Spin-chain model of a many-body quantum battery
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Recently, it has been shown that energy can be deposited on a collection of quantum systems at a rate that scales superextensively. Some of these schemes for quantum batteries rely on the use of global many-body interactions that take the batteries through a correlated shortcut in state space. Here we extend the notion of a quantum battery from a collection of a priori isolated systems to a many-body quantum system with intrinsic interactions. Specifically, we consider a one-dimensional spin chain with physically realistic two-body interactions. We find that the spin-spin interactions can yield an advantage in charging power over the noninteracting case and we demonstrate that this advantage can grow superextensively when the interactions are long ranged. However, we show that, unlike in previous work, this advantage is a mean-field interaction effect that does not involve correlations and that relies on the interactions being intrinsic to the battery.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The recent push towards the development of quantum technologies can be viewed through the lenses of two driving forces. The first is the increasing miniaturization of technology, especially electronics, which will soon require us to account for the nontrivial effects that quantum mechanics will have on minuscule components. Traditional thermodynamics cannot describe quantum-scale devices, and a new understanding of concepts such as work, heat, and entropy is required. This has led to the field of quantum thermodynamics, which explores new understandings of those quantities and also involves the study of quantum machines such as heat engines and refrigerators [1–7]. A second driving force of quantum technologies is the potential for advantages due to quantum effects in certain applications, such as in quantum sensing, cryptography, and computation. One scenario which features both of these aspects of quantum technologies is that of a possible quantum enhancement in thermodynamic tasks, such as the charging of batteries [8–14].

Conventional chemical batteries and electrochemical capacitors may be intrinsically composed of quantum components, but their operation is essentially classical in nature. “Quantum batteries,” a term first used by Alicki and Fannes [8], seek to use nonclassical effects such as quantum coherence or quantum entanglement to impart an advantage compared with classical batteries. Typically, quantum batteries have been modeled as a collection of $N$ independent and identical subsystems, to which a temporary charging field is applied in order to extract or deposit work. In particular, Alicki and Fannes found that global entangling operations could extract more work from a quantum battery than local operations [8]. This was further nuanced by Hovhannisyan et al. [9], who found that a series of $N$ global entangling operations can extract the maximum work without creating any entanglement in the quantum battery. This scenario corresponds to taking a time-consuming indirect path such that the quantum battery only traverses the space of separable states. By contrast, the direct path taken under the action of a global entangling operation does generate entanglement during operation. This led to the conjecture that the rate of work extraction, that is, the power, is linked to quantum entanglement [9]. This was supported by Binder et al. [10], who showed that $N$ interacting quantum batteries traversing through entangled subspaces can charge $N$ times faster than the same number of noninteracting batteries confined to uncorrelated subspaces (under the restriction that the initial and final states are completely uncorrelated in both cases such that the comparison is meaningful).

It would therefore appear plausible that quantum entanglement can enhance the charging of a quantum battery. On the other hand, Campaioli et al. [11] have shown that a quantum battery with $N$ highly mixed qubits can jointly charge $N$ times faster than they would charge independently. Yet the joint state of $N$ qubits can be chosen to be so highly mixed that it is confined to the separable ball, i.e., while the joint state does become correlated, there is no entanglement at any point in the charging procedure. If entanglement is not the resource for quantum speed-up, then what is?

One answer to this question may lie in the structure of the interaction Hamiltonian. All of the analyses described above consider rather optimistic scenarios that involve $N$-body global interactions between all the subsystems in the quantum battery. As such, Ref. [11] additionally considered the case where at most $k$ subsystems can interact with each other (interaction order $k$) and each subsystem appears in at most $m$ interaction terms (participation number $m$). With this constraint, it was found that the quantum enhancement to the charging power is at most a constant factor of $O(mk^2)$. Thus, the extensive quantum enhancement attained by Binder et al. [10] is due to the interaction order, i.e., $k = N$, while $m = 1$. However, in the recent theoretical work by Ferraro et al. [12], an enhancement in a solid-state battery was achieved by the coupling together of all $N$ two-level systems in a cavity, i.e., the participation number $m = N - 1$, while $k = 2$. 
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charging power derived in Ref. [11] for depicted in Fig. 1. Note, though, that the upper bound on our spin-chain battery and those considered previously is Hamiltonians in the two scenarios.1

In this paper, we extend the concept of a quantum battery to a many-body quantum system. As opposed to previous works [8–12], where \(N\) batteries are jointly charged via global operations, we explore the possibility of locally charging a many-body battery, which can become entangled due to the intrinsic two-body interactions between the system’s constituents. Indeed, charging operations which create global entanglement are necessarily emergent operations, while an actual physical system is expected to have a low interaction order. To investigate the possibility of a collective charging speed-up in a physically realizable system, we consider a one-dimensional Heisenberg spin chain, which is a fundamental model in condensed-matter physics featuring both interactions and the possibility of entanglement. This many-body system has finite interaction order \(k = 2\) and may have long-range interactions (hence large participation number). Such a deceptively simple Hamiltonian is known to generate arbitrarily complex global entangling operations [15] and forms a promising basis for certain quantum technologies such as quantum communication [16] and quantum computation [17]. The difference between our spin-chain battery and those considered previously is depicted in Fig. 1. Note, though, that the upper bound on charging power derived in Ref. [11] for \(N\) batteries still holds in our case due to a symmetry between the intrinsic and charging Hamiltonians in the two scenarios.1

In general, we find that both the interaction range and the symmetry of the spin-spin coupling play a role in the work and power derived from the charging process. For isotropic spin-spin interactions (i.e., the coupling is independent of direction) and identical local charging on every spin, we show that the many-body interactions have no effect. However, when the coupling is anisotropic, we find that interactions can provide a boost to the charging power, and the range of the interaction is a major determiner in whether the enhancement is a constant factor, logarithmic, or polynomial in \(N\) (as in Ref. [10]). By comparing the quantum evolution to a correlationless mean-field evolution, we demonstrate that the power is determined by the energetics and interactions of the many-body system. This implies that the correlations that develop during the quantum evolution of our many-body battery are not necessary for enhancing the charging power.

We furthermore demonstrate how an effective Hamiltonian with \(N\)-body terms emerges naturally as a result of the intrinsic two-body interactions. We show this explicitly for the few-spin problem, where we take advantage of a large spectral gap that develops between a low-energy manifold and higher-energy states in the strongly interacting spin chain. In this case, the work deposited onto the battery decomposes into fast and slow oscillations as a function of time, where the slow time scale results from the emergent \(N\)-body interactions. However, we find that the associated power becomes negligible and thus this situation does not lead to a quantum advantage. This raises the question of whether it is ever advantageous to take a direct path through globally entangled subspaces in a physical battery, which is naturally restricted to few-body interactions.

This paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we describe our spin-chain battery model and local charging scheme. In Sec. III, we investigate the role of symmetries and determine how effective entangling many-body interactions can be produced in the strong-coupling regime. We also investigate the perturbative limit of weakly interacting spin chains and in general how the work deposited and the maximum power depend on the range and isotropy of interactions in the battery. In Sec. IV, we consider the approximate mean-field evolution of the spin chain, where we neglect correlations, and find that the charging power is comparable to that of the exact quantum evolution. We summarize in Sec. V.

II. SPIN-CHAIN QUANTUM BATTERY

We consider our quantum battery to be a one-dimensional XXZ Heisenberg spin chain comprised of \(N\) spins on a lattice. The spin chain has a precedent of being used in other explorations of quantum devices, communication, and computation (e.g., [18,19]). Furthermore, spin chains are realized in numerous crystals, such as CuCl2 · 2N(C3D3) [20], CuGeO3 [21], and KCuF3 [22], where chains of Cu2+ along one crystal axis can act as spin chains. Alternatively, spin chains can be engineered using ultracold atoms [23–25] or trapped ions [26]. All of these spin chains allow the implementation of the XXZ Heisenberg model, with varying degree of control over the precise parameters, and as such can be used to implement our quantum battery.

In the absence of charging operations, we assume that the system has the static Hamiltonian

\[
H_0 = H_B + H_L.
\]

Here \(H_B\) defines an external magnetic field, which acts to break the degeneracy between spins \(|\uparrow\rangle\) and \(|\downarrow\rangle\):

\[
H_B = B \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^z.
\]
where the subscript $i$ refers to the $i$th spin in the chain and $\sigma_i^k$ denotes a Pauli spin operator with $k = x, y, z$. Without loss of generality, we have taken the magnetic field to point in the negative $z$ direction such that the single-spin ground state is $|\downarrow\rangle$. We work in units where the magnetic moment, the lattice spacing, and $\hbar$ are all set to 1.

The second term in the Hamiltonian, $H_\delta$, defines pairwise interactions between different spins:

$$H_\delta = -\sum_{i<j} g_{ij} [\sigma_i^x \otimes \sigma_j^x + \alpha (\sigma_i^y \otimes \sigma_j^y + \sigma_i^z \otimes \sigma_j^z)].$$

Here the interaction strength between spins $i$ and $j$ is given by $g_{ij}$ and we have encoded an anisotropy in the parameter $\alpha$, where $|\alpha| \leq 1$. For general $\alpha$, this model corresponds to the XXZ spin chain, while the particular values of $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ correspond to the Ising and XXX spin chains, respectively.

While nearest-neighbor coupling is often assumed, next-nearest-neighbor coupling can better model some experimental compounds [27] and long-range interactions that decay as a power law can be manufactured [26,28]. Moreover, random-complexes [27] and long-range interactions that decay as a power law can be manufactured [26,28]. Moreover, random-complexes [27] and long-range interactions that decay as a power law can be manufactured [26,28].

In order to impart energy to the system, we consider a charging Hamiltonian $V$. In contrast to previous works, where $N$ batteries are collectively charged by an interacting potential, here a single many-body battery (with internal interactions) is charged using a local external driving field that changes the energy splitting Hamiltonian from $H_B$ to

$$V = \omega \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^z,$$

which is perpendicular to the original Zeeman splitting and uniform in space. This could be physically generated by imposing another external magnetic field or by simply rotating the system relative to the existing field. By considering a locally charging, we can isolate any entanglement generation during the charging as arising from the interactions within the spin chain itself. It is worth noting that, unlike in the case where the interactions are controlled during charging, discharging the battery in our scenario is not as simple as reversing the charging procedure; however, we leave this as an open problem.

The charging potential can, in general, be time dependent; however, we will consider the simpler scenario where it is constant during the charging interval. For $0 < t < T$ we thus have the total Hamiltonian

$$H = H_\delta + V,$$

which generates the unitary evolution

$$U_t = \exp[-i(H_\delta + V)t].$$

Hence if $\rho_i$ is the battery’s initial state, then its state at time $t$ is $\rho_t = U_t \rho_i U_t^\dagger$. The deposited work is the difference in internal energy

$$W(t) = \text{tr}[H_0 \rho_t] - \text{tr}[H_0 \rho_i]$$

and we have the total work $W \equiv W(T)$ at the end of the charging such that the average charging power is simply

$$P = \frac{W}{T}.$$
leading to the final state

$$\rho_T = e^{-iV_T} \rho \tau e^{iV_T} = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{N} e^{-i\alpha_4^k \sigma_x^k T} |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| \left| k \right| e^{i\alpha_4^k \sigma_x^k T},$$

(12)

which has no dependence on the interactions. Finally, one can show that $\text{tr}[H_0 \rho] = \text{tr}[H_0 \rho_T]$, which implies that the deposited work in Eq. (9) is also independent of the interactions.

This is our first result: that a spin chain with isotropic coupling leads to completely independent charging of each spin. In other words, the XXZ Heisenberg spin chain will charge as though it were a collection of $N$ independent spins, regardless of the range or strength of the coupling interactions $g_{ij}$, and despite the fact that the added interactions change the spectrum of both the static and the charging Hamiltonians. In fact, we can see numerically that reducing the symmetry (i.e., changing $\alpha$ from 1) leads to a direct increase in maximum average power. As Fig. 2 illustrates, the maximum power obtainable in the charging increases as the anisotropy increases. Correspondingly, as a direct consequence of the many-body nature of the interacting spin battery, the anisotropic XXZ spin chain achieves a much higher power than the isotropic XXX spin chain.

Therefore, to take advantage of the spin-chain battery’s capability of intrinsic many-body interactions, we must break a rotational or translational symmetry. This could also be achieved by applying a different charging field to each spin, and, to this end, a physically reasonable charging scheme is one where charging fields are only applied to one or both ends of the spin chain. However, our preliminary results suggest that only the end spin(s) and those connected via a direct interaction will charge, while the remainder of the spin chain remains uncharged. Indeed, this scenario holds even when the symmetries in $H_0$ are broken. Thus, a quantum advantage in this scheme is limited to short chains or chains with long-range interactions where $p$ in Eq. (4b) is sufficiently small. Hence, we only consider schemes that charge all the spins in the chain uniformly. We will therefore focus on systems with broken rotational symmetry, i.e., we consider anisotropic spin-spin interactions.

B. Emergence of $N$-body interactions in the strongly interacting spin chain

We now turn to the limit of a strongly interacting spin chain, which, as we will explicitly demonstrate, allows us to identify emergent $N$-body interactions, even though our Hamiltonian only features a local charging field and is limited to two-body interactions. Our starting point is the observation that in the limit of strong attractive interactions, i.e., $g \gg \omega$, the Hamiltonian features a large spectral gap between the low-energy states $\rho_{\downarrow} = |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow|^N$ and $\rho_\uparrow = |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow|^N$, and all other states. The size of this gap generally increases with the range of the interactions and it depends on the anisotropy parameter $\alpha$. Taking, for concreteness, $\alpha = 0$, we see that even if we limit ourselves to nearest-neighbor interactions quantified by the interaction constant $g$, the size of the gap $\sim 2g$. This large separation of scales allows us to adiabatically eliminate the high-energy degrees of freedom [32] and project onto an effective low-energy Hamiltonian which acts only in the space spanned by $\rho_{\downarrow}$ and $\rho_\uparrow$.

To see how this projection works, it is instructive to first consider the two-spin problem where, of course, there is no difference between short- and long-range interactions. In this particular case, we can take advantage of how the total spin $S$ commutes with both terms in the Hamiltonian and thus remains constant during the charging. It is then straightforward to evaluate the time evolution analytically within the set of triplet states: $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\down\uparrow\rangle), \text{ and } |\down\down\rangle$. While the work deposited onto the battery is in general a complicated function of time, it can essentially be decomposed into two regimes. The first is a regime dominated by the high-energy part of the spectrum, leading to fast oscillations:

$$W_{\text{fast}}(t) \sim \frac{4\omega^2}{g} \sin^2(\omega t), \quad t \ll \frac{g}{\omega^2}. \tag{13}$$

This result indicates that, by turning off the field at the first peak of these oscillations, we can charge the battery up to a maximum work $\sim \omega^2/g$ and a corresponding maximum power $\sim \omega^2$. At longer times, we find a second oscillatory behavior

$$W_{\text{slow}}(t) \sim \frac{2\omega^2}{g} + B \sin^2(\omega^2 t/g), \quad t \gg 1/g, \tag{14}$$

where the first term arises from averaging out the fast oscillations. As seen by the prefactor $\sim B$, this slow oscillation of the work corresponds exactly to the charging between the states $\rho_{\downarrow}$ and $\rho_\uparrow$. Therefore, in this case the maximum work scales with $B$ while the maximum power goes as $Ba_\omega^2/g$. We thus see that the achievable power is in general much greater if one uses the fast oscillations for charging, at the expense of depositing less work. The fast and slow oscillations are illustrated in Fig. 3.

The slow oscillations found in Eq. (14) may be understood as arising from an effective low-energy charging Hamiltonian for the two-spin problem

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\omega^2}{g} |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \langle \down\down| + |\down\down\rangle \langle \uparrow\uparrow| \tag{15}$$

FIG. 2. Maximum power (maximized over time) achievable by a spin chain of length $N = 4$ as a function of anisotropy parameter $\alpha$ [see Eq. (3)]. For this illustration, we consider LR interactions with $p = 1$, as well as NN interactions [see Eq. (4)]. The remaining parameters are chosen as $g = B$ and $\omega = 4B$. Here $P_{\text{opt}}$ is the power achieved with isotropic interactions, $\alpha = 1$, corresponding to that of four independent spins.
which can be found using second-order perturbation theory (we ignore a term proportional to the identity which does not lead to work deposition). This Hamiltonian acts solely within the space of \( |\uparrow\rangle\) and \( |\downarrow\rangle\) and as such the corresponding evolution proceeds through an entangled subspace.

We can generalize our results to longer spin chains in the regime of strong interactions. For general \( N \), one also obtains an effective global entangling Hamiltonian similar to Eq. (15), i.e., of the form \( \bigotimes_{k=1}^{N} \hat{H} \otimes |\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| + \text{H.c.} \), and we find that the prefactor scales as \( \omega^3/g^{N-1} \) with a coefficient that depends on the range of the interactions. This scaling emerges naturally within a perturbative approach in the small parameter \( \omega/g \) by enumerating the virtual processes needed to connect the states \( |\uparrow\rangle \) and \( |\downarrow\rangle \) for general \( N \). For instance, if one considers a chain consisting of three spins, each interacting with coupling constant \( g \), then we find \( W_{\text{slow}} = \frac{6B}{\omega^2} \sin^2(3\omega t/8g^2) \) arising from the effective Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{3\omega}{8g^2} \left( \sum_{i} |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle\langle\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow| + \sum_{i} |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow| \right) \). This scaling means that for \( N \) spins the maximum power due to the slow oscillations, respectively, as a function of \( N \) for a particular choice of parameters. Our results, which are calculated by exactly solving the system numerically, are also shown for both nearest-neighbor interactions and the case of long-range interactions with \( p = 1 \). Note, in particular, that our results for the maximum achievable power shown in Fig. 4(b) are compatible with the results of Ref. [11] since the power enhancement we get (as opposed to a linear scaling) is less if one considers a chain consisting of three spins, each in-
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**FIG. 3.** Work as a function of time for a two-spin battery in the strong-coupling regime (for this illustration we set \( \omega = 3B \), \( \alpha = 0 \), and \( g = 20B \)). The exact result is shown as the solid blue curve. The slow oscillations [Eq. (14)], related to the effective low-energy Hamiltonian (15), are shown as a black solid line, while the sum of the slow and the fast oscillations of Eq. (13) are shown as a dotted red line.

of such effective interactions decreases with our ability to produce them. The power deposited in the battery is actually worse when the spins traverse the correlated shortcut suggested in Ref. [10] and it becomes vanishingly small in the limit of a large number of spins. Therefore, we now consider whether weaker interactions can lead to a faster charging of the many-body battery.

**C. Weakly interacting spin chain**

In the regime where the interactions are small compared to the driving strength \( \omega \), i.e., \( G \equiv \sum_{i<j} g_{ij} \ll N\omega \), we can treat the interactions as a perturbation and derive approximate analytical results for the work deposited and charging power. In the following, we simplify the derivation by separating the Hamiltonian into \( V \) and \( H_g \) and moving into the interaction picture with respect to the former. This is convenient since \( V \) consists of only local terms whose spectral decomposition is straightforward. The interaction-picture density operator is then \( \hat{\rho}_t = e^{iVt} \hat{\rho}_0 e^{-iVt} \), with the corresponding interaction-picture Hamiltonians \( \hat{H}_g,t = e^{iVt} H_g e^{-iVt} \) where \( x \in \{0, B, g\} \). To first order in \( G \), the first term of the deposited work [Eq. (9)] can be decomposed into

\[
\text{tr}[\hat{H}_0 \hat{\rho}_t] \approx \text{tr}[\hat{H}_{0,t} \hat{\rho}_1] - i \text{tr} \left[ \hat{H}_g \int_0^t ds \{ \hat{H}_{g,s}, \hat{\rho}_1 \} \right].
\]

where we discard any terms of order \( G^2 \) and higher.

Since \( V \) is a local Hamiltonian, we can write \( e^{i\beta Vt} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{N} e^{i\beta \sum_i \sigma^x_{i,j}} \). The first part of Eq. (16) then becomes

\[
\text{tr}[\hat{H}_{0,t} \hat{\rho}_1] \approx -B \sum_{i=1}^{N} \cos(2\omega t) - G[\alpha \sin^2(2\omega t) + \cos^2(2\omega t)].
\]

The second term \( \text{tr}[\hat{H}_{g,t} \int_0^t ds \{ \hat{H}_{g,s}, \hat{\rho}_1 \}] \) turns out to be identically zero after a straightforward expansion of the commutator.
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**FIG. 4.** (a) Maximum achievable work and (b) power as a function of the spin-chain length. We illustrate this for isotropic (interaction-independent) spin chains (XXX) and for anisotropic (\( \alpha = 0 \)) NN interactions and LR interactions with \( p = 1 \). We show, for comparison, (c) the average power at maximum work and (d) the work achievable at maximum power. For this illustration, we set \( g = 100B \) and \( \omega = 4B \).
we set with seven spins in the weak-coupling regime (for this illustration in Eq. (18) is shown as a dotted red curve, while the exact result corresponds to the solid blue curve.

In Fig. 5, we see that Eq. (18) is a good approximation for the dynamics of the spin chain at short times $Gt < 1$, which is sufficient for determining the maximum power and work. Since we have neglected higher-order terms, it does not include the dynamical role of the interactions. Specifically, the dynamical effect of the interactions only appears at second order and thus the period of oscillations in Eq. (18) does not depend on $G$. Instead, the first-order perturbative work incorporates the energy stored in the interactions due to effective independent charging. Nevertheless, the last term of Eq. (18) yields the potential for greater work deposition in an interacting many-body battery than in $N$ independent batteries. This is due to the existence of many-body eigenstates higher in energy than $\rho_0$, once $G$ is sufficiently large compared to $BN$.

In the regime where $2(1 - \alpha)G/BN < 1$, the maximum work is the same as in the independent case, with $W_{\text{max},1} = 2BN = W_{\text{ind}}$ at $T_{\text{max},1} = n\pi/2\omega$ (for any integer $n$). However, when $2(1 - \alpha)G/BN > 1$, these become local minima, as shown in Fig. 5(a), and the maxima occur at times $T_{\text{max},2} = \arccos(-2BN/4G(1 - \alpha))/2\omega$, with corresponding work values

$$W_{\text{max},2} = B^2N^2\left[1 + 2G(1 - \alpha)/BN\right]^2/4G(1 - \alpha).$$

(19)

In this case, the average power at maximum work is higher than in the independent case. The maximum average power in the weak-coupling regime can be approximated as

$$P_{\text{max}} = \max \left\{4\omega\left[\frac{BN}{2} + (1 - \alpha)G \cos^2(\omega T)\right] \frac{\sin^2(\omega T)}{\omega T}\right\},$$

which shows that the maximum power of the interacting chain is larger than its noninteracting counterpart when $\alpha \leq 1$. If the spin-spin interaction is finite ranged, such as nearest-neighbor or next-nearest-neighbor interactions, then $G \sim N$ and the power is enhanced by a constant factor only. This corresponds to a fixed participation number, and interaction order of $k = 2$, which agrees with the charging power scaling $O(mk^2) = O(\text{const})$ of Ref. [11]. For long-range interactions (4b), we find that if the decay of the spin-spin coupling strength is sufficiently fast, i.e., if $p > 1$, then these long-range interactions can only provide, at most, an extra prefactor in the limit $N \to \infty$, with $G$ converging to a constant factor. If we engineered a stronger pairwise interaction with $p = 1$, then $G \sim N \log N$ and the power is now superexponentially enhanced as $O(\log N)$. It is only when we have uniform magnitude infinite-range coupling that we recover the scaling of Ref. [11], since $G \sim N^2$ and the spin chain’s charging power enhancement is $O(m) = O(N)$. Such long-range interactions can, for instance, be engineered and controlled using atoms trapped in a photonic crystal waveguide [28], thus highlighting the practical relevance for the model considered here.

While we have framed these results as perturbative, they correspond exactly to the work and power achieved by switching off the interactions during charging, regardless of the parameter regime. That is, they represent the nondynamical contributions of the interaction energy. We are able to achieve a superextensive scaling even in this case, where the spins charge independently. This motivates a further study of the role played by the interactions when they can affect the dynamics.

IV. ROLE OF CORRELATIONS

Thus far, we have demonstrated that spin chains with anisotropic interactions can achieve greater power than in the noninteracting case. However, a crucial question to ask is how much of this advantage derives from quantum correlations and entanglement? For example, in the work by Binder et al. [10], the quantum battery achieved greater power by taking a shortcut through an entangled subspace. To investigate this further, in this section, we compare the full dynamics of the spin chain with that of a mean-field model, where interactions cannot generate correlations.

More precisely, we consider the case where spin $m = 1, \ldots, N$ evolves according to a local, time-dependent effective
replaced by components of interactions. In (b), the solid line gives the quantum spin chain results interactions with maximally anisotropic spin chains, we consider isotropic (independent) spin chains (Hamiltonian is the original quantum Hamiltonian. As such, the total effective Hamiltonian and thus the magnitude of the spin is always conserved. Since we start in the state $\rho_g$, we have magnitude $|\tilde{s}| = \sqrt{\langle \tilde{s}^2 \rho_g \rangle} = \sqrt{1 + 2/N}$, which tends to 1 for large $N$, once again mimicking the behavior of a classical spin. Finally, we can derive the equations of motion for the spin operators:

\begin{align}
\frac{d\tilde{s}_x}{dt} &= gN(1 - \alpha)(\tilde{s}_y\tilde{s}_z + \tilde{s}_z\tilde{s}_y), \\
\frac{d\tilde{s}_y}{dt} &= -2\omega\tilde{s}_x - gN(1 - \alpha)(\tilde{s}_z\tilde{s}_x + \tilde{s}_x\tilde{s}_z), \\
\frac{d\tilde{s}_z}{dt} &= 2\omega\tilde{s}_y.
\end{align}

These are exactly the classical equations obtained from Eq. (21) if we assume that the $\tilde{s}_k$ all commute with one another. Note that the strength of the interactions simply scales linearly with $N$ in this case.

Hence, we have shown that the infinite-range interacting spin chain in the large-$N$ limit behaves like a global classical spin, where spin-spin correlations are absent. Given that the infinite-range case generates the largest enhancement of the charging power, this further supports the conclusion that correlations are unnecessary for the efficient operation of our many-body battery.

V. CONCLUSION

We have examined the viability of quantum spin chains as a platform for a many-body battery. Our setup differs from those previously considered, where multiple batteries were charged collectively by inducing interactions with an external charging field. Instead, the spin chains forming our battery
include intrinsic interactions between the constituent spins, while the charging is achieved by applying a local external field. Nevertheless, as in previous studies, our aim has been to look for speed-ups in charging power. In particular, we have investigated the role that the interactions play in producing such speed-ups. We have found that, in our model, enhancements in charging power can be mainly attributed to the extra energy available, rather than the ability to traverse correlated regions of the state space.

In order to couple to the higher-energy many-body eigenstates of the interacting spin system, we require the translational or rotational symmetry to be broken and thus we have focused on anisotropic spin-spin interactions. In the strong-interaction limit, we have demonstrated that the spins traverse the entangled subspace responsible for the speed-up in Ref. [10], due to the emergence of an effective Hamiltonian with an interaction term that involves all spins simultaneously. However, we have found that the strength of this effective Hamiltonian is inversely proportional to the coupling strength and vanishes exponentially with the number of spins. While there can be an increase in power relative to the independent charging case, this comes at the expense of the amount of work deposited in the battery, which becomes negligible in this limit.

We next examined the weak-coupling regime. To first order in the coupling strength, we found that the interactions in the chain play no dynamical role, such that the spins effectively charge independently. Nevertheless, the interactions contribute to the work done and can lead to an increase in charging power in some regimes. The independent nature of charging in this regime, combined with the poor performance of the effective many-body Hamiltonian mentioned above, led us to further investigate the role of correlations in the final section of this paper. There, by comparing with dynamics in which no correlations were allowed to build up, we showed that mean-field effects can account for any increase in charging power coming from the interactions in our model. In other words, any speed-ups we see arise from the increased energy experienced by each spin due to interactions with the other spins in the chain. This scenario fundamentally relies on the interactions being intrinsic to the many-body battery, rather than being imposed temporarily during the charging process.

Quantum technologies typically aim for exponential, or at least quadratic, advantage over their classical counterparts. However, in many applications even a constant advantage (one that does not scale with the size of the quantum system) is desirable. We have shown that physically constrained quantum batteries have the potential for faster charging over their classical (noninteracting) counterparts. Specifically, our work illuminates how the structure of the interaction Hamiltonian may be designed to build fast charging quantum batteries. Our work opens up the potential feasibility of spin-chain quantum batteries and is a step towards combining the concepts of quantum thermodynamics with the practicality of condensed-matter systems. In a physical implementation of our battery, the robustness of the charging scheme to environmental noise is of great practical relevance. While in general we expect dynamics that is dominated by mean-field effects to be rather insensitive to noise, we leave a detailed study of the inclusion of dissipative dynamics in our problem as a future exercise.
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