GLOBAL ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF POPULATION-BASED BRCA1/BRCA2 MUTATION TESTING

Ranjit Manchanda^{1,2,3}*, Li Sun^{1,4}, Shreeya Patel¹, Janneke Wilschut⁵, Ana Carolina de Freitas Lopes⁶, Adam Brentnall⁷, Stephen Duffy⁷, Bin Cui⁸, Patricia Coelho de Soarez⁶, Zakir Husain⁹, John Hopper¹⁰, Zia Sadique⁴, Asima Mukopadhyay^{11,122}, Li Yang⁸, Hans Berkof⁵ and Rosa Legood⁴.

¹Centre for Experimental Cancer Medicine, Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London EC1M 6BQ, UK

²Department of Gynaecological Oncology, Barts Health NHS Trust, Royal London Hospital, London E1 1BB, UK

³MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, Institute of Clinical Trials & Methodology, Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London, UK

⁴Department of Health Services Research and Policy, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London WC1H 9SH, UK

⁵Department of Epidemiology and biostatistics, Amsterdam University Medical Centre ⁶Departamento de Medicina Preventiva, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil ⁷Centre for Cancer Prevention, Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London EC1M 6BQ, UK

⁸School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China

⁹Dept. of Humanities & Social Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India

¹⁰Centre for Epidemiology & Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population & Global Health, Faculty

of Medicine, Dentistry & Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010 Australia

¹¹Tata Medical Centre, Kolkata, India

¹²Northern Institute for Cancer Research, Newcastle University, UK

*Corresponding Author:

Dr Ranjit Manchanda MD, MRCOG, PhD Clinical Senior Lecturer, Consultant Gynaecological Oncologist Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University of London Room 4, Basement, Old Anatomy Building, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ Email- <u>r.manchanda@gmul.ac.uk</u>

ABSTRACT

Objectives:

To evaluate cost-effectiveness and population-impact of unselected population-based *BRCA*-testing compared to clinical-criteria/family-history(FH) based *BRCA*-testing across Lower-middle-income/LMIC (India), Upper-middle-income/UMIC (Brazil/China) and High-income-countries/HIC (US/UK/Netherlands) health-systems.

Methods:

Markov-modelling compared lifetime costs-&-effects of *BRCA1/BRCA2*-testing all general-population women ≥30years compared with clinical-criteria/FH-based testing. Analyses undertaken for UK/USA/Netherlands/China/Brazil/India using both health-system/payer and societal perspectives. All women ≥30years in the Population-Testing arm and only those fulfilling clinical/FH-criteria in the Clinical-Criteria/FH-based testing arm undergo *BRCA*-mutation testing. We collected primary-data on direct medical costs from China, Brazil, India. Costing-data were obtained from published NHS-reference-costs for the UK/Netherlands and published literature for USA. Future costs/health-effects discount-rate=3.5%. Parameter-uncertainty was explored using one-way and probabilistic-sensitivity-analyses. Specific health-economic cost-effectiveness threshold guidelines were used where available for UK=£20,000-£30,000; USA=\$50,000-\$100,000; Netherlands=€20,000-€50,000. Main-outcome=ICER/QALY. For comparison local currency values are converted to \$s using purchasing-power-parity factor.

Results:

From 'societal-perspective', population-based *BRCA*-testing is 'cost-saving' in HIC: UK-ICER=\$-3,508/QALY; USA-ICER=\$-1,327/QALY; Netherlands-ICER=\$-8,663/QALY. It is potentially Cost-effective in UMIC depending on willingness-to-pay thresholds chosen and genetic-testing costs. UMIC-ICERs are just above 1*GDP-threshold: China-ICER=\$20,988/QALY; Brazil-ICER=\$15,587/QALY. It becomes under 3*GDP threshold in India if *BRCA*-testing cost is \$148/test (ICER=\$19,676/QALY). From 'payer-perspective', population-based *BRCA*-testing is cost-effective in HIC: UK-ICER=\$24,101/QALY; USA-ICER=\$19,804/QALY; Netherlands-ICER=\$28,668/QALY. Results are sensitive to genetic-testing costs. Population-based *BRCA*-testing can prevent an additional 2319-to-2666 breast-cancers and 327-to-449 ovarian-cancers/million-women translating to tens-of-thousands more breast/ovarian-cancers prevented across the population.

Conclusions:

Population-based *BRCA*-testing is cost-effective in HIC and potentially in UMIC depending on the local willingness-to-pay thresholds. Genetic-testing costs need to fall further for LMIC cost-effectiveness. Population-testing can prevent tens-of-thousands more breast/ovarian-cancers than the current clinical strategy.