Association of troponin level and age with mortality in 250 000 patients: cohort study across five UK acute care centres


ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE
To determine the relation between age and troponin level and its prognostic implication.

DESIGN
Retrospective cohort study.

SETTING
Five cardiovascular centres in the UK National Institute for Health Research Health Informatics Collaborative (UK-NIHR HIC).

PARTICIPANTS
257 948 consecutive patients undergoing troponin testing for any clinical reason between 2010 and 2017.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURE
All cause mortality.

RESULTS
257 948 patients had troponin measured during the study period. Analyses on troponin were performed using the peak troponin level, which was the highest troponin level measured during the patient’s hospital stay. Troponin levels were standardised as a multiple of each laboratory’s 99th centile of the upper limit of normal (ULN). During a median follow-up of 1198 days (interquartile range 514-1866 days), 55 850 (21.7%) deaths occurred. A positive troponin result (that is, higher than the upper limit of normal) signified a 3.2 higher mortality hazard (95% confidence interval 3.1 to 3.2) over three years. Mortality varied noticeably with age, with a hazard ratio of 10.6 (8.5 to 13.3) in 18-29 year olds and 1.5 (1.4 to 1.6) in those older than 90. A positive troponin result was associated with an approximately 15 percentage points higher absolute three year mortality across all age groups.

CONCLUSIONS
A positive troponin result was associated with a clinically important increased mortality, regardless of age, even if the level was only slightly above normal. The excess mortality with a raised troponin was heavily concentrated in the first few weeks.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
Troponin is the preferred biomarker for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction
Evidence shows a direct relation between the magnitude of troponin increase and mortality, albeit over a limited range of troponin levels
Data on the relation between troponin level and mortality across a full age spectrum are limited, particularly for the very elderly compared with young people
Clinicians generally assume that higher troponins signify higher mortality in all age groups

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
A raised troponin level was associated with a clinically important increased mortality, regardless of age, even if raised only slightly above normal, with the excess mortality associated with a raised troponin level concentrated in the first few weeks
An unexpected inverted U shaped relation between troponin level and mortality was seen in patients admitted with an acute coronary syndrome
The paradoxical decline in mortality at very high troponin levels may be driven in part by the changing case mix as troponin levels increase; a higher proportion of patients with very high troponin levels received invasive management

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
Troponin is the preferred biomarker for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction
Evidence shows a direct relation between the magnitude of troponin increase and mortality, albeit over a limited range of troponin levels
Data on the relation between troponin level and mortality across a full age spectrum are limited, particularly for the very elderly compared with young people
Clinicians generally assume that higher troponins signify higher mortality in all age groups

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
A raised troponin level was associated with a clinically important increased mortality, regardless of age, even if raised only slightly above normal, with the excess mortality associated with a raised troponin level concentrated in the first few weeks
An unexpected inverted U shaped relation between troponin level and mortality was seen in patients admitted with an acute coronary syndrome
The paradoxical decline in mortality at very high troponin levels may be driven in part by the changing case mix as troponin levels increase; a higher proportion of patients with very high troponin levels received invasive management

Introduction
An association between an increase in troponin level and plaque rupture, acute coronary obstruction, and reduced myocardial perfusion is well established. The troponin assay is recognised by American and European guidelines as the preferred biomarker for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. Over the past two decades, assays for troponin have undergone vast improvements, allowing fast detection of troponin at low levels with increased precision. These improved assays have led to a substantial increase in the number of patients with a positive troponin result of uncertain significance.

The troponin test has been used as a prognostic marker for all cause mortality in people suspected of having had a coronary event. Some evidence
suggests a direct relation between the magnitude of the increase in troponin and mortality over a limited range of troponin levels.\textsuperscript{11, 12} Clinicians generally assume that higher troponin levels signify higher mortality. Additionally, it is often difficult to know how to manage elderly people when a high troponin level is detected. This dilemma is partly due to the uncertain prognostic implication of a positive troponin result in older people and partly to a disinclination to investigate those in this group aggressively.

Decisions about the management of people with small increases in troponin levels, particularly if they have a non-cardiac presentation, can be difficult. It would be helpful to understand the prognostic implications of these small increases compared with larger increases when choosing treatment.

We describe how the prognostic impact of an increased troponin level varies with age, particularly in very elderly people, and investigate the prognostic importance of very small troponin levels compared with larger levels.

\section*{Methods}

The National Institute for Health Research Health Informatics Collaborative project was established to facilitate the re-use of routinely captured clinical data for translational research. We obtained data from five collaborating hospitals (Imperial College Healthcare, University College Hospital, Oxford University Hospital, King’s College Hospital, and Guys and St Thomas’ Hospital), all tertiary centres with emergency departments. The supplementary material shows the data acquisition and statistical analysis plan.

We enrolled patients who had troponin measured (n=257\,948) during the study period (2010-17). For those with more than one hospital episode of care during which troponin was measured during the study period, only the first episode was eligible. A total of 334,517 of the 257,948 patients (52.1\%) admitted to hospital had ICD-10 (international statistical classification of diseases, 10th revision) discharge codes. We classified patients as having had an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) on the basis of the assigned ICD-10 codes (supplementary table S1).\textsuperscript{13} All other patients, who between them had 3383 different ICD-10 primary diagnostic codes, were classified as not having had ACS. We individually reviewed those classified as not having had ACS with the top 10\% of ICD-10 codes and classified them into diagnostic groups. This top 10\% comprised 83.1\% of all diagnoses in the group of patients without ACS.

Invasive management was defined as having angiography within three months of the peak troponin level. We used a retrospective cohort study design, and followed up patients until death or censoring on 1 April 2017. Life status was ascertained using routinely collected data on the NHS Spine application, which is linked to the Office for National Statistics and thereby to the national registry of deaths.

The study dataset comprised patients who had their troponin level measured at each of the five academic centres between 2010 (2008 for University College Hospital) and 2017. Analyses on troponin were performed using the peak troponin level, which was the highest troponin level measured during the patient’s hospital stay. Supplementary figure S1 shows the distribution of the number of troponin measurements taken during the index hospital admission for each patient. For those with a single troponin measurement, we used the peak troponin value based on this measurement. For the other patients with more than one troponin test in the same hospital episode of care, we defined the peak troponin value as the highest of all the measurements. For those with multiple episodes of care during which troponin was tested, we used the first episode of care for analyses.

Each centre measured troponin I or troponin T using either contemporary or high sensitivity assays (supplementary table S2). These tests yielded results in different measurable ranges, with unique cut-off points for the 99th centile of the upper limit of normal (ULN).

In clinical practice, troponin levels are often dichotomised into positive (meaning above the ULN) or negative. Troponin levels may have a progressive relation with prognosis too. The shape of this relation is not known across the full spectrum of values, and thus making an assumption of a linear relation between mortality and troponin (or log troponin) might not be valid. For these reasons, we treated the data in four ways. Firstly, we standardised the results by using the ratio of the observed troponin value divided by the ULN for each troponin assay. Secondly, we dichotomised the results as either positive or negative based on the ULN for each troponin assay. Thirdly, we examined the relation between peak troponin level and mortality in a series of troponin level bands: <0.5, 0.5-1, >1-2, >2-5, >5-10, >10-100, >100-1000, and >1000×ULN. This allowed us to examine the association between mortality and troponin level without making an advance assumption. Participating hospitals gave numerical values to 0.5×ULN or lower. The statistical analysis plan was for the highest troponin band to be >10,000×ULN. Once the data were collected, however, it was noted that three of the assays had an upper cut-off point of <10,000×ULN. To avoid misclassifying patients with these results, we merged the >1000-10,000×ULN and >10,000×ULN bands to form the >1000×ULN band so that patients would be in the correct troponin group.

Fourthly, we used restricted cubic spline analyses to assess the relation between troponin level, on a continuous scale, and mortality.

\section*{Statistical analysis}

Descriptive statistics are displayed as medians (interquartile ranges) for continuous variables and numbers (percentages) for categorical variables. We compared the age distribution of patients for whom troponin was measured with that of the general population using the World Health Organization population estimates for the UK in 2015.\textsuperscript{14}
Cox proportional hazards regression modelling was used to analyse the association between progressively higher troponin levels and all cause mortality. Thus no assumptions were made about the shape of the relation. To assess the association of dichotomous troponin level (above ULN or not) with all cause mortality, we again used Cox proportional hazards regression modelling. The effect of a positive troponin result on mortality was assessed at three years, and a landmark analysis was performed at three months. Thus analyses were restricted to patients who survived to three months. Cumulative mortality was displayed using corresponding Kaplan-Meier plots.

For non-linear relations, we used restricted cubic spline Cox regression analyses to model the association between all cause mortality and troponin level. Log transformation was used because of the positive skew of troponin values. Preliminary investigation suggested that four knots should be used to model troponin level in the restricted cubic spline analyses. We adjusted the splines for patient age; sex; haemoglobin level; creatinine level; C reactive protein level; white cell count; platelet count; the number of troponin tests during the index hospital admission; family history of cardiovascular disease; current smoker; diabetes mellitus; hypertension; hypercholesterolaemia; heart failure; previous ischaemic heart disease; atrial fibrillation; aortic stenosis; chronic kidney disease; neoplasm; and obstructive lung disease. For restricted cubic spline analyses, a hazard ratio of 1 was the reference value.

Means are presented with 95% confidence intervals. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 24.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) or R 3.5.0 statistical package (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

### Table 1 | Characteristics of patients and according to whether troponin result was positive (higher than upper limit of normal) or negative. Values are medians (interquartile ranges) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cohort (n=257 948)</th>
<th>Troponin negative (n=180 239)</th>
<th>Troponin positive (n=77 709)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65 (50-79)</td>
<td>60 (66-75)</td>
<td>74 (62-84)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (% men)</td>
<td>142 718 (55.3)</td>
<td>95 551 (53.0)</td>
<td>47 167 (60.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (No (%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>147 321 (74.5)</td>
<td>103 907 (73.4)</td>
<td>43 414 (77.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>7169 (3.6)</td>
<td>4823 (3.4)</td>
<td>2346 (4.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>19 295 (10.1)</td>
<td>14 961 (10.6)</td>
<td>4964 (8.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>23 401 (11.1)</td>
<td>17 846 (12.7)</td>
<td>5455 (9.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematology/biochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>13.3 (11.9-14.6)</td>
<td>13.6 (12.3-14.7)</td>
<td>12.6 (10.9-14.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cell count (×10³/L)</td>
<td>8.3 (6.5-10.9)</td>
<td>8.0 (6.3-10.2)</td>
<td>9.5 (7.2-12.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count (×10³/L)</td>
<td>231 (188-280)</td>
<td>234 (194-281)</td>
<td>222 (174-278)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (µmol/L)</td>
<td>77 (65-96)</td>
<td>74 (63-89)</td>
<td>90 (71-126)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.7 (4.4-7.9)</td>
<td>5.3 (4.1-6.9)</td>
<td>7.2 (5.2-11.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative protein (mg/L)</td>
<td>6.0 (2.9-24.8)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.6-18.0)</td>
<td>14.0 (4.3-63.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.7 (5.3-7.3)</td>
<td>5.5 (4.9-6.8)</td>
<td>6.3 (5.3-8.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (mmol/mol)</td>
<td>43 (38-56)</td>
<td>37 (33-42)</td>
<td>38 (34-46)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.5 (3.7-5.4)</td>
<td>4.7 (3.9-5.5)</td>
<td>4.2 (3.4-5.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0-1.5)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0-1.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9-1.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9-1.9)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9-1.9)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9-1.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>55.0 (68.1-66.5)</td>
<td>60.0 (55.0-65.8)</td>
<td>55.0 (42.3-61.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVFS (%)</td>
<td>32.7 (26.5-38.0)</td>
<td>33.9 (28.8-39.0)</td>
<td>31.0 (23.4-37.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDd (cm)</td>
<td>4.7 (4.2-5.2)</td>
<td>4.6 (4.1-5.0)</td>
<td>4.8 (4.3-5.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDs (cm)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.7-3.6)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.6-3.4)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.7-3.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital episode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (% admitted to hospital)</td>
<td>134 517 (52.1)</td>
<td>80 327 (44.6)</td>
<td>54 190 (69.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (% with diagnosis of ACS</td>
<td>14 468 (5.6)</td>
<td>1647 (0.91)</td>
<td>12 821 (16.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVFS, left ventricular fractional shortening; LVEDd, left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVEFs, left ventricular end systolic diameter; ACS, acute coronary syndrome.

*Comparison between groups that did or did not have troponin measured during study period: Mann-Whitney U test used for continuous variables and χ² test used for categorical variables. Supplementary table S3 shows details of the proportion of data missing for each variable.

1Number admitted to hospital with an ICD-10 discharge code.
Patient and public involvement
This study involved the secondary use of existing data sources and did not include patients as study participants. No patients were involved in setting the research question, the study design, or the overall conduct of this study. Findings will be disseminated to a patient focus group to support discussions on how to develop the cardiovascular NIHR Health Informatics Collaborative theme. Supplementary appendix 2 provides a lay summary of the study.

Results
A total of 257948 patients had troponin levels measured during the study period (2010-17). Of 156410 (60.6%) patients admitted to hospital with ICD-10 diagnostic codes, 14468 had a recorded diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Figure 1 shows the flow of the study cohort.

For patients without a diagnosis of ACS, the top 10% of ICD-10 codes (ie, most frequently recorded) were reviewed and classified into diagnostic groups (supplementary table S4). Among these patients reviewed, the coded diagnosis was cardiovascular in 20.2%, non-cardiovascular in 37.7%, and a broad range of undiagnosed multisystem symptoms in the remaining patients. The most common cardiovascular diagnoses among all patients without ACS were chronic ischaemic heart disease (4.1%), atrial fibrillation or flutter (2.6%) and heart failure (2.4%). Pneumonia or lower respiratory tract infection (6.5%), gastrointestinal disorders (4.2%), and malignancy (3.7%) were the most common non-cardiovascular diagnoses in this cohort without ACS.

The median age was 65 years (interquartile range 50-79 years) and 142718 (55.3%) of patients were men (table 1). The age distribution of the 257948 patients in the cohort was compared with that of the general population using WHO population estimates for the UK in 2015 (fig 2). The relative rate of patients who had troponin measured increased approximately linearly with age, from age 20 to 60, and then increased exponentially by about 50% for each decade of age thereafter (fig 2).

The proportion of patients with a positive troponin result increased progressively with age (fig 2), from 9% in the 18-29 year age group to 50% in those older than 90. The median positive troponin value was 2280 times higher than the median negative troponin level, and this relation was largely invariant with age.

Over a median follow-up of 1198 days (interquartile range 514-1866 days), 55850 (21.7%) deaths occurred, 31112 (12.1%) in the first year. The Kaplan-Meier three year mortality rates in the entire cohort was 20%. Figure 2 shows the mortality rates for each age group.

Relation between troponin positivity, age, and mortality
A positive troponin result was associated with an overall 3.2-fold higher mortality hazard (95% confidence interval 3.1 to 3.2) than a negative
troponin level over three years in the entire study cohort (Kaplan-Meier plot, supplementary fig S2; baseline characteristics for the troponin positive and troponin negative groups, table 1). This effect was particularly strong for young patients (18-29 years), with a mortality hazard ratio of 10.6 (95% confidence interval 8.5 to 13.3). The effect declined progressively with age to a mortality hazard ratio of 1.5 (1.4 to 1.6) in those older than 90 (fig 3).

Figure 3 shows individual survival curves for troponin positive and negative groups for those aged 18-39, 40-79, and 80 or older. Supplementary figure S3 shows Kaplan-Meier survival curves for each age decade. In absolute terms, the increment in estimated three year mortality associated with troponin positivity ranged from 12.8 to 17.5 percentage points across all age groups, with a mean increment of 14.8 percentage points in all patients (fig 2). Most of the increase in mortality with a positive troponin result occurred within the first three months. Nevertheless, even when a landmark analysis was conducted at three months, a perceptible increase in mortality was found with a positive troponin result, which was present in all three age groups, and this grew steadily with time (supplementary fig S4).

Distortion of the troponin-mortality link
For all 257,948 patients, we tested for the expected progressive relation between higher troponin levels and higher mortality (fig 4). Although mortality increased progressively up to about >5-10×ULN, above this there was an unexpected progressive decline (fig 4).

Figure 4 shows the numbers of deaths in a series of time periods per 100 patients with an index troponin value in each of the troponin bands. The numbers are derived from the vertical increments in mortality from the Kaplan-Meier curves in figure 4. Those patients with a very high troponin level had high mortality up to one month later. Beyond that, mortality was similar to that of patients with troponin levels within the normal range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Hazard ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>30,269</td>
<td>12.9 (10.7 to 15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>42,217</td>
<td>10.6 (8.5 to 13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>43,122</td>
<td>8.5 (7.0 to 10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>47,322</td>
<td>6.5 (5.3 to 7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>44,315</td>
<td>4.5 (3.6 to 5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>17,027</td>
<td>3.5 (2.5 to 4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-89</td>
<td>11,687</td>
<td>2.5 (1.7 to 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥90</td>
<td>17,439</td>
<td>1.5 (1.4 to 1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig 3** | Hazard ratios for troponin positive versus troponin negative groups across different age bands for all patients and Kaplan-Meier mortality curves by troponin positivity in 18-39, 40-79, and ≥80 years age bands. Error bars denote upper 95% confidence interval.
Supplementary table S2 provides details of the different troponin assays used by the five contributing centres. The inverted U shaped relation was present across all troponin assays (supplementary fig S5) and was also seen when the first troponin level obtained during the index hospital admission was used.

Subgroup analyses were carried out on patients who were and were not admitted to hospital. The inverted U shaped relation was seen only in those who were admitted to hospital (n=156410) (fig 5), with a peak hazard ratio of 2.4 (95% confidence interval 2.3 to 2.4) at a peak troponin level of about 70×ULN. A direct positive relation was found between troponin level and hazard ratio across the full spectrum of troponin values for patients who were not admitted to hospital (n=101538) (supplementary fig S6). The Kaplan-Meier three year mortality rate was 14% in those not admitted to hospital, which was significantly lower than the rate of 23% seen in patients admitted to hospital. The numbers of patients not admitted to hospital in the >10, >10-100, >100-1000, and >1000×ULN troponin bands were 9349 (9.2%), 2209 (2.2%), 1042 (1%), and 380 (0.4%), respectively.

**Relation between troponin level and mortality stratified by ACS diagnosis and invasive management**

The inverted U shaped relation between troponin band and mortality was found in patients both with (n=14468) and without ACS (n=120049) (supplementary fig S7). In a multivariable model, adjusting for key personal and clinical factors, the inverted U shaped pattern between troponin level and mortality remained in patients with ACS (fig 6), but was a direct positive relation in those without ACS (fig 6).

---

**Fig 4** | Three year Kaplan-Meier cumulative mortality by troponin level for all patients, and deaths per 100 patients undergoing troponin testing during several time periods.
The rate of invasive management at three months was 67.7% (n=9793) in the 14468 patients with ACS and 6.4% (n=7651) in the 120049 patients without ACS. The time to revascularisation in patients with ACS who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting was 1.3 days (95% confidence interval 1.2 to 1.4) and 11.7 days (10.7 to 12.6), respectively. Among patients who did not undergo invasive management within three months of their first troponin measurement, 3.6% of the group with ACS and 1.5% of the group without ACS received it subsequently.

In patients with ACS, the rate of invasive management varied across the spectrum of troponin levels. The rate was only 39.4% for troponin levels <1×ULN and 54.3% for those between 1 and 10×ULN. Above 10×ULN, the rate of invasive management increased to more than 90% for troponin levels >1000×ULN (fig 7). After stratifying patients with ACS who were admitted to hospital according to whether or not they received invasive management, a restricted cubic spline Cox regression curve showed a progressive increase in mortality with higher troponin levels in the non-invasive management group, but this curve remained inverted in the invasive group (fig 7). Overall, invasive management was associated with lower hazard ratios than non-invasive management across all troponin levels in patients without ACS (supplementary fig S8).

Discussion
This study examines the implications of a raised troponin level in a large sample of patients undergoing troponin testing for any clinical reason across a wide range of ages. A raised troponin level is highly clinically meaningful, regardless of age, even if only slightly above normal. The inclusion of more than 250000 patients in this study allowed assessment of the prognostic power of troponin across a wide range of levels, and across the whole spectrum of ages. We found that even minor increases in troponin had major prognostic implications in patients both with and without acute coronary syndromes (ACS).

An unexpected inverted U shaped relation was found between troponin level and mortality in those patients admitted to hospital. For patients without ACS, multivariable adjustment led to reversion to the expected direct positive relation between troponin level and mortality. In the group with ACS, the inverted U shaped relation was present after multivariable adjustment in patients who were managed invasively, but reverted to a direct positive relation between troponin level and mortality in patients managed non-invasively.

Interpretation of troponin results in real life clinical practice, outside the confines of clinical trials, is often challenging. Troponin levels are measured in patients presenting to the emergency department, not only for chest pain but often for a wide variety of presentations. Consequently, sometimes the troponin test is positive in isolation, with no other indication to suggest myocardial ischaemia but with clear evidence of another diagnosis, such as pneumonia. In such situations, clinicians may decide to focus on treating the primary diagnosis and not pursue the possibility of incidental or secondary coronary artery disease. Evidence suggests considerable under-recognition in hospital patients of cardiovascular disease and other conditions leading to fatal myocardial infarction.

Additionally, relatively few finely stratified prognostic data are available in elderly patients. For such patients invasive treatment has not been clearly shown to lead to improved mortality compared with medical management. Because complication rates from procedures are higher in elderly patients, these patients are often managed conservatively.

Relation between troponin positivity, age, and mortality
We found that the hazard ratio for a positive troponin result attenuates markedly with age, from 10.6 in 18-29 year olds to only 1.5 in those older than 90. The UK Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP) registry found that a raised troponin level was associated with increased mortality in a selected cohort of patients with a diagnosis of ACS, including elderly patients.

Our study including all patients who had their troponin measured, showed that a raised level is additive to age in predicting mortality even in very elderly patients (≥90 years) and that young and middle age patients had much higher hazard ratios, particularly very young patients (18-29 years). Because of the high baseline risk in older patients, the absolute increment in three year mortality associated with a positive troponin result was remarkably consistent across all ages, at about 15 percentage points. This consistency is because the decline in hazard ratio with age is accompanied not by waning importance of troponin levels but by rising background mortality with age in people with troponin negative results. The absolute estimated three year mortality in people older than...
The extent of the association between troponin level and mortality in patients with ACS has not been previously explored using troponin on a continuous scale.3 11 20 21 Previous studies had sample sizes that were 35-fold to 170-fold smaller.3 11 20 21 These small numbers led to studies grouping patients with troponin values above about 5×ULN, or more than 2.5% of the maximum detected troponin level.3 11 20 21 The studies showed a relatively linear association between troponin level and mortality risk. Our study is large enough to allow analysis of high levels of troponin without grouping, across a population of patients who had troponin measured for clinical reasons.

Mortality increased from very low troponin levels to middle range levels, but above about 70×ULN a significant and progressive decline in mortality was seen in patients with a diagnosis of ACS. Patients with the very highest troponin levels had mortality comparable to those with normal troponin levels after the first month of follow-up. Possibly the paradoxical decline in mortality at very high troponin levels in patients with ACS may be due in part to a changing case mix as troponin levels increase. In addition, a higher proportion of patients with the highest troponin levels undergoes invasive management. In keeping with this, when we stratified patients with ACS according to invasive or non-invasive management, the inverted U shaped relation between troponin level and mortality remained inverted in the group receiving invasive management; however, it became a direct positive relation in the non-invasive group.

Overall, invasive management was associated with lower hazard ratios than non-invasive management in patients with ACS and raised troponin levels. One explanation for this is that patients with underlying disease that lends itself to revascularisation and patients who are fit enough to undergo invasive management have a lower mortality than other groups. Possibly, revascularisation has improved prognosis in this patient group. Angiography, followed by coronary revascularisation with percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting, when appropriate, improves clinical outcomes, including cardiovascular mortality in patients with ACS.22 Findings from our study may reflect a substantial improvement in prognosis conferred by revascularisation in appropriate patients.

Patients without ACS
This study presents troponin data on a large cohort of patients without a diagnosis of ACS. Previous smaller studies were unable to categorise the upper troponin levels more finely and reported a progressive association between troponin level and mortality in the lower troponin bands.11 12 23 A troponin rise in patients without ACS may be a marker of diminished organ level reserve as a result of global comorbidity rather than a specific pointer to unstable coronary disease. Consistent with this interpretation, one study reported acute myocardial infarction from obstructive coronary artery disease to be the cause of death in only 3% of such patients.11

Fig 6 | Multivariable adjusted spline of association between peak troponin level and the hazard ratio for all cause mortality in subgroups with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and those without ACS. Adjusted for patient age; sex; haemoglobin level; creatinine level; C reactive protein level; white cell count; platelet count; number of troponin tests during the index hospital admission; family history of cardiovascular disease; current smoker; diabetes mellitus; hypertension; hypercholesterolaemia; heart failure; previous ischaemic heart disease; atrial fibrillation; aortic stenosis; chronic kidney disease; neoplasm; and obstructive lung disease. The shaded area around the spline curves represents the 95% confidence interval. Both curves arise from a single restricted cubic spline analysis using ACS diagnosis as a stratifier. The reference for the hazard ratio was set at 1 in both subgroups. The probability distribution of troponin level in patients with or without ACS is displayed below the x axes. ACS=acute coronary syndrome; ULN=upper limit of normal

Distortion of the troponin-mortality link
The extent of the association between troponin level and mortality in patients with ACS has not been...
For patients without a diagnosis of ACS but with a raised troponin level, we were unable to distinguish acute from chronic myocardial injury accurately. This distinction is important, as the underlying disease mechanisms in acute and chronic myocardial injury are likely to differ.24 Both types of myocardial injury may occur in a variety of cardiac and non-cardiac illness (supplementary table S4). Our data and those of others suggest that many, but not all, patients without ACS but with an increased troponin level may not have unstable coronary artery disease.12 25 One disease might have precipitated the other, or they could be coincidental. Invasive management was associated with lower cumulative mortality across all troponin bands in patients without ACS. Despite this finding, there is currently no consensus on the best approach, whether non-invasively with imaging or invasively with coronary angiography, for establishing if patients without ACS but with raised troponin levels have underlying coronary artery disease.26

Limitations
This study benefits from having been conducted using real world clinical data from a large number of patients from multiple centres, but it has some limitations. The study was retrospective, with data extracted from electronic medical records and subject to the limitations of such an approach. Difficulties comprise the inability to account for all potential confounding factors, including the clinical factors prompting troponin measurement, such as patient symptoms or electrocardiogram findings, and drug treatment. Although our follow-up of survival was through the UK government's registry of deaths, this covers only people who continued to reside in the UK and not around 0.2% of people who emigrate each year.

The timing and frequency of troponin testing was clinically determined rather than standardised according to a trial protocol. Troponin is commonly measured in patients suspected of having ACS, but data on cardiac symptoms, such as chest pain or shortness of breath, were unavailable. Although we have no information on the reason for troponin measurement for individual patients, the study represents an important clinical subset because quite often we make decisions based on results of tests initiated by others. This study helps to inform a clinician receiving a troponin measurement result.

The five participating centres were all major acute centres with large emergency departments and cardiac units. Most patients have their troponin levels measured in an emergency department or acute medical ward, rather than a specialised cardiac unit. Despite this, there is still a potential for referral bias between those patients reviewed at the major acute care centres in our study and those seen in smaller district hospitals.

We had no information on whether receipt of evidence based cardiac care varied in different age groups. Finally, our study reported all cause mortality because this is incontrovertible and uniformly available. This study could not specify whether the cause of death was related to cardiac disease.

Conclusions
This study (TROP-RISK) used data from the contributing biomedical research centres in the NIHR Health Informatics Collaborative and presents data from more than a quarter of a million patients in routine clinical practice who undergo troponin measurement for clinical reasons. In young patients, a positive troponin result is associated with a very high mortality risk. Elderly patients have a very high mortality when the troponin level is negative, and even higher when the level is positive. A positive troponin result is therefore
highly clinically meaningful, regardless of age, with the excess mortality associated with a raised troponin level being heavily concentrated in the first few weeks.

The assembly of sufficiently large sets of real world clinical data can cast light on patterns of disease that are impossible to define adequately in a single centre study or multicentre trial. Our analysis uncovered an unexpected inverted U shaped relation between troponin level and mortality in patients with ACS. This relation may be driven in part by the changing case mix as the troponin level increases; a higher proportion of these patients undergo invasive management.

In a population of all patients in whom troponin is assessed for clinical reasons, this biomarker can risk stratify between high and low mortality risk for all age groups. Although even weakly raised troponin levels had marked prognostic significance, clinical decisions should depend on the underlying disease and not simply on the degree of increase in troponin.
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