1	Mobile marine predators: an understudied source of nutrients					
2	to coral reefs in an unfished atoll					
3						
4	Jessica J. Williams ^{1*} , Yannis P. Papastamatiou ² , Jennifer E. Caselle ³ , Darcy					
5	Bradley ⁴ and David M.P. Jacoby ^{5*}					
6						
7	¹ Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road, Ascot, Berkshire SL5 7PY, UK					
8	² Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University, North Miami, FL 33181, USA					
9	³ Marine Science Institute, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA					
10	⁴ Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106,					
11	USA					
12	⁵ Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RY, UK					
13						
14	Corresponding authors:					
15	*jessica.williams.16@ucl.ac.uk					
16	* <u>david.jacoby@ioz.ac.uk</u>					
17						

18 Abstract

Animal movements can facilitate important ecological processes and wide-ranging 19 marine predators such as sharks, potentially contribute significantly towards nutrient 20 transfer between habitats. We applied network theory to four years of acoustic 21 telemetry data for grey reef sharks (Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos) at Palmyra, an 22 23 unfished atoll, to assess their potential role in nutrient dynamics throughout this remote ecosystem. We evaluated the dynamics of habitat connectivity and used 24 network metrics to quantify shark-mediated nutrient distribution. Predator 25 movements were consistent within-year, but differed between years and by sex. 26 Females utilised higher numbers of routes throughout the system, distributing 27 nutrients over a larger proportion of the atoll. Extrapolations of tagged sharks to the 28 population-level suggests that prey consumption and subsequent egestion leads to 29 the heterogeneous deposition of 94.5 kg d⁻¹ of nitrogen around the atoll, with 30 approximately 86% of this likely derived from pelagic resources. These results 31 suggest sharks may contribute substantially to nutrient transfer from offshore waters 32 to nearshore reefs, subsidies that are important for coral reef health. 33

34 Key Words

Acoustic telemetry; grey reef sharks, *Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos*; network theory;
 nitrogen cycle; Palmyra Atoll;

37 Introduction

The movement patterns of animals can be highly complex, being influenced by both 38 their social and physical environments. Consequently, understanding and accurately 39 measuring population dynamics can be challenging [1–3]. Understanding movement 40 patterns however, is vital for identifying habitats critical for population connectivity or 41 42 migration [4], for predicting how stochastic or future environmental conditions will affect populations [5], and for evaluating the effectiveness of protected areas [6]. It 43 cannot simply be assumed that the habitat in which a population is most commonly 44 observed, is that which provides a critical function (a source of food or location for 45 reproduction, for example; [7]). Therefore, to develop effective conservation 46 approaches, it is essential to understand how a species' behaviour and movement 47 varies across and between habitats [8,9]. 48

In both terrestrial and marine environments, movements of predators can 49 directly and indirectly influence ecological processes such as nutrient cycling and 50 trophic interactions [10,11]. Indirectly, predators can affect nutrient cycling through 51 interactions with prey species; for example, the foraging activities of grazing 52 amphipods and isopods were shifted in response to the presence of predatory blue 53 crabs (Callinectes sapidus), contributing to increases in labile organic matter within 54 55 sea grass ecosystems [12]. More directly, animals can act as nutrient and organic matter vectors, by egesting material within the same habitats to which the food was 56 consumed, or across habitat boundaries (translocation; [10,13,14]). In Alaska, 57 freshwater and/or marine-derived nutrients, released by brown bears, facilitate 58 growth in white spruce up to 1 km from riparian zones [15]. By foraging at depth and 59 then excreting faeces within the euphotic zone, marine mammals, such as 60 humpback and fin whales, have been found to replenish nitrogen concentrations at 61

the ocean's surface, thereby enhancing primary productivity (termed the upwards
"whale pump"; [16]). Wide-ranging predators, such as some whales and sharks, also
have the potential to contribute significantly to the horizonal transport of nutrients
between habitats within marine ecosystems [9,17].

Measuring nutrient transfer between areas and assessing the stability of such 66 67 flow however, is non-trivial. There is substantial evidence that the disruption of animal movements can negatively impact productivity through the loss of certain 68 species, posing considerable threat to an ecosystem's long-term resilience 69 [11,18,19]. For example, in the Aleutian archipelago, seabirds act as vectors, 70 transporting nutrients from the ocean to land [20]. However, since the introduction of 71 arctic foxes (Alopex lagopus), which have preyed upon seabirds and thus reduced 72 this important nutrient supply, plant communities have been transformed and 73 productivity has decreased [20]. Understanding how predators link habitats and 74 75 transport nutrients through their environment, is therefore crucial for ecosystem management. 76

77 Palmyra Atoll is a remote, relatively undisturbed coral reef ecosystem, and is part of a U.S. National Wildlife Refuge within the central Pacific Ocean [21,22]. Due 78 to its protected status, Palmyra has a healthy predator population, with grey reef 79 80 sharks (Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos) being the most abundant predator on the forereefs [23,24]. The grey reef shark population at Palmyra is likely at carrying 81 capacity [24] and may play a significant role in the transportation and flow of 82 nutrients onto the reef and throughout the atoll. Grey reef sharks are often detected 83 on, and suggested to favour, outer-reef slopes and drop-off habitats, but on occasion 84 are detected within lagoons [25,26,27]. Previous research has also highlighted 85 sexual segregation in some grey reef shark populations, which suggests that males 86

and females may connect habitats differently and thus transfer nutrients in differing 87 quantities [25]. Stable isotope analyses at Palmyra have demonstrated that grey reef 88 89 sharks acquire resources from different habitats, including from pelagic and nearshore environments [7]. However, the use of these habitats for foraging is 90 uneven, with around 86% of grey reef shark biomass being derived from pelagic 91 resources [7]. Mobile species that transport nutrients between habitats have the 92 93 potential to impact new primary productivity and contribute to the modification of the physical environment [28]. How mobile marine predators such as sharks facilitate 94 95 this nutrient transport, how much they contribute and how this is subsequently distributed across shallow, productive reef habitats remains unexplored [25,29]. 96 Thus, by transporting materials onto reef habitats that were produced elsewhere, 97 grey reef sharks may generate important linkages between ecosystems and possibly 98 play an ecologically important role in nutrient connectivity. Grey reef sharks show 99 quite strong residency to core areas of the reef, and low rates of movement between 100 reef habitats [27]. However, they are likely transporting pelagic nutrients to forereef 101 and potentially backreef habitats. 102

Using acoustic telemetry and network analyses we measure the connectivity 103 generated by the intra- and inter-habitat movements of predatory grey reef sharks. 104 105 We then quantify estimates of potential nitrogen transport onto the reef by these sharks at Palmyra Atoll to understand how nitrogen is likely distributed along 106 different routes of the movement network. We use recent population estimates [24] 107 to extrapolate to the population level in order to assess how significant this nutrient 108 subsidy is likely to be to reef productivity. Due to previous evidence of sexual 109 segregation in this species in Palmyra Atoll (Y. Papastamatiou and D. Bradley, 110

personal observation), we hypothesise that male and female sharks will have adifferent influence on nutrient dynamics.

113 Method

114 Study Site and Species

115 Palmyra Atoll (5°53'N, 162°05'W) is situated in the northern Line Islands in the central Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1). In 2001, the atoll became a U.S. National Wildlife 116 Refuge, prohibiting take of marine organisms. Since 2001, the only inhabitants have 117 been small (less than 20) groups of researchers and refuge staff [21]. Within the 118 wildlife refuge there is a spatial array of 65 VR2W acoustic receivers (Vemco, 119 Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada; Fig. 1). Receivers detect animal-borne, acoustic 120 transmitters at an approximate range of 250-300 m; each time transmitters are 121 detected, the identification number, date and time are recorded by the receiver. 122 Receiver habitat was broadly classified by its geographic zone (lagoon, backreef or 123 forereef). 124

Detections were recorded from 41 grey reef sharks, comprising approximately 125 0.49% of the grey reef shark population at Palmyra Atoll [24]. These sharks were 126 tagged with acoustic transmitters (Vemco V16 and V13 coded transmitters) that had 127 been surgically inserted into their body cavities (for details on the method of shark 128 capture and tagging see Papastamatiou et al. [21]). Shark tagging took place on 10 129 days between 2010 and 2013 at various locations around the atoll. For each 130 individual tagged, we recorded sex and size. Weekly sea surface temperature 131 readings from Palmyra Atoll were obtained from the Coral Reef Ecosystem Program, 132 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA; ESM 1). 133

134 Network Analyses

Applying network theory to acoustic telemetry data allows the movement of sharks to be viewed as a system of connections, in which acoustic receivers are linked by shark movements (for further details on network theory and how it is applied to telemetry data, see Jacoby et al. [30]). This technique offers insight into how species move between and, thus connect habitats [6].

140 To construct the movement networks, data were initially filtered to include only detections relating to movements of individuals between receivers (i.e. departures 141 and arrivals). However, residency patterns of sharks at each receiver location were 142 calculated from the full data set (see below for details on residency). To limit 143 transmitters being detected by more than one receiver at the same time (due to 144 some overlap in detection range for a few receivers), the receivers with the greatest 145 overlap were removed from analyses, ensuring that, within the same habitat 146 classification, no two receivers were closer than 150 metres (detection distance 147 determined during range testing). Following data filtering, detections from 47 148 acoustic receivers between January 2011 and December 2014 (1461 days) were 149 included in the analyses. Network theory was employed to analyse these detections, 150 where movement networks measure the relationship between nodes (the acoustic 151 receivers), which are linked by edges (shark movements) [30]. A key temporal 152 measure associated with an edge is its duration: the time between an individual's 153 last detection at one receiver and its first detection at a different receiver (time taken 154 to make the movement; [3]). As we were interested in movements that potentially led 155 to the transfer of nutrients around Palmyra Atoll, we filtered the data to only include 156 movements within ≤110 hour time windows. This duration represents the length of 157 time, post-feeding, that lemon sharks (Negaprion brevirostris) have been observed to 158 continue producing faeces [31]. Lemon sharks are the only species, to our 159

knowledge, for which faecal production time has been measured, and this quantity 160 has been used in previous studies to filter edge duration when focusing on nutrient 161 transfer by marine predators (e.g. [9]). From January 2011 to December 2014, 162 tagged grey reef sharks were detected 848,100 times by the 47 acoustic receivers, 163 this included 99,752 movements between receivers of which 99,342 were ≤110 164 hours apart (Table 1). To explore temporal dynamics, the data were divided into four 165 166 'seasons' by examining sea surface temperature data to determine thermally similar three-month periods. These were December – February, March – May, June – 167 168 August and September – November.

169 Connectivity within the network

170 To assess the connectivity within the reef ecosystem generated by grey reef shark movements, monthly network edge densities were extracted for each sex for every 171 month of the study. Edge density is the proportion of edges (movements connecting 172 receivers) existing in the network, out of the total number of edges possible for that 173 network (if all receivers were linked by movements to every other receiver; [30]). 174 Movement networks with higher edge densities are more densely connected and 175 thus, individuals have a greater number of routes they can choose from to move 176 through the system [2]. Analyses of variance were run to explore whether network 177 178 edge densities (the dependent variable) differed between sexes, seasons and years (the independent variables). 179

180 Estimating nitrogen transfer throughout the atoll

In order to estimate shark-mediated nutrient flow around Palmyra Atoll and highlight
 areas important to nutrient connectivity, we calculated the potential quantity of
 nitrogen (N) that these predators may distribute within Palmyra Atoll during the ≤110

hour filtered movement network. Length-weight relationships from [32] were used to 184 estimate the weight of each tagged individual (for all but one female for which total 185 length was not recorded). Egestion rates of N for each individual within Palmyra Atoll 186 per day were then calculated by using the upper limit of 2% (for carcharhinid sharks) 187 of body weight ingested per day [33]. Absorption efficiency was set at 76% for 188 organic matter based on estimates from lemon sharks [31]. We estimated N transfer 189 190 using the method described in Nelson et al. [34], where the total egested kg N per day is the product of the biomass ingested by an individual shark, the biomass 191 192 egested, the absorption efficiency, and the percent N found in grey reef shark tissue at Palmyra Atoll (14.84 ± 0.065 % N mean ± SE [7]). For every tagged individual, we 193 multiplied their estimated daily egested N (kg) by their residency time within the 194 array, to give a cumulative estimate over the entire study period. To extrapolate to 195 the population level, we took the average length of a male (138.7 cm) and female 196 (146 cm) grey reef shark from [35], and the species abundance and sex ratio 197 estimates from [24]. For all equations used, see ESM 2. 198

Finally, the estimates of daily egested N by tagged sharks were then mapped 199 spatially to explore which areas around Palmyra Atoll were likely to experience the 200 largest influx of shark-derived N. In order to incorporate the movement of the grey 201 202 reef sharks with the time they spent in different areas of the atoll, we calculated a sex-dependent dynamic residency score for each receiver. This score incorporated, 203 a residency index (the proportion of days the receiver detected a male/female shark 204 over the study period), and the receiver's node strength (which combines the number 205 of connections a node had (i.e. weighted degree) and the relative frequency with 206 which those connections were used). Estimates of N distribution by the tagged male 207 and female sharks were then spatially mapped according to the relative dynamic 208

residency score of each receiver; see ESM 2 for further information. Statistical
analyses were completed in R (R Core Team 2016, [36]) and mapping in QGIS
2.14.0 (QGIS Development Team 2016, [37]).

212 **Results**

213 Connectivity Within the Network

Across the study period, combining both sex's movements, just under half of all 214 edges possible in the network were present each year (edge density per year, mean 215 [SD] = 0.477 [0.014]; ESM 3). Females utilised a larger proportion of possible routes 216 within the atoll than males, indicated by the female's significantly higher monthly 217 edge density ($F_{1, 94}$ = 98.8, P<0.01; Fig. 2). Unlike females, the monthly edge density 218 of the male network differed significantly between years (females, $F_{1, 46} = 0.358$, P =219 0.55; males, $F_{1,46}$ = 17.3, P<0.01; Fig. 2), suggesting that, over the study period, 220 males were less consistent in the linkages generated across the atoll. Between 221 seasons, there was no significant difference in monthly edge density for either sex 222 (females, $F_{3, 44} = 0.920$, P = 0.44; males, $F_{3, 44} = 0.960$, P = 0.42). 223

224 Estimating Nitrogen Transfer Throughout the Atoll

In total, over the four-year duration of the study, tagged male (n = 13) and 225 female (n = 27) grey reef sharks were estimated to have egested 42.11 ± 0.19 and 226 119.05 ± 0.52 kg, respectively, of N across the atoll and nearshore ecosystem. 227 Given that 86% of biomass consumed by grey reef sharks at Palmyra is thought to 228 229 be derived from pelagic resources [7], we predict that over the study period (1461 days) there was a maximum potential biomass subsidy of 138.60 ± 0.61 kg N 230 transported onto Palmyra Atoll reefs by the tagged individuals. Based on the 231 frequency with which the tagged sharks moved between, and spent time at specific 232

receiver locations, derived from our sex-specific movement networks, we then
predict where the nitrogen is likely deposited (Table 2; Fig. 3). We also visualise the
relative frequency of shark movements between each geographic zone (backreef,
forereef and lagoon), to further explore the relative fluxes of N between different
habitats (Fig. 3).

Using the mean length of male and female grey reef sharks sampled by [35], an average male and female individual was estimated to egest as much as $0.008 \pm$ 0.00004 and 0.011 ± 0.00005 kg d⁻¹ of pelagic nitrogen in Palmyra Atoll, respectively. Taking recent population estimates and sex ratios (8344 individuals, 44% male, 56% female) from [24], we extrapolate to the population, estimating a total biomass transfer of 94.52 ± 0.42 kg N d⁻¹, of which as much as 81.28 ± 0.36 kg N d⁻¹ is a subsidy from pelagic resources brought to the reef by grey reef sharks.

245 Discussion

Reef sharks transfer a significant amount of nitrogen to and within an isolated atoll, but the degree of connectivity differs between the sexes, with females utilising a higher number of routes throughout the nearshore ecosystem. Thus, in doing so, females, which are also typically larger than males, transfer nutrients more broadly across the atoll. Enhancing the understanding of these habitat linkages within reef ecosystems is critical to assist management and conservation strategies, protect movement corridors and respond to potential changes in nutrient dynamics [7].

Higher coverage of N distribution across the atoll by female sharks is likely
due in part to female grey reef sharks being larger than males [35], as well as having
higher movement rates within nearshore waters. By calculating kernel utilisation
distributions of acoustically tagged grey reef sharks at Palmyra Atoll, Bradley et al.

[24] found that, compared to females, the activity space of male sharks was slightly 257 larger, something which is supported here by the distribution of dynamic residency 258 259 scores (Fig. 3). Along with our results, this suggests that males may disperse more than females, potentially spending more time offshore, beyond the receiver array. 260 Sexual segregation in the nearshore and offshore environment has been recorded in 261 other populations of grey reef sharks, as well as other carcharhinids, and is 262 263 suggested to be due to differential reproductive or foraging strategies [8]. The difference in routes utilised between sexes and their differing role in nutrient transfer 264 265 needs to be incorporated into conservation plans, so areas important to or preferred by males and females are managed appropriately, ensuring each sex maintains their 266 role in fostering connections throughout the ecosystem. Although male sharks 267 utilised fewer routes, they also increased the proportion of routes they used over the 268 years, even though the number of males detected decreased after 2012. This 269 suggests the movement corridors utilised are not consistent over time for subsets of 270 the population. This also demonstrates that measuring the efficacy of management 271 strategies such as marine protected areas will require ongoing monitoring, because 272 as animal movement patterns change, spatial strategies may need to be modified to 273 ensure movement corridors remain protected. This may become even more 274 important as marine ecosystems experience rapid effects of climate change. 275

There were no differences between seasons in the proportion of routes utilised. Grey reef shark movements on the Great Barrier Reef were not driven by environmental factors such as water temperature, rainfall or wind speed, and more likely related to biotic factors such as reproduction [38]. These results either reflect a resilience to change in environmental conditions within the movement network, or that environmental conditions experienced in these tropical systems were not variable enough to have an impact (average temperature for each season ranged
from 27.2°C (in March to May 2012) to 29.5°C (in September to November 2014)).
Due to reef sharks potentially being isolated from alternative suitable habitat, they
may have higher tolerances to the range in local environmental conditions to avoid
changing movement patterns [38].

287 Due to an extensive eight year, tag-recapture program at Palmyra that has led to accurate estimates of population size [24], we were in a unique position to be able 288 to quantify population level estimates of N distribution. The within-geographic-zone 289 movements are potentially assisting nutrient recycling, as sharks may be egesting 290 nutrients in the same habitat in which they were consumed [28]. For instance, from 291 our analyses it can be seen that in some cases there was a high level of connectivity 292 between nearby receivers (such as between acoustic receivers 10 and 16); this is 293 also reflected in the high proportion of within-geographic-zone movements (Fig. 3). 294 295 Moreover, it has recently been shown that grey reef sharks demonstrate strong residency within specific areas at the sub-habitat level [27]. In addition, grey reef 296 sharks demonstrate vertical movement [27,39]; thus, the predators may be 297 transporting nutrients vertically within habitats as well [9]. Some routes and receiver 298 locations along which the largest inputs of N were estimated to have occurred by the 299 300 tagged sharks crossed between reef zones, demonstrating the potential for grey reef sharks to contribute to nutrient translocation. For example, just over 35% of 301 movements by the tagged grey reef sharks that were recorded by the receiver array 302 occurred between the forereef and backreef (Fig. 3). 303

With approximately 86% of grey reef shark biomass derived from pelagic resources [7], these sharks may be distributing large quantities of nutrients onto the reef that could not have been produced within the atoll itself. Coral reefs are located

in nutrient-limited oceanic waters, yet often support very high biodiversity and 307 productivity [40]. While previous focus has been on tight nutrient cycling, research 308 309 has shown that within coral reefs, fish are an important nutrient reservoir; both coral growth and primary production are enhanced by fish storing nutrients (in biomass) 310 and egesting them [41–43]. New research indicates that, within reef systems, these 311 fish derived nutrients may play an important role in the maintenance of ecosystem 312 313 dynamics [44]. Just how important might these shark-derived nutrient subsidies be in Palmyra? Palmyra Atoll has been recorded to have an average of 1.75 µM of 314 315 dissolved inorganic nitrogen (ammonium, nitrates and nitrites) [45], which corresponds to between 32 and 109 µg of nitrogen containing compounds per litre of 316 water. In addition, during in situ nutrient sampling at locations around Palmyra Atoll 317 (from 2006 to 2012) of nitrate and nitrite, a combined maximum of 15.21 µM was 318 recorded (n = 125, range $0.08 - 15.21 \mu$ M; [46]), corresponding to 942 µg of nitrate 319 and nitrite per litre of water. Therefore, our estimations of an average-sized individual 320 male and female grev reef shark subsidising the reef with as much as $0.008 \pm$ 321 0.00004 and 0.011 \pm 0.00005 kg d⁻¹, respectively, of pelagic-derived N into the atoll, 322 potentially provides a substantial contribution to reef primary productivity. While the 323 precise effects of this nutrient subsidy on Palmyra's benthic communities remain to 324 be explored, changes in grey reef shark population size will likely lead to disruptions 325 in nutrient transport dynamics on this typical, nutrient-limited coral reef. Interspecific 326 interactions between grey reef sharks and blacktip reef sharks may also alter nutrient 327 dynamics, due to strong spatial partitioning between the two species [27]. Removal 328 or reduction of one species may change the degree of among-habitat movements by 329 the other, potentially altering nutrient deposition. For example, a loss of blacktip reef 330

sharks may cause increased deposition of pelagic N into the lagoons by the greyreef sharks [27].

We recognise that these results should be interpreted with caution due to the 333 fact that we do not know exactly where sharks go once they leave one receiver and 334 arrive at another, and that not all egested material will be deposited within Palmyra 335 336 Atoll; hence, we stress that these are estimates of *potential* nutrient flow. However, this is the first study to explicitly attempt to measure shark-derived nutrient transfer 337 using a model that incorporates both the movement dynamics and residency 338 patterns of free-ranging sharks. With the current available data and limited 339 knowledge on shark daily rations, absorption and faecal production rates, this study's 340 method enhances our understanding of the role grey reef sharks may play in nutrient 341 connectivity. 342

Acoustic telemetry data and network theory are emerging as particularly 343 useful tools for exploring habitat use and animal movements [6]. However, acoustic 344 telemetry does have limitations. For example, here, as in many telemetry studies, the 345 number of individuals with active tags was not consistent over the entire study 346 period. This was partly due to some individuals being tagged after the beginning of 347 2011. In addition, by focusing on movements between different receivers, if sharks 348 349 left Palmyra Atoll's forereef to feed within pelagic waters and then were next detected on the forereef by the same receiver (i.e. self-loops), the movement would 350 not have been included within the analyses. Therefore, the number of movements 351 made by the tagged individuals is likely to be on the conservative side. In addition, 352 acoustic tagging of sharks was spatially non-uniform due to weather-dependent 353 access to sampling sites. This will not affect the quantitative estimates of total N 354 transferred, but it needs to be stressed that the visual representation of N 355

redistribution (Fig. 3) is a spatial estimation for our tagged sharks only, not the
population. Despite the limitations, acoustic telemetry can serve as a powerful
instrument to quantify the movements of marine predators, particularly in remote or
challenging environments, as well as over large areas [3,6,47].

In light of the fundamental influence that marine predators have on the 360 361 functioning of ecosystems, understanding how these animals foster within- and cross-system connections is crucial to produce effective conservation and 362 management strategies [7,48]. Palmyra Atoll, one of a limited number of near-363 pristine atolls, offered a valuable opportunity to assess unrestricted within-system 364 connectivity fostered by grey reef shark movements and their potential role in 365 nutrient transport [7,23]. This study offers a useful comparison for assessments of 366 predator-initiated connections within exploited reefs, to predict the effects of 367 exploitation on undisturbed reefs [49]. Further, it extends our understanding of grey 368 369 reef shark movements across various reef systems, which is crucial for developing effective conservation approaches and species vulnerability assessments. Finally, it 370 provides the first quantitative estimate, to our knowledge, of population-level nutrient 371 transport in marine predators with implications for the long-term resilience of the 372 coral reef ecosystems. 373

374

375 **Competing interests:** We have no competing interests.

Ethical approval: This project has been certified by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), University of California, Santa Barbara, Protocol no. 856. Sharks were captured at Palmyra Atoll, which has been a U.S. National Wildlife Refuge since 2001 and part of the Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument since 2009, under U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service special use permits (Permit numbers #12533–14011, #12533–13011, 381 #12533-12011, #12533-11007, #12533-10011, #12533-09010, #12533-08011, and
382 #12533-07006).

Authors' contributions: JJW, YPP and DMPJ conceived the project, JJW and DMPJ analysed the telemetry data and wrote the manuscript, with DB conducting the nitrogen flux analyses. YPP, JEC and DB collected the data. All authors contributed to revision of the manuscript.

387 Acknowledgements: This research was funded by a Bertarelli Programme for Marine Science research grant to DMPJ. JEC, DB and YPP were supported by the Marisla 388 389 Foundation. Thanks to Kevin Weng for major contributions to the telemetry array at Palmyra 390 and Chris Lowe and Alan Friedlander for shark tagging assistance. We thank the staff of The Nature Conservancy and the Palmyra Atoll National Wildlife Refuge (U.S. Fish and 391 Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior) for access to Palmyra Atoll. In addition, we thank 392 393 the staff of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) who produced the 394 benthic map of Palmyra Atoll. Thanks also to Marcus Rowcliffe for his valuable feedback on earlier drafts of this manuscript, to James Nelson for his assistance with the nutrient flux 395 calculations, and to Douglas McCauley for sharing raw stable isotope data from [7]. 396 Furthermore, thank you to Gareth Williams and Jamie Gove for sharing nutrient sample data 397 398 from Palmyra Atoll and to the Ecosystem Sceinces Division at NOAA's Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Centre for their efforts towards the collection of these samplels. Finally, 399 we thank two anonymous reviewers for their helpful feedback on an earlier version of the 400 401 work. This is contribution number TBD from PARC (Palmyra Atoll Research Consortium).

- 402 Data accessibility:
- 403

Raw acoustic telemetry data: <u>https://github.com/JJWilliams24/Palmyra_Atoll</u>

404 **References**

Patterson TA, Thomas L, Wilcox C, Ovaskainen O, Matthiopoulos J. 2008 State space models of individual animal movement. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 23, 87–94.

407 (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2007.10.009)

- Lédée EJI, Heupel MR, Tobin AJ, Knip DM, Simpfendorfer CA. 2015 A comparison
 between traditional kernel-based methods and network analysis: An example from
- 410 two nearshore shark species. *Anim. Behav.* **103**, 17–28.
- 411 (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2015.01.039)
- Jacoby DMP, Freeman R. 2016 Emerging network-based tools in movement ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **31**, 301–314. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2016.01.011)
- 414 4. Heupel MR, Simpfendorfer CA, Espinoza M, Smoothey AF, Tobin A, Peddemors V.
- 415 2015 Conservation challenges of sharks with continental scale migrations. *Front. Mar.*
- 416 Sci. 2, 1–7. (doi:10.3389/fmars.2015.00012)
- 417 5. Fortuna MA, Gomez-Rodriguez C, Bascompte J. 2006 Spatial network structure and
- 418 amphibian persistence in stochastic environments. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 273,

419 1429–1434. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3448)

- 420 6. Espinoza M, Lédée EJI, Simpfendorfer CA, Tobin AJ, Heupel MR. 2015 Contrasting
- 421 movements and connectivity of reef-associated sharks using acoustic telemetry:
- 422 implications for management. *Ecol. Appl.* **25**, 2101–2118. (doi:10.1890/14-2293.1)
- 423 7. McCauley DJ, Young HS, Dunbar RB, Estes JA, Semmens BX, Micheli F. 2012

424 Assessing the effects of large mobile predators on ecosystem connectivity. *Ecol. Appl.*425 **22**, 1711–1717. (doi:10.1890/11-1653.1)

Espinoza M, Heupel MR, Tobin AJ, Simpfendorfer CA. 2015 Residency patterns and
 movements of grey reef sharks (Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos) in semi-isolated coral
 reef habitats. *Mar. Biol.* 162, 343–358. (doi:10.1007/s00227-014-2572-x)

- 429 9. Papastamatiou YP, Meyer CG, Kosaki RK, Wallsgrove NJ, Popp BN. 2015
- 430 Movements and foraging of predators associated with mesophotic coral reefs and
- 431 their potential for linking ecological habitats. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **521**, 155–170.

432 (doi:10.3354/meps11110)

- 433 10. Schmitz OJ, Hawlena D, Trussell GC. 2010 Predator control of ecosystem nutrient
 434 dynamics. *Ecol. Lett.* **13**, 1199–1209. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01511.x)
- 435 11. Saunders M, Brown C, Foley MM, Febria CM, Albright R, Mehling MG, Kavanaugh
- 436 MT, Burfeind DD. 2015 Human impacts on connectivity in marine and freshwater
- 437 ecosystems assessed using graph theory : a review. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* **67**, 277–290.

438 (doi:10.1071/MF14358)

439 12. Canuel EA, Spivak AC, Waterson EJ, Duffy JE. 2007 Biodiversity and food web

440 structure influence short-term accumulation of sediment organic matter in an

441 experimental seagrass system. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **52**, 590–602.

442 (doi:10.4319/lo.2007.52.2.0590)

- Jardine TD, Pusey BJ, Hamilton SK, Pettit NE, Davies PM, Douglas MM, Sinnamon
 V, Halliday IA, Bunn SE. 2012 Fish mediate high food web connectivity in the lower
 reaches of a tropical floodplain river. *Oecologia* 168, 829–838. (doi:10.1007/s00442011-2148-0)
- Hübner L, Pennings SC, Zimmer M. 2015 Sex- and habitat-specific movement of an
 omnivorous semi-terrestrial crab controls habitat connectivity and subsidies: a multiparameter approach. *Oecologia* **178**, 999–1015. (doi:10.1007/s00442-015-3271-0)
- 450 15. Hilderbrand G V., Hanley TA, Robbins CT, Schwartz CC. 1999 Role of brown bears
 451 (Ursus arctos) in the flow of marine nitrogen into a terrestrial ecosystem. *Oecologia*452 121, 546, 550 (doi:10.1007/s004420050961)
- 452 **121**, 546–550. (doi:10.1007/s004420050961)
- Roman J, McCarthy JJ. 2010 The whale pump: Marine mammals enhance primary
 productivity in a coastal basin. *PLoS One* 5, e13255.

455 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013255)

456 17. Roman J et al. 2014 Whales as marine ecosystem engineers. Front. Ecol. Environ.

457 **12**, 377–385. (doi:10.1890/130220)

458 18. Doughty CE, Wolf A, Malhi Y. 2013 The legacy of the Pleistocene megafauna
459 extinctions on nutrient availability in Amazonia. *Nat. Geosci.* 6, 761–764.

460 (doi:10.1038/ngeo1895)

- 461 19. Momigliano P, Harcourt R, Robbins WD, Stow A. 2015 Connectivity in grey reef
 462 sharks (Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos) determined using empirical and simulated
 463 genetic data. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13229. (doi:10.1038/srep13229)
- Croll D, Maron J, Estes JA, Danner E, Byrd G. 2005 Introduced predators transform
 subarctic islands from grassland to tundra. *Science*. **307**, 1959–1961.
- ũ
- 466 (doi:10.1126/science.1108485)
- 467 21. Papastamatiou YP, Friedlander AM, Caselle JE, Lowe CG. 2010 Long-term
- 468 movement patterns and trophic ecology of blacktip reef sharks (Carcharhinus

469 melanopterus) at Palmyra Atoll. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **386**, 94–102.

- 470 (doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2010.02.009)
- 471 22. Davis K, Carlson PM, Bradley D, Warner RR, Caselle JE. 2017 Predation risk
- 472 influences feeding rates but competition structures space use for a common Pacific
- 473 parrotfish. *Oecologia* **184**, 139–149. (doi:10.1007/s00442-017-3857-9)
- 474 23. Papastamatiou YP, Lowe CG, Caselle JE, Friedlander AM. 2009 Scale-dependent

475 effects of habitat on movements and path structure of reef sharks at a predator-

476 dominated atoll. *Ecology2* **90**, 996–1008. (doi:10.1890/08-0491.1)

- 477 24. Bradley D, Conklin E, Papastamatiou YP, McCauley DJ, Pollock K, Pollock A, Kendall
- 478 BE, Gaines SD, Caselle JE. 2017 Resetting predator baselines in coral reef 479 ecosystems. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 43131. (doi:10.1038/srep43131)
- 480 25. Field IC, Meekan MG, Speed CW, White W, Bradshaw CJA. 2011 Quantifying
- 481 movement patterns for shark conservation at remote coral atolls in the Indian Ocean.

482 *Coral Reefs* **30**, 61–71. (doi:10.1007/s00338-010-0699-x)

- Lea JSE, Humphries NE, von Brandis RG, Clarke CR, Sims DW. 2016 Acoustic
 telemetry and network analysis reveal the space use of multiple reef predators and
 enhance marine protected area design. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 283, 20160717.
 (doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.0717)
- 487 27. Papastamatiou YP, Bodey TW, Friedlander AM, Lowe CG, Bradley D, Weng K,
- 488 Priestly V, Caselle JE. 2018 Spatial separation without territoriality in shark
 489 communities. *Oikos* In press
- Vanni MJ. 2002 Nutrient cycling by animals in freshwater ecosystems. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 33, 341–370. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150519)
- 492 29. McCauley DJ, DeSalles PA, Young HS, Gardner JPA, Micheli F. 2016 Use of high-
- 493 resolution acoustic cameras to study reef shark behavioral ecology. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio.*

494 *Ecol.* **482**, 128–133. (doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2016.04.012)

- 495 30. Jacoby DMP, Brooks EJ, Croft DP, Sims DW. 2012 Developing a deeper
- 496 understanding of animal movements and spatial dynamics through novel application
- 497 of network analyses. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **3**, 574–583. (doi:10.1111/j.2041-

498 210X.2012.00187.x)

- Wetherbee BM, Gruber SH. 1993 Absorption efficiency of the lemon shark Negaprion
 brevirostris at varying rates of energy intake. *Copeia* **1993**, 416–425.
- 501 (doi:10.2307/1447140)
- Wetherbee BM, Crow GL, Lowe CG. 1997 Distribution, reproduction and diet of the
 gray reef shark Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos in Hawaii. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 151,
 181–189.
- 33. Wetherbee B, Cortés E, Bizzarro J. 2012 Food consumption and feeding habits. In *Biology of sharks and their relatives* (eds JC Carrier, JA Musick, MR Heithaus), pp.

507		239–264. Florida: CRC Press. (doi:10.1201/b11867-11)
508	34.	Nelson JA, Stallings CD, Landing WM, Chanton J. 2013 Biomass transfer subsidizes
509		nitrogen to offshore food webs. Ecosystems 16, 1130–1138. (doi:10.1007/s10021-
510		013-9672-1)
511	35.	Bradley D, Conklin E, Papastamatiou YP, McCauley DJ, Pollock K, Kendall BE,
512		Gaines SD, Caselle JE. 2017 Growth and life history variability of the grey reef shark
513		(Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos) across its range. PLoS One 12, e0172370.
514		(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172370)
515	36.	R Core Team. 2016 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
516		Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/
517	37.	QGIS Development Team. 2016 QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source
518		Geospatial Foundation Project. <u>http://www.qgis.org/</u> (accessed April 2016)
519	38.	Heupel MR, Simpfendorfer CA. 2014 Importance of environmental and biological
520		drivers in the presence and space use of a reef-associated shark. Mar. Ecol. Prog.
521		<i>Ser.</i> 496 , 47–57. (doi:10.3354/meps10529)
522	39.	Vianna GMS, Meekan MG, Meeuwig JJ, Speed CW. 2013 Environmental influences
523		on patterns of vertical movement and site fidelity of grey reef sharks (Carcharhinus
524		amblyrhynchos) at aggregation sites. PLoS One 8, e60331.
525		(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060331)
526	40.	Hearn CJ, Atkinson MJ, Falter JL. 2001 A physical derivation of nutrient-uptake rates

- 527 in coral reefs: effects of roughness and waves. *Coral Reefs* **20**, 347–356.
- 528 (doi:10.1007/s00338-001-0185-6)
- 529 41. Meyer JL, Schultz ET, Helfman GS. 1983 Fish schools : An asset to corals. *Science*.
 530 **220**, 1047–1049. (doi:10.1126/science.220.4601.1047)
- 42. Allgeier JE, Yeager LA, Layman CA. 2013 Consumers regulate nutrient limitation

- regimes and primary production in seagrass ecosystems. *Ecology* **94**, 521–529.
- 533 (doi:10.1890/12-1122.1)
- 43. Allgeier JE, Valdivia A, Cox C, Layman CA. 2016 Fishing down nutrients on coral
 reefs. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12461. (doi:10.1038/ncomms12461)
- 536 44. Allgeier JE, Burkepile DE, Layman CA. 2017 Animal pee in the sea: consumer-
- 537 mediated nutrient dynamics in the world's changing oceans. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **23**,
- 538 2166–2178. (doi:10.1111/gcb.13625)
- 539 45. Sandin SA *et al.* 2008 Baselines and degradation of coral reefs in the Northern Line
 540 Islands. *PLoS One* **3**, e1548. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001548)
- 541 46. Gove JM et al. 2016 Near-island biological hotspots in barren ocean basins. Nat.
- 542 *Commun.* **7**, 1–8. (doi:10.1038/ncomms10581)
- 543 47. Papastamatiou YP, Meyer CG, Carvalho F, Dale JJ, Hutchinson MR, Holland KN.
- 544 2013 Telemetry and random-walk models reveal complex patterns of partial migration
- in a large marine predator. *Ecology* **94**, 2595–2606. (doi:10.1890/12-2014.1)
- 48. Heithaus MR, Frid A, Wirsing AJ, Worm B. 2008 Predicting ecological consequences
- of marine top predator declines. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **23**, 202–210.
- 548 (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2008.01.003)
- 49. Barnett A, Abrantes K., Seymour J, Fitzpatrick R. 2012 Residency and spatial use by
- reef sharks of an isolated seamount and its implications for conservation. *PLoS One*
- 551 **7**, e36574. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036574)
- 552 50. NOAA National Ocean Service, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science. 2016
- 553 Project Details. Benthic Habitat Mapping of Palmyra Atoll. See
- 554 https://coastalscience.noaa.gov/projects/detail?key=70 (accessed on 1 May 2016).
- 555 Tables

Table 1 Summary of the tagged grey reef sharks and their movements detected by the
acoustic receivers in Palmyra Atoll; mean values displayed in the table are presented with
one standard deviation.

	All sharks	Female	Male
Number of individuals detected			
2011	27	20	7
2012	38	25	13
2013	33	22	11
2014	30	20	10
Entire study period	41	28	13
Number of movements ≤110 hours			
2011	16,665	13,559	3,106
2012	21,750	17,177	4,573
2013	29,639	24,648	4,991
2014	31,288	24,933	6,355
Entire study period	99,342	80,317	19,025
Days at liberty between 2011 and 2014 ^a			
Min	21	21	40
Мах	1,439	1,437	1,439
Mean (SD)	985 (396)	977 (410)	1,001 (380)
Fork length (m)			
Min	0.86 ^b	0.86 ^b	1.03
Max	1.47 ^b	1.47 ^b	1.31
Mean (SD)	1.18 (0.15) ^b	1.21 (0.17) ^b	1.13 (0.10)

^a Days at liberty are the number of days between an individual's first and last detection in the dataset.

^b The fork length for one female was missing, thus for the measurements of all sharks, n = 40, and for females, n = 27.

Table 2 The five nodes around which the greatest quantity of nitrogen (N) is estimated to
have been distributed by the tagged male or female grey reef sharks per day, based on the
dynamic residency score of each node. See Fig. 1 for location of nodes.

	Acoustic	Node	Residency	Dynamic	Quantity of nitrogen
	receiver	strength	index (%)	residency	potentially distributed by
	(geographic			score	the tagged grey reef
	zone ^a)				sharks (g d ⁻¹)
Females					
	18 (FR)	11,674	89.73	10,474.62	15.66
	40 (BR)	9,023	81.23	7,329.64	10.96
	16 (FR)	7,360	84.11	6,190.47	9.26
	10 (FR)	7,122	79.66	5,673.21	8.48
	60 (FR)	4,094	92.19	3,774.33	5.64
Males					
	16 (FR)	1,704	82.26	1,401.72	5.24
	60 (FR)	1,702	75.55	1,285.83	4.80
	10 (FR)	1,567	55.34	867.22	3.24
	18 (FR)	1,727	39.11	675.43	2.52
	40 (BR)	1,413	32.26	455.84	1.70

^a Geographic zones include the fore-reef (FR), back-reef (BR) and lagoon.

562 Figure legends

Fig. 1 Spatial array of acoustic receivers at Palmyra Atoll; only receivers included within the
analyses performed in this study are shown; the colour version of this figure is available
online. Satellite image from Google Earth.

Fig. 2 The monthly edge densities of movement networks for male and female grey reef sharks (*Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos*) over each year of the study period; these differed significantly between the sexes (P<0.01); the boxplots present the median and quartile values, the circles denote outliers.

570 Fig. 3 The four-year movement networks of (a) female (n=28) and (b) male (n=13) grey reef sharks overlaid on kernel densities that represent dynamic residency at each receiver. 571 572 Networks include only movements that took ≤110 hours and have been filtered to show the 75 most frequently used routes by each sex. Edge thickness represents the frequency of 573 movements (M range = 36-2711; F range = 129-13131). The dynamic residency score was 574 575 calculated as the node strength (S_i) of each receiver divided by 100 and multiplied by a 576 standard residency index, R (M range = 1-1401; F range = 2-10474). The size of each node represents the potential N redistribution by the tagged grey reef sharks (see Table 2). The 577 inset habitat networks illustrate the relative frequency of shark movements within and 578 between geographic zones, with the size of the nodes representing the relative detection 579 580 frequencies in each habitat; the left-hand nodes represent the zone the sharks moved into after last being detected in the habitat depicted by the right-hand node. The base map of 581 582 Palmyra Atoll was acquired from [50].