ABSTRACT - International Gynecologic Cancer Society (IGCS) – RIO Sept 2019

Category Breast

Title - SHOULD WE OFFER MULTI-GENE TESTING TO ALL PATIENTS WITH BREAST CANCER: A COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS

Li Sun (0000-0001-5569-7296)^{1,2}, Adam Brentnall³, Shreeya Patel^{1,2}, Diana S.M. Buist⁴, Erin J.A. Bowles⁴, D.Gareth R Evans (0000-0002-8482-5784)⁵, Diana Eccles (0000-0002-9935-3169)⁶, John Hopper⁷, Shuai Li⁷, Stephen Duffy³, Jack Cuzick³, Isabel dos-Santos-Silva⁸, Zia Sadique¹, Li Yang (0000-0003-0640-2003)⁹, Rosa Legood^{1 \oplus}, Ranjit Manchanda (0000-0003-3381-5057)^{2,10,11* \oplus}

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To estimate incremental lifetime-effects, costs, cost-effectiveness and population impact of multigene-testing all BC patients compared to current practice of family-history/clinical-criteria based genetic (*BRCA*)-testing.

Methods: Cost-effectiveness microsimulation modelling study comparing lifetime costs-&-effects of *BRCA1/BRCA2/PALB2* (multigene) testing all unselected BC-cases (Strategy-A) with family-history/clinical-criteria based *BRCA1/BRCA2*-testing (Strategy-B) in both UK and US populations. Data obtained from 11,836 population-based BC-patients (regardless of family-history) recruited to four large research studies in the UK (Predicting-Risk-of-Breast-Cancer-at-Screening (PROCAS: 1389 out of 57,000 women) & Prospective-Outcomes-in-Sporadic-versus-Hereditary-breast-cancer (POSH: 2885) studies); US (Kaiser-Permanente Washington Breast-Cancer-Surveillance-Consortium (BCSC) registry: 5892 out of 132,139 women) and Australia (Population-based BC-cases of the Australian-Breast-Cancer-Family-Study (ABCFS: 1670 women)). The main outcome measure was the incremental cost per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gained with a 3.5% annual discount. Parameter uncertainty was explored using one-way and probabilistic sensitivity analyses.

Results: Compared with current clinical-criteria/family-history-based *BRCA*-testing, (*BRCA1/BRCA2/PALB2*) multigene-testing for all BC-patients would cost £10,470/QALY (UK) or \$58,702/QALY (US) gained, well below UK/NICE and US cost-effectiveness thresholds of £30,000/QALY & \$100,000/QALY. Probabilistic sensitivity-analysis shows unselected multigene-testing remains cost-effective for 98% UK/ 77% US health-system simulations. One year's unselected panel-genetic testing can prevent 1,776 BC/OC-cases and 557 deaths in the UK; and 8,258 BC/OC-cases and 2,143 deaths in the US. Correspondingly, 7 UK/32 US excess heart-disease deaths occur annually.

Conclusions: Unselected multigene-testing for all BC patients is extremely cost-effective compared with family-history/clinical-criteria testing for UK and US health-systems. It prevents thousands more BC/OC cases and deaths. We recommend changing current policy to expand genetic-testing to all BC patients.