Role for nucleotide-excision repair gene variants in oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy

Hannah West¹, Michelle Coffey¹, Michael J. Wagner², Howard L. McLeod³, James P. Colley¹, Richard A. Adams¹, Oliver Fleck⁴, Timothy S. Maughan⁵, David Fisher⁶, Richard S. Kaplan⁶, Rebecca Harris¹, and Jeremy P. Cheadle^{1*}

¹Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF14 4XN, UK; ²Institute for Pharmacogenomics and Individualized Therapy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7361, USA; ³DeBartolo Family Personalized Medicine Institute, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL 33612, USA; ⁴North West Cancer Research Institute, Bangor University, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK; ⁵CRUK/MRC Oxford Institute for Radiation Oncology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7DQ, UK; ⁶MRC Clinical Trials Unit, Aviation House, London, WC2B 6NH, UK.

GRANT SUPPORT: This work was supported by Tenovus; the Kidani Trust; a CRUK development award from the Cardiff CRUK centre; Cancer Research Wales; the Wales Gene Park; a Knowledge Economy Skills Scholarship; North West Cancer Research; and the Wales Assembly Government National Institute of Social Care and Health Research Cancer Genetics Biomedical Research Unit. None of the sponsors played a role in the study design, or in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data.

*CORRESPONDENCE TO: Professor Jeremy P. Cheadle, Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4XN, UK. Tel: +442920742652, E-mail: cheadlejp@cardiff.ac.uk

RUNNING TITLE: NER gene variants and peripheral neuropathy

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: MC has been employed as an externally contracted medical oncology writer at Bristol-Myers Squibb in the past 18months. All remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

ABSTRACT

PURPOSE:

Oxaliplatin forms part of routine treatment for advanced colorectal cancer (aCRC); however, it often causes severe peripheral neuropathy resulting in treatment discontinuation. We sought to determine the molecular and cellular mechanism underlying this toxicity.

PATIENTS AND METHODS:

We exome resequenced blood DNA samples from nine aCRC patients who had severe peripheral neuropathy associated with oxaliplatin (PNAO) within 12 weeks of treatment. We Sanger sequenced the ERCC4 and ERCC6 open reading frames in 63 patients with PNAO and carried out targeted genotyping in 1,763 patients without PNAO. We tested the functionality of *ERCC4* variants using viability and DNA repair assays in Schizosaccharomyces pombe and human cell lines after exposure to oxaliplatin and UV light.

RESULTS:

Exome resequencing identified one patient carrying a novel germline truncating mutation in the nucleotide excision repair (NER) gene ERCC4. This mutation was functionally-associated with sensitivity to oxaliplatin $(P=3.5x10^{-2})$. We subsequently found that multiple rare ERCC4 nonsynonymous variants were overrepresented in affected individuals ($P=7.7x10^{-3}$) and three of these were defective in the repair of UV light-induced DNA damage $(P<1x10^{-3})$. We validated a role for NER genes in PNAO by finding that multiple rare ERCC6 nonsynonymous variants were similarly overrepresented in affected individuals $(P=2.4x10^{-8})$. Excluding private variants,

22.2% (14/63) of patients with PNAO carried Pro379Ser or Glu875Gly in ERCC4, or, Asp425Ala, Gly446Asp or Ser797Cys in ERCC6, as compared to 8.7% (152/1,750) of unaffected patients (OR=3.0, 95% CI 1.6-5.6, P=2.5x10-4).

CONCLUSIONS:

Our study provides evidence for a role of NER genes in oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy, together with mechanistic insights.

INTRODUCTION

Oxaliplatin, a third-generation platinum drug, in combination with 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin (FL), or oral capecitabine, is standard treatment for locally advanced and metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC); it improves both response and progression-free survival.^{1,2} It also improves disease-free survival in the adjuvant treatment of stage II and III colon cancer patients. 3 In addition, oxaliplatin is widely used to treat other gastrointestinal malignancies. Platinum agents exert their effects by forming interand intra-strand DNA cross-links,⁴ which stall the cell cycle, inhibit DNA synthesis⁵ and trigger apoptosis.⁶ Oxaliplatin also induces oxidative DNA damage.⁷

Peripheral neuropathy is a well-recognised dose-limiting toxicity of oxaliplatin.^{8,9} High cumulative doses are associated with chronic peripheral nerve damage causing sensory ataxia and functional impairment.¹⁰ Chronic sensory neuropathy has been observed in around half of patients who received oxaliplatin with infusional FL.¹¹ Importantly, it is neurotoxicity, rather than tumour progression, which is often the cause of treatment discontinuation.¹² Since neurotoxicity is not correlated with response,¹² it is considered a potentially avoidable side effect. The underlying cause of peripheral neuropathy is not known, although oxidative stress may be a contributing factor.13-15

Although numerous genetic associations with peripheral neuropathy have been proposed (GSTP1,¹⁶⁻¹⁹ AGXT,²⁰ ERCC1,^{21,22} FARS2,²² TAC1,²² SCN10A,²³ SCN4A,²³ VAC14,²⁴ together with several genome-wide associated loci^{25,26}), none have been independently validated and introduced into patient stratification.

Here, we sought to delineate the underlying cause by exome resequencing patients with severe peripheral neuropathy after treatment with oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

We analysed blood DNA samples from unrelated patients with advanced CRC (aCRC) from the UK national trial COIN (NCT00182715).²⁷ Patients were randomised to receive continuous oxaliplatin and fluoropyrimidine chemotherapy, continuous chemotherapy plus cetuximab, or intermittent chemotherapy. In all patients, treatment was identical for the first 12 weeks apart from the choice of fluoropyrimidine together with the randomisation of \pm cetuximab. All patients gave fully informed consent for their samples to be used for bowel cancer research (approved by REC [04/MRE06/60]). We obtained the maximum grade of peripheral neuropathy after 12 weeks of treatment. Patients with grade 3/4 peripheral neuropathy or that had had oxaliplatin-dose reduction due to severe peripheral neuropathy were classified as suffering from peripheral neuropathy associated with oxaliplatin (PNAO). Patients with no, or grade 1, peripheral neuropathy formed a control group and were classified as not having PNAO. Patients with grade 2 peripheral neuropathy were excluded to allow a better discrimination between the two patient groups.

Molecular analyses

We excluded known inherited neuropathies by carrying out multiplex ligationdependent probe amplification analysis of PMP22 (~75% of patients with Charcot-

Marie-Tooth syndrome, the most common form of inherited neuropathy, have a 1.4MB duplication) and by examining the exome resequencing data for PMP22 and 65 other genes associated with rare inherited neuropathies (Supplemental Materials and Methods).

Library fragments containing exomic DNA were collected using the Roche Nimblegen SeqCap EZ Exome Library solution-based method. Massively parallel sequencing was performed on the Illumina Genome Analyser. On average, across the exome, we had 55% (range 46-60%) coverage of the open reading frame (ORF) at 20-fold depth. Fastq files were processed through a sequence analysis pipeline using BWA for sequence alignment and modules from the Broad Institute's Genome analysis Toolkit to recalibrate quality scores, refine alignments around potential insertions/deletions (indels), eliminate duplicate reads, call indel and SNP genotypes, generate QC metrics and apply quality filters to the genotype calls. SNP calls were annotated using ANNOVAR. PCR and Sanger sequencing were carried out as described in Supplemental Materials and Methods. ERCC4 and ERCC6 nonsynonymous variants were genotyped using KASPar (LGC) or BeadArray (Illumina) technologies (Supplemental Materials and Methods).

Functional analyses

Production of a Schizosaccharomyces pombe rad16 base strain, the rad16 wild type vector, Cre recombinase mediated cassette exchange, transformation of the base strain, site-directed mutagenesis and treatment with oxaliplatin and UV light was carried out as described in Supplemental Materials and Methods. 480 EBVtransformed human lymphoblastoid cell lines established from healthy Caucasian

individuals (ECACC, Salisbury) were assayed for the ERCC4 variants Pro379Ser, Arg576Thr and Glu875Gly using KASPar (LGC). Three cell lines for each variant in a heterozygous state and wild type controls (n=3) were selected for the functional analyses. Cell lines were established in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum, penicillin/streptomycin and L-glutamine and maintained at 37ºC and 5.0% CO2. Survival analyses were carried out as described in Supplemental Materials and Methods. For DNA repair assays, cells were irradiated with 70J UV-C and aliquots removed at 0, 4, 24 and 48 hours after treatment, sorted by FACS for viable cells, and DNA extracted. DNA samples were probed for CPDs using an ELISA kit (Cell BioLabs) and absorbance was read at 450nm using a plate reader, with a reference range of 620nm (Supplemental Materials and Methods).

Statistical and bioinformatic analyses

For association analyses, R v.3.3.2 was used for the Pearson's Chi-squared test or Fishers exact test, where appropriate. Average survival data for oxaliplatin and UV light exposure in S.pombe was normalised to wild type and analysed using SPSS v.23 ANOVA with Dunnett correction (following transformation using the arcsine function). For DNA repair assays, statistical analyses were performed in SPSS using a two-way ANOVA, with mutation status and treatment as the independent variables. The dependent variable was CPD quantification (ng/ml) as a measure of DNA repair. Individual ANOVAs were run at 24 and 48 hours. In silico predictions for functional significance of nonsynonymous variants were determined using Align-Grantham Variation/Grantham Deviation (Align-GVGD). Linkage disequilibrium (LD) was obtained using Haploview v.4.2.

RESULTS

Of the 2,445 patients with aCRC in the COIN trial, 23% of those that received oxaliplatin and fluorouracil-based therapy and 16% of those that received oxaliplatin and capecitabine-based therapy had severe (≥ grade 3) peripheral neuropathy over the course of the trial.²⁷ We focussed on patients with severe PNAO within the first 12 weeks of treatment (Supplemental Materials and Methods and Table 1) as a potentially enriched group for causal germline mutations (n=63 patients). Although fewer of these patients responded to treatment at 12 weeks (47%, 26/55 with response data; Table 1) as compared to patients without PNAO (grade ≤1, n=1,763; 57%, 884/1542 with response data), this was not statistically significant ($P=0.14$).

Nine of the 63 patients with severe PNAO had exome resequencing of their germline blood DNA samples. These patients were selected based on review of their medical notes and had no potential confounding clinical complications. We identified on average 48 (range 40-56) stop gains and 88 (73-111) indels predicted to result in frameshift mutations, per patient exome (Supplemental Table). We excluded known inherited neuropathies in these patients by PMP22 dosage analysis and by examining the resequencing data for 66 candidate genes (no stop gains or truncating indels were predicted).

Novel truncating mutation in ERCC4

Variants not present in dbSNP v.132 (assigned as novel) were considered most likely to cause PNAO; we identified on average 8 (range 2-11) and 28 (16-57) novel stop gains and frameshifting indels, respectively, per patient (Supplemental Table). We also considered that germline truncating mutations in genes involved in

oxaliplatin transport, metabolism or the repair of its associated damage might be responsible for PNAO; we identified 104 such genes from literature reviews (Supplemental Materials and Methods). All nine patients carried truncating variants in these selected genes (range 1-4); however, only one of these variants, in a single patient, was novel (Supplemental Table). Patient 8 carried the novel stop gain Ser613X in the nucleotide excision repair (NER) gene ERCC4, which was confirmed by Sanger sequencing of an independent PCR product (Supplemental Table). We did not find any other coding region variants in the second ERCC4 allele in Patient 8 after direct sequence analysis of their entire ORF and flanking intronic sequences. Clinical review confirmed that this patient did not have xeroderma pigmentosum (XP) (caused by bi-allelic ERCC4 mutations).

We carried out a more comprehensive analysis of all known DNA repair genes (REPAIRtoire, n=163 genes, http://repairtoire.genesilico.pl/,²⁸ and, MD Anderson / Wood's DNA repair list, n=244 genes,

https://www.mdanderson.org/documents/Labs/Wood-Laboratory/human-dna-repairgenes.html,²⁹), including those in the base excision repair system that repair oxidative DNA damage,³⁰ but did not find any further novel stop-gains or truncating indels.

Functional analysis of the ERCC4 stop mutation

We investigated whether the ERCC4 nonsense mutation induced sensitivity to oxaliplatin and UV light (causes cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers [CPDs] that are repaired by NER). We re-created the mutation in the Schizosaccharomyces pombe homolog rad16 (Ser585X) in a base strain and a strain deficient in endonuclease

uve1 (a pombe-specific alternative UV light repair system). Following oxaliplatin treatment, we observed decreased survival for $rad16$ -Ser585X ($P=3.5x10^{-2}$) in comparison to wild type rad16 (rad16⁺), and in a similar range to a control rad16 deleted mutant (*rad16Δ*) (Fig.1A). Similarly, we observed decreased survival of *uve1Δ*-rad16-Ser585X following treatment with UV light (P<1x10-3) (Fig.1B).

Multiple rare ERCC4 variants associated with peripheral neuropathy

We sought further evidence for a role of *ERCC4* in PNAO and Sanger sequenced the ERCC4 ORF and flanking intronic sequences in all 63 patients with PNAO. We did not find any further stop gains or truncating indels; however, we did identify four rare (minor allele frequencies <5% in dbSNP) nonsynonymous variants (Pro379Ser, rs1799802, in 3 patients; His466Gln, rs372950439, in 1 patient; Arg576Thr, rs1800068, in 1 patient; Glu875Gly, rs1800124, in 4 patients) (Table 1). Pro379Ser, Arg576Thr and Glu875Gly were predicted to interfere with function (Table 2). We also identified one common nonsynonymous (Arg415Gln, rs1800067), three synonymous and three 5' untranslated region (UTR) variants. We genotyped the ERCC4 nonsynonymous variants in all COIN patients with available samples. His466Gln was not seen in any further patients. Each of the other rare variants were found more frequently in cases with, as compared to those without, PNAO (Table 2). Combined, significantly more patients with PNAO carried one of these variants (13.1% [8/61] as compared to 5.2% [87/1,671] of patients without PNAO; $P=7.7x10^{-3}$.

The common variant Arg415Gln was found in similar proportions of patients with $(14.3\%$ [9/63]) and without $(14.8\%$ [260/1,754]) PNAO $(P=0.87)$. An intronic variant in ERCC4 (rs1799800), associated with late onset bortezomib-associated neuropathy³¹,

was not associated with PNAO (found in 38.1% of patients with PNAO as compared to 48.0% without, $P=0.12$).

Functional analysis of ERCC4 nonsynonymous variants

We sought evidence for causal effects of Pro379Ser, Arg576Thr and Glu875Gly using EBV-transformed human lymphoblastoid cell lines established from healthy individuals that carried each variant in a heterozygous state (n=3 for each variant and wild type controls). Although treatment with UV light reduced viability in all lines, we did not observe any differences between wild type and variant cell lines (data not shown). In terms of repair capacity after DNA damage with UV light, all wild type cell lines showed noticeable repair 24 hours after treatment, with the majority of CPDs being repaired by 48 hours (Fig.2). In contrast, all three sets of variant cell lines displayed reduced repair in the initial $(P<1x10^{-3}$ at both 24 and 48 hours) and validation $(P<1x10^{-3}$ at both 24 and 48 hours) experiments (Fig.2).

Validated role for NER in PNAO

We attempted to validate a role for NER gene defects in PNAO and sought novel nonsynonymous variants in all ERCC gene family members by re-analysing the exome resequencing data. We identified Gly929Arg in ERCC6 in one patient, which was confirmed using an independent PCR product. We sought further potentially causal ERCC6 variants by direct sequence analysis of the ORF, intronic boundaries and 5'UTR in all 63 patients with PNAO. We identified nine rare (Table 1) and five common nonsynonymous variants, two synonymous variants and one 5'UTR variant; we genotyped nonsynonymous variants in all available cases. Seven rare nonsynonymous variants were predicted to be damaging (C55-C65), three of which

(Asp425Ala, Pro694Leu and Ser797Cys) were individually overrepresented in patients with PNAO (Table 3). Combined, rare ERCC6 nonsynonymous variants were highly associated with peripheral neuropathy (in 20.6% [13/63] of patients with PNAO as compared to 4.7% [82/1749] of patients without, $P=2.4x10^{-8}$ (Table 3).

No common ERCC6 nonsynonymous variants were associated with PNAO: Gly399Asp was in (patients with, versus those without, PNAO) 27.0% versus 30.7%, P=0.54; Arg1213Gly in 36.5% versus 34.6%, P=0.76; Arg1230Pro in 22.2% versus 19.3%, P=0.57; and, Gln1413Arg in 36.5% versus 34.5%, P=0.74.

Combined analyses of ERCC4 and ERCC6

Since private variants may skew statistical associations, we considered only rare ERCC4 and ERCC6 nonsynonymous variants that were present in ≥2 patients with PNAO. In total, 22.2% of patients with PNAO carried Pro379Ser or Glu875Gly in ERCC4, or, Asp425Ala, Gly446Asp or Ser797Cys in ERCC6, as compared to 8.7% of unaffected patients (OR=3.0, 95% CI 1.6-5.6, $P=2.5x10^{-4}$) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The rare variant hypothesis predicts that individually rare, but collectively common, inherited variants play a significant role in disease susceptibility.³² For example, rare nonsynonymous variants in the genes encoding apolipoprotein A1, the adenosine triphosphate binding cassette transporter A1 and lecithin cholesterol acyltransferase, are over-represented in individuals with low plasma levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, a major risk factor for coronary atherosclerosis.³³ Furthermore, multiple rare nonsynonymous variants in APC play a significant role in inherited

predisposition to colorectal adenomas.³⁴ Here, after identifying a novel ERCC4 truncating mutation in a patient with PNAO, we found that multiple rare ERCC4 and ERCC6 nonsynonymous variants were over-represented in affected individuals. Therefore, the rare variant hypothesis may also be applicable to germline susceptibility to toxicity from therapy. If validated by others, the ERCC4 and ERCC6 nonsynonymous variants described herein would be considered 'moderately' penetrant risk alleles for oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy, as a proportion of carriers did not have PNAO within 12 weeks of treatment.

ERCC4 forms a complex with ERCC1, which carries out 5' incision of damaged DNA in NER, the main repair pathway involved in the removal of bulky and DNA-distorting adducts.³⁵ The complex has also been implicated in interstrand crosslink (ICL) repair 36 and in the repair of double strand breaks. 37 ERCC6 encodes CSB, a SWI/SNF DNA-dependent related ATPase;³⁸ it is recruited to areas of DNA damage following stalling of RNA polymerase II and has multiple roles including chromatin remodelling³⁹ and recruitment of other NER proteins.⁴⁰ Given that *ERCC4* and ERCC6 are likely targets of peripheral neuropathy-associated nonsynonymous variants, thorough examination of other NER genes is warranted to determine whether they play similar roles in toxicity to oxaliplatin.

Our finding that NER genes may play a role in oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy, is supported by observations from several other studies. Firstly, patients with XPA, XPC, XPG and Cockayne syndrome related disorders (caused by biallelic $ERCC$ mutations) suffer from peripheral neuropathy prior to treatment.⁴¹ The majority (17/20) of our ERCC4 or ERCC6 carriers had only one locus-specific mutant allele,

and, to our knowledge, none had XP or Cockayne syndrome group B, suggesting that haploinsufficiency for a mutant allele may be sufficient to induce peripheral neuropathy upon exposure to oxaliplatin. Secondly, an E rcc1^{- Δ} murine model, which has reduced expression of the ERCC4-ERCC1 complex, develops accelerated spontaneous peripheral neurodegeneration with significant structural alterations of the sciatic nerves.⁴² Third, in $Xpa^{-/2}$ and $Xpc^{-/2}$ mice, chronic exposure to cisplatin resulted in an accelerated accumulation of unrepaired ICLs in neuronal cells.⁴³ Furthermore, the augmented adduct levels in dorsal root ganglion cells of these mice coincided with an earlier onset of peripheral neuropathy-like functional disturbance of their sensory nervous system.

Few predictive biomarkers for toxicity to therapy in the treatment of CRC have been independently validated. Two rare variants in DPYD have been associated with severe toxicity in patients receiving 5-FU⁴⁴ and a polymorphism in UGT-1A has been linked to a higher risk of developing irinotecan-associated neutropenia and diarrhea;⁴⁵ however, none of these biomarkers have been introduced into routine clinical practice due to their poor sensitivity and specificity. Here, we identified roles for NER gene variants in toxicity to oxaliplatin, which, if validated, may represent an opportunity for patient stratification.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Simon Reed and Edgar Hartsuiker for helpful advice and Shelley Idziaszczyk, Rebecca Williams, Marc Naven and Christopher Smith for technical support.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JPCheadle obtained funding for this study. The study was designed by JPCheadle with input from MJW, HLM, TSM, RAA, MC, HW, and OF, and was carried out under the direction of JPCheadle. JPColley undertook the exome resequencing under the guidance and facilities of MJW and HLM. MJW undertook the exome bioinformatic analyses. HW undertook the literature searches, Sanger sequencing, validation analyses and genotyping with support from RH. HW carried out the functional analyses in S.pombe under the guidance and facilities of OF. MC carried out the functional analyses in human cells. HW and MC undertook the statistical analyses. TSM was CI of COIN, and, RAA was a COIN trial fellow; both provided clinical advice and assistance. RSK managed the COIN trial and, with DF, facilitated access to the clinical data. JPCheadle and HW interpreted the data with input from coauthors. JPCheadle and HW wrote the paper with input from MC, and all authors provided comments.

REFERENCES

1. de Gramont A, Figer A, Seymour M, et al. Leucovorin and fluorouracil with or without oxaliplatin as first-line treatment in advanced colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2000;18:2938-2947.

2. Goldberg RM, Sargent DJ, Morton RF, et al. A randomized controlled trial of fluorouracil plus leucovorin, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin combinations in patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:23-30.

3. André T, Boni C, Mounedji-Boudiaf L, et al. Oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin as adjuvant treatment for colon cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;350:2343- 2351.

4. Brabec V, Kasparkova J. Modifications of DNA by platinum complexes. Relation to resistance of tumors to platinum antitumor drugs. Drug Resist Update 2005;8:131-146.

5. Johnson NP, Hoeschele JD, Rahn RO, et al. Mutagenicity, cytotoxicity, and DNA binding of platinum(II)-chloroammines in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Cancer Res 1980;40:1463-1468.

6. Faivre S, Woynarowski JM. Oxaliplatin effects on DNA integrity and apoptosis induction in human tumor cells, in Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Association of Cancer Research 1998;39:158, New Orleans, LA.

7. Afzal S, Jensen SA, Sørensen JB, et al. Oxidative damage to guanine nucleosides following combination chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil and oxaliplatin. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2012;69:301-307.

8. Hartmann JT, Lipp HP. Toxicity of platinum compounds. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2003;4:889-901.

9. Weickhardt A, Wells K, Messersmith W. Oxaliplatin induced neuropathy in colorectal cancer. J Oncol 2011;201593 doi:10.1155/2011/201593.

10. Quasthoff S, Hartung HP. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. J Neurol 2002;249:9-17.

11. Krishnan AV, Goldstein D, Friedlander M, et al. Oxaliplatin-induced neurotoxicity and the development of neuropathy. Muscle Nerve 2005;32:51-60.

12. McWhinney SR, Goldberg RM, McLeod HL. Platinum neurotoxicity pharmacogenetics. Mol Cancer Ther 2009;8:10-16.

13. Di Cesare Mannelli L, Zanardelli M, Failli P, et al. Oxaliplatin-induced oxidative stress in nervous system-derived cellular models: could it correlate with in vivo neuropathy? Free Radic Biol Med 2013;61:143-150.

14. Tabassum H, Waseem M, Parvez S, et al. Oxaliplatin-induced Oxidative Stress Provokes Toxicity in Isolated Rat Liver Mitochondria. Arch Med Res 2015;46:597-603.

15. Carozzi VA, Marmiroli P, Cavaletti G. The role of oxidative stress and antioxidant treatment in platinum-induced peripheral neurotoxicity. Curr Cancer Drug Targets 2010;10:670-682.

16. Grothey A, McLeod HL, Green EM, et al. Glutathione S-transferase P1 I105V (GSTP1 I105V) polymorphism is associated with early onset of oxaliplatin-induced neurotoxicity. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:suppl 3509.

17. Ruzzo A, Graziano F, Loupakis F, et al. Pharmacogenetic profiling in patients with advanced colorectal cancer treated with first-line FOLFOX-4 chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:1247-1254.

18. Peng Z, Wang Q, Gao J, et al. Association between GSTP1 Ile105Val polymorphism and oxaliplatin-induced neuropathy: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2013;72:305-314.

19. Lecomte T, Landi B, Beaune P, et al. Glutathione S-transferase P1 polymorphism (Ile105Val) predicts cumulative neuropathy in patients receiving oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:3050-3056.

20. Gamelin L, Capitain O, Morel A, et al. Predictive factors of oxaliplatin neurotoxicity: the involvement of the oxalate outcome pathway. Clin Cancer Res 2007;13:6359-6368.

21. Inada M, Sato M, Morita S, et al. Associations between oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy and polymorphisms of the ERCC1 and GSTP1 genes. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2010;48:729-734.

22. Oguri T, Mitsuma A, Inada-Inoue M, et al. Genetic polymorphisms associated with oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neurotoxicity in Japanese patients with colorectal cancer. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2013;51:475-481.

23. Argyriou AA, Cavaletti G, Antonacopoulou A, et al. Voltage-gated sodium channel polymorphisms play a pivotal role in the development of oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neurotoxicity: Results from a prospective multicenter study. Cancer 2013;119:3570-3577.

24. Hertz DL, Owzar K, Lessans S, et al. Pharmacogenetic Discovery in CALGB (Alliance) 90401 and Mechanistic Validation of a VAC14 Polymorphism that Increases Risk of Docetaxel-Induced Neuropathy. Clin Cancer Res 2016;22:4890- 4900.

25. Won HH, Lee J, Park JO, et al. Polymorphic markers associated with severe oxaliplatin-induced, chronic peripheral neuropathy in colon cancer patients. Cancer 2012;118:2828-2836.

26. Dolan ME, El Charif O, Wheeler HE, et al. Clinical and Genome-Wide Analysis of Cisplatin-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy in Survivors of Adult-Onset Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2017;23:5757-5768.

27. Maughan TS, Adams RA, Smith CG, et al. Addition of cetuximab to oxaliplatin-based first-line combination chemotherapy for treatment of advanced colorectal cancer: results of the randomised phase 3 MRC COIN trial. Lancet 2011;377:2103-2114.

28. Milanowska K, Krwawicz J, Papaj G, et al. REPAIRtoire – a database of DNA repair pathways. Nucleic Acids Res 2011;39:D788-792.

29. Wood RD, Mitchell M, Lindahl T. Human DNA repair genes, 2005. Mutat Res 2005;577:275-283.

30. Preston TJ, Henderson JT, McCallum GP, et al. Base excision repair of reactive oxygen species-initiated 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-2'-deoxyguanosine inhibits the cytotoxicity of platinum anticancer drugs. Mol Cancer Ther 2009;8:2015-2026.

31. Broyl A, Corthals SL, Jongen JL, et al. Mechanisms of peripheral neuropathy associated with bortezomib and vincristine in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: a prospective analysis of data from the HOVON-65/GMMG-HD4 trial. Lancet Oncol 2010;11:1057-1065.

32. Fearnhead NS, Winney B, Bodmer WF. Rare variant hypothesis for multifactorial inheritance: susceptibility to colorectal adenomas as a model. Cell Cycle 2005;4:521-525.

33. Cohen JC, Kiss RS, Pertsemlidis A, et al. Multiple rare alleles contribute to low plasma levels of HDL cholesterol. Science 2004;305:869-872.

34. Azzopardi D, Dallosso AR, Eliason K, et al. Multiple rare non-synonymous variants in the adenomatous polyposis coli gene predispose to colorectal adenomas. Cancer Res 2008;68:358-363.

35. Reardon JT, Vaisman A, Chaney SG, et al. Efficient nucleotide excision repair of cisplatin, oxaliplatin, and Bis-aceto-ammine-dichloro-cyclohexylamine-platinum(IV) (JM216) platinum intrastrandDNA diadducts. Cancer Res 1999;59:3968-3971.

36. Kuraoka I, Kobertz WR, Ariza RR, et al. Repair of an interstrand DNA crosslink initiated by ERCC1-XPF repair/recombination nuclease. J Biol Chem 2000;275:26632-26636.

37. Ahmad A, Robinson AR, Duensing A, et al. ERCC1-XPF endonuclease facilitates DNA double-strand break repair. Mol Cell Biol 2008;28:5082-5092.

38. Troelstra C, van Gool A, de Wit J, et al. ERCC6, a member of a subfamily of putative helicases, is involved in Cockayne's syndrome and preferential repair of active genes. Cell 1992;71:939-953.

39. Citterio E, Van Den Boom V, Schnitzler G, et al. ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling by the Cockayne syndrome B DNA repair-transcription-coupling factor. Mol Cell Biol 2000;20:7643-7653.

40. Fousteri M, Vermeulen W, van Zeeland AA, et al. Cockayne syndrome A and B proteins differentially regulate recruitment of chromatin remodeling and repair factors to stalled RNA polymerase II in vivo. Mol Cell 2006;23:471-482.

41. Kanda T, Oda M, Yonezawa M, et al. Peripheral neuropathy in xeroderma pigmentosum. Brain 1990;113:1025-1044.

42. Goss JR, Stolz DB, Robinson AR, et al. Premature aging-related peripheral neuropathy in a mouse model of progeria. Mech Ageing Dev 2011;132:437-442.

43. Dzagnidze A, Katsarava Z, Makhalova J, et al. Repair capacity for platinum-DNA adducts determines the severity of cisplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy. J Neurosci 2007;27:9451-9457.

44. Schwab M, Zanger UM, Marx C, et al. Role of genetic and nongenetic factors for fluorouracil treatment-related severe toxicity: a prospective clinical trial by the German 5-FU Toxicity Study Group. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:2131-2138.

45. Hoskins JM, Goldberg RM, Qu P, et al. UGT1A1*28 genotype and irinotecan induced neutropenia: dose matters. J Natl Cancer Inst 2007;99:1290-1295.

Patients 1-9 were exome resequenced and those highlighted carried variants. COIN Arm A - received continuous oxaliplatin and fluoropyrimidine chemotherapy, Arm B continuous chemotherapy plus cetuximab, Arm C - intermittent chemotherapy. Patients had infusional 5FU or capecitabine as the combination partner with oxaliplatin (OxMdG and XELOX, respectively). Abbreviations - PN, peripheral neuropathy; m, mutant; n, normal allele.

Table 2 – Rare ERCC4 nonsynonymous and stop-gain variants in patients with (+) and without (-) PNAO.

aScore of predicted functional impact by Align-Grantham Variation/Grantham Deviation (Align-GVGD): C65 – most likely and C15 – less likely to affect function. bSer613X was not included in the total since it was only assayed in cases with PNAO; one patient with PNAO carried Arg576Thr and Glu875Gly, and another without carried Pro379Ser and Glu875Gly. Values reflect the number of patients successfully genotyped (totals - for all variants).

Table 3 - Rare ERCC6 nonsynonymous variants in patients with (+) and without (-) PNAO.

^aScore of predicted functional impact: C65 – most likely, C55 – likely, C15 – less likely to affect function; N/A – not applicable (alternative transcript). b One patient with PNAO carried Asp425Ala and Ser797Cys, and, one patient without PNAO carried Asp425Ala and Gly446Asp, and another carried Gly446Asp and Phe1217Cys. Values reflect the number of patients successfully genotyped (totals - for all variants). **Table 4 – Combined analysis of non-private, rare ERCC4 and ERCC6 nonsynonymous variants.**

aFour patients without PNAO carried Glu875Gly in ERCC4 and Gly446Asp in ERCC6 and another carried Pro379Ser in ERCC4 and Gly446Asp in ERCC6.

LEGENDS TO FIGURES

Figure 1. The ERCC4 nonsense mutation induced sensitivity to oxaliplatin and UV light in a S. pombe (rad16) model system. Average percentage survival from **(A)** four independent experiments following oxaliplatin treatment, or, **(B)** three independent experiments following treatment with UV light, for a control rad16 gene deletion mutant (*rad16Δ*) and rad16-Ser585X (mimics Ser613X seen in a patient with PNAO), normalised to rad16⁺. For treatment with UV light, all strains were *uve1Δ*. Standard deviations displayed as vertical bars.

Figure 2. ERCC4 nonsynonymous variants displayed reduced repair capacity.

Average CPD concentrations (ng/ml) after UV-C (70J) irradiation in wild type cells and cells carrying (A) Pro379Ser, (B) Arg576Thr and (C) Glu875Gly over a 48hr period, showing the initial (top panels) and validation (lower panels) experiments. CPD concentrations are plotted as an average of two duplicate samples from the same experiment run on separate ELISA plates, which are shown against time with standard error bars (data from the wild type cells is shown in A, B and C). The test and validation experiments were biological repeats. $V =$ variant cell line; $C =$ wild type control cell line; 1-3 = different cell lines.