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A B S T R A C T

Multiple stable isotope investigations from upper Eocene to lower Oligocene deep-water marine sequences record the transition from global greenhouse to the icehouse conditions (Oi-1 glacial). While Southern Ocean high latitude deep sea records of this transition are well known, their shallow marine equivalents are rare and have the potential to record the eustatic and oceanic consequences of Paleogene glacial variability. The well-known high paleolatitude (~55°S) neritic carbonate sequence at Browns Creek and Castle Cove in the Otway Basin in southeast Australia spans the Eocene-Oligocene boundary. During this time the area lay on the northeastern margin of the Australo-Antarctic Gulf facing the evolving Southern Ocean. The importance of this record has been hampered by a lack of a consistent stratigraphy and contradictory microfossil interpretations. To reconcile these issues we combine new bio-, chemo- and lithostratigraphic analyses of the outcrops and a new core (Colac-2) with pre-existing data to revise the stratigraphy. This confirms the middle/upper Eocene boundary is near the base of the section. The overlying upper Eocene siliciclastic strata are truncated by an unconformity (~0.8 Ma in duration) and overlain by glauconitic sand (the Notrostrea greensand) deposited after ~35.9 Ma. Subsequently deepening to middle to outer neritic depths deposited cyclic carbonates. Shallowing after ~35 Ma deposited laterally variable calcareous siliciclastic facies. These strata were tilted and eroded prior to 34 Ma leading to shallow water facies that may have been subaerially exposed during uplift. Brachiopod strontium isotope dates and an 0.5‰ carbon isotope excursion above this unconformity suggests the top of the Browns Creek and the base of the Castle Cove section correlate to Eocene-Oligocene transition (EOT-1) at ~34 Ma. The subsequent persistence of positive C/O isotope values above this level records the transition to the Oi-1 glaciation at ~33.7 Ma. Strong cyclicity in the inner shelf Castle Cove limestone is interpreted to record the commencement of obliquity dominated glacio-eustacy during the Oi-1 glacial phase. The shallowing from outer to inner shelf palaeodepths from the late Eocene to the early Oligocene is likely related to the onset of cryosphere expansion, however, palaeodepth estimates are complicated by the onset of regional compressional tectonism at the Eocene/Oligocene boundary that caused localized tilting and an unconformity with possible antisyphon effects in this near-field site.

1. Introduction

There has been a long-term change to a cooler, ice sheet-prone planet since the Cretaceous period. One of the largest climate shifts was around the Eocene/Oligocene boundary (E/O). Prior to the E/O, deep ocean oxygen isotope records suggest that the Antarctic icesheet was...
small and transient ("the icehouse cometh", Browning et al., 1996), however by the Oligocene the ice sheet was expansive, reaching the Antarctic coast line (Zachos et al., 1996, 2001, 2008). A driver implicated in this change was the opening of the Southern Ocean and the onset of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) during the early Oligocene thermally isolating Antarctica (Kennett, 1977). However, the timing of onset of the ACC is estimated as either too early at ~40 Ma (Scher and Martin, 2006), or too late at ~30 Ma (Katz et al., 2011; Hill et al., 2013; Scher et al., 2015) to be the ultimate trigger. A more likely cause of cryosphere expansion is declining atmospheric CO2 from high CO2 greenhouse conditions of the early Cenozoic Earth (Pearson et al., 2009), which culminated in Early Atlantic Ice Sheet expansion by the early Oligocene and the onset of icehouse conditions (Zachos et al., 2001, 2008; OI–33.7 Ma). CO2 estimates in the late Eocene decline from >1200 to ~900 ppmv just prior to the Eocene/Oligocene boundary before falling to >700 ppmv during Oi (Pearson et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2013) suggesting CO2 decline was the primary driver of glacial expansion on Antarctica (Pearson et al., 2009; Pagani et al., 2011).

High southern paleolatitude outcrop records of the EOT are sparse, where, subsurface records are primarily derived from deep water IODP (International Ocean Discovery Program and its predecessors: e.g. Houben et al., 2013), other coring expeditions around Antarctica (e.g. Galeotti et al., 2016) and limited onshore and offshore cores from southeast Australia (Gallagher et al., 2013; Korasidis et al., 2019). Southeast Australia lay just north of 60°S during the late Eocene (Fig. 1). In this paper we describe a neritic carbonate outcrop (Browns Creek and Castle Cove) and subsurface (Colac-2 core) record across the Eocene/Oligocene boundary in the Otway Basin (Fig. 1). The outcrop sections have had a long history of (bio)stratigraphic analyses (see summaries in Kamp et al., 1990; Shafik and Idfur, 1993; Holdgate and Gallagher, 2002; McGowan, 2009; Houben et al., 2019a), suggesting that it is one of the best exposed neritic successions spanning the Eocene-Oligocene transition on the northern margin of the Australo-Antarctic Gulf. The section has the potential to reveal eustatic evidence for Paleogene glacial events. However, as the sections are exposed in several discontinuous outcrops previous studies have not been able to satisfactorily erect a consistent stratigraphy to form a framework for biostratigraphic analyses. In addition to the stratigraphic problems there are biostratigraphic discrepancies in the section that need clarification before this section can be directly compared to deeper oceanic archives. The aims of this paper are to: 1. describe the lithostratigraphy of these neritic carbonate outcrops and an adjacent core (Colac-2) using new facies analyses, outcrop and subsurface gamma logging and carbonate analyses; 2. biochronologically calibrate the sections using new and pre-existing foraminiferal, palynological (spores/pollen/dinocysts) and nannofossil analyses; 3. use new brachiopod (Sr) isotope dates with δ18O/δ13C benthic foraminiferal data together with pre-existing magnetostratigraphic data to constrain the chronology; and 4. to correlate these strata with deeper water high paleolatitude Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Sites; and to identify the E/O boundary and Oi events.

2. Geological setting

The Otway Basin (Fig. 1) is one of a series of basins along Australia’s southern margin that formed after the breakup of Australia from Antarctica. It consists of Cretaceous to recent strata that initially were deposited in rift related terrestrial environments and transitioned to a sag phase with fully marine conditions by the Paleogene (Gallagher and Holdgate, 2000; Gallagher et al., 1999; Holdgate and Gallagher, 2003; Frieling et al., 2018). Cenozoic strata in this basin are up to 2.5 km thick in the offshore region and are extensive yet thinner in the subsurface onshore area. Outcrops are common along the Otway coast where Neogene neotectonics (Dickinson et al., 2001; Dickinson et al., 2002) have tilted and exposed a series of Paleogene to Neogene strata near the Otway ranges (Fig. 1). The strata investigated in this paper include the outcrops at Browns Creek (West and East gullies, Figs. 1 to 4) and Castle Cove (Figs. 1, 5) with a subsurface section in Colac-2 (Figs. 1, 6; drilled by Geoscience Australia in 2003; 38.759’4”S, 143.38235’E). Paleogene marine siliciclastic strata of the Johanna River sands (Carter, 1958) of the Mepunga Formation (Gallagher and Holdgate, 2000; Holdgate and Gallagher, 2003) unconformably overlie the Cretaceous Otway Group in this area (Fig. 1). These are in turn overlain by the Browns Creek clays and Castle Cove limestone (Carter, 1958) of the Eocene to Oligocene Narrawaturk Formation (Gallagher and Holdgate, 2000; Holdgate and Gallagher, 2003). Undifferentiated terrestrial Cenozoic to Quaternary siliciclastic strata overlie these units (Fig. 1).

Additional informal units have previously been recognized in the Browns Creek clays in two gullies here denoted Browns Creek East (BCE) and Browns Creek West (BCW) (Fig. 1; Raggatt and Crespin, 1955; Cookson and Eisenack, 1965; McGowan, 1978; Tickell et al., 1992; Abele, 1994; McGowan, 2009):

1. Browns Creek East: the lower unit with 8 to 9 m of Turritella-rich dark grey to black clay overlaylain by a 2 m-thick shelly glauconitic greensand, with a horizon of Notostrea (the Notostrea Greensand, Fig. 3) 18 m of “banded” grey bryozoan clayey marl over lie this greensand.

2. The section continues in Browns Creek West (Fig. 4) with over 10 m of dark grey carbonateous marl, Turritella clay, and marl (Tickell et al., 1992; Abele, 1994) in section that partially overlaps with BCE, however, the correlations between the gullies in these papers are not well constrained.

The Browns Creek clays also outcrop in Castle Cove (CC, Fig. 5), although their relationship to BCE and BCW have not previously been determined, however, they are interpreted to strigraphically overlap the uppermost interval of BCE (McGowan, 1978; Waghorn, 1989; Kamp et al., 1990; Tickell et al., 1992). In CC the Browns Creek clays consist of 3 m of glauconitic marl that are overlain by ~16 m of “fawn” clay alternation with thin limestone bands (Carter, 1958). Over 20 m of Castle Cove limestone overlies the Browns Creek clays in this section (Fig. 5). This limestone unit is distinguished by its alternating interbeds of “gritty” limestones with brown sandy clay and marls (Carter, 1958).

The section has a long history of micropaleontological investigation and we include a brief synopsis here, as we will incorporate some of these data in this paper to determine the detailed stratigraphy and correlations between the outcrop and subsop core sections. Parr (1947) documented the genus Hantkenina alabamensis in the Notostrea greensand and interpreted an Eocene age for the section. More detailed work was carried out by Carter (1958) who first described the informal units Johanna River sands, Browns Creek clays and Castle Cove limestone. Carter also outlined benthic and planktic foraminiferal faunas in these units assigning them an Eocene age based on the presence of Globigerina/inaheka index and Subbotina linaperta. Cookson and Eisenack (1965) described several new species of microplankton from BCE. McGowan (1978, 2009) listed key planktic foraminiferal species in the section noting the top of Acarinina collacteata and rare A. primitiva near the base of the Browns Creek clays indicating the middle/upper Eocene boundary. McGowan (1978) also noted the Top G. index and Tenuatella insoluta in the Castle Cove limestone with the Top common G. index in the uppermost part of BCW (McGowan, 2009). Shafik (1981, 1983, 1989, 1995) and Shafik and Idfur (1997) described nannofossil assemblage datums and their relationship to foraminiferal datums and magnetostratigraphy in the lower 10 m of BCE to the top of the Notostrea greensand. This work confirmed the middle to late Eocene age for this part of the section. Waghorn (1989) and Kamp et al. (1990) used additional nannofossil (top Discoaster saipanensis) and planktic foraminiferal isotope data (a δ18O excursion) from BCE and CC to suggest that the Eocene/Oligocene boundary was in the Browns Creek clays above 17 m log level in BCE (Fig. 3) and at the base of the CC.
section (Fig. 5) ~35 m below the top of G. index reported by McGowan (1978) and Abele (1994). The foraminiferal and nannofossil data of the literature above were reviewed and additional foraminiferal data obtained by Abele (1994) for BCE, BCW and CC. This suggests that the middle/upper Eocene boundary is near the base of BCE and that the Top T. insolita is in the upper part of the Browns Creek clays and Top G. index near the top of the Castle Cove limestone, just below an interpreted Eocene/Oligocene boundary at Castle Cove. Waghorn (1989) and Kamp et al. (1990) interpreted their nannofossil datum to be isochronous with global datums and used them to locate the Eocene/Oligocene boundary and suggested typically Eocene foraminiferal taxa (*Globigerinatheka index*) survived past this boundary. However, Abele (1994) suggested that these nannofossil datums were diachronous and the foraminiferal datums isochronous. The various interpretations in the review above demonstrates that the location of the Eocene/Oligocene boundary in these outcrops is not clear. In this work we combine previous data (and have reanalysed all of Abele’s (2004) samples) with new biostratigraphic and chronostratigraphic data to interpret the stratigraphy of this high paleolatitude neritic section.

![Fig. 1. Location of the sections. (a) Paleogeographic reconstruction of southeast Australia at the Eocene-Oligocene boundary, red arrows are warm currents, black arrows are cool currents (adapted from Stickley et al., 2004), AAG = Australo-Antarctic Gulf. (b) Plate tectonic motions of Australia from 40 (green) to 30 Ma (red outline) to today (brown) adapted from Gallagher et al. (2017). (c) and (d) Regional geology of Browns Creek and Castle Cove and Colac-2 core (adapted from Tickell et al., 1992). BCW = Browns Creek West and BCE = Browns Creek East. The location of the Otway section is the yellow star in (a), (b) and (c). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
Table 1
Replicate analyses of six batches of brachiopods from BCE. The standard error (s.e.) uncertainty is calculated using Student’s t-test as outlined in McArthur et al. (2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>BCE log ht. m</th>
<th>87Sr/86Sr</th>
<th>2 s error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B8a</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.707739</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8b</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.707745</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.707742</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Student’s t</td>
<td>6.2050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.e. at 95% confidence interval</td>
<td>0.0000395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio normalized to NBS987 = 0.710248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8.5a</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.707748</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8.5b</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.707735</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.707742</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Student’s t</td>
<td>6.2050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.e. at 95% confidence interval</td>
<td>0.0000395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio normalized to NBS987 = 0.710248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9.5a</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.707748</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9.5c</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.707741</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9.5d</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.707739</td>
<td>0.000011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9.5e</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.707740</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.707742</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Student’s t</td>
<td>3.4940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.e. at 95% confidence interval</td>
<td>0.0000157</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio normalized to NBS987 = 0.710248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B18a</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.707771</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B18b</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.707746</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.707759</td>
<td>0.000011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Student’s t</td>
<td>6.2050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.e. at 95% confidence interval</td>
<td>0.0000395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio normalized to NBS987 = 0.710248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B25a</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.707795</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B25b</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.707795</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.707795</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Student’s t</td>
<td>6.2050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.e. at 95% confidence interval</td>
<td>0.0000395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio normalized to NBS987 = 0.710248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B26a</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.707792</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B26c</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.707796</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B26d</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.707797</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B26e</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.707806</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B26f</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.707783</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.707791</td>
<td>0.000007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Student’s t</td>
<td>2.9690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.e. at 95% confidence interval</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio normalized to NBS987 = 0.710248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Methods

3.1. Facies analyses and stratigraphic logging

Outcrop facies were logged, sampled and measured using a Jacobs staff (Fig. 3-5). Colac-2 core was logged (Fig. 6). While logging, the natural gamma content of each outcrop section was measured using a portable handheld radiation detector (RS-230 BGO Super-SPEC) at ~25 to ~50 cm intervals. This yielded values for total gamma (ppm, parts per million), K (%), U (ppm) and Th (ppm). An industry standard down hole (total) gamma log was acquired for Colac-2 measured in API units. %Carbonate content analyses using the volumetric technique of Wallace et al. (2002) were carried out on two hundred and sixty-four samples (Fig. 7). The facies are based on field observations and further classified using carbonate content and grain size using the limestone/marl/clay classification by Pettijohn (1975) and the textural classification of Dunham (1962). The facies, %carbonate and multispectral gamma data were used to correlate the strata from the subsurface (Colac-2) and between the outcrops (Fig. 7).

3.2. Foraminiferal analyses

209 samples were processed from all sections for foraminifera by standard microfossil techniques and split using a microsplitter for counting. Residues from BCE, BCW and CC were picked for foraminiferal Cibicidoides spp. stable isotope analyses (see below, Fig. 8). Around 250 planktic and benthic foraminifera were counted in the ±63 μm fraction of the 79 samples in Colac-2 (Fig. 9). Over 600 foraminifera were counted in the ±63 μm fraction of each of the 29 samples from BCW, 70 from BCE and 28 samples from CC (Figs. 9, 10). The percentage planktics in the total foraminiferal assemblage was calculated (Fig. 9). Quantitative and semi-quantitative biostratigraphically significant planktic taxa are plotted (Fig. 10, Supplementary Table S1). This figure includes data from all the outcrop microfossil samples analysed by Abele (1994). We have rechecked the planktic foraminiferal identification in all Abele’s samples and have found these data are robust (discussed below). The foraminiferal taxonomy follows that of Gallagher et al. (1999), Gallagher and Holdgate (2000), Pearson et al. (2006) and Wade et al. (2018).

3.3. Nannofossil analyses

22 samples (Fig. 11, Supplementary Table S1) were prepared as smear slides (Bown and Young, 1998) and analysed using a Zeiss Axioskop microscope at x 1000 magnification in cross polarised and phase contrast light. Assemblages were logged semi-quantitatively. The nannofossil biozones of Martini (1971) were used, with additional events considered from Okada and Bukry (1980) calibrated to the Gradstein et al. (2012) timescale. Key biostratigraphically important taxa are plotted (Fig. 9) combined with data from Shaﬁk (1981, 1983, 1989, 1995); Shaﬁk and Idnurm (1997); Waghorn, (1989) and Kamp et al. (1990).

3.4. Palynological analyses

15 samples from BCE and BCW were processed for palynological analyses following standardized methods used at the Laboratory of Palaeobotany and Palynology, Utrecht University, The Netherlands (Houben et al., 2019a). In brief, freeze-dried samples were processed for semi-quantitative analyses (including the addition of Lycopodium marker spores) using 30% hydrochloric acid and 38% hydrofluoric acid. Residues were placed in an ultrasonic bath for a maximum of 5 min and sieved over a 15 μm mesh. Slides were analysed at 500 X magnification to a minimum of 200 dinocysts. When dinocyst counts were low, counting was stopped after 2 slides. When less than 50 dinocysts were identified after the counting of 2 slides, results were
discarded for qualitative analysis. Dinocyst nomenclature and taxonomy, unless stated otherwise, are based on the work of Fensome et al. (2008). Taxonomy of spinose Transantarctic dinocyst taxa (Vozzhennikovia, Spinidinium) follows Sluijs et al. (2009). A further 48 samples were processed for palynofloras by Global Geolab in Canada using standard palynological processing techniques including HCl and HF acid digestion, heavy liquid separation and oxidation with nitric acid. These samples were analysed for spore-pollen biostratigraphy using the palynological zonation schemes of Stover and Partridge (1973) and Partridge (1999). Slides were analysed on a Zeiss Axioscope A1 microscope.

Fig. 3. Browns Creek East. Informal units in the Browns Creek clays are numbered 1 to 5 with the exception of the Notostrea greensand which is a unit between units 1 and 2. (a) Two calcareous beds in the sandy ferruginous Johanna River sand/Mepunga Formation. (b) Notostrea greensand (~2 m thick) overlying the calcareous clay of unit 1. (c) Metre scale cyclic alternations of clayey marls, marls (wackestones) and calcisiltites (packstones) in the Browns Creek clays looking west, the vertical thickness between the lower nodule bed and the unit 2/3 boundary is 3 m. (d) Boundary between the grey marl (wackestone) and the “upper dark” calcareous clay in unit 4. (e) 2.5 m of the uppermost section showing the transition from the calcareous clay of unit 4 to ferruginized marl of unit 5.
3.5. Benthic foraminiferal oxygen/carbon isotope analyses

Out of the 127 outcrop samples analysed for foraminifera, only 50 samples yielded sufficient quantities (two to three specimens > 150 μm) of well-preserved *Cibicidoides* spp. (Fig. 8; Supplementary Table S1). Stable isotope analyses were performed at the University College of London (UCL) Bloomsbury Environmental Isotope Facility using a Gas Bench II device. Analytical precision was within 0.04 and 0.08‰ for δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O, respectively. All unknowns were analysed with international and internal standards of similar
weight. Isotopic ratios are reported as δ values relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite standard (VPDB).

3.6. Strontium isotope analyses

18 terebratulid brachiopods were collected from six horizons in BCE (Fig. 12). Eight brachiopods were collected from eight horizons in CC (Fig. 12). All samples were prepared according to the method outlined in McLaren et al. (2009). They were cleaned and washed using dilute hydrochloric acid. Each brachiopod was powdered using an agate mortar and pestle. Powders were dissolved in 1 M acetic acid to minimise extraction of Sr from clays and other terrigenous material (e.g. DePaolo and Ingram, 1985), and strontium extraction followed standard methods of ion exchange.

The BCE brachiopod isotopic compositions were measured on a VG 354 thermal ionisation mass spectrometer at the CSIRO radiogenic isotope facility in Sydney. External precision of ± 0.000018 (2 standard errors of the mean) is assumed from measurement of the NBS 987 standard over the period of analysis. Strontium isotope ages were determined from the seawater strontium curve of McArthur et al., (2001) using Look-up table Version 5: 09 04 12, calibrated to the GTS2012 timescale of Gradstein et al., (2012). $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios reported herein

---

Fig. 5. Castle Cove, log height in metres. Browns Creek clays informal units are numbered 4 to 5. (a) Basal 2 m of CC with the boundary between unit 4 and 5 denoted by a glauconitic calcareous sand (~1 m thick). (c) Boundary between the sandy marl/limestones of unit 5 and the Castle Cove limestone with its distinctive meter scale alternations of sandy limestones (packstone/grainstones) and calcareous clays (thickness between the orange arrows is ~8 m). (b) Well-bedded sandy limestones (packstone/grainstones) and sandy marls (wackestones) of unit 5, the outcrop of unit 5 here is ~10 m thick.
(Table 2) are normalized to NBS987 $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr = 0.710235. The results were recalibrated to the standard NBS987 = 0.710248 (Table 3) for comparison with the Look-up tables.

Sr isotope ratios were measured on the CC brachiopods (single specimens) using a Neptune MC-ICPMS at the University of South Carolina. Samples were introduced into the MC-ICPMS by way of a high efficiency inlet system (APEX, Elemental Scientific, Omaha, NE). With the Jet Interface, consisting of the Jet-sampler and X-skimmer cones, and a 100 μl/min nebulizer instrumental sensitivity was 2400 v/ppm Sr. Isotope measurements consist of 40 cycles of 8 s. All isotopes of Sr were collected. We monitored masses 82 and 83 for krypton (Kr) to correct for interference of $^{86}$Kr on mass 86. Krypton is present in trace quantities in bulk argon supply tank. The Kr correction was negligible as calculated $^{86}$Kr contributed less than 0.01% to the total signal on mass 86. We also monitored mass 85 for rubidium (Rb) to correct for interference for $^{87}$Rb on mass 87. Presence of Rb is indicative of inadequate separation during column chemistry. The Rb correction was negligible as $^{87}$Kr contributed less than 0.001% to the total signal on mass 87. Instrumental mass fractionation was corrected by normalizing all measured ratios to a reference ratio of $^{86}$Sr/$^{88}$Sr = 0.7219 with an exponential function. Results for replicate analysis of the Sr isotope standard SRM-987 (with a value of 0.710248) during this analytical session are $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr = 0.710265 ± 0.000010 (2σ, n = 6). All CC values were adjusted to the SRM-987 standard.

4. Results

4.1. Facies and stratigraphy

The succession can be subdivided into the two main units: Johanna River sands (Mepunga Formation) and the Narrawaturk Formation. Several other laterally correlative units are distinguished in the Narrawaturk Formation including 5 informal units described in this work in the Browns Creek clays (Units 1 to 5) and the previously described Notostrea greensand and Castle Cove limestone. These are described below:

4.1.1. Johanna River sands (Mepunga formation)

The lower 2 m of BCE (Figs. 3, 7) are coarse ferruginous calcareous sand with two cemented sandy limestone (packstone/grainstone > 60%
CaCO₃) horizons. Gamma values are high > 2800 cpm with low %K, fluctuating high Th and U values. In Colac-2 the lowermost part of the core this unit is a coarse ferruginous sand.

4.1.2. Narrawaturk formation

This formation is divided into two units: Browns Creek clays and Castle Cove limestone.

4.1.2.1. Browns Creek clays

The lower part of this interval (unit 1, Fig. 3; 7) in BCE are seven meters of dark grey to black calcareous clay (wackestone) with common Turritella spp. with occasional lighter grey cemented limestone (packstone), nodular limestone and burrowed horizons with pyrite concretions in the upper half. Calcareous content decreases up section (30 to 10%) while gamma values increase from 2000 cpm (low %K < 1%) to a maximum of > 2900 cpm (high %K > 2%). Th values are relatively high > 6 ppm and fluctuating while U values remain low. Two maxima of %K at log level 4 m and 7.5 m (Fig. 7) account for the high gamma values where %carbonate is low. In Colac-2, unit 1 is a 2.5 m-thick black/dark grey clay grading upwards to a calcareous clay and gamma maximum at 66.5 m log level. This maximum correlates to a peak at 8 m log level in BCE (Fig. 7). Unit 1 is overlain by the Notostrea greensand, a distinctive previously recognized unit that outcrops in BCE (Fig. 3d, 7) and subcrops in Colac-2 (Figs. 6, 7). The uppermost burrowed black high-gamma clay of unit 1 grades up section to ~2 m of coarse shelly glauconitic calcareous sand (above log level 8 m in BCE and 67 m in Colac-1). Notostrea spp. form a horizon at 9.5 log level in BCE yet does not form a distinct horizon in Colac-2. Gamma values decrease up section in this unit (when %K decreases from 2 to 1%) with a minor peak at 9.5 m in BCE that correlates with a maximum just below 65 m in Colac-2 (Fig. 7).

Up to 15 m of grey marl (wackestone) and light grey calcisiltite (packstone) interbeds (units 2 and 3) dominate the strata overlying the Notostrea greensand in BCE and BCW, these units are not as distinctive in the Colac-2 section (Figs. 3, 4, 7) but can be correlated based on gamma and %carbonate. With the exception of a horizon with high gamma (U ppm) values at ~11.5 m in BCE, values are low compared to the overlying and underlying units (< 2000 ppm with low %K < 1% and Th ppm in outcrop and < 60 API in Colac-2, Fig. 7). Alternations of grey marl and dark grey clayey marl (wackestone) typify unit 2 with minor 0.5 m thick light-grey calcisiltite (packstone) beds (near the base) and concretionary (nodular) horizons. The base of unit 3 (log level 19 m BCE, 5 m BCW (Fig. 4) and 59.5 m in Colac 2) and top of unit 2 is a 10 cm thick limestone (packstone/grainstone) with > 80% carbonate (this distinctive thin laterally correlative limestone records the maximum %carbonate for the section just above a gamma-poor interval). Overlying this are distinct metre-scale alternations of light grey calcisiltite (packstone)/grey marl (wackestone) in outcrop with occasional bioturbated horizons in BCW and BCE (Figs. 3, 4, 7). The %carbonate in Colac-2 does not vary as much as the BCE and BCW outcrop, primarily due to the presence of mainly marl (wackestone) facies with minor thin limestone (packstone/grainstone) and calcisiltite (packstone) horizons (Figs. 6, 7) however, overall the gamma patterns are similar to BCW with a gamma low at 64 m in Colac-2 equivalent to that near the base of the BCW section (Fig. 7) with another minimum below the unit 2/3 boundary that is present in BCE and BCW. Gamma values decrease up section in unit 3 while %carbonate decreases.

Unit 4 is lithologically variable however it is correlated across all sections based on its relatively low fluctuating %carbonate and similar gamma profiles (Fig. 7). The base of this unit is below a gamma maximum (U ~ 3–4 ppm) associated with a reduction %carbonate compared to unit 3 to < 40%. Calcareous glauconitic sand makes up the
lower 5 m of this unit in Colac-2. The lower part of unit 4 in BCW is a dark grey bioturbated clayey marl (wackestone), overlain by a metre of grey shelly calcisiltite (packstone). A marl (wackestone) forms the base of unit 4 in BCE and CC (Fig. 7). One to four meters of black to dark grey (*Turritella*-rich in outcrop) calcareous clay with relatively high %K (> 1%) forms the rest of unit 4 in Colac-2, BCW and BCE (Fig. 3). In CC however, the equivalent strata to this clay is a %K-rich (low gamma) glauconitic shelly calcareous sand.

Similar to unit 4, unit 5 is also lithologically variable but can be correlated based on gamma trends. A basal gamma maximum (with peaks of 1.5% K and > 10 ppm Th in outcrop) ties the sections (Fig. 7). The base of unit 5 is a clay that grades up section to marl (wackestone) in Colac-2 (Fig. 6) and BCE (with iron staining, Fig. 3). In BCW the upper bioturbated part of unit 4 is overlain by laminated and ripple cross-laminated well sorted ferruginous calcareous shelly fine sand at the base of unit 5 (Fig. 4). This is in turn overlain by ferruginous shelly sand marl (wackestone) and a layer of laminated well-sorted fine sand followed by over seven meters of grey sandy marl (wackestone) (Figs. 4, 7). In CC a basal glauconitic shelly calcareous sand is overlain (with two exposure gaps) by around 20 m of light-grey shelly sandy marl (wackestone) with thin bedded continuous and discontinuous sandy limestones (grainstones). Two of these sandy limestone horizons preserve irregular scoured bases and metre-scale low angled trough cross bedding at ~10 and ~ 21 m log level (Fig. 5). Gamma values are low in this unit associated with low Th, U and %K. Values increase in the upper three metre of unit 5.

4.1.2.2. Castle Cove limestone. The base of this unit in CC (Fig. 5) is defined as the first brown calcareous clay in a series of metre scale cyclic alternations of brown calcareous clay (~10% CaCO3) and grey sandy limestone (grainstone). Brachiopods and bivalves are common with minor glauconite. Many of the sandy limestone units are discontinuous or have irregular scoured bases. Low angle cross bedding is common in the limestone above 29 m (Fig. 5). Gamma values fluctuate strongly with the clay predominantly gamma-rich (due to high %K and Th), however, some of the limestones also show gamma maxima near the top of some of the beds.

4.2. Benthic foraminiferal oxygen and carbon isotopes

The *Cibicidoides* spp. δ¹⁸O values were adjusted to seawater equilibrium values by adding +0.64‰ (Shackleton and Opdyke, 1973). All other values were not adjusted. δ¹³C values generally become more positive up section in unit 3 in BCE, with an interval of more negative δ¹⁸O values at ~22.5 m. δ¹³C values in BCE, BCW and CC increase from < 1.75‰ to > 2.25‰ up section from units 3 to 5 and remain more positive into the Castle Cove section (Fig. 8). δ¹³C values are more positive near the top of unit 4 and increase into unit 5, however, values fluctuate markedly while δ¹⁸O values remain stable. δ¹⁸O values are generally more positive > 1.05‰ in units 5 and the Castle Cove limestone in CC with minor fluctuations.
4.3. %Planktic foraminifera

The %planktic foraminifera in the total assemblage varies along strike and up section (Fig. 9; Supplementary Table S1). Foraminifera are absent in Johanna River Sands (Mepunga Fm) and unit 1 of the Browns Creek clays in Colac-2. %Planktics increase in abundance up section from ~5% Johanna River Sands (Mepunga Fm) to ~28% in unit 1 in the BCE. Planktics are relatively common in the Notostrea greensand (22–30%). In unit 2 the %planktics increase from ~20% near the base to ~50% near the top in Colac-2 and 35–40% in BCW and BCE, although values fluctuate markedly in Colac-2 in this interval (generally > 20% to 50%). %Planktics is reduces at the unit 2/3 boundary to ~30% followed up section in unit 3 by variable yet higher planktic percentages (up to ~50%) in Colac-2 and BCW and BCE (up to ~38%). With exception of the basal unit in BCW and CC (~35%) the %planktics in all sections reduces to ~20% or less in unit 4 (Fig. 9). In the overlying unit 5%planktics fluctuate markedly along strike with occasional maxima of ~30% yet generally staying less than 20%. The %Planktics are less than ~10% in the upper part of unit 5 and into the Castle Cove limestone (Fig. 9).

4.4. Biostratigraphy

We describe palaeontologic data from each section and combine this with previous data (Shaﬁk, 1981; Shaﬁk, 1995; Waghorn, 1989; Abele, 1994) to determine the biostratigraphic framework (Figs. 10, 11, Supplementary Table S1).

4.4.1. Foraminifera

This section focuses on the distribution of biostratigraphically significant foraminiferal taxa (Fig. 10). The top of Acarminina collactea and A. primitiva is at 1.5 m log level in BCE (Abele, 1994), at the same level previously reported by McGowran (1978, 2009). The top of these taxa is also at 67 m in Colac-2. Hantkenina compressa is rare and confined to the Notostrea greensand in BCE. Globigerinatheka index is common in most samples in BCE, BCW and CC and its last rare occurrence is near the top of the Castle Cove limestone (McGowran, 1978) above its last common occurrence at the base of the Castle Cove limestone in CC (Abele, 1994). The top common G. index is just below 55 m in Colac-2, above this it is rare to 45 m log level. Similar to G. index, Tenuitella insolita is present where it last occurs in unit 5. Its top common occurrence is near the base of unit 5 in BCE and CC. The base of Sphaeroidina spp. is in the late Eocene in the St. Vincent Basin (700 km northwest of Browns Creek) and has previously been documented in the top 5 m of BCE (McGowran et al., 1992). Our data confirms this, as the base of this taxon is near the top of unit 4 in Colac-2, BCE and BCW and is present at the base of CC (Fig. 10). This benthic foraminiferal datum enhances the correlation of the 5/4 unit boundary between the four sections (Fig. 7). The top of common Pseudohastigerina micra is at 56.4 m in Colac-2, at ~25 m log level in BCE (McGowran, 1994).
1978) and at the base of CC (Abele, 1994).

4.4.2. Nannofossils

The assemblages in the twenty-four samples analysed are diverse and attain species richness values (up to 66 species) that are higher than is typically observed in coeval Paleogene sections (Bown et al., 2004). The high quality of preservation is evident from the presence of abundant small coccoliths (Reticulofenestra spp. and small coccolithaceans) and conspicuous fragile taxa, such as holococcoliths and fragile Blackites and Rhabdosphaera spp. The assemblages are dominated by reticulofenestrids alongside common Blackites spp. and frequent to common Coccolithus pelagicus, Isthmolithus recurvus, Pontosphaera spp. and the holococcolith species Lanternithus minutus and Zygrhablbithus bigugatus.

The base of BCE (Fig. 11) includes rare Ismolithus recurvus as previously reported by Shaﬁk (1981, 1995) and the presence of Chiasmolithus oamarusensis. The base common I. recurvus is at 7.25 m (BCE) followed by the top of small Reticulofenestra reticulata at 8 m (see also Shaﬁk, 1981, 1995). The top of Discoaster saipanensis is at ~19 m in BCE, ~56 m in Colac-2 and at the base of CC (Waghorn, 1989) but is almost always very rare. The top common Chiasmolithus oamarusensis is at 28.4 m in BCE. The top common Clasiohiscoccus subdistichus is at ~30 m in CC in the Castle Cove limestone. The top of Pontosphaera pulchra and Coccolithus formosus are above the 30 m log level of CC (Fig. 11).

4.4.3. Palynomorphs

4.4.3.1. Dinoflagellates. At Browns Creek, dinocyst assemblages are rich and very well preserved. Enneadocysta pectiniformis occurs as the mid-low latitude counterpart species of E. diktyostila and specimens of Deflandrea primordialis phosphoritica. Assemblages are dominated by Spiniferites spp., a generalist cosmopolitan taxon (Sluijs et al., 2005). The low-latitude taxa Schematophora speciosa and Hemiplaciphora semilunifera are abundant to dominant in unit 1 below the Notostrea Greensand (Fig. 11). Unit 3 is characterized by typical outer neritic taxa (Spiniferites spp., Operculodinium spp.). The first occurrence of Stoveracysta kakanuiensis is at the base of unit 5 in BCW and is present with Reticulatosphaera actinocoronata until log level 20.5 m (Fig. 11).

4.4.3.2. Spores/pollen. Samples from log level 7.5 to 20 m in BCW are assigned to the late Eocene Middle Nothofagidites asperus spore-pollen Zone (Fig. 11) based on the presence of Anacolosidites sectus and Tricolpites magnificus (Partridge, 1999). The top of Aglaoreidid...
**4.5. Brachiopod strontium isotope chronology**

The strontium isotope age estimates of the three horizons of brachiopods in the Notostrea greensand have wide error ranges from 34.5 to > 38 Ma (Fig. 12). However, the preferred age range of each horizon (two brachiopods analysed) from 8 to 8.5 m is very similar (36.74 ± 2.21 Ma). The replicate analyses of the four brachiopods at 9.5 m (the Notostrea horizon) is better constrained (36.72 ± 1.34/−1.22 Ma). The next sample in BCE at 18 m also has a large age error with a preferred age from 35.47 ± 3.38/−1.26 Ma. The two sets of brachiopod samples from 25 and 26 m in BCE yield similar ages of 34.27 ± 1.37/−0.78 Ma and 34.29 ± 0.55/−0.43 Ma (replicate analyses of six brachiopods). Two brachiopods near the base of the CC (2.25 m) yield well constrained ages of 34.1 + 0.15/−0.16 and 34.19 ± 0.15 Ma. These are followed up section by brachiopod ages of 34.4 + 0.18/−0.16 Ma (log level 10 m) and 34.05 + 0.15/−0.16 Ma (log level 20.5 m) suggesting the uppermost part of the Brown Creek clays is latest Eocene to earliest Oligocene in age. The Castle Cove limestone (Fig. 10) yields early Oligocene ages of 33.79 ± 0.17 Ma (log level 27 m), 33.63 + 0.17/−0.16 Ma (log level 30.25 m) and 33.72 + 0.17/−0.16 Ma (log level 32.25 m). An uppermost brachiopods sample 47 m above the last outcrop of Castle Cove limestone yields an age of 32.9 + 0.15/−0.18 Ma age (Fig. 12).

**Fig. 11.** Nannofossil and organic microfossil biostratigraphic data (see text for details; Supplementary Table S1). T = top; TC = top common; B = base; BC = base common. Semi-quantitative data are round circles. Nannofossil datums have green lettering, dinoflagellate datums are red and the spore-pollen zonation is black.

(For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
5. Discussion

Integrated bio/chronostratigraphic analyses of a high paleolatitude (55°S) Paleogene section in southeast Australia shows that the sections range in age from the middle Eocene to the early Oligocene. The strata in this area are typical neritic facies deposited at palaeodepths less than 100 m on a cool-water carbonate ramp (Boreen and James, 1995; Gallagher and Holdgate, 2000; Gallagher et al., 1999). We discuss facies and the chronological framework of the section below and its likely relationship to global Eocene/Oligocene boundary events.

5.1. Facies and palaeodepth

The cyclic alternations of marl (wackestone)/calcareous clay and
packstone cycles in the Browns Creek section have been interpreted to have been deposited in a deep ramp setting (Boreen and James, 1995) in middle to outer neritic environments (Shafik, 1983). These are teregenous dilution cycles where carbonate-rich facies are interpreted to represent deeper conditions than the shallower more silicilastic-rich facies (Boreen and James, 1995). However, the facies interpretation of Boreen and James (1995) and Shafik, 1983) only apply to informal units 2 and 3 of the Browns Creek clays and not to the silicilastic dominated units 1, 4 and 5. The relatively high percentage planktic foraminifera in units 2 and 3 compared to units 1, 4 and 5 suggests increased oceanicity and relative palaeodepth (cf. van der Zwaan et al., 1990, 1999) where the %planktics (30–50%) represent palaeodepths ranging from 100 to 200 m (outer shelf or ramp conditions). The upward increase in %planktics and carbonates in unit 1 is interpreted to reflect deepening from the middle to late Eocene, when the basal Narrawatukur Formation (Browns Creek clays) transgressed over coarser higher energy inner to middle shelf Melupnga Formation (as previously documented regionally in the Otway Basin by Gallagher and Holdgate, 2000).

The lithostratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the sections suggests there are two erosional horizons representing gaps in the section. For example, the lower boundary of the Notostrea greensand is interpreted to be erosive, as at least 5 m of unit 1 is missing in Colac-2 compared to BCE. In addition, the large gamma peak (high %K) at this boundary is likely related to non-deposition followed by a condensed sequence dominated by glaucony (cf. Banerjee et al., 2016). After this deepening to middle-outer neritic depths led to the deposition of planktic- and carbonate-rich cyclic ramp facies of unit 2 and 3. The metre-scale cycles in this interval are dilution cycles where increased silicilastic input during lower sea levels led to calcareous mud/muddy marl (wackestone) deposition and carbonate-rich siltstones (packstones) during higher sea levels (Boreen and James, 1995). The laterally variable silicilastic-dominated facies of the overlying unit 4 is associated with a marked decrease in %planktics (to < 20%), inner to middle shelf palaeodepts (cf. van der Zwaan et al., 1990, 1999), and is therefore interpreted to have been deposited in shallower neritic conditions landward of the underlying unit 3. These strata are in turn overlain by unit 5 which is typified by a large gamma maximum with variable % planktics (generally less than %20) associated with high %K with some glaucony near the base of CC. We interpret this as a condensed horizon associated with a gap in the sequence. It is also possible that it may have been subaerially exposed leading to ferruginization of the surface. The top of unit 3 is seven meters below the base of unit 5 in Colac-2 and BCW whereas it is 3 m below this horizon in BCE. This suggests that units 3 and 4 are tilted to the west and the erosive base of unit 5 is a low angle unconformity. The overlying facies of unit 5 is variable yet carbonate-rich (sandy well bedded marls/wackestones) suggesting a return to slightly deeper neritic conditions (middle shelf ~20% planktics) alternating with shallow inner shelf palaeodepts (< 10% planktics) in a storm dominated ramp in the upper part of the Browns Creek clays (Boreen and James, 1995). This is overlain in turn by the distinctive calcareous clay/sandy limestone (cross-bedded and massive grainstones, Fig. 3) shallowing upward cycles in the Castle Cove limestone with less than 10% planktics interpreted to represent inner shelf tempestite cycles (Boreen and James, 1995).

5.2. Chronostratigraphic framework

We combine calcareous and organic microfossil biochrononology calibrated to GTS2012 (Gradstein et al., 2012) with brachiopod Sr isotope data and benthic foraminiferal isotopes to constrain the age of the section (Figs. 10, 11, 12, 13).

(i) Middle Eocene: the basal 3.5 m of BCW and Colac-2 yield middle Eocene foraminifera: Acaritina spp. (T 37.96 Ma) and rare A. primitiva (T 39.33 Ma). Nannofossils include: rare Isomolithus recurvus (B 36.97 Ma) reported herein by Shafik (1981, 1983) and Chiasmolithus oamuensis (B 38.09 Ma, Fig. 11). Waghorn (1989) did not observe I. recurvus in this interval, instead recorded it further up section near the top of unit 1. However, I. recurvus is also

Table 2
The age of the brachiopods in BCE (Fig. 10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>BCE log ht. m</th>
<th>87Sr/86Sr</th>
<th>2 s error</th>
<th>Age Range (Ma)</th>
<th>Preferred age (Ma)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.707755</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
<td>37.45-34.58</td>
<td>36.46 + 0.29 / -1.51</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.707755</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
<td>37.45-34.67</td>
<td>36.46 + 0.29 / -1.42</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.707755</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
<td>37.45-35.33</td>
<td>36.46 + 0.29 / -0.53</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.707772</td>
<td>0.000011</td>
<td>36.00-34.05</td>
<td>35.23 + 0.32 / -0.99</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.707808</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
<td>34.45-33.48</td>
<td>33.97 + 0.31 / -0.34</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.707807</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
<td>34.45-33.73</td>
<td>33.99 + 0.31 / -0.12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: measured isotopic ratios normalized to the standard SRM987 = 0.710248. External Precision from measurement of NBS987 standard ± 0.00020% (± 0.000018) (95% confidence limits). Ages calculated from McArthur et al. (2001). Preferred ages include the error on the Sr sea-water curve from the Look-up table Version 5: 09 04 12, calibrated to the GTS2012 timescale of (Gradstein et al., 2012). Age range includes the errors of the look up table and analytical error.

Table 3
The age of the brachiopods in CC (Fig. 10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>CC log ht. m</th>
<th>87Sr/86Sr</th>
<th>2 s error</th>
<th>Age Range (Ma)</th>
<th>Preferred age (Ma)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.707817</td>
<td>0.000006</td>
<td>34.47-33.73</td>
<td>34.10 + 0.15 / -0.16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC2.25 ×</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.707812</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
<td>34.57-33.82</td>
<td>34.19 + 0.15 / -0.15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC10M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.707802</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
<td>34.91-34.04</td>
<td>34.40 + 0.18 / -0.16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC01</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.707820</td>
<td>0.000005</td>
<td>34.41-33.67</td>
<td>34.05 + 0.15 / -0.16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC04</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.707832</td>
<td>0.000007</td>
<td>34.15-33.42</td>
<td>33.79 + 0.17 / -0.17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC05</td>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>0.707840</td>
<td>0.000005</td>
<td>34.01-33.28</td>
<td>33.63 + 0.17 / -0.16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC06</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>0.707836</td>
<td>0.000005</td>
<td>34.09-33.36</td>
<td>33.72 + 0.17 / -0.16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A20</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.707880</td>
<td>0.000006</td>
<td>33.23-32.48</td>
<td>32.90 + 0.15 / -0.18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Instrumental mass fractionation was corrected by normalizing all measured ratios to a reference ratio of 86Sr/88Sr = 0.7219 with an exponential function. Results for replicate analysis of the Sr isotope standard SRM-987 (with values 0.710248) during this analytical sequence are 86Sr/88Sr = 0.710265 ± 0.000010 (2σ, n = 6). All CC values were adjusted to the SRM-987 standard. Ages calculated from McArthur et al. (2001). Preferred ages include the error on the Sr sea-water curve from the Look-up table Version 5: 09 04 12, calibrated to the GTS2012 timescale of (Gradstein et al., 2012). Age range includes the errors of the look up table and analytical error.
reported from Chron C17n 1n (37.785–37.908 Ma) and older (Agnini et al., 2014). Thus the lower normal interval in this section likely lies in the middle Eocene C17n2n and/or C18n1n magnetostratigraphic zones (McGowran, 2009; Shafik and Idnurm, 1997) with a youngest age of 37.872 Ma. If this is the case then the specimens of A. primitiva may be reworked as postulated by Abele (1994) and the middle/upper Eocene boundary is at ~3 m log level.

(ii) Late Eocene: The top of Reticulofenestra reticulata (T 35.92 Ma) overlapping with the base common Isomolithus recurvus (B 36.97 Ma) near the top of unit 1 (Fig. 11) suggests that the distinct reversal event identified by Shafik and Idnurm (1997) in this interval is likely to be C16r (36.7–36.986 Ma). The Sr dates in the overlying Notostrea greensand have wide preferred age errors (Fig. 12) that overlap with these biostratigraphic datums. This section also contains the base and top of Hantkenina compressa (T 33.89 Ma; Figs. 10, 12) and the base (common) Tenuitella insolita (B 35.892 Ma). These dates and the discordant stratigraphy between Colac-2 and BCW (discussed above) suggest the hiatus beneath the Notostrea greensand spans 35.892 to 36.7 Ma (Fig. 13) and that the normal chron event at this horizon may be C16n1n (35.706–35.892 Ma). Chronological constraints in unit 2 are sparse and include a large error Sr brachiopod date at 18 m. Useful biostratigraphic datums above this level are present near the base of unit 4 (Fig. 12) and include the top of Discoaster saipanensis (T 34.44 Ma), the top of common Pseudohastigerina micra (TC 33.89 Ma) and the top (common) of Tenuitella insolita (T 34.99 Ma). Other single occurrences of T. insolita above this level are interpreted to be reworked (Abele, 1994). These datums lie within the preferred age ranges of the brachiopod Sr dates at this level (Fig. 12). The biochronological data suggests that the base of unit 4 is ~35 Ma (Figs. 12, 13). Strontium isotope dates above the unconformity in unit 5 have relatively reduced preferred age error ranges compared with those in units 3 to 4, with samples from Castle Cove log level 2.5 m yielding a preferred age range from 33.94–34.34 Ma. The dinocysts Stoveracysta kakamiaensis and Reticalatosphaera actinocoronata are present near the base of unit 5 (Fig. 11) and these dinocyst taxa are confined to the C13r chron (33.7–34.99 Ma; Houben et al., 2019a). In addition, there is a 0.5‰ shift to more positive δ^{13}C benthic foraminiferal isotope values in the transition from units 4 to 5 in three sections (BCW, BCE and CC, Figs. 8, 13), which is similar in magnitude to the shift at ODP Sites 689/690 and 744 associated with the EOT-1 (Eocene/
Oligocene Transition) to Oi-1 glacial transition from 34 to 33.7 Ma (Fig. 13). Therefore, combined biochronological and isotope data suggest the base of unit 5 is significantly younger than the top of unit 4 (i.e. unit 5 lies above an unconformity) and that the EOT-1 (sensu Katz et al., 2008, Coxall and Wilson, 2011; Houben et al., 2019b; ~34 Ma) is near the base of unit 5 (Fig. 13). After EOT-1 the remainder of unit 5 Sr isotope ages are ~34 Ma suggesting high sedimentation rates while δ18O and δ13C benthic foraminiferal isotope values fluctuate but remain positive compared to prior to the EOT-1 (Fig. 13).

(iii) Early Oligocene: All brachiopod Sr dates in the Castle Cove limestone suggest an early Oligocene age (Fig. 12). This is corroborated by the relatively positive C/O benthic isopes (compared to the underlying Eocene) and the top common *Classicococcus subdistichus* (34.43 Ma) and the top of *Coccolithus formosus* (32.92 Ma). We suggest that the earliest Oligocene tempestite cycles in the Castle Cove are likely a manifestation of obliquity-dominated (41 kyr) sea level variability that persisted during the Early Oligocene Glacial Maximum (Pälike et al., 2006) and therefore that these strata were deposited from ~33.5 to 33.2 Ma (Fig. 13).

Common *Globigerinatheka index* (a typically Eocene planktic foraminiferan taxon) last occurs at the base of the Oligocene Castle Cove limestone with limited (reworked?) occurrences above this level (Figs. 10, 12). However, in the Austral realm the top of *G. index* is at 34.61 Ma defining the top of the AE10 Zone (Huber and Quillévorte, 2005). This biochronological discrepancy has been noted in the Castle Cove section previously by Kamp et al. (1990) and Waghorn (1989) who suggested this taxon locally survived into the early Oligocene. The subsequent persistence of more positive C/O isotope values above this unconformity suggests the top of Browns Creek and the base of Castle Cove section correlate to EOT-1. The subsequent persistence of positive C/O isotope values above this level likely records the transition to the Oi-1 glaciation at ~33.7 Ma. The onset of distinct shallow upward tempestite cyclicity in the Castle Cove limestone is interpreted to be a manifestation of the onset of obliquity dominated glacio-eustacy that subsequently dominated the Oligocene epoch (Pälike et al., 2006). The shallowing from outer to inner shelf palaeodepths from the late Eocene to the early Oligocene is likely related to the onset of cryosphere expansion, however palaeodepth estimates are complicated by the onset of regional compressional tectonism at the Eocene/Oligocene boundary that caused localized tilting and an unconformity with possible antiphonning effects in this near-field site (Gallagher et al., 2013).

6. Conclusions

We combine new bio-, chemo- and lithostratigraphic analyses of the outcrops and subsurface core (Colac-2) at high latitude Otway Basin, southwest Australia with pre-existing data to revise the stratigraphy of this section. Our work shows that the strata in this area spanned the Eocene-Oligocene boundary. Shallow marine sequences across this critical climate threshold are rare but have the potential to record the near field eustatic and oceanic consequences of Oligocene glacial expansion and contraction (Gallagher et al., 2013). During this time the region lay at a paleolatitude of at least 55°S on the northeastern margin of the Australo-Antarctic Gulf facing the evolving Southern Ocean (McGowan, 2009). Our work has confirmed that the middle/upper Eocene boundary is near the base of the section. The overlying siliciclastic Eocene strata are truncated by an unconformity (~0.8 Ma in duration) and overlain by late Eocene glauconitic sand (the *Notrostra* greensand) deposited as a condensed sequence after 35.9 Ma. Subsequently deepening to middle to outer neritic depths deposited sedimentary facies dominated facies. Shallowing after ~35 Ma deposited lateral variable calcareous siliciclastic facies. These strata were tilted and eroded prior to 34 Ma leading to laterally varying shallow water facies that may have been subaerially exposed during uplift and erosion. Well-constrained brachiopod strontium isotope dates and a 0.5‰ carbon isotope excursion above this unconformity suggests the top of the AE10 Zone (Huber and Quillévorte, 2005) and possibly until 33.7 Ma prior to Oi-1 in a shallow warm water shelfal refugium.

5.3. Glacioeustacy

The Otway Basin depositional record would be significantly impacted by eustatic sea level fluctuations, leading to changes in accommodation space and erosional unconformities. The distinct facies alterations that occurred from the middle Eocene through early Oligocene in the Otway Basin could be interpreted in terms of glacioeustatic change. Sequence stratigraphy studies have been used to address the role of ice volume versus temperature in deconvolving the oxygen isotope signal across the EOT. High-amplitude and rapid glacioeustacy has been suggested, with sea-level fall of ~50 m during Oi-1 (Echols et al., 2003; Vandenberghe et al., 2003; Miller et al., 2008). Upper Eocene sequence boundaries, coincident with oxygen isotope increases, indicate sea-level fall of ~40 m, signifying short-term expansion and collapse of the Antarctic icesheet (Katz et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2008).

Our reconstructed paleodepths shift from 100 to 200 m (outer shelf) in the late Eocene to inner to middle shelf palaeodepths (0–100 m) after 34 Ma across the EOT. However, in the late Eocene there are hiatuses and condensed sections at ~36 Ma, 35 Ma and just below 34 Ma. Subsequently the onset inner shelf (0–50 m) early Oligocene Castle Cove Limestone deposition (Figs. 7 and 8) with its cyclic alternations between limestone and calcareous clay may represent obliquity dominated glacio-eustacy that dominated the Oligocene epoch (Wade and Pälike, 2004; Pälike et al., 2006). However, glacio-eustatic interpretations in the region are hindered by compressional tectonism along the southern margin of Australia across the Eocene-Oligocene boundary, as described by Mahon and Wallace (2020). Furthermore, sea level variations may be diachronous due to glacial antiphonning at near-field sites (Gallagher et al., 2013).
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