

Multiple Sclerosis Journal
2021, Vol. 27(1) 158–159

DOI: 10.1177/
1352458520923947

© The Author(s), 2020.



Article reuse guidelines:
[sagepub.com/journals-
permissions](https://sagepub.com/journals-permissions)

A possible case of serum sickness after ocrelizumab infusion – Commentary

Sarmad Al-Araji and Olga Ciccarelli

Abstract Serum sickness is a type III delayed hypersensitivity reaction which causes deposition of immune-complexes in the tissues. It has been reported with rituximab, and in this issue of the journal, there is a case report of a patient with relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis who developed a possible serum sickness after the third infusion of ocrelizumab. In this commentary, we discuss the current literature on serum sickness, and how to diagnose and manage it. We provide our opinion on this particular case, and encourage neurologists and patients to remain vigilant of such a possibility.

Keywords: Multiple sclerosis, ocrelizumab

Date received: 9 April 2020; accepted: 15 April 2020.

Moreira Ferreira et al.¹ have reported a possible case of serum sickness (SS) after the third infusion of ocrelizumab in a patient with relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis (MS). Although this is not novel considering the rare yet well-known SS with rituximab (a chimeric anti-CD-20 therapy),^{2,3} this is the first reported possible case of SS with ocrelizumab.

SS is a type III delayed hypersensitivity reaction which causes deposition of immune-complexes in the tissues leading to activation of the complement cascade and inflammatory reaction.⁴ It is a clinical diagnosis characterised by the clinical triad of fever, arthralgia and rash. Other symptoms include myalgia, malaise, fatigue, conjunctival hyperaemia and purpura. It can also cause proteinuria, haematuria, raised inflammatory markers, high immunoglobulin levels and reduced complement.^{2,5}

The onset of symptoms tends to occur around 10 days after the first infusion of rituximab,^{2,5} but reactions tend to occur quicker after subsequent infusions.²

When suspecting SS, the following tests are a basic guide to establish diagnosis and consider other possible differential diagnoses, including an extensive infection screen, immunoglobulins and complement levels, erythrocyte sedimentation rate/C-reactive protein, kidney and liver functions, vasculitis screen and a urine dipstick.²

Once SS is recognised, treatment should be commenced including paracetamol, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, anti-histamine and/or steroids. Plasma exchange therapy (PEX) may be considered as a last resort.⁶ The prognosis of SS is excellent in the absence of significant complications.²

Although this case raises the suspicion that SS may be induced by ocrelizumab, which is a humanised anti-CD-20 therapy and is therefore less likely to cause SS compared to rituximab, it is certainly not a definite case of SS. The lack of typical clinical and laboratory features makes other causes, such as an infection, more likely. In addition, the use of PEX in this case is controversial considering the normal neurological examination, vital signs and laboratory tests 2 months down the line. PEX is rarely used in SS and usually reserved for refractory cases with evidence of end organ damage.

In conclusion, although this is not a typical case of SS, MS neurologists and patients should be vigilant of the possibility of SS when prescribing anti-CD-20 monoclonal therapies. Once suspected, investigations and treatment should be commenced and cessation of further infusions with the offending drug is recommended to avoid recurrent and more severe manifestations.⁴

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: S.A.-A. reports no disclosures. O.C. receives research funding from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), UK, and National MS Societies, Rosetrees trust and NIHR UCLH BRC; served as a consultant for Roche, Novartis and Merck during the past 12 months; and is the Editor in Chief of Neurology.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Moreira Ferreira VF, Kimbrough DJ and Stankiewicz JM. A possible case of serum sickness after ocrelizumab infusion. *Mult Scler* 2021; 27: 155–158.
2. Karmacharya P, Poudel DR, Pathak R, et al. Rituximab-induced serum sickness: A systematic review. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2015; 45(3): 334–340.
3. Holmoy T, Fogdell-Hahn A and Svenningsson A. Serum sickness following rituximab therapy in multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Clin Pract* 2019; 9(6): 519–521.
4. Kumar A, Khamkar K and Gopal H. Serum sickness and severe angioedema following Rituximab therapy in RA. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2012; 15(1): e6–e7.
5. Bayer G, Agier MS, Lioger B, et al. Rituximab-induced serum sickness is more frequent in autoimmune diseases as compared to hematological malignancies: A French nationwide study. *Eur J Intern Med* 2019; 67: 59–64.
6. Manko A and Besecker B. Plasmapheresis reverses ARDS in Rituximab Induced Serum Sickness. *Chest* 2014; 146(4): 269A.

Correspondence to:

O Ciccarelli
Department of
Neuroinflammation, Queen
Square MS Centre, UCL
Queen Square Institute of
Neurology, Faculty of Brain
Sciences, University College
London, 1st Floor Russell
Square House, 10-12 Russell
Square, London WC1B
5EH, UK.
o.ciccarelli@ucl.ac.uk

Sarmad Al-Araji

Department of
Neuroinflammation, Queen
Square MS Centre, UCL
Queen Square Institute of
Neurology, Faculty of Brain
Sciences, University College
London, London, UK

Olga Ciccarelli

Department of
Neuroinflammation, Queen
Square MS Centre, UCL
Queen Square Institute of
Neurology, Faculty of Brain
Sciences, University College
London, London, UK; NIHR
UCLH Biomedical Research
Centre, London, UK

Visit SAGE journals online
[journals.sagepub.com/
home/msj](http://journals.sagepub.com/home/msj)

 SAGE journals