Efficacy of lifestyle and psychosocial interventions in reducing cognitive decline in older people: Systematic review
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\section*{ABSTRACT}

It is unclear what non-pharmacological interventions to prevent cognitive decline should comprise. We systematically reviewed lifestyle and psychosocial interventions that aimed to reduce cognitive decline in healthy people aged 50+, and people of any age with Subjective Cognitive Decline or Mild Cognitive Impairment. We narratively synthesised evidence, prioritising results from studies rated as at lower Risk of Bias (ROB) and assigning Centre for Evidence Based Medicine grades. We included 64 papers, describing: psychosocial (n = 12), multi-domain (n = 10), exercise (n = 36), and dietary (n = 6) interventions. We found Grade A evidence that over 4+ months: aerobic exercise twice weekly had a moderate effect on global cognition in people with/without MCI; and interventions that integrate cognitive and motor challenges (e.g. dance, dumb bell training) had small to moderate effects on memory or global cognition in people with MCI. We found Grade B evidence that 4+ months of creative art or story-telling groups in people with MCI; 6 months of resistance training in people with MCI and a two-year, dietary, exercise, cognitive training and social intervention in people with or without MCI had small, positive effects on global cognition. Effects for some intervention remained up to a year beyond facilitated sessions.

\section*{1. Introduction}

Dementia is one of the greatest health and social challenges of our time. Robust, observational study evidence indicates that it can be predicted, delayed and perhaps even prevented. A third of dementia cases have been attributable to nine risk factors (education, midlife hypertension, midlife obesity, hearing loss, late-life depression, diabetes, physical inactivity, smoking, and social isolation) (Livingston et al., 2017). These attributions do not necessarily imply that mitigation of these risk factors will prevent dementia, but recent research provides grounds for optimism. Significant reductions in UK age-standardised dementia incidence over 20 years may be attributable to increased...
availability of education and preventive health care (Matthews et al., 2013).

There is less clear evidence from trials regarding whether interventions targeting modifiable risk factors can reduce cognitive decline or prevent dementia. Such trials have typically recruited people at increased dementia risk (older adults, or those presenting with subjective or objective cognitive impairments). Non-pharmacological approaches have sought to prevent dementia by supporting people to change their lifestyles, social activities or psychological approaches to maintaining their wellbeing (Ngandu et al., 2015). In the absence of disease-modifying treatments to prevent or delay dementia, there is hope that such approaches could significantly reduce dementia incidence, if they can be cost-effectively delivered on a large scale. There is a counter-argument, that these lifestyle factors require a societal rather than individual response (Leibing, 2018), but in the light of the burgeoning literature describing interventions designed to reduce dementia risk, we think this broad synthesis of the evidence in this area is timely.

Previous systematic reviews have evaluated specific types of interventions to reduce cognitive decline in certain populations. A recent review of longitudinal and controlled trials reported positive effects of dietary patterns including the Mediterranean, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) and anti-inflammatory diets on cognitive health outcomes in older adults (Chen et al., 2019). In a review up to 2016, voluntary weight loss in overweight/obese people was associated with language, attention and memory improvements in seven RCTs (Veronese et al., 2017). A review of exercise interventions up to 2017 for people with MCI identified beneficial effects on global cognition of aerobic exercise programmes (D. Song et al., 2018). However, such previous reviews, which focus on single behaviours are unable to provide overall, integrated recommendations for how to reduce cognitive decline and prevent dementia in the general population.

This is to our knowledge the first comprehensive, systematic review of a broad range of lifestyle and psychosocial interventions that seek to reduce cognitive decline or prevent dementia in people at risk of dementia (due to age or cognitive frailty). We reviewed interventions that sought to act through changing lifestyle (diet or substance use, exercise), social activities and psychological approaches to life.

2. Methods

We registered our protocol with the PROSPERO Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (CRD42019133614). Our research question was: How successfully have psychosocial or lifestyle interventions changed cognitive function or dementia risk in people aged 50+, and people with subjective cognitive decline or Mild Cognitive Impairment of any age?

2.1. Study inclusion and exclusion criteria

We included studies reporting results from RCTs evaluating psychosocial or lifestyle interventions that aimed to reduce cognitive decline. We included a broad range of non-pharmacological interventions, where the planned mechanism of action was a change in participants’ lifestyle (diet or exercise), social activities or psychological approaches. We excluded studies of computerised cognitive training interventions, as these were not considered to act through these mechanisms (and have been extensively, recently reviewed (Bhome et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019)). We also excluded studies that involved taking dietary supplements, which we judged to be pharmacological. We included studies of people without dementia, and where reasonable efforts had been made to exclude those with dementia (e.g. through screening with a cognitive measure using cut points validated for this purpose, or by interview). We included studies where participants were aged 50+ (whether or not they had memory concerns); or populations of adults of any age who had some problems with memory or met criteria for mild cognitive impairment or subjective cognitive disorder but not dementia.

We included studies that compared experimental conditions to “treatment as usual”, or an active control group, and excluded studies comparing two experimental conditions. We reported all objective, cognitive outcomes from identified papers, including dementia incidence.

2.2. Search strategy

We searched PubMed, EMBASE (Ovid) and PsychINFO (Ovid), CINAHL and Web of Science up to 30.4.19, limited to English language. We applied key terms that incorporated word combinations relating to or describing: age, study type (Randomized Controlled Trial), type of intervention (Early Medical Intervention, Therapeutics, Medical Informatics); outcome (Cognitive Dysfunction OR Dementia OR Alzheimer Disease OR mild cognitive impairment) and modifiable risk factors addressed (Diabetes Mellitus OR Exercise OR Body Mass Index, Body Weight, Smoking Cessation, Alcohol Drinking, Social Isolation, Depression, Anxiety, Cardiovascular Diseases, Vascular Diseases Blood Pressure or Hypertension). We checked references of all included papers and relevant systematic reviews, to identify any additional studies.

2.3. Procedures

EW screened titles and abstracts of the references identified in electronic searches, and 20 % were independently checked using the pre-specified inclusion/exclusion criteria by MP. We obtained full-text copies of potentially relevant studies, and assessed them for inclusion; all were checked independently. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion, with the involvement of a third author if necessary.

2.4. Assessing risk of bias (ROB)

Two authors independently rated the ROB of included papers, based on responses to standard quality criteria. Each question from the quality tool checklist was rated (Yes/No). If all items asterisked below were answered affirmatively, the paper was rated as at lower ROB; we have developed this tool (modified from the Cochrane ROB tool) and used it in numerous previous reviews (Cooper et al., 2014a; Livingston et al., 2014; Lord et al., 2015; Mukadam et al., 2011; Scott et al., 2019).

1 Were participants randomised to intervention and control groups, using an independent process?*
2 Were participants and clinicians, as far as possible, masked to treatment allocation?
3 Were all participants who entered the trial accounted for and an intention-to-treat analysis conducted?*
4 Were follow-up and data collection processes the same for all participants?*
5 Was a power calculation carried out based on one of our specified outcome of interest (cognition)?
6 Were 45 (Livingston et al., 2014) or more participants included in analyses comparing treatment and control effects?*

We discussed any discrepancies in ratings and reached consensus.

2.5. Synthesis and analysis

We narratively synthesised findings. We calculated effect sizes where these were not available, from the mean difference, n and standard deviation, to facilitate interpretation of evidence. We used standard definitions of Cohen’s d small (0.2), medium (0.5) and large (0.8) effect sizes. We prioritised results from studies with a lower ROB. We used Centre for Evidence Based Medicine guidelines to grade levels of evidence: Grade A (consistent evidence from studies at lower risk of bias); Grade B (consistent evidence from studies at higher risk of bias, or extrapolation from a single study at lower ROB); Grade C (extrapolations from studies at higher ROB); and Grade D (troublingly
inconsistent or inconclusive evidence at any level).

3. Results

Fig. 1 describes our search results. We eventually included 64 papers with 9147 participants, which took place in: Asia (n = 22); the USA and Canada (n = 18); Europe (n = 15), Australia (n = 6) and Brazil (n = 3). Tables 1–4 give details of the 22 (34 %) studies we rated as at lower ROB, which we prioritise in our narrative synthesis. Studies rated as being at higher ROB are described in Tables 1b–41b (Supplementary tables). We evaluated results from all post-intervention outcome assessments. All but nine studies only measured outcomes immediately post-intervention. Four studies used a repeated measures design that included immediate post-intervention and more distal outcomes in analyses (Fogarty et al., 2016; Mackin et al., 2014; Thiel et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2018); and two studies reported immediate post-intervention and more distal end-points separately (Eyre et al., 2017; Fiatarone Singh et al., 2014). Of the studies evaluating impact after the post-intervention period, only two demonstrated evidence of effectiveness beyond the immediate post-intervention period: a year after cessation of a 6 month exercise intervention (Fiatarone Singh et al., 2014); and two months after cessation of a creative expression intervention (Zhao et al., 2018).

3.1. Psychosocial studies

This category included 12 studies evaluating interventions that sought to increase the amount of social contact or participation in the arts, or to change lifestyle through psychologically-focused interventions such as goal setting, problem focussed therapies, or informational groups. All three lower ROB studies and two higher ROB studies (Wells et al., 2013; Nakatsuka et al., 2015) recruited people with MCI; one study recruited people without MCI (Dawson et al., 2014); the remainder recruited people with or without MCI.

3.1.1. Lower risk of bias studies (Table 1)

Two studies found that creative art groups delivered by professional therapists improved cognition in people with MCI. Zhao et al. (2018) evaluated 25 group sessions of drawing and story-telling over 16 weeks in China (n = 93). The control group received an equivalent amount of social contact, social activation and cognitive strategy training. After six months, MoCA (Montreal Cognitive Assessment; the primary outcome) scores were significantly higher in the intervention than the control group. The small effect size was sustained for up to ten weeks post-intervention.

In a smaller study, Mahendran et al. (2018) evaluated two interventions in Singapore. The first involved guided viewing and evaluation of art, narrating responses to the pieces and visual art production. The evaluation of this intervention narrowly missed the criteria for being a lower ROB study of requiring 45+ participants in the analysis, and so
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Setting and population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Follow-up time</th>
<th>Between group cognitive outcome differences (primary outcomes = bold) Favour intervention unless stated, p values shown where p ≤ 0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Innes et al. (2017)</td>
<td>USA Community dwelling adults aged 50+, with MCI (confirmed by clinician) or SCD</td>
<td>Self-guided (using a CD) Kirtan Kriya Meditation, a multisensory (motor/ physical and visualisation) practice. 12 min/day for 3 months, then at their discretion for the ensuing 3 months</td>
<td>Relaxing instrumental music. 12 min/day for 3 months, then at their discretion for the ensuing 3 months</td>
<td>28, 6 months</td>
<td>MFQ, TMT A/B, DSST: NS Y Y Y Y N Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahendran et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Community dwelling people with MCI (Petersen criteria) recruited from a cohort study in Singapore.</td>
<td>1 h weekly groups for 3 months then fortnightly for 6 months, by trained staff. (1) Art therapy: Guided viewing and cognitive evaluation of art; narration of thoughts; visual art production. (2) Music reminiscence activity: listening, remembering &amp; discussing music</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>RANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al. (2018)</td>
<td>People aged 60+ meeting DSMIV MCI criteria, recruited from a tertiary outpatient clinic, China.</td>
<td>25, 1 h group sessions of drawing and storing telling (creative expression) over 16 weeks, facilitated by professional therapists.</td>
<td>48 of social activation group sessions over 16 weeks, facilitated by occupational therapists; including cognitive strategy training</td>
<td>45/46 months</td>
<td>MoCa: d = 0.79/0.53, p &lt; 0.001; AVLT immediate &amp; delayed recall d = 0.54/0.67 &amp; 0.43/0.38 (all p &lt; 0.001); AVFT d = 0.47/0.51, p = 0.01; DST- Fd = 0.29/0.45, p &lt; 0.001; TMT A &amp; B d = 0.45/0.63 &amp; 0.38/0.11.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Validity question responses (see below)

1. Were participants randomised to intervention and control groups, using a process that is independent? 2. Were participants and clinicians, as far as possible, masked to treatment allocation? 3. Were all participants who entered the trial accounted for and an intention-to-treat analysis conducted? 4. Was follow-up and data collection processes the same for all participants? 5. Was a power calculation carried out based on one of our specified outcomes of interest (cognition)? 6. Were 45 or more participants included in analyses comparing treatment and control effects?
was rated as at higher ROB. It is presented here as the second comparison did meet criteria for being at lower ROB. In this second analysis, participants listened to and recalled memories associated with music. Both interventions took place in one hour, weekly groups for three months, then fortnightly for three months, led by trained facilitators. After nine months, the art therapy intervention group showed greater change in the overall memory Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) scores compared to the “treatment as usual” group (subdomains are also reported and do not attain significance). Effect sizes were small to medium. There were no significant differences between the music reminiscence activity and control groups.

In a USA study, Innes et al. (2017) found that an individual, meditation intervention did not significantly improve cognition (n = 55). It was self-guided for 12 min/day for 3 months, then at participants’ discretion for three months. Although adherence rates were high, there was no significant difference between the intervention and active control groups (who listened to instrumental music) in executive function at six months. Finally, a group intervention that sought to change lifestyle through informational groups, with or without additional counselling, did not improve global cognition relative to treatment as usual in a USA-based study (n = 159) (Thiel et al., 2012).

3.1.2. Higher risk of bias studies (Table 1b, online supplement)

Only one of these studies reported significant cognitive intervention effects. This small study (n = 31) evaluated the impact of weekly piano lessons with home practice; executive functioning improved at 6 months, relative to treatment as usual (information was not available to calculate effect size) (Bugos et al., 2007). Of the nine other studies, three evaluated self-guided mindfulness or meditation, over 6–8 weeks; carried out individually by a research assistant (Oken et al., 2017), in an online group (Wahbeh et al., 2016), or a face to face group (Wells et al., 2013). Three studies evaluated goal or problem-focused interventions in a variety of formats: an individual goal-setting interview for older people, with or without five follow-up mentoring phone calls, to set goals around increasing cognitive or physical activity, health, or social activity or diet (Clare et al., 2015); 3 group and 9 individual sessions including meta-cognitive strategy training for people with subjective cognitive decline (Dawson et al., 2014); and 12 individual problem-solving sessions for people with depression and executive dysfunction (Mackin et al., 2014). None reported significant effects on cognitive outcomes, though Clare et al. (2015) only intended the study as a pilot for a larger study. Finally, a home-based reminiscence intervention also reported no significant effects on cognitive outcomes (Duru Asiret and Dutkun, 2018).

3.1.3. Summary of evidence

- We found Grade B evidence (from one lower and one higher ROB studies) that creative art or art and story-telling groups led by trained therapists delivered over 16–26 weeks had a small effect on global cognition or memory (verbal learning) in people with MCI, relative to treatment as usual in one study and to a socially active control in the second study.

- We found Grade B evidence that self-guided meditation was ineffective at improving memory or executive functioning, relative to control conditions over 6 months (one lower ROB study) or 6–8 weeks (3 higher ROB studies); and that goal-focused or problem-focused interventions (setting goals to improve lifestyle, improve mood or address cognitive limitations) were ineffective at improving executive functioning or memory scores (3 higher ROB studies), in populations including people with and without MCI.

- We found Grade C evidence (each in one higher ROB study) that in-home reminiscence was ineffective at improving global cognition and that 6 months of weekly piano lessons was less effective at improving executive functioning, in populations including people with and without MCI.
Table 3
Studies investigating exercise interventions, rated as being at low risk of bias.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Setting &amp; Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Longest follow-up duration</th>
<th>Between group differences on cognitive outcomes (primary outcomes in bold) Effect for intervention relative to control group reported</th>
<th>Validity question responses (see below)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ansai and Rebelatto (2015)</td>
<td>Brazilian Community dwelling adults aged 80+, sedentary but can walk alone, MMSE &lt; cut-off for dementia</td>
<td>1. Cycle ergometer; strength and balance exercises; 2. Resistance training with gym machines, 3 x 1-h/week for 16 weeks</td>
<td>1. 23</td>
<td>TAU</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>MoCA, Clock Drawing Test, VFT: NS</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callisaya et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Australian adults aged 50 – 75 with Type-2 diabetes, no dementia (TICS-Modified score &lt; 77/50)</td>
<td>2 x/week, 1 h group aerobic &amp; progressive resistance training, intensity increasing, with 1 h/week unsupervised</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2 x/week, 1 h group gentle movement &amp; 1 h/week unsupervised</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>NTR global: mean difference: 0.16 (95% CI -0.02–0.34) d = 0.28</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y N Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lautenschlager et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Australian adults aged 50+, with MMSE 24 + &amp; CDR &lt; 1 &amp; TICS-m 19+</td>
<td>Individualised home activity programme with workbook; 1 h session by qualified trainer; encourages 50-minutes exercise 3x/week &amp; to keep diary, over 24 weeks</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Advice about memory loss, stress, nutrition and smoking, no activity advice</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>ADAS-Cog: p = 0.04 (effect size could not be calculated, reported as small); Word list immediate &amp; delayed recall, DSC, VFT, CDR sum of boxes: all NS</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Hong Kong adults aged 60+ meeting standard MCI criteria, recruited from OT out-patients clinic</td>
<td>13 x 50 min sessions in 10 weeks, simulated functional tasks (object placing and collection) following specific movement sequences, led by an occupational therapist (OT).</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6 cognitive training &amp; strategy groups over 10 weeks, led by OT &amp; assistant.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>NCSE composite score &amp; normal domains; d = 0.51/0.48, p = 0.001, memory (VLMT delayed recall; d = 0.47, P = 0.043), TMT-A; d = 0.58, P = 0.011; PEDL: d = 0.61, p = 0.008; immediate recall, TMT-B and VFT NS</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y N Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Chinese adults aged 65+, memory complaint, MMSE 24 +, MoCA &lt; 26, not dementia</td>
<td>3x/ week for 12 weeks, momentum-based dumbbell-training class by students, trained to follow a protocol</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Usual activities</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Favoured intervention: ADAS – Cog F = 6.95, p = 0.012.</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ng et al. (2018)</td>
<td>People aged 65+ in Singapore, recruited door-to-door; MMSE score 23 +; dementia diagnosis excluded</td>
<td>90 min physical training groups, twice a week for 12 weeks; then home practice encouraged, designed to improve strength &amp; balance</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>TAU</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>RBANS scores; global, immediate memory; attention, language, visuospatial construction, delayed memory – ns</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y N Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sink et al. (2015)</td>
<td>USA sedentary adults aged 70 – 89, &lt; 9 on short physical performance battery, could walk 400 m without help in 15 min; no significant cognitive impairment/ dementia, adjusted for educational norms</td>
<td>Structured, moderate-intensity physical activity: walking, resistance training, and flexibility; 2 centre-based visits and 3–4x/week home activities for 24 months. Progress to 30 min moderate intensity walking &amp; 10 min strength/ flexibility</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>Weekly, 60 – 90 min health education workshops (+ stretching/ flexibility) for first 26 weeks then monthly sessions</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>30 months</td>
<td>Global cognitive composite score: 0.029 (95% CI -0.038 to 0.095) (d = 0.021); DSC, HVLT, Delayed word recall, reaction times: NS</td>
<td>Y Y N Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song and Doris (2019)</td>
<td>Community dwelling adults over 60, MCI by Chinese MoCA (19-26, adjusted for educational attainment)</td>
<td>1 h, 3x/week for 16 weeks aerobic step group led by 2 registered nurses; participants supported to achieve moderate intensity exercise</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16 week GP-led health education: 8 x 45 min session</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>MoCA NS; Group effect: β = -0.17 (95%CI -0.59 to 0.01) p = 0.62; group x time: 1.9 (1.4–2.4) p &lt; 0.001 (d = 0.68)</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sungkarat et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Community dwelling adults in Thailand with a-MCI (Petersen’s criteria), 24 or more on MMSE and &lt; 26 on MoCA-C</td>
<td>Tai Chi 3x/ week for 3 weeks, groups by certified instructor. Home practice 3/ week for 6 months with 50 min video &amp; log book; weekly reminders by family, calls and post</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1 h Education session, booklet &amp; weekly phone call</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>WMS-LM: d = 0.11 p = 0.01; DST/F/B ns Block; design score p = 0.06, d = 0.07; TMT A/B, d = 0.08 p = 0.01 (effects favoured intervention)</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Uffelen et al. (2008)</td>
<td>All adults in Dutch town, aged 70 – 90 invited. TICS &gt; 19 and MMSE &gt; 24</td>
<td>1 h 2x/week for 1 year walking groups with instructor in parks, increasing intensity</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1 h 2x/week groups, 1 year; balance, relax, flexibility</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>AVLT, Stroop, DST, VFT: NS</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y N Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Legend, see Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Setting &amp; Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Longest follow-up</th>
<th>Group differences on cognitive outcomes (intervention relative to control)**</th>
<th>Risk of bias ratings (see methods)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bae et al. (2019)</td>
<td>Japanese adults with MCI aged 60+: from cohort study, score ≥ 1.5 SD below norm in 1+ NCGG-FAT cognitive domains; MMSE &gt; 24; No dementia or functional dependency</td>
<td>90-minutes, 2x/week for 24 weeks, of any centre activity group, with physical, cognitive and social activities attended in equal proportions. Groups of 4−5, facilitated by two non-clinical, trained staff</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Two 90 min health education classes</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>NCGG-FAT-Spatial working memory p = 0.024 (d not calculated), Intervention &gt; control. Memory, TMT A/B, SDST, MMSE-all NS</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Inactive, aged 65+, community USA residing adults subjective cognitive concerns; no self-reported dementia diagnosis; TICm 19+</td>
<td>1. Individual, home based mental activity. 60 min. d, 3 d/wk for 12 weeks. Games to enhance speed and accuracy of visual and auditory processing. Difficulty adjusted continuously based on performance. 2. Exercise intervention group, 1 h exercise classes 3 d/wk for 12 weeks. Aerobic, strength, stretching and relaxation. HR monitored, aimed at resting levels</td>
<td>1. 32</td>
<td>1. Answered questions on home DVDs, watched 60 min. d, 3 d/wk for 12 wks. 2. Group stretching, strength training &amp; relaxation. HR monitored, aim for resting levels</td>
<td>1. 31</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Composite score from RAVLT (Verbal fluency letter &amp; category, DSST, TMT A &amp; B, EFT: NS</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiatarone Singh et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Australian Community dwelling, people aged 55+, diagnosis of MCI (P) CDR &lt; 1; MMSE 23−29, recruited from electoral roll</td>
<td>Training supervised by researchers in 2 x weekly, 60−100 minute groups for 6 months; Progressive resistance training (PRT): high intensity training with resistance machines; Computer cognitive training (CT) targeted memory, executive function, attention and processing speed</td>
<td>PRT-22 CT-24 CT &amp; PRT-27</td>
<td>1 h of sham CT (nature videos &amp; answered questions) &amp; stretching (sham PRT)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>CT vs sham CT &amp; Intervention vs sham−ns; PRT vs sham exercise: ADAS-Cog p = .08, d = 0.15 (−0.55, 0.24). WAIS-III Matrices p = 0.02 d = 0.94 (−0.44, 0.36); other cognitive battery tests ns</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ihle-Hansen et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Norway, patients after first stroke Excluded patients with cognitive decline, IQCODE &gt; 3.7.</td>
<td>Outpatient stroke nurse and physician consultation 3 and 6 months post stroke; information about lifestyle and brain health. Medical treatment optimised. Tailored advice regarding risk factor management; treatment plan sent to GP. Offered smoking cessation courses.</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Care as usual</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>TMT A- NS- p = 0.35 TMT B-ns − p = 0.35 10 word test- p = 0.17 Conversion to dementia/MCI - NS</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klummann et al. (2010)</td>
<td>German-speaking women from Berlin. Included if they had no more than 4 errors on the MMSE (20)</td>
<td>75 x 90 min group manualised sessions over 6 months of: 1. Using computers (to write, play, calculate, draw and surf the internet, email, and edit images and videos). 2. Exercise: aerobic endurance, strength, flexibility training, balance and coordination.</td>
<td>1. 92</td>
<td>Life as usual effect size</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>interventions &gt; control (small effect sizes): RBMT immediate &amp; delayed recall (η² = .04 p = .007/.01), FCSRT long delay (p = .02 η² = .04), TMT B/A (p = .04; η² = .03) FCSRT short delay, stroop Test &amp; semantic verbal fluency: NS</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Setting &amp; Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Longest follow-up</th>
<th>Group differences on cognitive outcomes (intervention relative to control)**</th>
<th>Risk of bias ratings (see methods)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lam et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Hong Kong elders’ social centres; aged 60+ with subjective memory &amp; objective memory, verbal fluency or attention span impairment. No dementia diagnosis; CDR &lt; 1.</td>
<td>1 h group sessions, 3 x/week for 1 year: Cognitive group (C): reading, discussing newspapers, playing board games; Physical (P) group: stretching and toning exercises, mind body (tai chi), and aerobic exercise (cycling); Integrated cognitive-physical group (CP): 1 cognitive &amp; 2 mind body exercise in a week.</td>
<td>C = 145</td>
<td>Social group (S)- 3 one hour social activity sessions a week. (active control).</td>
<td>S = 131</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>CDR-SOB (sum of boxes) - NS</td>
<td>Y Y Y N Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P = 147</td>
<td>Included tea gathering, film watching)</td>
<td>CP = 132</td>
<td></td>
<td>ADAS-COG, MMSE, Digit span, Visual span, VFT, TMT – NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngandu et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Finnish, Community-dwelling people aged 60 – 77, CAIDE 6 + MMSE 26+; no dementia diagnosis made/ suspected</td>
<td>3 individual &amp; 7 – 9 group sessions with nutritionists - discussions/ exercises to facilitate healthy diet changes; gym-based, tailored exercise, by physiotherapists, progressive muscle strength (1 – 3 x/ week) &amp; aerobic exercise (2 – 5 x/ week). Individual cognitive training for 2 × 6 month blocks of 3/week, 10–15 min sessions; 10 group sessions by psychologists: education, memory strategies, checking CT progress &amp; visit to local support group. Study nurse and physician checked vascular/ metabolic risk factors at 3, 6, 12 and 18 months.</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>General health advice</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>NTB score improvement intervention &gt; control Between-group difference per year: 0.022 (95 % CI 0.002-0.042, p = 0.030; d = 0.13). Secondary outcomes – NTB: Executive functioning p = 0.039; Processing Speed z score · p= 0.029; memory · ns</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Legend, see Table 1.
3.2. Dietary interventions

These six studies promoted either Mediterranean-style (plant-based) diets (MSD), with low refined carbohydrate load, rich in fruit, vegetables and fish, and small quantities of meat, dairy and salt; or low calorie or low carbohydrate diets. Both lower ROB studies and one higher ROB study (Valls-Pedret et al., 2015) were in populations without MCI at baseline; Kwok et al. (2012) included people with and without MCI, while the remaining two studies included people with MCI only.

3.2.1. Lower risk of bias studies (Table 2)

Two studies compared a MSD, supported by regular (monthly or 2-weekly) dietician sessions and free food, to general, low-intensity healthy eating advice. In an Australian study that recruited 166 people without MCI, there were no significant between-group differences on the global cognitive primary outcome after 6 months (Knight et al., 2016); and in the second study, in 1279 people with or without MCI, there were no significant differences between groups in global cognitive scores after one year (Marseglia et al., 2018).

3.2.2. Higher risk of bias studies (Table 2b, online supplement)

One study found that MSD, implemented through regular dietician sessions (every 6 weeks) with older people living in a residential facility and a change in facility menus, did not reduce dementia incidence over 33 months in 429 people with or without MCI (T. C. Y. Kwok et al., 2012). Horie et al. (2016) reported that group calorific restriction groups led by a nutritionist for a year were not associated with significant improvements on global or domain-specific cognitive measures in 80 people who were overweight.

Two studies reported positive findings on a proportion of cognitive outcomes evaluated. In a sub-study of the larger PREDIMED study, which unlike the larger cohort excluded people with dementia at baseline and was therefore eligible for inclusion in this review, in 240 people without MCI, memory scores improved in the Mediterranean diet plus olive oil group; and for the Mediterranean diet plus nut group (each with quarterly dietician advice) frontal and global cognitive scores improved; relative to the control group over four years, all with small effect sizes. For other cognitive scores, comparisons did not attain statistical significance, though the authors commented on the limited power of the study (Valls-Pedret et al., 2015). Finally, Krikorian et al. (2012) reported that in a small study with 23 adults with MCI, a low carbohydrate diet improved memory (with a medium effect size), but not executive function over 6 weeks, relative to a high carbohydrate diet.

3.2.3. Summary of evidence

- We found Grade D (inconsistent) evidence for interventions promoting MSD, with two lower (in people without MCI) and one higher ROB study (in people with or without MCI) not demonstrating effectiveness on cognitive outcomes over 6–33 months, while in one study at higher risk of bias over four years, improvements were demonstrated on global frontal and executive cognitive outcomes, with small effect sizes, in people without MCI at baseline. There was also inconsistent evidence for restriction diets from two studies involving people with MCI, with effectiveness of a calorie restriction group intervention over one year on cognition not demonstrated in people who were overweight; while six weeks of carbohydrate restriction was associated with improvements in memory (with a medium effect size) but not executive functioning.

3.3. Exercise interventions

We describe below evidence from populations with MCI (12 studies), without MCI (1 study), with the remaining 23 studies including both these groups.

3.3.1. Aerobic interventions

3.3.1.1. Lower risk of bias studies (Table 3). In Australia, an individualized home-activity program promoting 50 min of exercise, three times a week over 18 weeks (with 78 % adherence), significantly improved global cognition, though not specific cognitive outcomes, relative to educational advice in 170 people without dementia (Lautenschlager et al., 2008). All but one participant selected aerobic exercise, most commonly walking. In a study evaluating an aerobic, step-exercise intervention compared with a health education control in 120 people with MCI, there was a moderate group-by-time effect (but not a main-group effect) on global cognition after 16 weeks; adherence rates to the intervention were 73 % (Dan Song and Doris, 2019).

3.3.1.2. Higher risk of bias studies (Table 3b, supplement). A study evaluating thrice weekly aerobic groups over 12 months (n = 120) in Italian elders with MMSE 24+, reported a significant, small effect on the cognitive primary outcome (MMSE) in favour of the intervention (Muscaria, 2010). In 34 people with MCI, high-intensity aerobic exercise groups, four days a week had a significant, large effect on executive functioning (though not memory) over 6 months (Baker et al., 2010); while in a second study aerobic exercise twice a week was associated with a significant, moderate effect on global cognition over six months in 60 sedentary individuals with MCI (Langoni et al., 2019).

In contrast, a twice-weekly walking intervention (van Uffelen et al., 2008) was not associated with significant improvements in memory or executive function relative to an active control in 179 people without dementia, after a year. The authors hypothesised that low adherence (median was 63 %) might explain this, with 30 participants not attending any sessions; in women, there was an association between greater adherence and cognitive improvement in the intervention group.

3.3.2. Resistance interventions

3.3.2.1. Lower risk of bias studies (Table 3). In a factorial trial in people with MCI (Fiatarone Singh et al., 2014) there was a significant, small effect (n = 49) on the primary outcome, ADAS-Cog, immediately after the 6 month intervention, in the group receiving the resistance intervention relative to sham physical exercise (stretching) and a trend towards maintenance of this effect for one year post-intervention. In two trials of shorter interventions, however, no significant effects were reported. In the first, a study evaluating a strength intervention carried out in groups for 12 weeks thrice weekly, then at home, there was little difference between the experimental and control conditions after 12 months in 98 people without dementia (adherence rates were 85 %) (Ng et al., 2018). In the second (n = 69), a resistance exercise protocol carried out in a gym was not associated with significant between-group differences in cognitive outcomes immediately after the 16 week intervention or 6 weeks later. Adherence was low, with only 57 % of participants attending at least half of sessions (Ansai and Rebelatto, 2015).

3.3.2.2. Higher risk of bias studies (Table 3b, supplement). High-intensity resistance training once or twice a week was associated with improvements (with small effect size) on the Stroop test, the named primary outcome, but not other executive functioning tests, relative to an active control over 12 months (n = 155) (Liu-Ambrose et al., 2010). In a second study (n = 31), twice-weekly resistance training under supervision over nine months was associated with better memory scores, relative to a treatment as usual control group (Busse et al., 2008).

Two resistance training interventions with a duration of 12 weeks (n = 47; n = 80) (Hong et al., 2018) (Juliano et al., 2015) were not associated with consistently significant between-group cognitive differences, though some executive functioning outcomes improved in both
studies. An individual resistance exercise intervention (n = 43) was not associated with significant, cognitive effects over 4 months (Yoon et al., 2018).

### 3.3.3. Multimodal exercise interventions

#### 3.3.3.1. Interventions that integrate motor and cognitive challenges

##### 3.3.3.1.1. Lower risk of bias studies (Table 3).

In a 13-session exercise programme over 10 weeks in which functional tasks were simulated (n = 83), led by an occupational therapist (OT), there was a significant between-group difference on global cognitive measures at 6 months of moderate effect size that favoured the intervention, relative to usual care with cognitive strategy groups in people with MCI. This intervention combined cognitive-demanding tasks with exercise components of stretching, strengthening, balance and endurance (Law et al., 2014).

Two other interventions that combined cognitive and motor challenges were also associated with improvements in cognition. A study in 66 people with MCI reported that regular Tai Chi practice (initially with an instructor, three times a week for 3 weeks, then at home) was associated with improvements on the WMS-LM (Wechsler Memory Scale – Logical memory) (primary outcome) and the Trail Making test, relative to usual care and a stretching and toning group and educational session controls by small effect sizes. The benefits of the intervention were achieved by 15 weeks and sustained for six months (Sungkarat et al., 2018). One trial that evaluated a momentum-based dumbbell-training class, thrice weekly for 12 weeks (with 84 % adherence) (Lu et al., 2016) in 45 people with MCI reported significantly improved global cognition and executive functioning in the intervention relative to the control group (data was not available to calculate effect size). The authors posit that variation in position changes and movement configurations during the dumbbell-training sessions involve cognitive tasks, including spatiotemporal orientation, selective attention, and executive control.

##### 3.3.3.1.2. Higher risk of bias studies (Table 3b, supplement).

One study reported a lack of evidence at the study end point (12 months) for a multicomponent exercise group (aerobic exercise, postural balance, and dual-task training, such as composing a poem while walking) supervised by physiotherapists, twice a week for 12 months (n = 100) in people with MCI. Adherence to the intervention was good (80 %). However, there were significant group-by-time effects on the MMSE, and a proportion of memory and executive functioning tests, suggesting that the intervention may have been more effective over the initial six months (Suzuki et al., 2012).

Three studies evaluated dance interventions. An aerobic dance routine class thrice weekly for three months, followed by three months home practice, with additional sessions of physician counselling, was associated with a significant, small effect on the memory primary outcome, but not global cognition or executive functioning in people with or without MCI (n = 60) (Zhu et al., 2018). In a second study, twice weekly dance classes over 10 months were associated with improved global cognition, memory and executive functioning in people with MCI (n = 154) (Lazarou et al., 2017). A dancing and handicraft group, twice a week, compared to usual activities over six months, was associated with improvements in logical memory, but not executive functioning or global cognition in people with MCI (n = 51) (Antunes et al., 2015).

Two studies evaluated Tai Chi in populations with MCI. Tai Chi groups held three times a week initially, then monthly for a year, compared with a stretching and toning control group reduced progression to dementia (with borderline statistical significance) (n = 389) (L. C. Lam et al., 2012). In a second study, a Taoist Tai Chi (TTC) group intervention (twice weekly for 10 weeks), combined with memory strategies and advice sessions, compared with the memory strategy and advice sessions alone was not associated with improvements in memory or executive functioning, over 22 weeks (n = 40) (Fogarty et al., 2016).

#### 3.3.3.2. Interventions combining aerobic and strength exercises

##### 3.3.3.2.1. Lower risk of bias studies (Table 3).

Three studies evaluated groups that combined strength and aerobic activities. In one large study (n = 1635) there was little difference between the experimental and control conditions after 30 months (Sink et al., 2015). In the second, small pilot study (n = 50), a trend favoured the intervention after 6 months (effect size was small) (Callisaya et al., 2017).

##### 3.3.3.2.2. Higher risk of bias studies (Table 3b, online supplement).

An aerobic and flexibility group, 3 times a week, compared to usual activities over six months, was associated with improvements on measures of logical memory, but not executive functioning or overall cognition (Antunes et al., 2015). Finally, a twice weekly aerobic and strength exercise class for 16 weeks was associated with improvements on most executive functioning measures (Vaughan et al., 2014). In single studies, a walking and social intervention (Maki et al., 2012) and an aerobic, strength and flexibility group programme carried out with an instruction video over 16 weeks (Shatil, 2013) were not associated with significant, cognitive effects.

### 3.3.4. Summary of evidence

- We found Grade A evidence (from two lower and two higher ROB studies) that aerobic exercise programmes for 16 weeks or more, at least twice a week (thrice a week in the lower ROB studies) with over 70 % adherence to sessions, had a moderate sized, positive effect on global cognition, relative to control interventions in people with and without MCI.

- We found Grade A evidence (from three lower and five higher ROB study) that 1 – 3 weekly group interventions lasting six months or more that combined cognitive and motor challenges (e.g. dance or dumb bell training, simulated task exercise) improved memory or global cognition by small to moderate effect sizes, in people with MCI.

- We found Grade B evidence that resistance training interventions carried out for 6 months or more, improved global functioning immediately post-intervention in people with MCI (1 lower ROB study); and in 2 higher risk of bias studies in people with or without MCI, executive functioning and memory were improved in individual resistance training studies with interventions lasting 9–12 months. Effect sizes were small.

- We found Grade B evidence (1 lower and one higher ROB study) that in people with MCI, Tai Chi sessions, initially taught by an expert and then continued at home for 15 weeks or improved global cognition, memory and executive functioning relative to stretching and toning group and educational session controls by small effect sizes, but not, in one study relative to a memory strategy group control.

- We found Grade A evidence (2 lower ROB studies and 3 higher ROB studies) that resistance training interventions lasting 4 months or less were ineffective at improving cognitive outcomes, in studies including people with or without MCI.

- We found Grade D (inconsistent) evidence (from three lower and one higher ROB study) regarding strength and aerobic groups; with one lower ROB study showing no effect and one higher ROB study showing a significant effect; with two further lower ROB studies lacking sufficient power or intervention adherence to provide a definitive result.

### 3.4. Multidomain interventions

#### 3.4.1. Lower risk of bias studies (Table 4)

The FINGER (FINnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment and Disability) trial, evaluated a two-year, intensive and expert-delivered intervention including nutritional advice, physical exercise and social components, cognitive training and vascular risk management for people with a high risk for dementia score.
whose MMSE score was 26 or more. The nutrition component was based on FINNISH Dietary recommendations which like a MDS encouraged, fruit and vegetable, whole grain and fish consumption. At 24 months, Neuropsychological Test Battery score (NTB) was 25 % higher in the intervention compared to a general health advice group (Ngandu et al., 2015).

Two studies reporting the impact of multi-activity group interventions, 2–3 times a week for 6 months, found beneficial effects on immediate memory outcomes, post-intervention in people with memory concerns; but inconsistent results for delayed memory and executive functioning. They evaluated thrice-weekly, group sessions on computer use and exercise classes (Klussmann et al., 2010); and twice-weekly physical, cognitive and social activities (Bae et al., 2019). Both included a control group without social stimulation (life as usual or a DVD). Another study investigated the impact of two exercise and one cognitive stimulation activity groups every week for a year and found no significant effect on global cognition or executive function (Lam et al., 2015). In this study, the active control involved attending three social activity sessions a week. In a study evaluating twice-weekly exercise, computerized cognitive training interventions and both interventions together in people with MCI, versus active control conditions, benefits of cognitive training and physical activity together on global cognition were no greater than either alone (Fiatarone Singh et al., 2014). Other studies evaluated a home-based mental activity intervention (Barnes et al., 2013) and a clinician-delivered educational intervention providing lifestyle and brain health advice over a year to people who had experienced a first stroke (Ihle-Hansen et al., 2014). Neither was associated with significant change in cognitive outcomes.

4.4.2. Higher risk of bias studies (Table 4b, online supplement)

In one study, an intervention comprising supervised cognitive training and physical training three times a week in small groups was associated with a significant, small positive effect on ADAS-Cog (Bruno et al., 2018). In a second study, once a week memory strategies combined with lifestyle advice improved global cognition over eight weeks (T. Kwok et al., 2013). Twice weekly groups for seven weeks combining memory strategies, lifestyle factors and Cognitive Training was not associated with consistent cognitive benefits over 8 weeks (Diamond et al. (2015)).

4.4.3. Summary of evidence from multi-domain studies

- We found **Grade B evidence** (from a single lower ROB study) on a cognitive primary outcome that a two-year, intensive intervention with dietary, exercise, cognitive training and social components improved cognition with a small effect size, relative to treatment as usual, in people at risk of dementia.
- We found **Grade B evidence** (from a single lower ROB studies) that: 6 weeks of home-based mental activities and clinician-delivered lifestyle advice were ineffective in improving cognition.
- We found **Grade D (troublingly inconsistent) evidence** (from two higher ROB studies) about whether group memory strategies and lifestyle advice were effective in improving global cognition over 8 weeks.
- We found **Grade D (troublingly inconsistent) evidence** (from 3 lower and one higher ROB studies) for the effect of group cognitively stimulating activities combined with exercise, 2–3 times a week relative to non-socially stimulating control groups, regarding global cognition, memory and executive functioning.

4. Discussion

4.1. Evidence summary

CEBM criteria for Grade A evidence were met for the following interventions to improve global cognition or memory, when carried out over at least 4 months: aerobic exercise groups at least twice weekly, with at least 70 % adherence and a moderate effect size in people with or without MCI and 1–3 weekly groups that combined aerobic exercise with a cognitively demanding task with small to moderate effect sizes, in people with MCI. We found Grade B evidence that creative art or story-telling groups, 1–2 times a week; resistance training interventions for 6–12 months, and Tai Chi, taught by an expert and then practiced regularly at home, improved global functioning, memory or executive functioning, post-intervention, with small effect sizes in people with MCI. A two-year, intervention with dietary, exercise, cognitive training and social components also had a small, positive effect on cognition relative to treatment as usual, in people at risk of dementia. There was preliminary (Grade C) evidence that 6 months of weekly piano lessons improved executive functioning, in people with and without MCI. There was no evidence that: 4 months or less of resistance training; self-guided mediation, goal or problem-focused interventions; or home based activities or reminiscence were effective at improving cognition.

4.2. Interpretation of findings

Although in many areas the evidence base is limited, there is sufficient evidence to make recommendations about interventions most likely to reduce cognitive decline in people at risk of dementia. Our results indicate that an evidence-based intervention strategy to improve global cognition, memory and executive functioning would be a group therapy carried out for 4 months or more, promoting regular (at least weekly) activity, involving aerobic or resistance exercise, with a cognitively demanding and/or creative component. A two-year intensive intervention involving dietary, exercise, cognitive training and social components (Ngandu et al., 2015) improved global cognition. Effect sizes for these interventions were mainly small, though moderate effects sizes were attained in studies evaluating aerobic exercise programmes. The FINGER trial was an important proof-of-concept trial (Ngandu et al., 2015) but the FINGER intervention would be too expensive to implement widely. Less intensive interventions could be potentially cost-effective if they are inclusive of people from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds and minority ethnic groups who are at greatest dementia risk but currently receive least preventative care (Pham et al., 2018). The effective interventions reported here took place across the globe. There is a tension between standardizing interventions so that they adhere closely to the best available evidence, and matching advice to the activity and lifestyle preferences of the participants and the local context. For example, the two studies demonstrating effectiveness of Tai Chi took place in Thailand (Sungkarat et al., 2018) and Hong Kong (L. C. Lam et al., 2012), while a Tai Chi intervention in Canada was ineffective (Fogarty et al., 2016). The use of a potentially more active control group (memory strategies groups) or brief intervention period in the latter study may have explained this difference. It may also be that Tai Chi is more accepted in countries where it has long been a common form of exercise.

Most effective interventions involved increasing participants’ activity levels at least twice a week for 6 months. To be cost-effective, future interventions will need to motivate participants to increase activity levels outside and beyond facilitated sessions. Intervention effects of aerobic exercise and resistance training were sustained for up to a year, and Tai Chi and simulated functional tasks for up to six months beyond the intervention period (Fiatarone Singh et al., 2014; Lautenschlager et al., 2008; Law et al., 2014); promoting home practice was a mechanism for this (Lautenschlager et al., 2008; Sungkarat et al., 2018).

Studies evaluating dietary interventions in isolation have not conclusively demonstrated improvements to global cognition. Epidemiological studies evaluating the impact of long term consumption of a MSD on cognitive decline indicates that it confers a 20–40 %
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4.4. Conclusions

Based on current published evidence, an evidence-based intervention strategy to improve global cognition, memory and executive functioning would be a group therapy carried out for 4 months or more, promoting engagement in regular (at least weekly) activity, involving aerobic or resistance exercise, and cognitively demanding (visuospatial/ memory) or creative tasks. There is some evidence that intervention effects may be sustained for up to a year beyond the initial intervention period; this is promising and may be critical to the development of effective and cost-effective interventions.
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