Prophylactic DC Vaccination Controls Pancreatic Cancer Growth in a Mouse Model
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**Translational Relevance**

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the 4\textsuperscript{th} leading cause of cancer-related deaths with high recurrence after surgery due to a paucity of effective post-surgical adjuvant treatments. DC-vaccines can activate multiple anti-tumor immune responses but have not been explored for PDAC treatment. No standard delivery route has also been established for DC vaccination, but intraperitoneal (IP) delivery is of particular interest because it allows increased DC-vaccine dosage and thus migration to draining lymph nodes. Here, we for the first time showed that IP delivery of DC-vaccines prior to tumor induction decreased tumor volume and prolonged survival in a PDAC mouse model. These approaches may be readily translated clinically for PDAC treatment as both DC vaccination and IP delivery are already used at the bedside for treatment or in clinical trials.
Abstract

Purpose: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the 4th leading cause of cancer-related deaths with high recurrence after surgery due to a paucity of effective post-surgical adjuvant treatments. DC-vaccines can activate multiple anti-tumor immune responses but have not been explored for post-surgery PDAC recurrence. Intraperitoneal (IP) delivery may allow increased DC-vaccine dosage and migration to lymph nodes. Here, we investigated the role of prophylactic DC vaccination controlling PDAC tumor growth with IP delivery as an administration route for DC vaccination.

Methods: DC-vaccines were generated by ex vivo differentiation and maturation of bone marrow derived precursors. 20 mice were divided into 4 groups (n=5) and treated with DC-vaccines, unpulsed mDCs, Panc02 lysates, or no treatment. After tumor induction, mice underwent 3 MRI scans to track tumor growth. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), a quantitative MRI measurement of tumor microstructure, was calculated. Survival was tracked. Tumor tissue was collected after death and stained with HE, Masson's Trichrome, TUNEL, and anti-CD8 stains for histology.

Results: DC-vaccinated mice demonstrated stronger anti-tumor cytotoxicity compared with control groups on LDH assay. DC-vaccine mice also demonstrated decreased tumor volume, prolonged survival, and increased ΔADC compared with control groups. On histology, DC-vaccine group had increased apoptosis, increased CD8+ T cells, and decreased collagen. ΔADC negatively correlated with % collagen in tumor tissues.

Discussion: Prophylactic DC vaccination may inhibit PDAC tumor growth during recurrence and prolong survival. ΔADC may be a potential imaging biomarker that correlates with tumor histologic features.

Keywords: DC vaccines, pancreatic cancer, prevention, MRI
Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the 4th leading cause of cancer mortality in the US, and 5-year survival in patients with PDAC remains as low as 8% in US (1). Surgical resection is currently the only curative treatment, but less than 20% of patients with PDAC are eligible for initial resection (2). PDAC recurrence rate after surgical resection is up to 60% in the first year, and 5-year survival of patients who underwent complete resection is only 25% (3). Non-surgical therapies for PDAC include systemic chemotherapies (4-7), molecularly-targeted therapies (8), conventional ablative therapies (9-13), and radiotherapy (14-17); however, they have so far offered little or no survival benefit (4, 6, 7, 11, 17).

In recent years, dendritic cell (DC) vaccination has emerged as a novel and potential adjuvant treatment for PDAC. DCs are antigen-presenting cells (APCs) with the unique capacity to activate naive antigen-specific T cells into cytotoxic T cells, prime NK cells, and induce other anti-tumor immune responses (18-20). Autologous DCs matured and loaded with tumor antigens (DC-vaccines) ex vivo have been investigated for immunotherapy of many cancer types including pancreatic cancer (21-23). Clinical studies strongly established that DC-vaccines are safe in patients and can induce long-lasting robust antitumor immune responses, but long-term benefits of DC-vaccines were reported in only scattered patients (24-26). DC-vaccines have not fulfilled their promise.

The long-term and robust anti-tumor immune responses elicited by DC vaccines suggest that DC vaccination may also inhibit cancer growth and prevent recurrence after initial treatment. Bauer et al demonstrated that DC-vaccines in combination with gemcitabine prevent cancer recurrence in a Panc02 xenograft PDAC mouse model (27, 28). DC-vaccines have also been demonstrated to prevent the recurrence of ovarian cancer, sarcomas, and hepatocellular carcinoma (29-32). The tumor-preventative effects of DC vaccines were mediated through DC activation of CD8+ T cells (30) in a dose-dependent manner (33), similar to the mechanism of DC-vaccines in cancer treatment. In early-phase clinical trials, however, only scattered patients
demonstrated diminished cancer recurrence, prolonged survival, or other responses to therapy (34). DC vaccination for prevention of cancer development and recurrence still require further investigation.

As priming and activation of T cells by DCs primarily take place in draining lymph nodes (LNs), sufficient DC-vaccine migration from the vaccination site to the LN is a critical requirement for DC vaccination in cancer treatment and prevention. The administration route of DC-vaccines is directly related with the strength of subsequent antitumor immune response and immune memory (35, 36). Subcutaneous (SC) injection, intra-tumor (IT) injection, and intra-LN (IN) injection have been used in preclinical research and clinical trials (37, 38). However, only a small number of DCs can migrate to LNs and organs by subcutaneous injection (37, 38), and direct delivery of DCs to LN/tumor by IN/IT injection diminishes DC therapeutic function (37, 38). Given that peritoneal LNs and the spleen comprise the most important secondary lymphoid organs (39) in the abdomen, we have recently tested DC delivery by intraperitoneal injection (IP). Our studies demonstrated that IP injection improves DCs migration to abdominal LNs and can be directly translated to clinical settings (40).

MRI may allow early prediction of responses to therapy such as the formation of a robust immune response, diminished tumor growth, and prolonged survival. Immune responses to DC vaccination are mediated by lymphocyte infiltration of tumor and tissue edema on histology. As a well-established quantitative real-time non-invasive imaging tool, diffusion weighted-MRI (DW-MRI) is of particular interest in pancreatic cancer imaging as it is sensitive to the microscopic mobility of water molecules, known as Brownian motion, which in turn is related to the microstructural features of the imaged tissues (41). The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) is the most common quantitative metric derived from DW-MRI. ADC values may detect treatment-related changes soon after therapy to help predict early response to treatment (42-44). DW-MRI is particularly appealing from a practical standpoint as it provides microstructural information related to pathology without the need for intravenous or oral contrast agents.
The purposes of this study were a) to investigate prophylactic IP delivery of DC-vaccines for clinical translation; b) to investigate the role of preventive DC vaccination in the treatment and prevention of PDAC; and c) to investigate the relationship between changes in ADC and treatment outcomes following DC vaccination. We hypothesized that DC vaccination prior to tumor induction will lead to diminished tumor growth due to enhanced antitumor immunity, tumor inflammation, and increased immune cell infiltration into PDAC tumors.

Materials/Methods

Cell lines and media. The Panc02 mouse cell line is derived from amethylcholanthrene-induced PDAC tumors in C57BL/6 mice and was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Rockville, MD). Panc02 was cultured in 2 mM L-glutamine RPMI media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 µg/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Gibco, Waltham, MA). All cells were maintained in a humidified environment with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cell viability was checked by trypan blue staining before UV irradiation and tumor induction in mouse models.

General animal procedures. All procedures concerning animals are approved by the institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC) of Northwestern University. C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Jackson Lab (Bar Harbor, ME). Anesthesia was induced and maintained in C57BL/6 mice by inhalation of isoflurane (2%, 1L/min, Patterson Veterinary, Greeley, CO) for imaging and surgical procedures.

UV irradiation of Panc02 cells. Panc02 cells were trypsinized, washed, and diluted with PBS to a concentration of 6 x 10⁶ cells/mL. 500 µL of diluted Panc02 cells was placed in a 6-well plate to cover the bottom of each well. At least 0.75 J/cm² of UV-B light was applied to each well (minimum 10 min UV radiation exposure with lamp placed 1 cm from cells). Plate was rocked
halfway through irradiation to ensure even UV irradiation. Viability was checked with trypan blue staining. Irradiated panc02 lysates were added to 8-day old immature DCs for antigen pulsing.

**Flow cytometry and monoclonal antibodies.** Bone marrow derived DCs (BMDCs) were obtained from mice using the protocols described below. After 8 days of *in vitro* culture, BMDCs were washed with cold PBS, blocked with FcR blocker, and then stained with PerCP-CY5.5 CD11c monoclonal antibody (mAb), APC-CD86 mAb (all from BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA), PE MHC-II mAb (Southern, Biotech, Birmingham, AL), and appropriate isotype controls at 2 µg/3 x 10⁵ cells by incubation for 40 min at 4°C. After washing with PBS with 10% FBS, surface markers were analyzed via fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS, BD LSRFortessa™ cell analyzer, San Jose, CA). Flow data was analyzed using FlowJo (Ashland, OR).

**Generation and antigen-loading of bone marrow derived DCs.** BMDCs were generated using previously described protocols (28). Briefly, dendritic cell precursors were collected from bone marrow of the femur and tibia of C57BL/6 mice. Precursor cells (6x10⁵ cells/mL) were incubated in RPMI media with L-glutamine, FBS, penicillin, streptomycin, interleukin 4 (IL-4, 1 ng/mL, Shenandoah Biotechnology, Warwick, PA), and granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF, 10ng/mL, Shenandoah Biotechnology, Warwick, PA) for differentiation into immature DCs (iDCs). iDCs, found as loosely adherent cells, were matured and loaded with tumor antigens by co-incubation with Panc02 cell lysates (10:1 DCs:Panc02 cells), interferon-γ (IFN-γ, 100 ng/mL, Shenandoah Biotechnology, Warwick, PA), and bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPS, 250 ng/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for 24 h. Panc02 lysates were generated by UV irradiation at 750 mJ/cm² as described above. Non-vaccine mDCs were generated by culturing iDCs in the presence of LPS and IFN-γ without Panc02 lysates. FACS analysis was used to verify DC maturity.

**Tumor induction in a Panc02 orthograft mouse model.** Panc02 cells were used to induce orthotopic pancreatic tumors in C57BL/6 mice using previously published protocols (28). Briefly, Panc02 cells were trypsinized, washed with PBS, and concentrated to 3 x 10⁵ cells/3.75
µL in PBS. Matrigel was added to Panc02 cell solution at matrigel:Panc02 cell solution ratio of 1:3. The resulting solution was vortexed thoroughly to generate a homogeneous mixture. A 1 cm longitudinal incision was made in the left upper quadrant to access the pancreas. 3 x 10^5 (5 µL) cells were slowly injected into the tail of the pancreas. The needle was kept in the injection site for 10 sec and then slowly removed to prevent Panc02 cell leakage from the injection site. The incision was closed with a 2-layer suture. Antibiotic gel and analgesics were applied daily for 3 days according to IACUC protocols. Post-operative condition was monitored for 1 week.

**Vaccination Strategies.** 6 week old mice (n=20) of similar age were randomly divided into 4 groups (n = 5 for each group): DC vaccines, unpulsed mDCs, Panc02 lysates, and no treatment. Mice in the 3 treatment groups received weekly IP injections for 3 weeks of 9x10^6 DC vaccines, 9x10^6 unpulsed mDCs, or 9x10^5 Panc02 cell lysates, while the no treatment group received no injections. 1 week after the final IP injection, all mice underwent tumor induction using protocols described above. Tumor growth and survival were monitored by weekly MRI scans for 3 weeks. All mice were euthanized after reaching physiologic endpoint to collect tumor tissue samples for histology. Vaccination strategy is illustrated in **Figure 1A**.

**In vivo cytotoxicity assay.** LDH release assay was performed according to manufacturer instructions (Pierce™ LDH Cytotoxicity Assay Kit, Pierce Biotechnology, Waltham, MA) to assess anti-tumor cytotoxicity of CD8+ cells after DC vaccination or other treatments. Briefly, 3 groups (n = 3 for each group) were given only one dose of 9x10^6 DC vaccines, 9x10^6 unpulsed mDCs, or no treatment via IP injection. All mice underwent tumor induction 1 week after the last treatment using previously described methods. 1 week after tumor induction, all mice were euthanized to collect the spleen for immune cell isolation. CD8+ cells were isolated from immune cells in the spleen by negative selection using a commercially available mouse CD8+ cell isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. CD8+ cells and Panc02 cells were incubated together in a 96 well plate, with 3000 Panc02 cells per well and CD8+:Panc02 cell ratios of 5:1, 10:1, 20:1, and 40:1. Control groups including
Panc02 cell only (high control), CD8+ cell only, and media only (low control), were also plated. The 96-well plates were incubated for 4 hours. Wells containing only Panc02 cells (high controls) were incubated with a lysis solution for 15 min. The LDH substrate was added to each well, and the plate was incubated for an additional 30 min. The substrate stop solution was then added, and well absorbance was then immediately measured using a plate reader. % cytotoxicity was calculated using relative absorbances of test and control wells:

\[
\% \text{ cytotoxicity} = \frac{A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{high control}} - A_{\text{CD8 only}} - A_{\text{low control}}}{A_{\text{high control}} - A_{\text{low control}}}, \text{ where } A \text{ is absorbance.}
\]

**MRI Image Acquisition.** All images were acquired using a 7.0 T small-animal MRI scanner with a commercial rat brain surface coil (ClinScan, Bruker Biospin). All animals underwent image acquisition starting 1 week after tumor induction to confirm successful tumor induction in mouse models and to track tumor growth. Tumor growth was monitored for 3 weeks after tumor induction. Image acquisition parameters are described in Table 1.

**Image Analysis.** All anatomic images were analyzed using ITK-SNAP (version 3.6, University of Pennsylvania), an open source software. Tumor volumes were calculated by tracing free-hand regions of interest (ROIs) around the tumor margins on each slice of axial or coronal T2W images containing the implanted tumor. Diffusion-weighted images were first post-processed using Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA) to generate ADC maps. A freehand ROI was drawn around the margins of the tumor in one slice of ADC map using axial T2W images as reference. Regions of necrosis and artifacts were avoided. Change in tumor ADC (ΔADC) were calculated by the following equation: \( \Delta \text{ADC} = \text{ADC}_{3w} - \text{ADC}_{1w}, \) where \( \text{ADC}_{1w} \) and \( \text{ADC}_{3w} \) are the tumor ADC measured at 1 week and 3 weeks after tumor induction, respectively. The tumor growth rate \( r \) was calculated using the exponential tumor growth model, \( r = \frac{\ln(V_{T2}) - \ln(V_{T1})}{T_2 - T_1} \), where \( V_{T2} \) and \( V_{T1} \) are the tumor volumes at the second and first time point respectively, \( T_2 \) and \( T_1 \) are the times between tumor induction and the 2\(^{nd}\) or first imaging time point in days.
**Histology.** All animals were euthanized at physiologic endpoint according to IACUC guidelines. Pancreatic tumor tissue was dissected and fixed in formalin immediately after euthanasia. Tumor tissues were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE), Masson’s Trichrome, and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) stains as well as labeled with CD8+ antibodies to detect the presence of cytotoxic T lymphocytes in the targeted tumor tissues. All images were examined using ImageJ. Quantitative measurements were made by blinded researchers. Collagen fibers were quantified in 3 representative fields at 200x magnification in all slides stained with Masson’s Trichrome. Tumor tissue apoptosis was identified on TUNEL-stained slices and quantified as the percentage of TUNEL-positive cells/total cells in 3 representative fields at 200x magnification randomly selected from each slice. CD8+ cells were identified and quantified in 3 representative fields at 200x magnification randomly selected from each slice. Apoptosis, fibrosis, and CD8+ cells will be compared amongst all groups.

**Statistical Analysis.** All statistical analyses were performed using the software GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on all sample tumor sizes for all control groups at each time to determine whether tumor sizes differed across groups for each time point. ANOVA was also used to compare tumor growth rates amongst all groups and between time points. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed on survival of animals across all groups. Linear regression was performed to determine correlations between tumor volume and survival, growth rates and tumor volume, and tumor growth rates and survival. For ΔADC values, one-way ANOVA was performed to compare ΔADC values for each group. Linear regression was performed on survival, tumor volume, and tumor growth rate vs ΔADC. For all studies, P < 0.05 or lower was considered significant.

**Results**

**Generation of PDAC-specific DC vaccines.**
Cells differentiated from BMDCs were assessed for DC purity and maturity using FACS. Mature DCs were identified as MHCII+/CD11c+/CD86+, while immature DCs were identified as MHCII+/CD11c+/CD86-. After culturing in RPMI with IL-4 and GM-CSF, DCs were found to stain negatively for CD86 and positively for MHC-II and CD11c; 86%, 98%, and 91% of DCs stained positively for CD86, CD11c, and MHC-II, respectively, when cultured with Panc02 lysates as well as IFN-γ, LPS, IL-4, and GM-CSF (Figure 1B). Mature DCs also demonstrated an increased MHC-II median fluorescence intensity (MFI, 8819) compared with immature DCs (3655). Mature DC vaccines can thus be differentiated from bone marrow derived precursors at a high purity.

**In vivo CTL response after prophylactic DC-vaccination via IP injection**

Figure 1C shows cell-mediated LDH release at CD8+ (effector) cells to Panc02 (target) cells ratios of 5:1, 10:1, 20:1, and 40:1. CD8+ cells were extracted from mice that underwent only one dose of DC vaccines. Panc02 cells incubated with CD8+ cells from DC vaccine group demonstrated increased cytotoxicity compared with the unpulsed mDCs and no treatment groups at both 20:1 (39% vs 11% and 9%, respectively, P < 0.05) and 40:1 (42% vs 13% and 10%, respectively, P < 0.05) E:T ratios. Evidently, mice receiving even a single dose of DC vaccines developed a strong anti-tumor immune response compared with control group.

**Animal tumor growth and survival after prophylactic DC vaccination**

Representative T2 axial images of the induced tumor are shown in Figure 2A. All tumors were visible on MRI by 1 week after tumor challenge regardless of treatment group and increased in size over time. On T2W images, tumors were clearly demarcated from surrounding tissue and were generally hyperintense compared with normal pancreatic tissue. Ascites could be observed in mice at as early as 3 weeks after tumor induction.

On average, mice receiving DC vaccines demonstrated significantly decreased (P < 0.05) tumor volumes at all 3 time points (5.39, 15.2, and 23.4 mm³ for 7, 14, and 21 d, respectively) compared with mice receiving unpulsed mDCs (15.0, 20.5, and 56.2 mm³), Panc02 lysates (14.6, 31.1, and 52.7 mm³), or no treatment (29.1, 45.5, 129 mm³) (Figure 2B-C). DC vaccinated mice...
also demonstrated significantly prolonged survival (P < 0.01) compared with control groups, with median survivals of 61 d for DC vaccines group, 49 d for the unpulsed mDCs group, 40 d for the Panc02 lysates group, and 35 d for the no treatment group (Figure 2D). Linear regression comparing animal survival with tumor volumes at 3 weeks after tumor challenge demonstrated a significant negative correlation (R² = 0.4001, P < 0.005, Figure 2E). Prophylactic IP delivery of DC vaccines was able to inhibit tumor growth and prolong survival in a Panc02 mouse model.

At 7-14 d, DC vaccines demonstrated the greatest growth rate at 0.1590 mm³/d, followed by 0.1061 mm³/d for Panc02 lysates group, 0.07404 mm³/d for no treatment group, and 0.04421 mm³/d for mDC group (Figure 3A). In contrast, the no treatment group demonstrated the greatest growth rate (0.1473 mm³/d) at 14-21 d, followed by the mDC group (0.1422 mm³/d), the Panc02 lysates group (0.07576 mm³/d), and the DC vaccines group (0.06085 mm³/d) (Figure 3A-B). There was significant difference between growth rates across treatment groups at both time points (P < 0.05). Multiple t testing demonstrated a significant decrease in growth rate for the DC vaccine group (-60%) and a significant increase in growth rate for the mDCs group (+249%) (Figure 3A). No significant changes in growth rates were observed in the Panc02 lysates and no treatment groups (Figure 3A). No significant correlation (R² = 0.1259) was observed between growth rate from 14-21d and survival in general, but a significant correlation (R² = 0.9559) was found specifically for the DC vaccinated group (Figure 3C-D).

Correlation between ADC values and response to DC vaccination

On ADC maps (Figure 4A), tumors were easily distinguished from surrounding structures by a decrease in signal compared with normal pancreatic tissue and an increase in signal compared with the spleen. As seen in Figure 4B, mice that received no treatment demonstrated the largest decrease in tumor ADC (-1.18 x 10⁻⁴, P < 0.05) over the course of observation, followed by the Panc02 lysates group (-9.65 x 10⁻⁵), the mDC group (-7.95 x 10⁻⁵), and the DC-vaccine group (-5.11 x 10⁻⁵). In both ΔADC vs survival and ΔADC vs 21 d tumor volumes plots, the 4 treatment groups (DC vaccines, unpulsed mDCs, Panc02 lysates, and no treatment) showed
distinct clustering (Figure 4C, E). A linear correlation was found between ΔADC and survival ($R^2 = 0.5667$) and between ΔADC and tumor volume ($R^2 = 0.4563$), as seen in Figure 4D and F.

**Histologic Evaluation.**

On HE stained sections (Figure 5A), all tumor tissues were dense and desmoplastic and demonstrated loss of exocrine secretory structures. Masson’s Trichrome stain of tumor tissues showed a high collagen density in the tumor, confirming the presence of dense fibrotic stroma in the tumor tissue that is characteristic of PDAC. In addition, tumor tissues showed the presence of cells staining positively for TUNEL and CD8, demonstrating cell death and immune cell infiltration, respectively, in the tumor tissue. Together, these basic images indicated that Panc02 orthograft tumors closely mimic human PDAC pathology and that C57BL/6 mice can form an anti-tumor immune response against the implanted tumor.

Tissue collagen and cells staining positively for TUNEL and CD8 were quantified on all slides (Figure 5B-G). Tumors from mice treated with DC vaccines demonstrated greater numbers of CD8+ cells per field in the tumor tissue (131, $P < 0.05$) compared with tumors from mice treated with unpulsed mDCs (36 per field), Panc02 lysates (37 per field), and no treatment (34 per field). This further confirmed that mice receiving prophylactic DC vaccines exerted a stronger anti-tumor immune response compared with control groups. Mice treated with DC vaccines also demonstrated the greatest percentage of cells staining positively for TUNEL (9.87%, $P < 0.05$) compared with the mDC group (1.59%), the Panc02 lysates group (2.30%), or no treatment group (1.29%). DC vaccinated mice thus demonstrated greater tumor cell apoptosis and tumor tissue death compared with control groups. On Masson’s Trichrome, animals prophylactically treated with DC vaccines had the least percentage area of collagen fibers (16.4%, $P < 0.05$) compared to animals treated with unpulsed mDCs (41.1%), Panc02 lysates (43.0%), or no treatment (51.3%), suggesting that DC-vaccinated animals demonstrated decreased tumor fibrosis compared with un-vaccinated animals. Linear regression comparing pooled percentage collagen on Masson’s Trichrome stain and ΔADC demonstrated a significant negative correlation ($R^2 = 0.4685$, $P < 0.05$).
Figure 5H). Together, DC vaccine was further confirmed to generate a stronger immune response with increased tumor tissue death and decreased tumor fibrosis.

Discussion

While many studies have previously explored DC vaccination for treatment of pancreatic cancers (27, 45-49), few studies have investigated the role of DC vaccines for prevention of tumor development and/or recurrence. In this study, we used a murine model of PDAC to show that clinically translatable preventative intraperitoneal DC vaccination can effectively diminish tumor growth and that ADC can be used as an imaging biomarker for assessment of preventive DC vaccination therapeutic responses. Pathology results also showed that tumor collagen density on histology correlated with the number of CD8+ cells and apoptosis.

Although DC vaccines have been extensively studied for pre-surgical or non-surgical management of many types of cancer, few studies have investigated their potential for prevention of cancer relapse or recurrence, especially in pancreatic cancer. As the post-surgical PDAC recurrence rate is high even administration of adjuvant therapies, development of effective post-surgical adjuvant therapeutic approaches is critical for PDAC treatment. Bauer et al. demonstrated in that treatment with tumor-lysate-pulsed DC-vaccines plus gemcitabine led to a complete response and also prevented tumor recurrence after successful initial treatment in a Panc02 mouse model via SC delivery, which only allows delivery of a small number of DCs (28). Moreover, DC vaccines have not been studied extensively as a potential stand-alone therapy for prevention of tumor recurrence via IP delivery. In this study, we showed that prophylactic DC vaccination can inhibit tumor growth and prolong survival, suggesting that stand-alone DC vaccination may be an effective adjuvant treatment in the clinical setting.

While we observed strong correlation between tumor volume at 21 days, ΔADC, and survival, no correlation was observed between growth rates and other quantitative measurements. Furthermore, a strong positive relationship between growth rate and survival was observed in
only the treatment group, suggesting that early rapid tumor growth is correlated with improved response to treatment. This contradicts classical responses to treatment where slowed growth rates lead to improved survival, as was seen in responses to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Additional processes such as pseudoproggression may be involved in initial pancreatic tumor growth. Pseudoporgression, where inflammation, edema, and transient immune cell infiltration into tumor tissue may cause initial increase in tumor volume, is often observed in patients soon after treatment with immunotherapeutic agents such as checkpoint inhibitor agents. In our study, mice in the DC vaccination group demonstrated the strongest immune response against tumor cells. Moreover, the DC-vaccine group, which elicits the strongest anti-tumor immune response as seen on CTL assay, showed that rapid tumor growth at early time points correlated with improved response to therapy. In addition, the DC vaccination group demonstrated the highest initial growth rate and then the lowest growth rates at sequential time points. DC vaccination group also demonstrated the lowest tumor volume at 3 weeks and longest survival. In addition, we found that the DC vaccination group had significantly increased CD8+ cell infiltration in the tumor stroma. All together, these details further support that tumor pseudoproggression may contribute to the rapid initial tumor growth in the DC vaccine group and better response to therapy.

We also observed that ΔADC values differed across treatment groups, with DC vaccine group having the highest ΔADC and no treatment group having the lowest ΔADC. ΔADC correlated significantly with percent collagen on Masson’s Trichrome stain as well as with tumor volume at 21 d and animal survival. Our results are consistent with previous findings of correlations between ADC measurements and tumor tissue architecture. For example, Zhang et al. demonstrated in a rat model that ADC measurements correlated with pancreatic cancer microstructure (50). Wang et al. further demonstrated that denser fibrotic stroma in pancreatic cancer correlated with lower ΔADC (51). In this study, we demonstrated that DC vaccination correlated with increased ΔADC, which in turn correlated with decreased fibrosis on histology, decreased tumor volume, and prolonged survival. This suggests that DC vaccination may inhibit
the formation of dense fibrotic tumor stroma, leading to increased susceptibility to anti-tumor immune cells. As many other treatment methods depend on infiltration into the tumor stroma, DC vaccination may potentiate the efficacy of other tumor treatments that require tumor penetration such as chemotherapy and checkpoint inhibition therapy when used in combination. We thus further confirmed ΔADC as an imaging marker with correlations to histology that has the potential to predict survival and response to therapy in the clinical setting.

This study has several limitations. First, the number of animals per study group was small. However, the number of animals chosen per treatment group was the minimal number of animals necessary to achieve sufficient power based on statistical analysis. Additional animals may be used for each treatment group for future studies. Second, tumor growth was tracked at only 3 time points – 7, 14, and 21 days after tumor induction. These three time points are among the most frequent time points used in tracking tumor growth via in vivo MR imaging studies. For future studies, additional time points may be used to track tumor growth over longer periods of time. Third, we did not track whether mouse models demonstrated an elevation of cytokines such as IFN-γ and IL-2 to confirm the presence of a robust immune response. However, we have shown that CD8+ T cells of DC-vaccinated mice can specifically induce cell death of Panc02 cancer cells using an LDH cell-mediated cytotoxicity assay, a well-established method for assessing in vivo anti-tumor immune response. For future studies, additional markers of anti-tumor response may be measured as a secondary confirmation of an in vivo anti-tumor immune response. Lastly, we did not directly compare outcomes after IP delivery with that of other modes of DC vaccine delivery within our study. However, other modes of administration, such as SC and IT delivery, have been extensively studied as delivery methods for DC vaccines, and we have shown that tumor volume and survival results from IP delivery in our study is superior to that by other modes of delivery (28).

In summary, we demonstrated prophylactic DC vaccination as a powerful tool that may inhibit the development of pancreatic tumors, and we have also shown that ΔADC may be a
potentially powerful prognostic imaging biomarkers that has correlations with tumor histologic features. Furthermore, clinically applicable approaches developed in this study can be directly translated to clinical practice.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
<th>Scan Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial Anatomic Images</td>
<td>3D Turbo Spin Echo TSE</td>
<td>TR/TE=3000/30ms, turbo-factor=12, 384x264 matrix, 100x60mm FOV, 100 slices at 0.5mm thickness, 10 min scan time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Diffusion-Weighted Imaging</td>
<td>DWI</td>
<td>TR/TE=2000/40ms, FOV=28x28 mm, matrix size = 82 x 82, ST=1mm; gap=0mm; b-value = 0 and 800 s/mm², DWI performed in 3 orthogonal directions of the diffusion gradients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. In vitro validation for DC vaccination. a) Representative schema of the schedule for IP injection and MRI acquisitions. All animals underwent weekly IP injections for 3 w, followed by
tumor induction 1 w after the last injection. Animals then underwent weekly MRI acquisition for 3 w. 

b) FACS analysis of immature and mature DCs. Precursor cells were extracted from mouse bone marrow and differentiated into iDCs by 6d incubation in GM-CSF and IL-4. Some iDCs were collected for FACS, while others were matured in the presence of IFNγ, LPS, and Panc02 lysates for FACS of mDCs. All iDCs and mDCs were stained with CD86, MHC-II, and CD11c. Only DCs that underwent maturation demonstrated high percentage that stained positively for all 3 markers, indicating maturity. Labeled percentages represent % positive cells. MFI represents median fluorescence intensity. 

c) LDH assay for T cell-mediated antitumor cytotoxicity. CD8a+ cells were extracted from the spleen of mice that underwent 1 dose of DC vaccination, control treatment, or no treatment (n = 3 for each group), followed by tumor induction. After co-incubation with Panc02 cells, LDH release by Panc02 cells were measured. Only DC-vaccinated mice demonstrated increased % cytotoxicity at higher Effector:Target ratios (P < .05). * indicates P < 0.05.
Figure 2. Representative MR images of the tumor and pancreatic tissues as well as related tumor growth and survival plots. a) Representative axial images of the pancreatic tumor (red outline) in all treatment groups. Tumors are generally hyperintense compared with normal pancreatic tissue. b) Average tumor volume (mm³) vs. time after tumor induction (d) for all treatment and control groups (n = 5 for each group). c) Boxplot of tumor volume at 21d for all groups (n = 5 for each group). Error bars contain entire range of tumor volumes for each group. Upper, middle, and lower bounds of the box represent upper quartile, median, and lower quartile, respectively, for each group. Significant difference (P < 0.05) was found between the tumor volumes across all 4 treatment groups at 21d using ANOVA. d) Kaplan-Meier survival curve of each treatment group (n = 5 or each group). Median survival of each is found in the table below the curve. DC-vaccinated group had the longest survival (P < 0.01), followed by unpulsed mDCs, Panc02 lysates, and no treatment groups. e) Survival (d) vs. tumor volume at 21 d (mm³) generated from pooled animals across all treatment groups (n = 20). Linear regression showed a significant negative relationship (R² = 0.4001, P < 0.005). Dashed lines represent 95% confidence around the linear regression line.
Figure 3. Comparisons of tumor growth rates calculated from tumor volumes estimated from sequential MR images. 

a) Tumor growth rate (mm$^3$/d) for each treatment groups (n = 5 for each group) from 7-14 d and from 14 – 21 d after tumor induction. Error bars represent ± 1 standard deviation from the average. Significant difference (P < 0.05) was found among all treatment groups at each tumor growth rate time point. Tumor growth rates at each point were also significantly different for the unpulsed mDCs and DC vaccine groups (P < 0.05).

b) Boxplot of changes in tumor growth rates (mm$^3$/d) across different time points for each treatment groups (n = 5 for each group). Upper, middle, and lower bounds of each box represents upper quartile, median, and lower quartile, respectively, of each group. Error bars represent maximal and minimal values in each treatment group.

c) Survival (d) vs growth rate from 14-21 d (mm$^3$/d) using pooled
animals across all treatment groups (n = 20 total). 9 mice (n = 3 per group) were randomly assigned to treatment with 1 dose of DC vaccines, 1 dose of mDCs, or not treatment. 7 days after treatment, animals underwent PDAC tumor induction followed by extraction of splenocytes for LDH cytotoxicity assay. Linear regression line showed no significant correlation (R² = 0.1259). Dashed lines show 95% confidence around the regression line. D) Survival (d) vs. growth rate from 14-21 d (mm³/d) for each treatment group (n = 5 for each group). Linear regression was generated for each treatment or control group. Only DC-vaccines group showed a significant correlation (R² = 0.9559, P < 0.05).
Figure 4. Representative ADC maps with additional analyses and comparisons. **a)** Axial ADC maps for each group (n = 5 for each group) at 1 and 3 weeks after tumor induction. Axial images were taken at roughly the same level for each time point and experimental group. Red outline encircles the tumor on each image. **b)** Boxplot of tumor ΔADC for each treatment group (n = 5 for each group). Entire range of ΔADC is contained between error bars. Upper, middle, and lower bounds of each box represent upper quartile, median, and lower quartile, respectively, of each group. Significant difference (P < 0.05) was found between sets of data from each group. **c-d)** ΔADC vs survival for animals across all treatment groups, separated by treatment group (c, n = 5
for each group) or pooled for regression (d, n = 20). No distinct clustering was observed for each treatment group (c). Linear regression showed significant positive relationship between pooled survival and ∆ADC (R² = 0.5667, P < 0.05, d). Dashed lines in (d) represent 95% confidence around the regression line. e-f) ∆ADC vs tumor volume (mm³) for each treatment group (e, n = 5 for each group) or pooled across all groups (f, n = 20). No distinct clustering or significant relationship was found in any treatment groups (e). Linear regression showed significant negative relationship between pooled tumor volumes (mm³) and ∆ADC (R² = 0.4563, P < 0.05, f). Dashed lines represent 95% confidence around the regression line.
Figure 5. Histologic evaluation of tumor samples from all groups with additional analysis and comparisons to imaging measurements. **a)** Representative HE, Masson’s Trichrome, TUNEL, and CD8 stained sections for postmortem tumor tissue samples from each treatment group. **b-d)** Quantitative measurements of tumor tissues from each treatment stained for CD8+ (b), collagen (Masson’s Trichrome, c), and cell apoptosis (TUNEL, d), presented as boxplots. For all boxplots, entire range of measurements for each group and stain are contained between error bars. Upper, middle, and lower bounds of the box represents upper quartile, median, and lower quartile, respectively, of each stain and treatment group. Significant differences (P < 0.05) were found among all treatment groups for each stain, with DC-vaccine group demonstrating the most CD8+ T cells per field, least % collagen per field, and most % TUNEL per field. **e-g)** % collage vs number of CD8+ T cells (e), % TUNEL vs. number of CD8+ T cells (f), and % TUNEL vs % collagen (g) for stained tumor tissue samples pooled from all treatment groups. DC vaccines clustered separately from other treatment groups in all 3 graphs. **h)** % collagen vs ΔADC for pooled tumor sample tissue across all treatment groups. Linear regression showed significant negative relationship ($R^2 = 0.04685$, P < 0.05). Dashed lines represent 95% confidence around regression line.