-prandial and post-bisacodyl periods were identified and labelled. Propagating pressure waves observed over $\geq 30$ cm with an amplitude $\geq 75$ mmHg were classified as HAPCs (44).

**Colonic motor patterns**

In children with an absence of HAPCs, visual identification and labelling of other recognised colonic motility patterns was performed to assess the presence of any contractile activity. This included identification of low-amplitude propagating contractions (43). Low-amplitude propagating contractions were classified as propagating contractions that did not meet the amplitude criteria for HAPCs.

**Statistics**

Descriptive statistics were reported for patient demographics, catheter type, catheter placement approach, site of bisacodyl infusion, frequency of positive tests, time from bisacodyl infusion to first HAPC (mean $\pm$ SD), characteristics of HAPCs (count, frequency, velocity, amplitude, and length of propagation), and whether or not the child defecated during the study. As there were differences in catheter length and the location of the most proximal sensor (cecum, ascending, transverse, descending, or sigmoid colon), the recorded length of propagation may not be a true representation of the full length of propagation. As such we refer to the minimum propagation distance.

A Pearson’s chi-squared test was used to determine the relationship of HAPCs to defecation. The site of catheter position/bisacodyl infusion (cecum/ascending colon, transverse colon, descending/sigmoid colon) was used as a comparison for mean time between bisacodyl infusion and first HAPC. These data were not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test $p<0.01$), so a non-parametric analysis (Kruskall-Wallis H test) was used.
Subgroup analyses were performed to compare results from (1) the five hospital sites, (2) catheter type (water-perfused/solid state), (3) catheter placement approach (retrograde per anal/per stomal or antegrade per appendicostomy/cecostomy), (4) inclusion of sodium picosulfate in bowel preparation, and (5) children with Hirschsprung’s disease following surgical resection of the affected colonic segment with the rest of the cohort. Of specific interest was whether these variables altered the frequency of positive tests (Pearson’s chi-squared test), HAPC amplitude (independent t-test/one-way ANOVA), and the total HAPC count (Shapiro-Wilk test p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U test/Kruskal-Wallis H test).

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS (Version 19.0, Released 2010; IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). A p-value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. Bonferroni correction was applied to multiple statistical comparisons.

Area under the curve analysis

In addition to the characterisation of HAPCs, an area under the curve analysis was used to determine whether bisacodyl induced any change in colonic phasic activity prior to the first HAPC. The manometry trace was divided into ten 60-second epochs pre-bisacodyl and compared to the 60-second epochs post-bisacodyl, prior to the first HAPC (up to 10 minutes if no HAPCs occurred; Shapiro-Wilk test p<0.01, Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

Results

Demographics

A total of 165 pediatric colonic manometry studies were included (Great Ormond Street Hospital n=43, Nationwide Children’s Hospital n=38, Boston Children’s Hospital n=36, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Centre n=25, Emma Children’s Hospital, AUMC n=23). All children underwent investigation for constipation refractory to intensive medical treatment. Eighteen children had a confirmed previous diagnosis of Hirschsprung’s disease on colonic biopsy, with the affected segment surgically resected prior to this study (pull-through procedure n=12, colectomy with end colostomy formation n=4, not
specified n=2). Complete medication history data was available for 47 children, of which 22 children were receiving regular bisacodyl prior to their study.

Median age was 10 years (range 1-17 years), with 96 girls (58.2%) and 69 boys (41.8%). Sixty-six studies (40.0%) were performed with water-perfused catheters and 99 (60.0%) with solid-state catheters. Catheter placement was via either a retrograde approach (per rectal n=144 or per colostomy n=5) or antegrade approach (per appendicostomy/cecostomy n=16). With catheters placed either through the appendicostomy/cecostomy, and those placed via a retrograde approach to the cecum, bisacodyl was infused into the cecum (n=49, 29.7%). In the remaining studies, bisacodyl was infused through the catheter tip into the ascending colon (n=53, 32.1%), transverse colon (n=36, 21.8%), descending colon (n=22, 13.3%), or sigmoid colon (n=5, 3.0%).

**High-amplitude propagating contractions**

Prior to bisacodyl infusion, spontaneous HAPCs were identified in 59 children (35.8%) during the postprandial period. In 28 of these children (17.0% overall), spontaneous HAPCs were additionally observed whilst fasting. HAPCs were induced following bisacodyl in 154 children (93.3%, population estimate Wilson’s 95% CI 88.5%, 96.2%) at a mean time interval of 553s ± 669s (range=10s-4343s) post-infusion. In the majority of children (122/154, 79.2%), the first HAPCs were recorded within 12 minutes of bisacodyl infusion (Fig 2). Of the eleven children that did not generate a HAPC pre- or post-bisacodyl (Fig 3), 10/11 demonstrated low amplitude propagating sequences(2, 5, 22). Two of these eleven children were those with a previous colonic resection for Hirschsprung’s disease.

A total count of 1893 post-bisacodyl HAPCs were identified, all propagating in an antegrade direction. The mean count per patient was 12.3 ± 8.8 HAPCs, at a frequency of 0.32 ± 0.21/min. Mean amplitude was 113.6 ± 31.5mmHg, at a velocity of 8.6 ± 3.8mm/sec, with a minimum propagated distance of 368mm ± 175mm.
Of the 1893 HAPCs, 337 (17.8%) propagated along the entire length of the catheter. The majority of HAPCs (82.2%, 1556/1893 total count) terminated prior to the distal recording sensors (Fig 1). Of the partially-propagating HAPCs, 69.5% (n=1082) terminated in a synchronous pressurization across the distal channels (mean distance = 288mm ± 165mm) (Fig 1). These appeared to be analogous to the subtype of pancolonic pressurizations associated with propagating sequences described by Corsetti et al(45). Using pre- and post-study x-ray imaging to localise sensor location(37), the termination of these HAPCs occurred most commonly in the sigmoid colon (n=46, 59.7%; transverse colon n=14, 18.2%; descending colon n=14, 18.2%; rectum n=3, 3.9%).

**Comparison between pre-bisacodyl and post-bisacodyl high-amplitude propagating contractions**

When comparing the spontaneous pre-bisacodyl HAPCs and post-bisacodyl HAPCs, there were significant differences observed in several characteristics including higher amplitude, length of propagation, and frequency in the post-bisacodyl group (see Table 2). There were no significant differences in HAPC velocity (Table 2).

**Defecation**

During the post-bisacodyl infusion period, defecation was induced in 80.6% of children (n=58/72). Defecation was significantly associated with the presence of HAPCs ($\chi^2(1)=7.04$, p=0.008, Bonferroni-adjusted $\alpha = 0.0083$ (0.05 / 6)). There were no differences in characteristics of HAPCs when comparing those associated with defecation with those not associated with defecation (frequency $U = 163$, p=0.04; amplitude $t(13.76)=2.32$, p=0.04; count $U = 226$, p=0.37; velocity $U = 189$, p=0.12; length of propagation $U = 244$, p=0.57; Bonferroni-adjusted $\alpha = 0.0083$ (0.05 / 6)).

**Anatomical site of bisacodyl administration**

The mean time to the first HAPC appeared to be more rapid when bisacodyl was administered more distally, however this difference did not reach significance ($\chi^2(3)=3.66$, p=0.30; mean time to first HAPC following bisacodyl infusion into cecum/ascending colon=632s ± 790s, n=99; transverse...
colon=456s ± 341s, n=30; descending colon=375s ± 323s, n=21; sigmoid colon 282 ± 114s, n=4) (Fig 4).

Area under the curve analysis

The area under the curve analysis (Fig 5) demonstrated no significant change in phasic activity in the 10 minutes pre- and post-infusion, prior to the first HAPC ($Z=-0.53$, $p=0.60$).

Subgroup analyses

Hospital site

When comparing the five hospital sites, there were no significant differences in the number of tests with bisacodyl-induced HAPCs ($\chi^2(4)=7.56$, $p=0.11$) or HAPC amplitude ($F(4,149) = 1.09$, $p=0.36$). There was a significant difference in total HAPC count ($\chi^2(4)=26.45$, $p<0.001$; Bonferroni-adjusted $\alpha = 0.0125 (0.05 / 4)$) between the five hospital sites. However, this is likely to have been influenced by the duration of recording following bisacodyl infusion, which also differed significantly between hospital sites ($\chi^2(4)=78.66$, $p<0.001$; Bonferroni-adjusted $\alpha = 0.0125 (0.05 / 4)$; Great Ormond Street Hospital = 129 ± 46 mins; Boston Children’s Hospital = 121 ± 47 mins; Emma Children’s Hospital = 42 ± 17 mins; Nationwide Children’s Hospital = 75 ± 26 mins; Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Centre = 86 ± 26 mins).

Solid state/water-perfused catheters

When comparing solid-state and water-perfused catheters, there were no significant differences in the number of tests with bisacodyl-induced HAPCs ($\chi^2(1)=0.26$, $p=0.61$), HAPC amplitude $t(152)=-1.73$, $p=0.09$, or total HAPC count ($U = 2790$, $p=0.91$).

Catheter placement approach

When comparing antegrade and retrograde catheter placement, there was a statistically significant difference in the number of tests without post-bisacodyl HAPCs ($\chi^2(1)=9.57$, $p=0.002$, Bonferroni-adjusted $\alpha = 0.017 (0.05 / 3)$). One quarter of tests performed in children with antegrade catheter
placement (4/16) demonstrated no post-bisacodyl HAPCs, compared with 4.7% (7/149) in the children with retrograde catheter placement. There were no significant differences in HAPC amplitude ($t(152)=1.36$, $p=0.18$), or total HAPC count ($U = 625$, $p=0.13$) when comparing catheter placement approach.

**Hirschsprung’s disease**

When comparing the children who had previously undergone surgical resection for Hirschsprung’s disease ($n = 18$) with the rest of the cohort ($n = 147$), there were no significant differences in the number of tests with bisacodyl-induced HAPCs ($\chi^2(1)=0.64$, $p=0.42$), HAPC amplitude ($t(152)=1.37$, $p=0.17$), or total HAPC count ($U = 741$, $p=0.03$, Bonferroni-adjusted $\alpha = 0.017$ ($0.05 / 3$)).

**Sodium picosulfate**

Five children (3.0%) received sodium picosulfate as a component of bowel preparation prior to their colonic manometry study. Sodium picosulfate, similar to bisacodyl, is a pro-drug and metabolises to the same active chemical within the colon (bis-(p-hydroxyphenyl)-pyridyl-2-methane). As such, an additional analysis was performed on this subgroup to assess whether administration of sodium picosulfate prior to investigation diminished the bisacodyl response. All five of these children demonstrated HAPCs post bisacodyl, commencing at 31-344s post infusion (compared with mean time 553s overall).

**Discussion**

Following bisacodyl infusion, the majority of children (93.3%) with severe, intractable constipation are capable of generating HAPCs. In 79% of these children, the first HAPC occurs within 12 minutes. The majority of HAPCs propagate for a partial length of the manometry catheter only, with most terminating in a synchronous pressurization in the rectosigmoid. An absence of HAPCs was only observed in 11 children (6.7%). Bisacodyl also appears to induce an all-or-nothing colonic response, with no change in phasic activity prior to the first HAPC. These findings also demonstrate that catheter type, site of bisacodyl infusion, and hospital site do not influence the recorded colonic response.
The colonic response was independent of the anatomical site of bisacodyl administration. While there was a trend towards a more rapid response with more distal administration, this did not reach significance (Fig 4). The mechanisms by which bisacodyl induces HAPCs is incompletely understood. It has been suggested that bisacodyl may increase luminal secretion (6, 9, 10), and/or decrease water absorption(46). These effects may, in turn, play a role in inducing HAPCs. However, given the brief interval between drug administration and HAPCs, these mechanisms are unlikely to account for the response seen in these data. A more direct pathway has been proposed, whereby bisacodyl acts via excitation of colonic smooth muscle via tetrodotoxin-insensitive, nifedipine-sensitive pathways(6-8).

Bisacodyl is converted into an active metabolite bis-(p-hydroxyphenyl)-pyridyl-2-methane (BHPM) via esterase enzymes in the colonic mucosa(47) and there is minimal systemic absorption (48). The action of bisacodyl can be blocked by a prior application of lignocaine to the colonic mucosa(11). Collectively, these findings may suggest that bisacodyl causes excitation of extrinsic sensory nerves and the subsequent activation of parasympathetic innervation to the proximal colon.

Our data included a high prevalence of partially propagating HAPCs which terminated in a synchronous pressurization. It has been suggested that, in children, partial propagation of HAPCs is associated with slow colonic transit(49) and segmental colonic dilation(37). However, synchronous pressurizations at the termination of HAPCs are also hypothesized to function as a means to maintain colonic wall tone to facilitate transit in health(45, 50). Corsetti et al(45) identified pressurizations at the termination of 74% of propagating contractions in the prandial period in healthy adults. This is a similar frequency to the 82% observed in the post-bisacodyl period in our sample. Therefore, the significance of this finding remains uncertain and further studies with functional correlation are required.

Colonic pressurizations can also be induced with intraluminal bisacodyl in both adult and paediatric patients with severe constipation(22, 39, 45). In these data, pancolonic pressurizations were not present after intraluminal bisacodyl. During the bisacodyl-evoked HAPCs, all other motor patterns appeared to
be suppressed. Therefore, in these children, the presence or absence of HAPCs alone appeared to be sufficient to define the colonic response to bisacodyl.

Whilst pharmacological induction of HAPCs using bisacodyl does not replicate normal colonic physiology, previous smaller studies have reported that no significant differences in the characteristics (frequency, amplitude, velocity, length of propagation) of spontaneous and bisacodyl-induced HAPCs(51). Having pooled data from 165 paediatric studies, our findings demonstrate that bisacodyl-induced HAPCs occur with a greater frequency, are of greater amplitude, and propagate a greater distance than spontaneous HAPCs. Clinically, these findings may suggest that HAPC provocation with bisacodyl demonstrates the integrity of the enteric nervous system. This is supported by the findings in children with a confirmed prior diagnosis of Hirschsprung’s disease, with the aganglionic colonic segment surgically resected prior to this study, who demonstrated a colonic response to bisacodyl which did not differ from the children without Hirschsprung’s disease. Of the children without HAPCs following bisacodyl, 10/11 still demonstrated low-amplitude propagating sequences both pre- and post-meal, which have been hypothesised to be myogenic in origin(43). Given the presence of myogenic activity, but absence of HAPCs, this may support the hypothesis of colonic inertia being a neurogenic disease, assuming a neural origin of HAPCs.

Clinical decision-making regarding surgical intervention in children with constipation tends to be driven by expert opinion and varies widely between centres, with no established evidence-based guidelines(17, 31). As such, the decision to proceed with surgery is fraught with difficulties, particularly when considering the associated operative risks and irreversibility of certain procedures. In some tertiary/quaternary pediatric hospitals, colonic manometry is used to inform surgical decision-making(18, 28, 52). For example, the presence of bisacodyl-provoked HAPCs on colonic manometry has been described to be predictive of a positive therapeutic response to cecostomy formation with administration of antegrade continence enemas(25). A study performed in adults with constipation also demonstrated that spontaneous and/or bisacodyl-induced HAPCs were associated with positive clinical outcomes to intensive medical treatment(53).
Colonic manometry findings may provide more than dichotomous feedback on the presence/absence of HAPCs. Despite demonstrating an ability to generate HAPCs, children with treatment-refractory constipation may still benefit from surgical intervention due to the refractory and disabling nature of their symptoms. For example, if HAPCs do not propagate to the distal colon, and if the distal colon is dilated, these children could benefit from colonic resection in combination with a cecostomy(37, 54). In addition, surgical intervention may result in improved colonic motility. Following antegrade continence enemas or colonic diversion, some children with no HAPCs observed on their initial study later demonstrate HAPCs on subsequent colonic manometry studies(28, 32). Despite these findings, it should also be acknowledged that – at present – pediatric colonic manometry is performed in relatively few hospitals around the world. The diagnostic value of colonic manometry is yet to be determined, and this paper is not suggesting that surgical procedures should be performed solely upon the basis of colonic manometry findings.

Collating data from several countries using different catheters and placement techniques does raise several potential limitations. However, our subgroup analyses demonstrated no differences between hospital site, catheter type, or site of bisacodyl administration on the recorded colonic response. Therefore, we can infer that these variables had minimal impact on our overall findings. While there were less frequent post-bisacodyl HAPCs detected when comparing antegrade to retrograde catheter placement, this is likely to be influenced by selection bias as those who had previously undergone appendicostomy/cecostomy formation for administration of antegrade continence enemas are also likely to have greater disease severity. The sensor spacing of recording sites is also critical in colonic motor pattern detection(42). In this study, catheter sensor spacing varied between 15mm-40mm. However, a previous study demonstrated that HAPCs are the only motor pattern consistently recognised regardless of the sensor spacing(42). Finally, as with all pediatric manometry studies, there is an absence of healthy age-matched control data. Despite this, we do know that bisacodyl induces HAPCs in healthy adults(36) and it is unlikely that healthy children would have a substantially different response.

Conclusion
The bisacodyl response in children with treatment-refractory constipation is characterised by an all-or-nothing initiation of HAPCs. For the majority of children, the first HAPC occurs within 12 minutes of bisacodyl infusion, and terminates in a synchronous pressurization in the rectosigmoid. Catheter types, site of bisacodyl infusion, and hospital site have no significant impact upon the recording of this response.
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Table 1. Details of the manometry catheters, placement techniques, and study protocol at each hospital site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Great Ormond Street Hospital, UK</th>
<th>Nationwide Children’s Hospital, USA</th>
<th>Boston Children’s Hospital, USA</th>
<th>Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Centre, USA</th>
<th>Emma Children’s Hospital, NL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet for 24 hours prior to study</td>
<td>Liquid, low-residue diet</td>
<td>Clear fluids</td>
<td>Clear fluids</td>
<td>Clear fluids</td>
<td>Clear fluids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel preparation</td>
<td>PEG-3350 with electrolytes</td>
<td>PEG-3350 with electrolytes +/- mineral oil enema</td>
<td>PEG-3350 with electrolytes</td>
<td>PEG-3350 with electrolytes +/- rectal lavage</td>
<td>PEG-3350 with electrolytes +/- sodium picosulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manometry sensor count</td>
<td>20 sensors</td>
<td>36 sensors</td>
<td>36 sensors</td>
<td>36 sensors</td>
<td>36 sensors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manometry sensor spacing</td>
<td>25mm</td>
<td>30mm</td>
<td>30mm</td>
<td>20mm-40mm (proximal sensors 1-20 = 40mm, distal sensors 21-36 = 20mm)</td>
<td>15mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid-solid or water-perfused catheter</td>
<td>Water-perfused</td>
<td>Solid-state</td>
<td>Solid-state</td>
<td>Solid-state</td>
<td>Water-perfused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal included in manometry study protocol</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisacodyl dose</td>
<td>5mg-10mg</td>
<td>0.2mg/kg (max 10 mg)</td>
<td>0.25mg/kg (max 10mg)</td>
<td>0.25mg/kg (max 10mg)</td>
<td>5mg-10mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisacodyl administration route</td>
<td>Central lumen of the catheter for retrograde catheter placement, or into the proximal colon via a tube placed through the stoma for antegrade catheter placement</td>
<td>Central lumen of the catheter</td>
<td>Central lumen of the catheter</td>
<td>Central lumen of the catheter</td>
<td>Central lumen of the catheter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Comparisons between the characteristics of pre- and post-bisacodyl high-amplitude propagating contractions (HAPCs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-bisacodyl</th>
<th>Post-bisacodyl</th>
<th>Statistical comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=333 (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>n=1893 (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>Bonferroni corrected α = 0.0125 (0.05 / 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAPC amplitude (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>101.2 ± 29.2</td>
<td>119.5 ± 29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of HAPC propagation (mm)</strong></td>
<td>326 ± 136</td>
<td>407 ± 189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAPC frequency (*/min)</strong></td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAPC velocity (mm/s)</strong></td>
<td>11 ± 9</td>
<td>9 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Transverse colon (n=30)
Time to first HAPC = 456s ± 341s

Caecum/Ascending colon (n=99)
Time to first HAPC = 632s ± 700s

Descending colon (n=21)
Time to first HAPC = 375s ± 323s

Sigmoid colon (n=4)
Time to first HAPC = 282s ± 114s