

1 **TITLE PAGE**

2 **Benefits of enhanced infection prophylaxis at antiretroviral therapy initiation by**  
3 **cryptococcal antigen status** (111 chars)

4

5 **Running title:** Enhanced OI-prophylaxis and CrAg status (40 chars)

6

7 Sarah L PETT<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Moira SPYER<sup>2</sup>, Lewis J HADDOW<sup>1</sup>, Ruth NHEMA<sup>4</sup>, Laura A BENJAMIN<sup>5,6</sup>,  
8 Grace NAJJUKA<sup>7</sup>, Sithembile BILIMA<sup>8</sup>, Ibrahim DAUD<sup>9</sup>, Godfrey MUSORO<sup>4</sup>, Juliet  
9 KITABALWA<sup>7</sup>, George SELEMANI<sup>8</sup>, Salome KANDIE<sup>9</sup>, K Magut CORNELIUS<sup>9</sup>, Chrispus  
10 KATEMBA<sup>7</sup>, Jay A BERKLEY<sup>10</sup>, Amin S HASSAN<sup>10</sup>, Cissy KITYO<sup>7</sup>, James HAKIM<sup>4</sup>, Robert S  
11 HEYDERMAN<sup>8,11</sup>, Diana M GIBB<sup>2</sup> \*, A Sarah WALKER<sup>2</sup> \* and the REALITY trial team

12 \* Contribution considered equal

13

14 1 Institute for Global Health, UCL, London, UK

15 2 MRC CTU at UCL, Institute of Clinical Trials and Methodology, UCL, London, UK

16 3 Kirby Institute for Infection and Immunity in Society, University of New South Wales,

17 Australia

18 4 University of Zimbabwe Clinical Research Centre, Harare, Zimbabwe

19 5 Institute of Neurology, UCL, UK

20 6 Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

21 7 Joint Clinical Research Centre, Kampala, Uganda

22 8 Department/College of Medicine and Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research  
23 Programme, Blantyre, Malawi

24 9 Moi University School of Medicine, Eldoret, Kenya

25 10 KEMRI Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya

26 11 Division of Infection and Immunity, UCL, London, UK

27

28 **Length:** abstract 250 words  
29 text 3241 words  
30 2 tables plus 1 supplementary table  
31 3 figures plus 2 supplementary figures

32

33 **Corresponding author and for reprints:**

34 Professor Sarah L. Pett, FRACP, FRCPE, PhD

35 ORCID: 0000-0002-4851-4727

36 MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, 90 High Holborn, 2nd Floor, London, WC1V 6LJ, UK.

37 Tel +44 (0) 20 7670 4726. Fax +44 (0) 20 7670 4949.

38 Email: s.pett@ucl.ac.uk

39

40 Alternative corresponding author:

41 Professor (Ann) Sarah Walker, PhD

42 MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, 90 High Holborn, 2nd Floor, London, WC1V 6LJ, UK.

43 Tel +44 (0) 20 7670 4726. Fax +44 (0) 20 7670 4949.

44 Email: rmjlasw@ucl.ac.uk

45

46

47 **FUNDING STATEMENT**

48 This work was supported by the Joint Global Health Trials Scheme (JGHTS) of the UK

49 Department for International Development (DFID), the Wellcome Trust and Medical

50 Research Council (MRC) [G1100693]. Additional funding support was provided by the

51 PENTA foundation and core support to the MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL

52 [MC\_UU\_12023/23] [MC\_UU\_12023/26]. Cipla Ltd, Gilead Sciences, ViiV

53 Healthcare/GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharp & Dohme donated drugs for REALITY and

54 Ready-to-Use-Supplementary-Food (RUSF) was purchased from Valid International. The

55 Malawi–Liverpool–Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme, University of Malawi

56 College of Medicine [101113/Z/13/Z], and the KEMRI/Wellcome Trust Research Programme,  
57 Kilifi [203077/Z/16/Z] are supported by strategic awards from the Wellcome Trust, United  
58 Kingdom. Permission to publish was granted by the Director of KEMRI. ASW is a National  
59 Institutes of Health Research Senior Investigator.

60

61 The full trial protocol can be accessed at [https://www.ctu.mrc.ac.uk/media/1293/reality-](https://www.ctu.mrc.ac.uk/media/1293/reality-protocol.pdf)  
62 [protocol.pdf](https://www.ctu.mrc.ac.uk/media/1293/reality-protocol.pdf) [accessed 21 December 2019].

63

#### 64 **DISCLAIMERS**

65 In addition to the support from organisations for the submitted work as described above the  
66 institution of ASW has received other funding from Janssen and Gilead Sciences for DSMB  
67 membership and lectures; JH has received funding for advisory board membership from  
68 Mylan Pharmaceuticals; SLP has received grants to support academic research from ViiV  
69 Healthcare and Gilead Sciences; all other authors have no other relationships or activities  
70 that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

71

72 **Abstract** (250 words)

73 **Objectives:** To assess baseline prevalence of cryptococcal antigen (CrAg) positivity; and its  
74 contribution to reductions in all-cause mortality, deaths from cryptococcus and unknown  
75 causes, and new cryptococcal disease in the REALITY trial.

76 **Design:** Retrospective CrAg testing of baseline and week-4 plasma samples in all 1805  
77 African adults/children with CD4<100 cells/ $\mu$ L starting antiretroviral therapy who were  
78 randomised to receive 12-week enhanced-prophylaxis (fluconazole 100mg/day,  
79 azithromycin, isoniazid, cotrimoxazole) vs. standard-prophylaxis (cotrimoxazole).

80 **Methods:** proportional hazards models were used to estimate the relative impact of  
81 enhanced-prophylaxis vs. standard-cotrimoxazole on all, cryptococcal and unknown deaths,  
82 and new cryptococcal disease, through 24-weeks, by baseline CrAg positivity..

83 **Results:** Excluding 24(1.4%) participants with active/prior cryptococcal disease at enrolment  
84 (all treated for cryptococcal disease), 133/1781(7.5%) participants were CrAg-positive. By  
85 24-weeks, 105 standard-cotrimoxazole vs. 78 enhanced-prophylaxis participants died. Of 9  
86 standard-cotrimoxazole and 3 enhanced-prophylaxis cryptococcal deaths, 7 and 2  
87 respectively were CrAg-positive at baseline. Among deaths of unknown cause, only 1/46  
88 standard-cotrimoxazole and 1/28 enhanced-prophylaxis were CrAg-positive at baseline.

89 There was no evidence that relative reductions in new cryptococcal disease associated with  
90 enhanced-prophylaxis varied between baseline CrAg-positives (hazard-ratio, HR=0.36 (95%  
91 CI 0.13-0.98), incidence 19.5 vs. 56.5/100 person-years) and CrAg-negatives (HR=0.33  
92 (0.03-3.14), incidence 0.3 vs. 0.9/100 person-years;  $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.95$ ); nor for all deaths,  
93 cryptococcal deaths or unknown deaths ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}>0.3$ ).

94 **Conclusions:** Relative reductions in cryptococcal disease/death did not depend on CrAg  
95 status. Deaths of unknown cause were unlikely to be cryptococcus-related; plausibly  
96 azithromycin contributed to their reduction. Findings support including 100mg fluconazole in  
97 an enhanced-prophylaxis package at ART initiation where CrAg screening is  
98 unavailable/impractical.

99 **Keywords:** HIV; Africa; cryptococcus; prophylaxis; late presentation.

## 100 INTRODUCTION

101 Cryptococcal disease continues to have high morbidity and mortality in advanced HIV  
102 disease in sub-Saharan Africa, despite improved antifungal regimens for treatment[1], and  
103 combination antiretroviral therapy (ART)[2]. The screening test of choice is for cryptococcal  
104 antigen (CrAg), for asymptomatic individuals in blood, and for symptomatic individuals in  
105 cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) to identify meningitis[3]. The global prevalence of cryptococcal  
106 antigenaemia in HIV-infected adults with CD4 <100 cells/ $\mu$ L is ~6%, although higher  
107 prevalences have been reported[4]. Using the CrAg latex agglutination assay, the average  
108 time between CrAg detection in blood and the onset of symptomatic cryptococcal disease is  
109 ~3 weeks[5], and is likely even longer with more sensitive lateral flow assays (LFA)[6],  
110 allowing the opportunity to intervene with antifungal prophylaxis or treatment[5]. A recent  
111 cross-sectional study in South Africa[7] confirmed that 90% of CrAg-positive patients with  
112 headache as their only reported symptom had CrAg-positive CSF, as did 34% of  
113 asymptomatic CrAg-positive patients.

114

115 WHO guidelines[3] recommend a “[CrAg] screen and treat” approach to preventing  
116 cryptococcal disease, with CrAg-positive individuals receiving pre-emptive fluconazole  
117 treatment (800 mg/day for 2 weeks) then maintenance (400mg/day for 8 weeks). This  
118 recommendation was based on the REMSTART trial[8] which showed significant mortality  
119 reductions in HIV-infected adults in Tanzania and Zambia initiating ART with CD4 <200  
120 cells/ $\mu$ L with this approach. One challenge with “screen and treat” in high-risk populations is  
121 that CrAg testing kits are frequently unavailable in low and middle-income countries (LMIC),  
122 especially at primary healthcare centres where ART is increasingly initiated. Furthermore,  
123 even when kits are available, waiting for CrAg results can considerably delay starting ART in  
124 patients at high risk of immediate morbidity/mortality, particularly if the CrAg test is not  
125 performed on the same residual specimen from CD4 testing, if the latter is requested[9].

126

127 An alternative approach is universal prophylaxis in high-risk populations. The REALITY trial  
128 (ISRCTN43622374) demonstrated that a package of enhanced-prophylaxis, comprising  
129 cotrimoxazole (as fixed dose combination with isoniazid/pyridoxine), fluconazole (100mg/day  
130 for 12 weeks) azithromycin (500mg/day for 5 days) and albendazole (single dose),  
131 significantly reduced all-cause mortality, deaths from cryptococcus and unknown causes,  
132 and incidence of new cryptococcal disease and tuberculosis, compared to standard-  
133 cotrimoxazole prophylaxis alone. Patients were African adults and children >5 years initiating  
134 ART with CD4 <100 cells/ $\mu$ L[10]. The total dose per week (700mg) and duration of  
135 fluconazole used in REALITY was based on a previous trial in Uganda, showing benefit of  
136 fluconazole 200mg three times weekly (total 600mg per week) until CD4 reached  $\geq$ 200  
137 cells/ $\mu$ L[11]. However, dosing was daily in REALITY to match ART dosing schedules.

138

139 Given these findings, current WHO cryptococcal guidelines[3] also recommend that, where  
140 there is no access to CrAg testing or delays in returning results, fluconazole can be offered  
141 as primary prophylaxis in advanced HIV at the time of ART initiation or switch, using the  
142 REALITY dose of 100mg/day or alternatively 200mg three times/week[3].

143

144 Baseline CrAg testing was not routinely performed in real-time in REALITY. Therefore, it was  
145 unknown whether reductions in cryptococcal disease and deaths were restricted to baseline  
146 CrAg-positives, and whether the significant reductions in deaths from unknown causes  
147 associated with enhanced-prophylaxis could have been due to missed cryptococcal disease  
148 (and hence plausibly reduced by fluconazole prophylaxis), or whether reductions might be  
149 driven by other components of the package. The aims of this substudy were therefore to  
150 estimate baseline CrAg prevalence, and to assess its contribution to the significant  
151 reductions in all-cause and cryptococcal-related/unknown mortality, and cryptococcal-related  
152 morbidity observed in the REALITY trial.

153

154

**155 METHODS**

156 CrAg LFA qualitative and quantitative testing was performed retrospectively between May  
157 2017 and February 2018, blinded to randomised group and patient characteristics, using  
158 40uL of frozen plasma samples stored at baseline (day of enrolment) and 4 weeks after ART  
159 initiation, from all REALITY participants. If CrAg-positive, CrAg titre was estimated using the  
160 semi-quantitative dilution technique as per package insert. Any sample that was positive on  
161 qualitative testing, but not using any of the dilution steps, was assigned a titre of 1:2.5 (half  
162 the lowest titre of 1:5). Results were verified, blinded to randomisation, by central review of  
163 photographs of the testing strips. Testing was performed at one central laboratory within  
164 each country by staff trained in qualitative and semi-quantitative CrAg testing using the  
165 IMMY LFA kits supplied by Alpha Laboratories Limited, UK. CrAg testing was included in the  
166 main trial protocol and approved by Ethics Committees in Zimbabwe, Uganda, Malawi,  
167 Kenya and the UK.

168

169 All clinical events in the trial up to 48 weeks were ascertained at pre-specified trial visits or  
170 additional visits for acute illness. Nurse visits at weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 36, and 48  
171 included a symptom checklist which included severe headache amongst solicited symptoms;  
172 history and examination by a clinician was performed at weeks 4, 12, 24, 36 and 48. All  
173 defaulting participants were traced through home visits and telephone calls. An Endpoint  
174 Review Committee (ERC) (majority independent members) adjudicated causes of death and  
175 non-fatal events (WHO 3/4 events/grade 3/4 AEs/SAEs) using clinical narratives written by  
176 treating clinicians, incorporating imaging scans/reports and laboratory results, including  
177 CrAg-positive status (usually in blood) if this was measured locally in real-time. Definitive  
178 cryptococcal meningitis was defined as clinical meningitis (severe headache, meningism,  
179 photophobia) with a positive CSF CrAg test and/or CSF microscopy (positive India ink stain  
180 and/or CSF culture positive). A probable diagnosis was defined as a consistent clinical  
181 history and a positive plasma CrAg test (or fungaemia) in the absence of any CSF results.  
182 Events were adjudicated retrospectively by  $\geq 2$  ERC members, blinded to randomised

183 groups, against protocol-defined criteria and grading tables[10, 12]. Compatibility of fatal and  
184 non-fatal event(s) with immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS) was  
185 documented based on clinical and diagnostic information (often limited) and the time course  
186 after ART initiation. No distinction was made between paradoxical and unmasking IRIS given  
187 the limited information available. The ERC did not have access to viral load data as these  
188 were done retrospectively; the earliest post-randomisation CD4 results were at week 4.  
189 Therefore for early events, a clinical judgement was made using baseline data (including  
190 CD4) and previously published definitions[13, 14] in modified form, to determine whether  
191 event(s) represented an atypical/exaggerated presentation of an opportunistic infection or  
192 tumour soon after ART initiation (i.e. were IRIS-compatible).

193

#### 194 **Statistical analysis**

195 As our first aim was to estimate the prevalence of latent cryptococcal infection prior to ART  
196 initiation with CD4 <100 cells/ $\mu$ L, participants with a diagnosis of cryptococcal meningitis at  
197 or prior to baseline were excluded from all analyses; all were treated for cryptococcal  
198 disease. Logistic regression with backwards elimination ( $p > 0.1$  to fit an exploratory model,  
199 including non-linearity by fractional polynomials where  $p < 0.05$ ) was used to identify  
200 independent predictors of baseline CrAg status in the remaining asymptomatic individuals,  
201 from age, gender, WHO stage, body mass index (BMI), CD4, VL and haemoglobin, adjusting  
202 for site of enrolment.

203

204 We then considered the time-to-event outcomes of mortality (all-cause, cryptococcal and  
205 from unknown causes as determined by the ERC (where enhanced-prophylaxis had  
206 significant benefits in the trial overall)), new cryptococcal disease (fatal and non-fatal),  
207 cryptococcal IRIS, and determined or undetermined central nervous system (CNS) events  
208 (fatal or non-fatal) (**Supplementary Table 1**). Analyses used competing risks methods for  
209 patients dying of other causes without having recorded the event of interest[15]. Absolute  
210 rates of each outcome by baseline CrAg status were calculated through 24 weeks on ART



211 (time of the main trial primary endpoint) when most clinical events had occurred[16].

212 Proportional (sub)hazards models were used to estimate heterogeneity in the relative impact  
213 of enhanced-prophylaxis vs. standard-cotrimoxazole by CrAg status over the first 24 weeks  
214 using interaction tests.

215

## 216 **RESULTS**

217 All 1805 REALITY participants (98% aged  $\geq 13$  years) had a baseline CrAg test using stored  
218 plasma. We excluded 23 (1.3%) participants being treated for local physician-identified  
219 active cryptococcal disease at baseline (on stored samples 22 were CrAg-positive with titres  
220 1:1280-1:2560; one CrAg-negative) and one participant with previous cryptococcal disease  
221 (CrAg-positive on stored sample at enrolment, titre 1:2560, on 400mg fluconazole), leaving  
222 1781 participants without identified cryptococcal disease at baseline in the analyses (**Table**  
223 **1**).

224

### 225 **Prevalence of CrAg positivity at baseline (ART initiation)**

226 133/1781 (7.5%, 95% CI 6.3-8.8%) participants were CrAg-positive at ART initiation, 69/888  
227 (7.8%) in the standard-cotrimoxazole vs. 64/893 (7.2%) in enhanced-prophylaxis group  
228 ( $p=0.65$ , **Table 1**). In CrAg-positives, the median CrAg titre was 1:80 (IQR 1:10-1:640)  
229 (range <1:5-1:2560) (1:80 standard-cotrimoxazole vs. 1:20 enhanced-prophylaxis,  $p=0.06$ )  
230 (**Figure 1A**).

231

232 As expected, the median CD4 was slightly lower in CrAg-positives (30 vs. 38 cells/ $\mu\text{L}$  in  
233 CrAg-negatives,  $p=0.003$ ), but there was no evidence of differences in VL (median  $\log_{10}$  VL  
234 5.5 vs. 5.4 respectively,  $p=0.76$ ). 173 (9.6%) participants enrolled in the trial had received  
235 fluconazole in the 14 days before randomisation, (mostly (79%) 200mg daily for oral candida  
236 infection). However, baseline CrAg-positivity did not differ by receipt of prior fluconazole  
237 (14/173 (8.1%)) or not (119/1608 (7.4%),  $p=0.76$ , **Table 1**). 59 (3.3%) participants reported  
238 severe headache at enrolment (as a nurse-solicited symptom), but baseline CrAg-positivity

239 did not differ in those reporting (2/59 (3.4%)) and not reporting (131/1710 (7.7%)) severe  
240 headache ( $p=0.32$ , **Table 1**). Considering factors in **Table 1**, the only independent predictors  
241 of CrAg-positivity were a lower CD4 count (OR=0.89 per 10 cells/ $\mu$ L higher (95% CI 0.83-  
242 0.95)  $p=0.001$ ) and being older (OR per 10 years older=1.19 (1.00-1.42)  $p=0.046$ ) at ART  
243 initiation. Accounting for CD4 and age, CrAg-positivity was significantly lower amongst  
244 individuals recruited from Kilifi, Kenya ( $p=0.03$ ). Even considering many other factors  
245 reflecting clinical status[17], only night sweats (OR=1.67 (0.98-2.85)  $p=0.06$ ) added weak  
246 predictive power to the model.

247

## 248 **Mortality**

249 Enhanced-prophylaxis significantly reduced all-cause mortality and deaths from  
250 cryptococcus and of unknown cause, with no evidence of effect on deaths from tuberculosis  
251 or other causes[10, 16]. Of the 12 deaths before 24 weeks adjudicated by the ERC as due to  
252 cryptococcal disease, nine were CrAg positive at baseline on retrospective testing (7/9  
253 deaths on standard-cotrimoxazole, 2/3 deaths on enhanced-prophylaxis; **Table 2**). In  
254 contrast, of the 74 deaths before 24 weeks adjudicated as due to unknown causes (many  
255 dying away from a healthcare facility), only two were CrAg-positive at baseline (1/46 deaths  
256 on standard-cotrimoxazole, 1/28 deaths on enhanced-prophylaxis) (**Table 2**). Proportions  
257 who were baseline CrAg-positive were similarly low for deaths adjudicated to be from  
258 tuberculosis, severe bacterial infections or other causes (**Table 2**).

259

260 As expected, absolute rates of cryptococcal deaths were very high in those who were CrAg-  
261 positive at baseline (solid symbols in **Figure 2**), and low in those who were CrAg-negative at  
262 baseline (hollow symbols in **Figure 2**). However, there was no evidence that relative benefits  
263 from enhanced-prophylaxis differed by baseline CrAg status for cryptococcal deaths  
264 ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.73$ ) (**Figure 3**); nor was there any evidence of variation for all-cause mortality  
265 ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.39$ ), or deaths from unknown causes ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.67$ ).

266

267 **Cryptococcal disease and cryptococcal IRIS-compatible events**

268 Over the first 24 weeks on ART, new cryptococcal meningitis occurred in 17 standard-  
269 cotrimoxazole vs. 6 enhanced-prophylaxis participants ( $p=0.03$ ), diagnosed a median 20  
270 days post-ART initiation (IQR 15-45) (16 vs. 5 adjudicated as cryptococcal-IRIS  
271 respectively). Two additional cases were diagnosed after 24 weeks, both in the standard-  
272 cotrimoxazole group (not included in time-to-event analyses through 24 weeks). 14/17  
273 standard-cotrimoxazole vs. 5/6 enhanced-prophylaxis cryptococcal meningitis cases were  
274 CrAg-positive at baseline (13/16 vs. 4/5 cryptococcal IRIS-compatible cases respectively)  
275 (**Table 2**). Most CrAg-positives who developed cryptococcal disease had baseline titres of  
276 1:2560 (**Table 2**), with no clear gradient below 1:2560 (**Figure 1B/C**). Of these 23 patients  
277 with new cryptococcal disease during the trial, 53% (9/17) and 50% (3/6) died in the  
278 standard-cotrimoxazole and enhanced-prophylaxis groups respectively (exact  $p=1.00$ ).

279

280 As expected, similarly to cryptococcal deaths, the absolute incidence of cryptococcal  
281 disease was significantly greater in those who were CrAg-positive vs. CrAg-negative at  
282 baseline ( $p<0.0001$ ), regardless of randomisation (solid vs. hollow symbols respectively,  
283 **Figure 2**). However, similarly to cryptococcal mortality, there was no evidence that the  
284 relative benefits of enhanced prophylaxis differed by baseline CrAg status ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.95$   
285 for cryptococcal disease, 0.97 for cryptococcal IRIS-compatible disease), with an overall risk  
286 reduction of 0.36 (95%CI 0.13,0.98) in cryptococcal disease associated with enhanced-  
287 prophylaxis (including 100mg fluconazole daily) in those CrAg-positive at baseline. Results  
288 were not influenced by the small proportion of participants prescribed fluconazole at  
289 enrolment outside of the randomisation (138/1781 (7.7%), predominantly (83%) at a dose of  
290 200mg daily for oral/oesophageal candida (**Supplementary Results**).

291

292 Determined CNS events (**Supplementary Table 1**) were predominantly cryptococcal  
293 meningitis, so results were similar to those for new cryptococcal disease. In contrast  
294 undetermined CNS events occurred similarly between the randomised groups (**Table 2**,

295 **Figure 3).**

296

### 297 **CrAg titres**

298 In baseline CrAg-positives with titres between 1:2.5 and 1:1280, cryptococcal disease  
299 occurred during the first 24 weeks on ART in 5/56 (9%) standard-cotrimoxazole vs. 1/55  
300 (2%) enhanced-prophylaxis participants (**Figure 1B/C**). At week 4, overall CrAg positivity  
301 was 7.9% (95% CI 6.7-9.3%) (130/1642 participants with data, excluding those developing  
302 cryptococcal disease between enrolment and week 4). 95 (5.8%) were positive at both  
303 baseline and week 4, with median no change in doubling dilution (IQR 0 to +1;  $p=0.78$   
304 comparing standard-cotrimoxazole vs. enhanced-prophylaxis) (**Supplementary Figure 1**).  
305 35 (2.1%) became positive at week 4 having been negative at baseline (18 enhanced-  
306 prophylaxis, 17 standard-cotrimoxazole), whereas 15 (0.9%) became negative having been  
307 positive at baseline (9 enhanced-prophylaxis (one presumptively treated with 200mg  
308 fluconazole for oral candida; others receiving 100mg), 6 standard-cotrimoxazole (one  
309 presumptively treated with 1200mg fluconazole daily for headache, others not receiving  
310 fluconazole)) (McNemar  $p=0.005$ ; **Supplementary Results**).

311

### 312 **DISCUSSION**

313 In the four Sub-Saharan African countries that enrolled participants with advanced HIV  
314 starting ART into the REALITY trial, we found a 7.5% prevalence of CrAg positivity with no  
315 clinically apparent cryptococcal disease. Whilst CrAg positivity increased as baseline CD4  
316 decreased from 100 to 0 cells/ $\mu\text{L}$ , the impact of baseline CD4 on CrAg positivity was  
317 relatively small. CrAg positivity rates were higher in older individuals, consistent with the  
318 known epidemiology[18]. We found no other predictors of CrAg positivity that could be used  
319 to target fluconazole prophylaxis or pre-emptive treatment where CrAg screening is not  
320 available.

321

322 As previously reported[10, 16], the REALITY enhanced-prophylaxis package was associated

323 with significantly lower mortality from ERC-adjudicated cryptococcus and unknown causes of  
324 death. Here we demonstrate that undiagnosed cryptococcus at baseline was not a driver of  
325 reductions in early deaths from unknown causes, since very few of these participants were  
326 CrAg-positive. As the CrAg test we used is both highly sensitive and specific, precedes  
327 clinical disease by several weeks and, in turn, remains positive for several weeks, this  
328 finding strongly suggests that deaths from unknown causes were not predominantly due to  
329 cryptococcus. Instead, the reduction in early deaths from unknown causes in the enhanced-  
330 prophylaxis group is plausibly due to another component of the enhanced-prophylaxis  
331 package. Possible candidates are isoniazid or azithromycin. Tuberculosis was a relatively  
332 common diagnosis in the trial[16], with most sites using GeneXpert. Enhanced-prophylaxis  
333 was associated with reductions in tuberculosis disease, but not tuberculosis-related deaths,  
334 making this a less likely explanation, although tuberculosis can be difficult to diagnose in this  
335 population with advanced HIV. Azithromycin is a broad-spectrum macrolide with a long  
336 intracellular half-life in macrophages[19] and potential efficacy against severe respiratory  
337 and gastrointestinal bacterial infections common in Africa, especially in advanced HIV. In this  
338 setting, azithromycin could also have had activity against toxoplasmosis[20], atypical  
339 mycobacteria[21], malaria[22] and/or as an anti-inflammatory agent[19, 23]. However, it is  
340 also theoretically possible that fluconazole could have contributed to reduction in unknown  
341 deaths through non-cryptococcal pathways, e.g. by affecting other fungi (e.g. candida  
342 oesophagitis leading to bacterial translocation) or through gut microbiome changes[24].

343

344 While there was no evidence that the relative clinical benefits of enhanced-prophylaxis  
345 differed among CrAg-positive and CrAg-negative participants, as expected the absolute  
346 benefits were much greater amongst CrAg-positives, who are at much higher absolute risk of  
347 developing overt cryptococcal disease. Reasons for observing clinical benefits from  
348 fluconazole prophylaxis in baseline CrAg-negative participants include false-negatives at  
349 baseline, unmasking of cryptococcal disease post-ART initiation (particularly given the low  
350 CD4 counts at ART initiation), or new acquisition of cryptococcus after ART initiation. False-

351 negative CrAg are relatively rare, but even a 0.5% false-negative rate would have led to 8  
352 false-negatives in our population. The latter two scenarios (i.e. unmasking of cryptococcal  
353 disease post-ART initiation or new acquisition of cryptococcus after randomisation) are  
354 supported by the fact that 2% of participants converted from being CrAg-negative at  
355 enrolment to CrAg-positive at week 4. A disease incidence of 10-20% over 24 weeks  
356 (**Figure 2**) in the 35 participants who CrAg-converted could account for the new cases we  
357 observed post-enrolment in those CrAg-negative at baseline.

358

359 There were fewer cryptococcal deaths in baseline CrAg-positives in the enhanced-  
360 prophylaxis group (2 deaths) vs. the standard-cotrimoxazole group (7 deaths). We cannot  
361 directly assess the contribution of the emergence of fluconazole resistance to these deaths  
362 because no samples were stored; nor are resistance data available from those with non-fatal  
363 cryptococcal disease. However, although numbers are small, 50% (3/6) enhanced-  
364 prophylaxis participants with incident cryptococcus survived vs 47% (8/17) standard-  
365 cotrimoxazole participants, suggesting that receipt of low-dose fluconazole does not  
366 increase the risk of treatment failure with current standard-of-care for treatment in Africa, i.e.  
367 high dose fluconazole monotherapy or high dose fluconazole plus amphotericin. While a  
368 recent study suggested that 100mg/day fluconazole could lead to subtherapeutic levels for  
369 treating cryptococcal disease in 40% of patients[25], in REALITY this fluconazole dose was  
370 given synchronously with ART, which was associated with substantial early immune  
371 reconstitution[10]. In those patients who were CrAg-positive at baseline, 24 week all-cause  
372 mortality was 7.8% with enhanced-prophylaxis (plus immediate ART) and 15.9% with  
373 standard-prophylaxis (plus immediate ART) (**Figure 2**), only slightly lower than the ~20% in  
374 a pooled analysis of 4 CrAg-positive cohorts with titre  $\leq 1:80$ [26]. This is consistent with  
375 generally better outcomes observed in trials, either due to more consistent management  
376 (e.g. no stockouts, little delay in ART initiation) or less sick patients being enrolled (although  
377 death rates were very high shortly after enrolment in REALITY[16] suggesting the trial was  
378 not doing this to a large degree). Moreover, time from screening to trial enrolment was very

379 short (median only 5 days (IQR 2-8)), meaning there was little opportunity for sites to recruit  
380 only 'non-progressors', and CrAg testing was done on the sample taken at enrolment (day of  
381 ART initiation), not screening. However, it is possible that cryptococcal disease was more  
382 likely to be identified at trial screening than in a general programmatic setting.

383

384 An important study limitation includes the limited diagnostic information available in some  
385 cases, reflecting real-world settings, but making it difficult to distinguish between newly  
386 acquired, latent or undiagnosed cryptococcal infection in those without clinically apparent  
387 disease at baseline, or between paradoxical or unmasking IRIS. However, practically the  
388 distinction between these is probably small. Although delaying ART initiation for 5 weeks  
389 after starting treatment with amphotericin B and 800mg daily fluconazole for cryptococcal  
390 meningitis was associated with improved survival[27], all participants in our study initiated  
391 100mg daily fluconazole at the same time as ART, so we cannot assess whether reductions  
392 in cryptococcal disease/death in CrAg-positives would have been even greater had ART  
393 been delayed.

394

395 The REALITY trial was designed to be pragmatic and relevant to real-life settings. As such,  
396 the trial did not mandate CrAg screening in the inclusion criteria. Ideally a cheap point-of-  
397 care CrAg test may become available. However, even then, our findings show that an  
398 enhanced-prophylaxis package containing fluconazole at 100mg/day for 12 weeks is  
399 effective in this population of HIV-infected adults, adolescents and older children without  
400 overt cryptococcal disease, when started concurrently with first-line combination ART.  
401 Moreover, the finding of significant benefit in reducing early deaths from unknown causes in  
402 those CrAg-negative at baseline suggests that another component of the enhanced-  
403 prophylaxis package, possibly azithromycin, is providing this benefit, and supports the use of  
404 the enhanced-prophylaxis package in its entirety, in these populations with advanced HIV.

405

406 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

407 We thank all the participants and staff from all the centres participating in the REALITY trial.

408 **The REALITY trial group consists of:**

409 **Participating Centres: Joint Clinical Research Centre (JCRC), Kampala, Uganda**

410 **(coordinating centre for Uganda):** P Mugenyi, C Kityo, V Musiime, P Wavamunno, E

411 Nambi, P Ocitti, M Ndigendawani. **JCRC, Fort Portal, Uganda:** S Kabahenda, M Kemigisa,

412 J Acen, D Olebo, G Mpamize, A Amone, D Okweny, A Mbonye, F Nambaziira, A Rweyora,

413 M Kangah and V Kabaswahili. **JCRC, Gulu, Uganda:** J Abach, G Abongomera, J Omongin,

414 I Aciro, A Phillipam, B Arach, E Ocung, G Amone, P Miles, C Adong, C Tumsuiime, P Kidega,

415 B Otto, F Apio. **JCRC, Mbale, Uganda:** K Baleeta, A Mukuye, M Abwola, F Ssennono, D

416 Baliruno, S Tuhirwe, R Namisi, F Kigongo, D Kikyonkyo, F Mushahara, D Okweny, J

417 Tusiime, A Musiime, A Nankya, D Atwongyeire, S Sirikye, S Mula, N Noowe. **JCRC,**

418 **Mbarara, Uganda:** A Lugemwa, M Kasozi, S Mwebe, L Atwine, T Senkindu, T Natuhurira, C

419 Katemba, E Ninsiima, M Acaku J Kyomuhangi, R Ankunda, D Tukwasibwe, L Ayesiga.

420 **University of Zimbabwe Clinical Research Centre, Harare, Zimbabwe:** J Hakim, K

421 Nathoo, M Bwakura-Dangarembizi, A Reid, E Chidziva, T Mhute, GC Tinago, J Bhiri, S

422 Mudzingwa, M Phiri, J Steamer, R Nhema, C Warambwa, G Musoro, S Mutsai, B

423 Nemasango, C Moyo, S Chitongo, K Rashirai, S Vhembo, B Mlambo, S Nkomani, B

424 Ndemera, M Willard, C Berejena, Y Musodza, P Matiza, B Mudenge, V Gutu. **KEMRI**

425 **Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya:** A Etyang, C Agutu, J Berkley, K

426 Maitland, P Njuguna, S Mwaringa, T Etyang, K Awuondo, S Wale, J Shangala, J Kithunga, S

427 Mwarumba, S Said Maitha, R Mutai, M Lozi Lewa, G Mwambingu, A Mwanzu, C Kalama, H

428 Latham, J Shikuku, A Fondo, A Njogu, C Khadenge, B Mwakisha. **Moi University Clinical**

429 **Research Centre, Eldoret, Kenya:** A Siika, K Wools-Kaloustian, W Nyandiko, P Cheruiyot,

430 A Sudoj, S Wachira, B Meli, M Karoney, A Nzioka, M Tanui, M Mokaya, W Ekiru, C Mboya,

431 D Mwimali, C Mengich, J Choge, W Injera, K Njenga, S Cherutich, M Anyango Orido, G

432 Omondi Lwande, P Rutto, A Mudogo, I Kutto, A Shali, L Jaika, H Jerotich, M Pierre.

433 **Department of Medicine and Malawi-Liverpool Wellcome Trust Clinical Research**



434 **Programme, College of Medicine, Blantyre, Malawi:** J Mallewa, S Kaunda, J Van  
435 Oosterhout, B O'Hare, R Heydermann, C Gonzalez, N Dzabala, C Kelly, B Denis, G  
436 Selemani, L Nyondo Mipando, E Chirwa, P Banda, L Mvula, H Msuku, M Ziwoya, Y Manda,  
437 S Nicholas, C Masesa , T Mwalukomo, L Makhaza, I Sheha, J Bwanali, M Limbuni.

438 **Trial Coordination and Oversight: MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, London, UK:** D  
439 Gibb, M Thomason, AS Walker, S Pett, A Szubert, A Griffiths, H Wilkes, C Rajapakse, M  
440 Spyer, A Prendergast, N Klein. Data Management Systems: M Rauchenberger, N Van Looy,  
441 E Little, K Fairbrother.

442 **Social Science Group:** F Cowan, J Seeley, S Bernays, R Kawuma, Z Mupambireyi.

443 **Independent REALITY Trial Monitors:** F Kyomuhendo, S Nakalanzi, J Peshu, S Ndaa, J  
444 Chabuka, N Mkandawire, L Matandika, C Kapuya.

445 **Trial Steering Committee:** I Weller (Chair), E Malianga, C Mwansambo, F Miiro, P Elyanu,  
446 E Bukusi, E Katabira, O Mugurungi, D Gibb, J Hakim, A Etyang, P Mugenyi, J Mallewa.

447 **Data Monitoring Committee:** T Peto (Chair), P Musoke, J Matenga, S Phiri.

448 **Endpoint Review Committee** (independent members): H Lyall (Co-Chair), V Johnston (Co-  
449 Chair), F Fitzgerald, F Post, F Ssali, A Prendergast, A Arenas-Pinto, A Turkova, A Bamford.

450

451 **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS**

452 SLP, LJH and LAB designed the cryptococcal substudy. RN, GN, SB, ID, JK, GS, SK, KMC,  
453 CK carried out the assays and also conducted laboratory testing for the main trial. MJS  
454 organised sample retrieval and quality control of assays. DMG, JH, ASW, JAB, RSH  
455 contributed to design of the overall trial. JH, GM, JAB, AH collected data for the trial. ASW  
456 analysed the data; ASW vouches for data and analysis and is the guarantor; SLP and ASW  
457 wrote the first draft; all authors approved the final version and decided to publish.

458

459 **PRESENTATION**

460 These data were first presented at a themed oral discussion and as a poster (Poster 784) at  
461 the annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), held 4-7th

462 March 2018 in Boston, Mass, USA.

463

464

465 **DATA SHARING**

466 The REALITY trial data are held at MRC CTU at UCL, which encourages optimal use of data

467 by employing a controlled access approach to data sharing, incorporating a transparent and

468 robust system to review requests and provide secure data access consistent with the

469 relevant ethics committee approvals. All requests for data are considered and can be

470 initiated by contacting [mrcctu.ctuenquiries@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:mrcctu.ctuenquiries@ucl.ac.uk)

## REFERENCES

- 471  
472  
473 1. Molloy SF, Kanyama C, Heyderman RS, Loyse A, Kouanfack C, Chanda D, et al.  
474 **Antifungal Combinations for Treatment of Cryptococcal Meningitis in Africa.** *The New*  
475 *England journal of medicine* 2018; 378(11):1004-1017.
- 476 2. Oladele RO, Bongomin F, Gago S, Denning DW. **HIV-Associated Cryptococcal Disease**  
477 **in Resource-Limited Settings: A Case for "Prevention Is Better Than Cure"?** *Journal of*  
478 *fungi* 2017; 3(4).
- 479 3. World Health Organisation. **Guidelines for the diagnosis, prevention and management**  
480 **of cryptococcal disease in HIV-infected adults, adolescents and children: Supplement**  
481 **to the 2016 consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and**  
482 **preventing HIV infection.** In. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2018.
- 483 4. Rajasingham R, Smith RM, Park BJ, Jarvis JN, Govender NP, Chiller TM, et al. **Global**  
484 **burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis.**  
485 *The Lancet Infectious diseases* 2017; 17(8):873-881.
- 486 5. French N, Gray K, Watera C, Nakiyingi J, Lugada E, Moore M, et al. **Cryptococcal**  
487 **infection in a cohort of HIV-1-infected Ugandan adults.** *Aids* 2002; 16(7):1031-1038.
- 488 6. Binnicker MJ, Jespersen DJ, Bestrom JE, Rollins LO. **Comparison of four assays for**  
489 **the detection of cryptococcal antigen.** *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2012; 19(12):1988-1990.
- 490 7. Wake RM, Britz E, Sriruttan C, Rukasha I, Omar T, Spencer DC, et al. **High**  
491 **Cryptococcal Antigen Titers in Blood Are Predictive of Subclinical Cryptococcal**  
492 **Meningitis Among Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Patients.** *Clinical infectious*  
493 *diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America* 2018;  
494 66(5):686-692.
- 495 8. Mfinanga S, Chanda D, Kivuyo SL, Guinness L, Bottomley C, Simms V, et al.  
496 **Cryptococcal meningitis screening and community-based early adherence support in**  
497 **people with advanced HIV infection starting antiretroviral therapy in Tanzania and**  
498 **Zambia: an open-label, randomised controlled trial.** *Lancet* 2015; 385(9983):2173-2182.

- 499 9. Ministry of Health. **2016 Clinical Management of HIV in Children and Adults**. In.  
500 Lilongwe, Malawi: Ministry of Health; 2016.
- 501 10. Hakim J, Musiime V, Szubert AJ, Mallewa J, Siika A, Agutu C, et al. **Enhanced**  
502 **Prophylaxis plus Antiretroviral Therapy for Advanced HIV Infection in Africa**. *The New*  
503 *England journal of medicine* 2017; 377(3):233-245.
- 504 11. Parkes-Ratanshi R, Wakeham K, Levin J, Namusoke D, Whitworth J, Coutinho A, et al.  
505 **Primary prophylaxis of cryptococcal disease with fluconazole in HIV-positive**  
506 **Ugandan adults: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial**. *The Lancet*  
507 *Infectious diseases* 2011; 11(12):933-941.
- 508 12. Division of AIDS. **Table for Grading the Severity of Adult and Pediatric Adverse**  
509 **Events v1.0** ([https://rsc.tech-res.com/docs/default-](https://rsc.tech-res.com/docs/default-source/safety/table_for_grading_severity_of_adult_pediatric_adverse_events.pdf?sfvrsn=6)  
510 [source/safety/table\\_for\\_grading\\_severity\\_of\\_adult\\_pediatric\\_adverse\\_events.pdf?sfvr](https://rsc.tech-res.com/docs/default-source/safety/table_for_grading_severity_of_adult_pediatric_adverse_events.pdf?sfvrsn=6)  
511 [sn=6](https://rsc.tech-res.com/docs/default-source/safety/table_for_grading_severity_of_adult_pediatric_adverse_events.pdf?sfvrsn=6), accessed 21 December 2019). In; 2004.
- 512 13. French MA, Price P, Stone SF. **Immune restoration disease after antiretroviral**  
513 **therapy**. *Aids* 2004; 18(12):1615-1627.
- 514 14. Haddow LJ, Colebunders R, Meintjes G, Lawn SD, Elliott JH, Manabe YC, et al.  
515 **Cryptococcal immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in HIV-1-infected**  
516 **individuals: proposed clinical case definitions**. *The Lancet Infectious diseases* 2010;  
517 10(11):791-802.
- 518 15. Fine JP, Gray RJ. **A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a**  
519 **competing risk**. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 1999; 94(496-509).
- 520 16. Post FA, Szubert AJ, Prendergast AJ, Johnston V, Lyall H, Fitzgerald F, et al. **Causes**  
521 **and Timing of Mortality and Morbidity Among Late Presenters Starting Antiretroviral**  
522 **Therapy in the REALITY Trial**. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the*  
523 *Infectious Diseases Society of America* 2018; 66(suppl\_2):S132-S139.
- 524 17. Siika A, McCabe L, Bwakura-Dangarembizi M, Kityo C, Mallewa J, Berkley J, et al. **Late**  
525 **Presentation With HIV in Africa: Phenotypes, Risk, and Risk Stratification in the**

- 526 **REALITY Trial.** *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases*  
527 *Society of America* 2018; 66(suppl\_2):S140-S146.
- 528 18. Meya D, Rajasingham R, Nalintya E, Tenforde M, Jarvis JN. **Preventing**  
529 **Cryptococcosis-Shifting the Paradigm in the Era of Highly Active Antiretroviral**  
530 **Therapy.** *Current tropical medicine reports* 2015; 2(2):81-89.
- 531 19. Jain R, Danziger LH. **The macrolide antibiotics: a pharmacokinetic and**  
532 **pharmacodynamic overview.** *Current pharmaceutical design* 2004; 10(25):3045-3053.
- 533 20. Dunay IR, Gajurel K, Dhakal R, Liesenfeld O, Montoya JG. **Treatment of**  
534 **Toxoplasmosis: Historical Perspective, Animal Models, and Current Clinical Practice.**  
535 *Clinical microbiology reviews* 2018; 31(4).
- 536 21. Uthman MM, Uthman OA, Yahaya I. **Interventions for the prevention of**  
537 **mycobacterium avium complex in adults and children with HIV.** *The Cochrane database*  
538 *of systematic reviews* 2013; (4):CD007191.
- 539 22. van Eijk AM, Terlouw DJ. **Azithromycin for treating uncomplicated malaria.** *The*  
540 *Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2011; (2):CD006688.
- 541 23. Huckle AW, Fairclough LC, Todd I. **Prophylactic Antibiotic Use in COPD and the**  
542 **Potential Anti-Inflammatory Activities of Antibiotics.** *Respiratory care* 2018; 63(5):609-  
543 619.
- 544 24. Wheeler ML, Limon JJ, Bar AS, Leal CA, Gargus M, Tang J, et al. **Immunological**  
545 **Consequences of Intestinal Fungal Dysbiosis.** *Cell host & microbe* 2016; 19(6):865-873.
- 546 25. Chesdachai S, Rajasingham R, Nicol MR, Meya DB, Bongomin F, Abassi M, et al.  
547 **Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Distribution of Fluconazole against Cryptococcus**  
548 **Species and the Fluconazole Exposure Prediction Model.** *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2019;  
549 6(10).
- 550 26. Rajasingham R, Boulware DR. **Cryptococcal antigen screening and preemptive**  
551 **treatment - how can we improve survival?** *Clinical infectious diseases : an official*  
552 *publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America* 2019.

- 553 27. Boulware DR, Meya DB, Muzoora C, Rolfes MA, Huppler Hullsiek K, Musubire A, et al.  
554 **Timing of antiretroviral therapy after diagnosis of cryptococcal meningitis.** *The New*  
555 *England journal of medicine* 2014; 370(26):2487-2498.  
556

1 **Table 1 Baseline characteristics of participants without active cryptococcal disease at**  
 2 **ART initiation in the REALITY trial**

Factor	CrAg-negative (N=1648)	CrAg-positive (N=133)	p
Standard-cotrimoxazole	819 (92.2%)	69 (7.8%)	0.65
Enhanced-prophylaxis	829 (92.8%)	64 (7.2%)	
Country and site			0.048
Zimbabwe – Harare	517 (92.2%)	44 (7.8%)	
Uganda – Mbarara	210 (94.2%)	13 (5.8%)	
Uganda – Gulu	128 (92.1%)	11 (7.9%)	
Uganda – Fort Portal	132 (96.4%)	5 (3.6%)	
Uganda – Mbale	106 (89.8%)	12 (10.2%)	
Malawi – Blantyre	226 (89.0%)	28 (11.0%)	
Kenya – Eldoret	192 (92.3%)	16 (7.7%)	
Kenya – Kilifi	137 (97.2%)	4 (2.8%)	
Gender			0.21
Male	867 (91.7%)	78 (8.3%)	
Female	781 (93.4%)	55 (6.6%)	
Age at last birthday (years)	36 (29-42)	38 (31-44)	0.058
WHO stage			0.78
1	279 (93.0%)	21 (7.0%)	
2	510 (92.1%)	44 (7.9%)	
3	637 (92.2%)	54 (7.8%)	
4	222 (94.1%)	14 (5.9%)	
CD4 count* (cells/ $\mu$ L)	38 (17-64)	30 (12-52)	0.003
VL (log <sub>10</sub> c/ml)	5.4 (5.0-5.8)	5.5 (5.0-5.8)	0.76
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (N=1773)	19.2 (17.3-21.4)	18.9 (17.1-21.2)	0.51

Factor	CrAg-negative (N=1648)	CrAg-positive (N=133)	p
Haemoglobin (g/dL) (N=1776)	11.2 (9.6-12.7)	11.0 (9.6-13.2)	0.69
On fluconazole prior to randomization**			0.76
No	1489 (92.6%)	119 (7.4%)	
Yes	159 (91.9%)	14 (8.1%)	
Fluconazole prescribed at randomization**			1.00
No	1520 (92.5%)	123 (7.5%)	
Yes	128 (92.8%)	10 (7.2%)	
Reporting severe headache at enrolment			0.32
No	1579 (92.3%)	131 (7.7%)	
Yes	57 (96.6%)	2 (3.4%)	

3 \* mean of screening and enrolment values.

4 \*\* outside of the randomisation for other reasons, generally treatment of oral candidiasis.

5 Note: showing n (row %) or median (IQR). Comparisons made using exact tests for

6 categorical variables and ranksum tests for continuous variables.



1 **Table 2. Baseline CrAg status in those experiencing different types of events before**  
 2 **24 weeks**

	Standard prophylaxis: baseline CrAg positive/Total (%)	Enhanced prophylaxis: baseline CrAg positive/Total (%)	All participants: baseline CrAg positive/Total (%)
All deaths	11/105 (10%)	5/78 (6%)	16/183 (9%)
Deaths from cryptococcus	7/9 (78%)	2/3 (67%)	9/12 (75%)
Deaths from unknown causes	1/46 (2%)	1/28 (4%)	2/74 (3%)
Tuberculosis deaths	1/22 (5%)	1/17 (6%)	2/39 (5%)
Deaths from severe bacterial infections	0/9 (0%)	0/12 (0%)	0/21 (0%)
Deaths from other causes	2/19 (11%)	1/18 (6%)	3/37 (8%)
Cryptococcal disease	14/17 (82%)	5/6 (83%)	19/23 (83%)
<i>Baseline titre 1:2560*</i>	9	4	13
Cryptococcal IRIS	13/16 (81%)	4/5 (80%)	17/21 (81%)
Determined CNS events	15/19 (79%)	6/11 (55%)	21/30 (70%)
Undetermined CNS events	0/18 (0%)	2/20 (10%)	2/38 (5%)

3 \* See **Figure 1**.

4 Note: cause of death as determined by the Endpoint Review Committee. The same event  
 5 could be counted in multiple categories, eg cryptococcal death could also be cryptococcal  
 6 IRIS. See **Supplementary Table 1** for definitions of CNS events

1 **Supplementary Results**

2 **Impact of fluconazole prescribed outside of the enhanced-prophylaxis randomisation**

3 Outside of the randomised allocation (100mg/day in the enhanced-prophylaxis group),  
4 fluconazole was prescribed at enrolment to 101/888 (11.4%) standard-cotrimoxazole vs.  
5 37/893 (4.1%) enhanced-prophylaxis participants, predominantly (83%) at a dose of 200mg  
6 daily for oral/oesophageal candida. There was no evidence that the relative benefits from  
7 enhanced-prophylaxis differed according to whether or not fluconazole was given outside of  
8 randomisation allocation, for death ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.13$ ), cryptococcal death ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.44$ ),  
9 death from unknown causes ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.20$ ) or new cryptococcal disease  
10 ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.77$ ), suggesting that this was not affecting the relative impact of enhanced-  
11 prophylaxis vs. standard-prophylaxis in CrAg-positives and CrAg-negatives.

12

13 **Change in CrAg titre from ART initiation to week 4**

14 Four weeks post-randomisation, CrAg results were available in 1653 (91.6%) participants  
15 (most missing data due to deaths). Eleven had developed new cryptococcal disease  
16 between enrolment and week 4 and so were excluded from comparisons of titre between  
17 enrolment and week 4.

18

19 Fifteen (0.9%) participants were CrAg-positive at enrolment and CrAg-negative at week 4.  
20 Six were in the standard-cotrimoxazole prophylaxis group, with baseline titres of 1:5, 1:10,  
21 1:20, 1:160, 1:320 and 1:640 (presumptively treated with 1200mg fluconazole daily from  
22 screening for headache; CD4 increased from 11 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> at week 0 to 133 at week 4)  
23 (**Supplementary Figure 1A**). Nine were in the enhanced-prophylaxis group; four had  
24 baseline titres of 1:5, three had baseline titres of 1:10 (one presumptively treated with 200mg  
25 fluconazole daily from enrolment for oral candida), and two had baseline titres of 1:2560  
26 (CD4 changes from 7 to 52 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> and 88 to 93 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> respectively between weeks 0  
27 and 4) (**Supplementary Figure 1A**).

28

29 **Supplementary Table 1 Definitions of determined and undetermined CNS events**

<b>Determined CNS Events</b>	<b>Undetermined CNS Events</b>
Tuberculosis meningitis	Pyogenic meningitis – no organism
Cryptococcal meningitis*	Meningitis – other
Pyogenic meningitis - organism	Dizziness
Toxoplasmosis of the brain	Dreams, nightmares
Primary CNS lymphoma	Headache
PML	Epilepsy, fits, convulsions
CNS abscess	Hemiparesis
Pneumococcal meningitis	Insomnia
Haemophilus meningitis	Cranial nerve lesion
Gram negative meningitis	HIV encephalopathy
Herpes encephalitis	Acute focal neurological event with fever
Cerebral malaria	Dementia
	Acute altered conscious level
	Stroke, cerebrovascular accident
	Migraine
	Coma
	Inter-cranial pressure
	Hydrocephalus
	Encephalitis – presumed infectious
	Encephalopathy – unspecified
	Meningitis no lumbar puncture
	Meningitis lumbar puncture diagnosed – no
	organism (no culture)
	Disorientated/confusion
	Death due to HIV-related indeterminate

	cerebral disease/brain syndrome
--	---------------------------------

30 \* cryptococcal meningitis was defined by a consistent clinical history (i.e. severe headache,  
31 meningism, photophobia) in the context of a positive CrAg test (probable diagnosis; definite  
32 if CrAg-positive CSF)

33 Note: cryptococcal meningitis was investigated wherever it was a potential diagnosis, but  
34 where CrAg testing could not be performed (e.g. due to rapid patient deterioration), then it  
35 may have resulted in a diagnosis classified as an undetermined CNS event. Events were  
36 adjudicated against protocol-defined criteria, and compatibility of the clinical events with IRIS  
37 prospectively assessed, by an Endpoint Review Committee (majority independent members)  
38 blind to trial drugs received, using the available microbiological/clinical/laboratory data  
39 provided by the sites.