Optical spectroscopy-based imaging techniques for the diagnosis of breast cancer: A novel approach
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Abstract:
There have been substantial advancements in optical spectroscopy-based imaging techniques in recent years. These developments can potentially herald a transformational change in the diagnostic pathway for diseases such as cancer. In this paper we review clinical and engineering aspects of novel optical spectroscopy-based imaging tools. We shall provide a comprehensive analysis of optical and non-optical spectroscopy-based breast cancer diagnosis techniques vis-à-vis the current standard techniques such as X-Ray mammography, ultrasonography and tissue biopsy. The recent advancements in optical spectroscopy-based imaging systems such as Transillumination Imaging (TI) and the various types of Diffuse Optical Imaging (DOI) systems (parallel-plate, bed-based, and handheld) are examined. The engineering aspects including mechanical, electronics, optics, automatic interpretation using artificial intelligence (AI), and ergonomics are discussed. The abilities of these new technologies for measuring several cancer biomarkers such as hemoglobin, water, lipid, collagen, oxygen saturation (SO2), and tissue oxygenation index (TOI) are investigated. This article critically assesses the diagnostic ability and practical deployment of these new technologies to differentiate between the normal and cancerous tissue.
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Introduction
Breast cancer is the most common cancer affecting women, with 2 million cases diagnosed annually and over 600,000 fatalities.(1, 2) In developed countries, the incidence is higher compared to developing countries; however, the case fatality rate in developed countries is lower than in developing countries.(1, 2) Data from Globocan 2018, IARC shows that out of 2,088,849 new breast cancer cases reported globally, the incidence rate in high income and low-middle income countries was 823,638 (39%) and 444,728 (21%) respectively; however, the mortality was 178,554 (8.5%) and 205,691 (9.8%) respectively. (1, 2) A significant reason for this difference is due to the diagnosis of breast cancer at an early stage in high-income countries; however, high level of population-based screening facilities for early detection of breast cancer in low-middle income countries are also materializing. (3, 4)
Currently, the methods for diagnosis of breast cancer, whether in a symptomatic or a screening situation are clinical breast examination, imaging with X-ray mammography and ultrasound as well as tissue diagnosis using a needle biopsy. The most common tool used for screening for breast cancer is X-ray mammography has been reported to have sensitivity and specificity of 77% and 97% respectively. (5) However, X-Ray mammography’s sensitivity and specificity reduce significantly to 67% and 89% respectively for mammographically dense (relatively radiopaque) breasts. (6, 7) Moreover, X-Ray mammography is expensive, hospital-based, involves small radiation risks, and is less accurate in younger women, under 50 (8–13). Contrast enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is reported to have the highest sensitivity between 93% and 100% (14, 15); but is costly, the equipment is bulky and expensive, and needs to be hospital-based.

Ultrasonography (16–18) does not use ionizing radiation, but requires a skilled operator and is less accurate and less reproducible (19, 20) compared to X-ray mammography. Thermography is highly sensitive to ambient and temperature fluctuation, resulting in high false-positive rates, (21–23). low accuracy, (24) and is not used in clinical practice.

Diverse optical and non-optical spectroscopy techniques are used to diagnose breast cancer. The optical spectroscopy techniques involve Transillumination Imaging (TI), Diffuse Optical Imaging (DOI), Raman spectroscopy, and Fluorescence spectroscopy, while non-optical spectroscopy comprises of microwave spectroscopy, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, and molecular mass spectroscopy. The review gives a detailed analysis on optical spectroscopy techniques such as Transillumination Imaging and Diffuse Optical Imaging, which have the potential to be translated to rapid diagnostic tools for breast cancer.

Optical properties of tissue, e.g., absorption and scattering coefficients, have been studied thoroughly (25–28) where the differences in optical properties of breast cancer and normal tissue could potentially be used to diagnose cancer. Spectroscopy instruments measuring optical properties use visible to near-infrared (NIR) light (wavelength from 600 nm to 1100 nm) which propagates through the tissue. As it propagates, there is photon diffusion and scattering and the difference in local blood supply that accompanies cancer leads to higher absorption as compared to the adjacent normal tissue, resulting in distinguishable contrast between the tumor to normal tissue in the acquired image, (26, 29), which forms a basis for tumor detection. Such optical detection uses spectroscopy techniques such as Transillumination Imaging (TI) and Diffuse
Optical Imaging (DOI). Transillumination imaging has been studied using a commercial tool such as Breastlight (30, 31) and has been found to have varying sensitivities between 60% and 93% for breast cancer detection, primarily due to the dependency on the skill of the clinician to visually analyze the transillumination images. (29, 31–37) Mehnati et al. (38) and Edge et al. (39) critically review the transillumination imaging for breast screening and recommended performing more clinical trials.

Spectroscopy based imaging technique such as Diffuse Optical Imaging (DOI) has several theoretical advantages over simple transillumination. DOI can map the relative concentration of different tissue constituents such as oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO), deoxygenated hemoglobin (HbR), total hemoglobin (HbT), lipid (L), water (H2O), and collagen (C) along with bulk tissue properties such as absorption coefficient (μa), reduced scattering coefficient (μs), oxygen saturation (SO2), and tissue oxygenation index (TOI). These parameters can be used as multiple biomarkers to improve accuracy of delineation between normal and abnormal cases.(40–42) However, DOI techniques are still lab-based, expensive, and provide lower resolution images as compared to X-Ray mammography due to comparatively higher scattering of infrared photons within the breast tissue.(43) Tromberg et al. was the first to review the DOI system as a potential diagnostic technique,(44) followed by Godavarty et al., specifically proposing the handheld optical imaging systems as a potential tool for quick diagnosis of breast cancer in the field.(45) However, other configurations such as parallel-plate and bed-based techniques may have a better potential to be translated as a rapid diagnostic tool and are also discussed in this review.

The challenges of such an approach include variation of breast volume between patients, acquisition time, and automatic interpretation of results. In this review, we assess different cancer biomarkers that could be used to delineate between tumor and normal cases. The TI and DOI systems are analyzed with an engineering perspective, including its mechanical, electronics, optics, and ergonomic design. Detailed analysis is performed on different configurations of the lab based DOI systems giving higher sensitivity, albeit with a comparatively small patient sample size. The review also discusses the application of machine learning techniques in DOI based system for automated interpretation of results. Finally, the design of opto-electronics components, which plays a vital role in the overall development cost of the DOI system is discussed.

Low cost rapid diagnosis of breast cancer

Challenges involved in breast cancer diagnosis at low-resource settings
Various challenges of application of the diagnostic tool in low-resource settings include proficiency in imaging breasts with different volume and density, cost, portability, automatic interpretation of results, and optimization of parameters, e.g., time to examine each patient, adequate sensitivity, and specificity.

**Breast volume and density variation**

One of the pressing challenges when manufacturing a device for breast imaging is its adaptability (46) to accommodate the variation of breast volume between 200 and 1500cc and breast surface area varying from 100 to 500cm² (47–49) while maintaining sensitivity. Moreover, variation in breast texture between patients,(44, 50) or due to the menstrual cycle (51) is also a concern.

**Accurate diagnosis of cancer even when the tumor is very small**

The diagnostic tool should have high contrast and resolution to be adequately sensitive to detect cancer at an early stage.(52–55) However, the holy grail is the ability to differentiate between early cancers that may never grow and those that could potentially spread and be lethal. Additionally, while detecting these early cancers, the tool should be capable to distinguish between breast abnormalities due to the patient’s age, physiological factors, hormonal changes and other abnormalities caused by cancer.(56, 57) Finally, for a tool to be useful, the sensitivity and specificity need to be high and well quantified.(43, 45, 58–60)

**Reducing human input and cost**

Highly trained human resource is necessary for the current approaches to breast cancer diagnosis, including surgeons, radiographers, radiologists, and pathologists. Furthermore, the intimate examination may lead to hesitancy in women with some socio-cultural backgrounds.(56, 61, 62) If a diagnostic tool requires much less human input, it may be more cost-effective, less time consuming as well as more acceptable in certain cultures. Therefore, a machine learning algorithm with segmentation and classification algorithms could help to differentiate between normal and abnormal cases automatically.

**Portability**

A tool that is portable and battery operated will facilitate its use in remote locations in the developed world as well as in low and middle-income countries.(29, 33–36, 38)
The complete wish-list of the requirements of a practical tool for the rapid diagnosis of breast cancer is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1** List of requirements for breast cancer diagnostic tool.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ability to adjust breast volume variation</td>
<td>(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ability to adjust breast density variation</td>
<td>(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>High contrast and resolution</td>
<td>(52–55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Reducing human intervention</td>
<td>(56, 61, 62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Low screening fee</td>
<td>(44, 112–120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Screening time</td>
<td>(89, 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>High sensitivity and specificity</td>
<td>(43, 45, 58–60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Automatic interpretation of results using machine learning</td>
<td>(121–127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Portable system and lightweight</td>
<td>(29, 33–36, 38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Battery powered</td>
<td>(29, 33–36, 38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Spectroscopy based techniques to diagnose breast cancer**

The spectroscopy techniques can be classified as optical and non-optical techniques based on the operating wavelength and the type of source used in the spectroscopy technique.

The optical spectroscopy techniques include Transillumination Imaging (TI) technique, Diffuse Optical Imaging (DOI), Raman spectroscopy, and Fluorescence spectroscopy. Transillumination Imaging has been studied extensively for breast cancer screening in Egypt, Iran, Iraq, and Ghana (29, 30, 32–36). While DOI is still a lab-based technique and portrayed as a promising technique to be used for breast cancer screening in the future (44, 63), the DOI system is classified as parallel plate geometry, bed-based, and handheld probe techniques, based on the arrangement of the source and detector. Zhao et al. (64) compare bed-based DOI images (Fig. 1a-e) with MRI T2 images, while Gharley et al. (29) visually analyze the transillumination image for breast cancer detection (Fig. 1f). More advanced optical spectroscopy techniques comprise of Raman spectroscopy and Fluorescence spectroscopy.

Raman spectroscopy is used to detect the Stokes and anti-Stokes scattered photons to quantify chemical composition. This technique is highly sensitive to detect breast cancer; however, it is highly sophisticated and expensive to be deployed at large scale. Fluorescence spectroscopy uses endogenous and exogenous chromophores to re-emit the absorbed photons with a distinct spectral response. Fluorescence spectroscopy using exogenous chromophores such as indocyanine green (ICG) needs to be injected intravenously, (65) which makes the technique invasive, and there are concerns of an allergic reaction due to the presence of sodium iodide in ICG. (66) Fluorescence
spectroscopy using endogenous chromophores such as collagen, elastin, and hemoglobin is non-invasive; however, this technique uses sophisticated optical tools to measure sensitive fluorescence signals, which makes it practically difficult to be used at large scale. (67–69)

The non-optical spectroscopy techniques used to diagnose breast cancer includes microwave spectroscopy, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, and molecular mass spectrometry. The microwave spectroscopy uses non-ionizing microwave radiation; however, the technique suffers from low contrast between healthy and malignant fibroglandular tissues. Additionally, the shorter wavelength and significant tissue conductivity limits the penetration depth of the microwave radiation. (69–71) The NMR spectroscopy uses non-ionizing radiofrequency radiation to quantify the composition of chemical biomarkers within the breast tissue, such as phosphocholine, which is about ten times more in cancer tissues as compared to normal tissues. However, the NMR technique suffers from lower sensitivity, and the equipment is expensive. (72–74) The molecular mass spectrometry with the recent advancement of ambient ionization technology provides the molecular signature for differentiating between normal and cancerous regions within the breast; however, the technique is destructive and invasive, and hence appropriate only for advanced diagnosis of breast cancer, such as intraoperative margin assessment. (75–77) The complete analysis of different types of spectroscopy techniques are showcased in Table 2.
Transillumination Imaging (TI) systems

The transillumination method has been extensively studied for breast cancer diagnosis, as TI based systems are cost-effective, portable and easy to operate.\(^\text{(29–36)}\) In the transillumination method, the handheld probe (Fig. 2a) consisting of few LEDs, is placed under the breast (Fig. 2b), while the skilled clinician analyzes the transilluminated view of the breast (Fig. 1f).\(^\text{(29)}\) The transilluminated view consists of the light propagating through the breast tissue and the blood vessels. As the LEDs are operated at about 620 nm, overlapping with the absorption peak of red blood cells, the blood vessels appear as dark and tissue appears as light pink or red. In addition to any abnormality of blood vessel diameter, dark patches in the breast tissue due to an abnormality also become a basis for tumor detection.

Vaidya et al.\(^\text{(31)}\) reported the use of Breastlight from a survey of 1500 women, where 1054 returned with their feedback, out of which 3 had mammogram and 1 was diagnosed with cancer. They found that the use of Breastlight did not raise the anxiety nor did it detract women from seeking medical advice. Iwuchukwu et al.\(^\text{(37)}\) screened 300 women and detected 12 out of 18 malignant cases using Breastlight in a screening performed in UK with a sensitivity and specificity of 67% and 85% respectively. Labib et al.\(^\text{(35)}\) screened 310 women in Egypt, reporting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Spectroscopy Techniques</th>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>Transillumination Imaging (29, 30, 32–36)</td>
<td>Absorption and scattering of visible light source to quantify difference of transmittance</td>
<td>Non-invasive, Inexpensive</td>
<td>Low accuracy, varying sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difuse Optical Imaging (44, 63)</td>
<td>Visible and infrared absorption spectroscopy to quantify chemical composition</td>
<td>Non-invasive, relatively inexpensive</td>
<td>Low penetration depth, lower spatial resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raman Spectroscopy (65)</td>
<td>Stokes and anti-Stokes scattered photons to quantify chemical composition</td>
<td>Non-invasive, highly sensitive</td>
<td>Highly sophisticated, expensive and difficult to deploy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluorescence Spectroscopy (66–68)</td>
<td>Re-emission of absorbed photons to differentiate normal and tumor regions</td>
<td>High sensitivity</td>
<td>Needs i.v. injection Exogenous chromophores can cause allergic reactions, endogenous chromophore are expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Optical</td>
<td>Microwave Spectroscopy (69–71)</td>
<td>Microwave radiation to quantify the electric properties</td>
<td>Non-invasive</td>
<td>Low contrast between healthy and malignant fibroglandular tissue, and low penetration depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (72–74)</td>
<td>RF radiation to quantify the chemical composition</td>
<td>Non-invasive</td>
<td>Low sensitivity and expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molecular Mass Spectrometry (75–77)</td>
<td>Ionizing tissue to measure molecular signature</td>
<td>Molecular level information, high sensitivity</td>
<td>Destructive technique and invasive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Analysis of various spectroscopy techniques for diagnosis of breast cancer
sensitivity as high as 93% and specificity of 73.7%. Al-Alwan et al. (32) screened 150 women in Iraq, reported a sensitivity of 80.56%. However, the device reported a low specificity of 53.47% and high false positives (46.53%). Shiryazdi et al. (34, 36) screened 500 women in Iran, specifically young women (<30), for whom the use of mammography is inadvisable. The sensitivity of 60.3% and specificity of 92.5% was reported for the device and domiciliary use of the device was proposed as an alternative technique to BSE. Aliasghar et al. (33) screened 100 samples in Iraq and suggested that the technique shouldn’t be used exclusively due to high false-positive (46%) and low sensitivity (66.66%), specificity (51.06%) and accuracy (52%). Gharkey et al. (29) screened 2204 women in Ghana and reported a sensitivity of 92.3% with the device as compared to 73% with CBE; however, specificity remains unreported (Fig. 5a-c). We agree with other authors (38, 39) that there is a need for more clinical trials before using the transillumination method as a rapid diagnostic tool as it does offer a potentially accurate tool that is inexpensive and very easy to use. The varying sensitivity showcases the need for the rapid diagnostic tool which can delineate between normal and abnormal breast based on biomarkers such as hemoglobin, lipids, collagen, water and tissue properties such as absorption coefficient, reduced scattering coefficient, oxygen saturation, and tissue oxygenation index. The sample size, age group of subjects, and performance parameters of the studies discussed in this section are tabulated in Table 3.
**Table 3. Sensitivity and specificity of transillumination based optical imaging tool**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaidya et al. (2009) (31)</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>543 were less than 50 years and 511 were pre menopause</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwuchukwu et al. (2010) (37)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labib et al. (2013) (35)</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>18 – 81 (46.3±12.4)</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Alwan et al. (2015) (32)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>10 – 69</td>
<td>80.56%</td>
<td>53.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiryazdi et al. (2015) (34, 36)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>19 – 49 (37±4.2)</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aliasghar et al. (2017) (33)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>66.66%</td>
<td>51.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gharney et al. (2018) (29)</td>
<td>2204</td>
<td>34, 41*</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean age in two different demographic groups

**Diffuse Optical Imaging (DOI) systems**

The DOI systems are based on either continuous wave (CW), frequency domain (FD), or time-domain (TD) operation. However, considering the cost-effective requirement of rapid diagnosis, we only review those CW and FD systems performed on large-scale clinical trials, while averting the TD systems due to its comparatively higher development cost.(78) The CW system can be developed with lower instrumentation cost as compared to the FD system, primarily due to the requirement of network analyzer, advance laser/led driver, and bias network.(79) In this section, we analyze the parallel plate, bed-based and handheld DOI systems tested on large sample size *in vivo* detection of breast cancer with the perspective of rapid diagnosis and summarized in Table 4.

**Parallel plate-based DOI system**

The parallel plate technique involves an array of source and detectors attached to two adjacent parallel plates with a distance separating them for the placement of breast, similar to the parallel plate technique of X-Ray mammography. The patient can sit on a chair or stand upright while placing the breast within the expanded parallel plate. The parallel plate compresses the breast with a specific pressure to begin the acquisition process.

Carp et al. (80) assessed a total of 17 patients by performing compression induced hemodynamic analysis, with the discriminating factor as total hemoglobin (higher in tumor), oxygenated hemoglobin, and saturated oxygen. The sensitivity and specificity of the system was
reported to be 88% and 70% respectively. The bottom plate of the system was fixed, while the upper plate could be moved vertically, with forces ranging from 0 to 55 N, to study compression dependent hemodynamics (Fig. 3a-b). The compression was measured using strain gauge fixed to the upper plate. The system used a periodic cycle to perform coupled continuous wave (CW) and frequency domain (FD) operation, with a total acquisition time of about 7 mins. Mastanduno et al. (81) dealt explicitly with the variation in breast volume by adjusting to breasts with different cup sizes. The system involved three parallel plates with 6 degrees of freedom to consider different breast volume.

Anderson et al. (82) specifically developed a cost-effective CW system, most suitable for rapid diagnosis of breast cancer. An assessment of 26 patients was reported by optical characterization of breast and creation of breast maps. As compared to the surrounding tissues, the tumor regions had a higher concentration of hemoglobin and water, along with lower lipid concentration and oxygen saturation. Anderson et al. (83) further assessed 80 patients with oxygenation saturation maps and used the Dice coefficient as a main discriminating factor.

**Fig. 3.** Parallel plate based DOI system peforming *in-vivo* clinical studies: (a) Instrumentation and (b) Imaging system of the parallel plate system by Carp et al. (80), reprinted from (80) with permission of OSA, Copyright 2013.

**Bed based DOI system**

The bed-based systems are adapted from the parallel plate configuration, where the patient lies in a prone position with breast pendant in a chamber enclosed by parallel plates. The breast is usually immersed in a scattering fluid, having a similar refractive index that of fatty breast tissue. (84) The chamber holding the fluid and breast is surrounded by an array of sources and detectors, embedded within the plates. The fluid mainly consists of intralipid and/or India ink which has a similar refractive index to that of breast tissue so that the photons getting scattered within the breast do not refract while propagating away from the breast. Additionally, there are configurations. (85)
replacing the scattering fluid with an arrangement of optical fibers to get direct contact with the breast surface.

The system proposed by Choe et al. (41) consisted of a fixed plate and a movable compression plate. Based on the size of the breast, the compression plate could be moved between 5.5 cm to 7.5 cm (Fig. 4d). The total acquisition time for a single breast took about 12 mins. The study assessed a total of 51 patients and reported the sensitivity and specificity of 98% and 90% respectively. The cancer regions were reported higher total hemoglobin, oxygenated hemoglobin, and scattering coefficient as compared to normal cases. Busch et al. (86) expanded Choe et al. system (41) and converted the 2D images into 3D DOT (Diffuse Optical Tomography) images using multiparameter, multivoxel and multisubject statistical analysis to overcome the image artifacts. The study reported the assessment of 35 patients with a sensitivity and specificity of 89% and 94%, with HbT contrast ratio (T/N) cutoff of 1.2.

The system proposed by Wang, James et al. (87) reported higher absorption coefficient, reduced scattering coefficient, and refractive index in tumor regions as compared to the surrounding regions. The system was based on the work by Iftimia et al.,(88) which took about 4 minutes for the acquisition of an image. The specificity and accuracy of the system developed by Iftimia et al., (88) was improved by Wang, James et al. (87) by the employment of machine learning algorithm (support vector machine classification) for automated delineation of normal and tumor cases. The study (87) assessed a total of 33 patients and reported the sensitivity and specificity of 81.8% and 91.7% respectively.

Pogue et al. (25) assessed a total of 39 healthy patients and quantified hemoglobin concentration, oxygen saturation, water, absorption, and scattering coefficient. The system used optical fibers to deliver the light source directly to the breast surface. Sixteen optical fibers were arranged circularly to cover the breast surface uniformly. The variation of breast volume was adjusted by varying the diameter of this circular arrangement. The acquisition time for a single breast took about 5 mins. Force transducers were placed explicitly for safety as well to measure optical images based on different applied pressures. Wang, Jia et al. (85) extended this approach by using a coupled frequency domain (FD) and continuous wave (CW) operation along with a broadband light source with a reasonable development cost. The study reported more accurate tissue constituents with this coupled approach as compared to FD data alone. The study assessed 9 patients and reported 1.5 to 2-fold increase in water and hemoglobin concentration in the tumor region.
as compared to the surrounding normal region. The study also reported lower lipid concentration in lipid as compared to the normal region.

Ban et al. (89) introduced real-time camera-based DOT technique to quantify tissue properties along with 3D image reconstruction of the breast. The camera could detect any error rising due to motion artifacts. The system was extended by Cochran et al. (90), an assessment of 222 patients was done and the accuracy of 86% was reported. The FD system developed by Zhao et al. (91) consisted of a movable football-shaped fiber breast interface to facilitate different breast volume. The system reported an assessment of 11 patients with hemoglobin and water contrast ratios of 1.4 and 1.2 respectively (Fig. 4a-c). The acquisition time in this system of about 90 sec was reduced to 55 sec in the updated system (64) by applying a prospective gain setting scheme. The updated system reported an increase of contrast in total hemoglobin to 1.7 by the inclusion of the collagen concentration in image reconstruction.

**Handheld based DOI system**

Unlike X-Ray mammography, the Diffuse Optical Imaging (DOI) system use smaller light sources and detectors that can be configured within a handheld system. The handheld probe is scanned point by point to cover the complete breast surface area. This process increases acquisition time for both breasts, and hence, the handheld device is primarily used in conjunction with complementary techniques, e.g., Ultrasound (42, 92, 93) and X-Ray.(94) With the help of such
complementary techniques, the operator can focus on a specific suspicious breast area for higher image contrast and resolution.

Zhu et al. (92) assessed a total of 19 patients with an ultrasound-guided diffuse optical imaging handheld probe. The study reported a 2-fold higher total hemoglobin in the tumor as compared to the benign region. The system first localizes the lesion using ultrasound and then scans the suspected region at higher resolution using DOI. Chen et al. (93) expanded the system proposed by Zhu et al., by reducing the weight and converting it into a portable system weighing ~26.5 lb (12 kg) with an acquisition time of about 5 minutes. Cheng et al. (95) assessed a total of 50 patients with a real-time time continuous-wave handheld DOI probe, and reported the sensitivity and specificity of 92% and 67% respectively. The study reported a higher total hemoglobin and oxygen saturation in tumor cases as compared to the normal.

The study by Chance et al. (96) used a multiwavelength LED at the center circularly surrounded by eight detectors with a radius of 4 cm. This arrangement gave a circular measurement area with a diameter of about 9 cm over the breast. The integration of a pressure transducer preserved the accuracy by maintaining the pressure of ~3 mmHg throughout the measurement process. The study used hemoglobin concentration as a main discriminating factor to identify the cancerous region. The system assessed a total of 116 patients and reported the sensitivity and specificity of 96% and 93% respectively.

Cerussi et al. (96) proposed the handheld probe system which consisted of the source optical fiber attached to a movable plastic attachment along with the casing of the probe consisting of an Avalanche photodiode (APD) detector. This arrangement helped to measure data at different source-detector distances. The point by point scan was performed on a line with a spacing of 10 mm, and source-detector separation of 28 mm. The study assessed a total of 58 patients, and reported higher water, oxy- and deoxygenated hemoglobin (more than 50% each), and lower lipid (~ 20%) concentration in the tumor as compared to normal region. The study also reported TOI with a 2-fold contrast of malignant tissue as compared to the surrounding regions. The system required prior knowledge of tumor location through X-ray mammography. The system developed by O'Sullivan et al. (79) extended Cerussi et al. work, by printing the PCB circuit thereby replacing the network analyzer with equivalent accuracy while proving 5x faster acquisition time and 10x less cost (Fig 5d-f).
Kukreti et al. (97) introduced self-referencing differential spectroscopy technique to report an absence or presence of molecular disposition in spectral fingerprint rather than the molecular concentration. The study retrospectively assessed a total of 60 patients and used malignancy index (MI) as a discriminating factor, which was higher in the malignant as compared to benign. The study reported a sensitivity and specificity of 91% and 94% respectively. The system required the location of the tumor beforehand using an ultrasound technique, and the probe was vertically scanned with a spacing of 10 mm across the tumor. The acquisition time for each spatial location was ~10 seconds. Zhang et al. (94) compared the DOT with Ultrasound Elastography (UE) and X-Ray Mammography. The study assessed a total of 67 patients and reported a sensitivity and specificity of DOT as 95.4% and 73.44% respectively, UE as 81.82% and 93.33% respectively, and Mammography as 68.18% and 57.78% respectively. The DOT and UE were reported to have higher specificity and accuracy as compared to conventional mammography. The DOT images were recorded using a scanner (Xinao-MDT, Beijing, China) and used discriminating factors as total hemoglobin concentration (high in tumor) and oxygen saturation (low in tumor).

Gonzalez et al. (98, 99) introduced a fork design (Gen-2 system) which performed sequential and simultaneous bilateral reflectance and transmittance measurements. The probe head (4 x 5 cm²) was flexible to conform to breast tissue surfaces with minimal compression. The probe head was integrated with 3 source fibers and 96 detector fiber connected to the laser source and ICCD camera. Erickson et al. (100) compared the Gen-2 system proposed by Gonzalez et al. (98, 99) with an updated Gen-1 system, using a flexible probe head (4 x 9 cm²) with 6 source fibers and 165 detector fibers. Real-time images with an updated Gen-1 system were gathered with processing time for each image of about 2 seconds. The study by Erickson et al. (100) assessed a total of 5 patients using the discriminating factor as total hemoglobin concentration (higher in tumor).

Zhu et al. (42) assessed a total of 288 patients based on the different stages of breast cancer (T1, T2, T4, Tis), and reported a sensitivity and specificity of 96.6%–100% and 77.3%–83.3% respectively. Mostafa et al. (101) proposed a real-time semi-automated process that automatically identified tumor location and fed to the optical imaging reconstruction process. The study assessed
a total of 20 patients and reported the discriminating factor as total hemoglobin concentration (high in tumor).

![Fig. 5](image)

**Fig. 5** Different hand-held devices performing in-vivo clinical studies: (a) Broadband DOSI system constituting of instrumentation as proposed by O'Sullivan et al. (79) and Cerussi et al. (128), (b) Handheld probe, and (c) Patient lying in supine position for the measurement, reprinted from O'Sullivan et al. (79) with permission of SPIE, Copyright 2012.

**Challenges of different configurations of DOI systems for routine diagnostic applications.**

The parallel plate techniques were the earliest studied configuration, because of its similarity with mammography. The advantage of using this technique is the availability of the sophisticated mechanical rail system that can facilitate breast volume variation. However, a significant challenge faced by the parallel plate is the breast density variation of the compressed breast within the plate area. When the breast is under compression using parallel plates, the orthogonal density towards the chest will be relatively high. This gradient in density must be taken into consideration while performing image reconstruction. Additionally, the compression of the breast causes pain and inconvenience to the patient. (82) Considering a large-scale clinical trial, the mechanical parts of the system will be more prone to failure. Moreover, due to the mechanical arrangement of the vertical railing, subtle vibration due to motion artifacts while taking measurement also induces errors in the acquired image. (80)
Table 4. Analysis of DOI systems performed on large sample size with rapid diagnostic perspective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Operation type</th>
<th>Acquisition time</th>
<th>ML</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Tissue types</th>
<th>DF (T/N)</th>
<th>Comparative analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parallel plate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carp et al., 2013 (80)</td>
<td>CW, FD</td>
<td>~ 7 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Normal and IDC</td>
<td>HbT †</td>
<td>Single biomarker, low specificity, and high cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al., 2015 (82)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>4 - 10 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IDC, DCIS</td>
<td>HbT † H₂O ↑ L↓</td>
<td>Cost effective and rapid acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al., 2016 (83)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IDC, DCIS, ILC, and LCIS</td>
<td>HbT † H₂O ↑ L↓ SO₂↓</td>
<td>Cost effective and multiple biomarkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bed based</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choe et al., 2009 (41)</td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>8 to 12 mins per breast</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>98% (95% CI=87–100%)</td>
<td>90% (95% CI of wide range: 55–100%)</td>
<td>IDC, DCIS, ILC, LCIS, FB, cyst and FBC.</td>
<td>HbT † HbO ↑ μs↑</td>
<td>High sensitivity, high specificity, but with large acquisition time and costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hitimia et al., 2003 (88)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>81.8 %</td>
<td>91.7%</td>
<td>Benign and IDC</td>
<td>A ↑ μs ↑ η↑</td>
<td>Rapid acquisition, high specificity, integrated with ML, but costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Busch et al., 2010 (86)</td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>8 to 12 mins per breast</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>Benign, IDC, DCIS, and ILC</td>
<td>HbT †</td>
<td>High sensitivity, high specificity, but large acquisition time and costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, Jia et al., 2010 (85)</td>
<td>FD, CW</td>
<td>8 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Normal, DCIS, IDC, and IFC</td>
<td>HbT † H₂O ↑ L↓</td>
<td>Reasonable development cost, but low sample size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al., 2016 (91)</td>
<td>CW, FD</td>
<td>90 sec</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Normal and IDC</td>
<td>HbT † H₂O ↑</td>
<td>Rapid acquisition, but low sample size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran et al., 2018 (90)</td>
<td>CW, FD</td>
<td>Real-Time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>Accuracy of 86%</td>
<td>Benign, IDC, ILC, DCIS, and LCIS</td>
<td>HbR ↑ TOI ↑ H₂O ↑ SO₂↑</td>
<td>Real time, integrated with ML, high accuracy, and large sample size.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Handheld</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al., 2003 (92)</td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>~13 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IC, ADH, LCIS, FB, and FBC</td>
<td>HbT †</td>
<td>Single biomarker and low sample size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng et al., 2003 (95)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Real-Time</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Benign, IDC, and DCIS</td>
<td>HbT † SO₂↑</td>
<td>Real time, but low specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chance et al., 2005 (96)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>~10 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>Normal and cancer</td>
<td>HbT † SO₂↓</td>
<td>Large sample size, high sensitivity, high specificity, but with large acquisition time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerussi et al., 2006 (128)</td>
<td>FD, CW</td>
<td>20 sec at each spatial location</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Benign and IDC</td>
<td>H₂O ↑ HbO ↑ HbR ↑ L↓ TOI ↑</td>
<td>No data on sensitivity and specificity and costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kukreti et al., 2009 (97)</td>
<td>FD, CW</td>
<td>10 sec at each spatial location</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>Benign and cancer</td>
<td>MI ↑</td>
<td>High sensitivity, high specificity, but costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al., 2014 (94)</td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>95.45%</td>
<td>73.33%</td>
<td>FB, FBC, cyst, IDC, CP and MC</td>
<td>HbT † SO₂↓</td>
<td>Low specificity and costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erickson et al., 2015 (100)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Real-Time</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>IDC, DCIS, MTC</td>
<td>HbT †</td>
<td>Low sample size with no data about sensitivity and specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al., 2016 (42)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5 sec</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>96.6%–100%</td>
<td>77.3%–83.3%</td>
<td>Benign, Tis, T1, T2, T3, and T4.</td>
<td>HbT †</td>
<td>Large sample size, high sensitivity, high specificity, and rapid acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mostafa et al., 2017 (101)</td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>Real-Time</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Benign, IDC, DCIS, LC</td>
<td>HbT †</td>
<td>Real time, but no data about sensitivity and specificity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The patient lying in a bed-based system is in a relaxed position, whether in a supine or prone position. Moreover, the bed-based system has been reported to have sensitivity and specificity of more than 90%.(41, 86) However, a significant challenge using a bed based system is using matching fluid-based chambers,(102, 103) which is susceptible to spilling and leakages. Additionally, due to the use of fluid, the issue of hygiene and cleanliness is also a concern. Replacing the fluid-based chamber with a motion-based contact technique requires maintaining optimal pressure and uniform contact with the breast surface, which is a challenge. Additionally, considering large-scale clinical trial for rapid diagnosis, the mechanical motion-based contact technique for different breast size is more prone to failure. Finally, the requirement of a specialized bed with chambers makes the system bulky and decreases portability. The bed-based system can be configured in a modular way to be deployed during the rapid diagnosis. Moreover, with the recent advancements of the bed-based system, taking less than 1 minute (64, 89) to perform imaging, the bed-based techniques seem to be a promising configuration to be used as a rapid diagnostic tool.

The handheld system is a highly researched configuration and a promising technique to be used in breast cancer diagnosis,(45) especially with the recent advancement of real-time imaging of the breast.(95, 100, 101) The handheld systems are easy to use, portable and have been reported with more than 90% sensitivity (42, 94–97) and more than 90% specificity.(96, 97) However, the major challenge is the manual scanning process, where the operator has to scan point-by-point over the breast. The diagnosis involving large sample size, an operator taking such measurements throughout the day would tend to make mistakes and may skip the scanning points due to monotony, which can lead to errors while reconstructing the images. Moreover, the non-uniformity of breast density/volume is a challenge for handheld devices. As the number of sources is limited and the operator must vary the scanning location manually, it is a challenge to automatically vary the intensity of the source based on the breast density/volume, i.e., higher density orthogonally towards the chest. Besides, the manual scanning process requires firm pressure to be applied by the operator (~3mm Hg) to obtain the required contact and manual control over this variable by the operator can lead to inaccurate image.(96) The handheld system is a promising technique to be used for rapid diagnosis; however, due to limited measurement area, the tool can be advantageous and reliable along with complementary techniques such as ultrasound (42, 92, 93) and mammography.(94)
Automatic interpretation of results using machine learning

Transillumination imaging (TI) is performed by a visual interpretation of an image by a skilled clinician; however, machine learning algorithms are yet to be applied in TI. While, the automatic interpretation or detection of breast cancer using DOI has been performed by reconstructing optical signals into images by inverse modeling the diffusion effect, as showcased in-depth in this section. Segmentation and classification of the reconstructed images are difficult due to the presence of noise, motion artifacts, and image degradation because of short acquisition time. Researchers have used several machine learning methods to tackle the above problems and achieve high efficiency while performing different segmentation and classification algorithms, as tabulated in Table 5. Considering the rapid diagnosis, the machine learning algorithm needs to choose between these methods for high quality and low artifact reconstruction of images.

In the latest development, McKinney et al. (104) used three independent Deep Learning Methods (DLM) while training each method with data augmentation applied to each image. Each model reported a cancer risk score between 0 and 1, while the final prediction was based on the mean of the predictions from each of these models. The study reported the use of AI resulted in a reduction of 1.21% in false-positive and 2.7% in false-negative in datasets from UK. Wang et al. (105) utilized absorption and scattering attributes along with a refractive index to isolate the lesion area. Based on mean coefficients and lesion area properties, and with the help of Support Vector Machine SVM, the classification of the lesion as cancerous or non-cancerous was achieved with an accuracy of 88.6%. Entropy and iterative selective methods rather than simple predetermined threshold methods improved performance. Taroni et al. (40) examined different tissue composition, i.e., water, hemoglobin, lipid, collagen, and their absorption parameters as potential input features to a discrete Adaboost classifier to identify malignant invasive ductal carcinomas. The type of collagen and the type of lesion had a significant impact on the performance of the Adaboost classifier.(106)

Cochran et al. (90) used diffuse optical biomarkers' optical properties in the frequency domain as a feature to classify ductal and lobular invasive carcinomas against benign lesions using Logistic Regression. According to Breneisen et al.,(107) the Energy Spectral Density (ESD) can be used to differentiate malignant and healthy tissue, due to scattering properties of the tissue. The
respective ESDs of the scattered incident light was fed to a feed-forward Neural Network (NN), which determined the grade of the lesion. A secondary NN was developed to represent as a “critic” for indecisive cases from the primary feed-forward NN.

Barbour et al. (108) investigated hemoglobin signals from the tissue to characterize the nature of the tissue. The oxygenated state and saturation of hemoglobin were given as input features for finite Markov Chain to determine the grade of the lesion. Zhang et al. (109) used Diffuse Correlation Spectroscopy (DCS) to estimate the Blood Flow Index (BFI), associated with tumors. The study compared three different methods: L1 norm, L2 Norm, and Support Vector Regression (SVR) to estimate BFI, SVR proved to be most efficient with an error rate of 2.23%.

Table 5. Machine Learning used in Diffuse Optical imaging tools to detect breast cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(105)</td>
<td>Attributes extracted from absorption, scattering, and refractive index images</td>
<td>Support Vector Machine</td>
<td>Cancer and non-cancer</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40)</td>
<td>Absorption differences at seven wavelengths</td>
<td>Discrete Boosting algorithm</td>
<td>Benign and malignant</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>80.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>84.1 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(106)</td>
<td>Absorption differences at seven wavelengths</td>
<td>Discrete Boosting algorithm</td>
<td>Benign and malignant</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(90)</td>
<td>Absorption and scattering properties from the frequency domain.</td>
<td>Logistic Regression</td>
<td>Benign and malignant</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(107)</td>
<td>Energy Spectral Density</td>
<td>Feed-forward NN</td>
<td>Probably benign and highly suspicious malignant</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(108)</td>
<td>HbO, HbT, SO2, tissue-Hb oxygen exchange</td>
<td>Finite Markov Chain</td>
<td>Benign and malignant</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(109)</td>
<td>Blood Flow Index from DCS Signals</td>
<td>Support Vector Regression</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ER:2.23%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(104)</td>
<td>Cancer risk score</td>
<td>Three independent Deep Learning Method</td>
<td>Benign and malignant</td>
<td>28,953</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>66.66*</td>
<td>96.26*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* AI as second reader

Electronic design for DOI systems

The critical aspect of imaging is the contrast, resolution, and penetration depth. The contrast and resolution of the image depend on the number and type of sources/detectors and spacing between them. LASER or LED is used as a light source, the former generating narrower beamwidth and bandwidth; however, its thermal reliability is a concern. While, LED is comparatively cost-
effective, reliable, and robust, but has wider beamwidth and bandwidth. Additionally, a critical challenge is the unavailability of a single detector that is capable to detect light of different wavelengths with the same absolute sensitivity.

The choice of the operating wavelength is based on characterizing specific tissue biomarker, for e.g., selective absorption of oxygenated hemoglobin and deoxygenated hemoglobin occurs between 635nm and 785, lipid absorption peak around 920 nm, water absorption peak around 975 nm, and collagen absorption peak around 1060 nm. Additionally, considering rapid diagnosis, the development cost of the system should be minimal, while being portable. The

### Table 6. Electronic design specifications of DOI in-vivo breast cancer imaging system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Source Type</th>
<th>Source power</th>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parallel plate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(80)</td>
<td>FD: 110 MHz</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>2mW (FD), 10mW (CW)</td>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>635, 670, 690, 752, 758, 810 and 830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(81)</td>
<td>CW, FD</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>660 to 850 and 900 to 950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(82, 83)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Arc Lamp</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>650 nm - 950 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bed based</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(41)</td>
<td>FD: 70 MHz</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>FD: 690, 750, 786, and 830. CW: 650 and 905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(88, 105)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>100 mW</td>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>785, 808, and 830 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(86)</td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>650 - 950 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(85)</td>
<td>FD: 100 MHz</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>FD: 661, 761, 785, 808, 826, and 849. CW: 903, 912, and 948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(89, 90)</td>
<td>CW, FD: 70 MHz</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>16 mW</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>660, 690, 785, 808, and 830 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(64, 91)</td>
<td>CW, FD: 100 MHz</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>&lt; 120 mW</td>
<td>PMT and PD</td>
<td>661, 785 and 826nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handheld</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(92)</td>
<td>FD: 140 MHz</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>780 and 830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>100 mW</td>
<td>Si PD</td>
<td>690 and 830 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(96)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>LED</td>
<td>10 mA</td>
<td>Si PD</td>
<td>760 and 850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(128)</td>
<td>FD: 50 to 500 MHz</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>20 mW</td>
<td>APD</td>
<td>661, 686, 786, 808, 822, and 852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(97)</td>
<td>FD, CW</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>20 mW</td>
<td>APD, Spectrometer</td>
<td>FD: 660, 690, 780, 808, 830 and 850.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(94)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mW</td>
<td>ICCD</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(42)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>740, 780, 808, and 830 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>FD: 140 MHz</td>
<td>Laser diode</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>740, 780, 808, and 830 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMT - Photomultiplier tube, CMOS - Complementary metal-oxide–semiconductor, APD - Avalanche photodiode (APD), Si PD - Silicon photodiode, CCD - coupled charge-coupled device), ICCD - Intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD)
TD systems (78, 84, 111) reportedly have higher cost due to expensive detector and source/detector driving system; however, FD (64, 89–91, 97) systems are reported as an alternative, still requiring costly instruments such as source modulation driving circuit and biasing network; while low-cost alternative are considered as CW (81–83, 88, 105) system albeit with lower information (i.e. no tissue scattering property). The electronic design specifications for different configurations, such as parallel plate, bed-based, and handheld probes, are tabulated in Table 6.

Summary

Breast cancer causes the most cancer deaths in middle aged women. Diagnosis of breast cancer using current tools such as X-Ray mammography, ultrasonography and MRI while modestly accurate is complex and expensive. Thermography is not used in clinical practice and non-optical spectroscopy techniques such as microwave spectroscopy, NMR spectroscopy, and molecular mass spectrometry have inherent or practical disadvantages making them unsuitable as a rapid diagnostic tool. Development of an inexpensive, portable tool that requires the least amount of human expertise to operate could greatly improve the accessibility of women to high-quality diagnosis of this dreaded disease. Optical spectroscopy-based imaging modalities appear to be eminently suitable for the rapid diagnosis of breast cancer. The elementary optical spectroscopy-based techniques including Transillumination Imaging (TI) and Diffuse Optical Imaging (DOI) are comparatively more practical for rapid diagnosis of breast cancer in the field as compared to advanced optical spectroscopy techniques such as Raman spectroscopy and Fluorescence spectroscopy. The handheld Transillumination Imaging is inexpensive and easy to use, but its accuracy is not high, and a skilled clinician needs to interpret the transilluminated image. Diffuse Optical Imaging appears to be most promising. It provides a detailed spatial map of relative concentration of different cancer biomarkers and could be amenable to be used within a system of a handheld tool in combination with AI driven rapid analysis. Currently, DOI is lab-based and expensive to manufacture and needs improvement in its image resolution.

The DOI tool is classified as parallel plate, bed-based, and handheld system. The parallel plate system includes both transmission and reflection data and gives results with and without the application of pressure with relatively low acquisition time. The parallel plate system considers breast volume variation and breast density variation. However, due to its mechanical design, it is prone to vibration-induced errors. Additionally, considering rapid diagnosis with large sample
size, it is more prone to failure due to mechanical motion. The parallel plate-based configuration is more advantageous in systems connected to bed-based configuration.

The bed-based technique involves both transmission and reflection analysis while taking breast volume and breast density variation into account. Moreover, the patient is comfortable during the acquisition process, with the bed-based system having the sensitivity and specificity of more than 90%. However, the mechanical design, including a specialized bed decreases portability, and the spilling of chamber fluid and its hygiene is a concern. The motion-based contact bed-based technique is more favorable but is more prone to mechanical failure. With the recent developments in bed-based techniques taking the acquisition time to be less than 1 minute, the bed-based technique is a promising configuration to be used as a rapid diagnostic tool. However, there is a need to configure the bed-based system in a modular approach to improve portability and rapidly deploy the system.

The handheld system primarily provides the reflection data and can compensate for breast volume variation by manually changing the number of scanning points. The sensitivity and specificity of hand-held systems are reported to be more than 90%. However, manually choosing the scanning points makes the process dependent on the operator’s skill. Considering an extensive number of tests, the operator can be prone to fatigue and reduced accuracies on skipping the scanning points. Hence, the handheld probe is more advantageous and reliable when used along with ultrasound or mammogram, where the location of the tumor is known beforehand.

The FD and CW based rapid diagnosis systems are reported as an alternative to TD system, while the CW based system was considered as a low-cost alternative; however, with limited information on scattering data. Each of the modalities showcases potential to be used as a rapid diagnostic tool; however, there is a critical need to fully resolve all the challenges of being proficient in maintaining sensitivity with variation in breast volume and density between patients, portable, battery-powered, low-acquisition time, minimum human intervention, and integrated with machine learning techniques for automatic interpretation of the results. Additionally, the rapid diagnostic tool should quantify the main cancer biomarkers, such as total hemoglobin, water, and lipids.
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