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ABSTRACT

This work reports the synthesis of ordered and vertically aligned iron oxide nanowires for photoelectrochemical (PEC) water oxidation. The nanowires exhibited promising PEC activity for water oxidation with saturated photocurrents of ~0.8 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs RHE. Various factors inevitably affect their photochemical activity such as crystallinity, morphology, compositional gradient, and surface states. They were studied with HRTEM, EELS and Raman shift techniques. The nanowires had complex compositional and morphological structures at the nano and atomic scales. The nanowires annealed at 350 °C had an outer shell dominated by Fe³⁺ cations, while the core had mixed oxidation
states of iron cations (+2 and +3). In contrast, nanowires annealed at 450 °C are fully oxidized with Fe$^{3+}$ cations only and were found to be more active. At the same time, we observed anisotropic compositional gradients of nickel cations inside of the iron oxide, originating from the nickel support film. Our work shows that the methodology used can affect the composition of the surface and near surface of the grown nanowires. It therefore points out the importance of a detailed analysis, in order to obtain a realistic structure-activity relationship in photo-electro-catalysis.

INTRODUCTION

Hematite (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$) has long been considered a promising material for photocatalytic water oxidation. An appropriate band gap (~2.0 – 2.2 eV) and valence band edge (~ 2.6 V vs normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) @ pH = 0) makes it an ideal candidate for this reaction. Yet, its poor charge transport primarily due to a small diffusion length hinders its activity. Ultra-fast dynamics of charges in the excited states in hematite thin films and single crystals have shown that their lifetime is in the order of a few picoseconds. 1,2 This
short lifetime and small diffusion length of $< 20$ nm $^3, 4$ have spurred the exploration of nanostructure effects for improving the photocatalytic activity of hematite. Colloidal $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles ($\sim 60$ nm) have been shown to have much better photocatalytic performance than single crystals or sintered powder. $^5$-$^8$ However, colloidal particles/nanopowders cannot split water, as $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ needs a small bias voltage for overall water splitting, because its conduction band edge is below the hydrogen reduction potential. A more practical approach to water splitting is to use a photo-electro-chemical (PEC) cell with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as the photoanode, so the needed bias can be applied for overall water splitting. In that respect, thin films of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ ($< 20$ nm thick) have been studied $^6$ and found to be impractical, due to their poor absorptivity. Thicker but porous films were also prepared and tested, showing low activity with an Incident Photon to Current Efficiency (IPCE) around 1%. $^7$ The higher quantum efficiency of similar particles, when dispersed in an electrolyte, indicated that boundaries between the particles had a negative effect on charge carrier recombination rates, and thus resulted in poor activity. $^8$

A promising solution avoiding the problem of grain boundaries in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ films is the use of nanowires.
Various techniques have been used to synthesize crystalline α-Fe₂O₃ nanowires (to circumvent some of the above shortfalls) for photocatalytic applications such as hydrothermal synthesis, heating iron foils and spray pyrolysis. However, these techniques do not have a good control over size or density of the nanowire array. Among the various methods for fabrication of nanowires, the anodized aluminum oxide (AAO) template-assisted electrodeposition technique has been widely used in the preparation of a wide range of metallic, semiconductor, and polymeric nanowires. Using this method, it is easier to control the size, shape, and structural properties of nanowires through the modification of templates and electrodeposition conditions, making it an attractive option for many applications. Moreover, the high density and the ordered structure of nanowires, when using the AAO method, has several other advantages, including light trapping by photonic crystals, for example. Nevertheless, there have been limited studies on the synthesis of iron oxide nanowires using AAO templates for photocatalytic applications. The best reported iron oxide (without doping) nanowires activity made using AAO templates had a saturation photocurrent of ~ 1.0-1.5 mA cm⁻² @ 1.23 V vs reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). In this work, we have grown iron oxide
nanowires using AAO templates, tested their PEC activity and studied the various factors
poised to affect their photochemical activity including morphology, compositional
gradient, and surface states using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Raman
spectroscopy, scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) and Electron Energy
Loss Spectroscopy (EELS).

METHODS

Porous alumina templates were fabricated by mild anodization of aluminum in an
aqueous oxalic acid electrolyte, as seen in Figure 1a. On one side of the template, a
100 nm layer of gold was sputtered (Figure 1b) to act as electrode for the subsequent
electrodeposition, which was carried out galvanostatically with a (60 g L\(^{-1}\)) iron sulfate
(\(\text{FeSO}_4\cdot7\text{H}_2\text{O}\)), (10 g L\(^{-1}\)) boric acid (\(\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3\)) and (10 g L\(^{-1}\)) ascorbic acid (\(\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_6\))
aqueous electrolyte (Figure 1c). This resulted in the formation of cylindrical iron (Fe)
nanowires inside of the template. After this, a layer of nickel (Ni) was electroplated on the
back side of the template, i.e. on top of the sputtered gold (Figure 1d), using a Watts
solution containing (300 g L\(^{-1}\)) nickel sulfate (\(\text{NiSO}_4\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}\)), (45 g L\(^{-1}\)) nickel chloride
(NiCl₂·6H₂O) and (40 g L⁻¹) boric acid (H₃BO₃). This Ni layer, with a linear temperature expansion coefficient of ~ 13.0 x 10⁻⁶ m (m K)⁻¹, matches closely the one of gold ~ 14.0 x 10⁻⁶ m (m K)⁻¹ and iron ~ 13.0 x 10⁻⁶ m (m K)⁻¹, working as mechanical support.

Figure 1. A schematic description of the synthesis process of iron oxide nanowires.

Starting from an alumina template (a), a thin gold layer was sputtered to serve as electrode (b) for a subsequent iron electroplating (c). Nickel was electroplated over the gold electrode (d) as mechanical support for the nanowires. After dissolution of the template, free-standing nanowires were obtained (e) and annealed (f) to get iron oxide nanowires.

After that, the template was etched in an aqueous solution of (18 g L⁻¹) chromium trioxide (CrO₃) and (57.6 g L⁻¹) phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄), leaving the bare wires standing.
perpendicular to the surface (Figure 1e). Finally, the bare Fe nanowires were annealed at different temperatures of 250, 350, 450 and 550 °C in air (for 2 hours) for which a heat ramp of 2 °C min⁻¹ was used (Figure 1f). The samples were left to cool down inside the closed oven, until the temperature was below 40 °C.

For morphological characterization (diameter, height, etc.), SEM images with similar magnification were obtained in a FEI Nova Nano SEM with a 5 kV acceleration voltage and 2.5 spot size. All samples were imaged from the top and at a 30° tilt angle.

Elemental analysis of isolated nanowires was performed with a dedicated scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) at an energy of 300 kV, equipped with a post-column high-resolution Gatan energy loss spectrometer. The single spectra of Fe L and O K edges were also acquired with an energy resolution of 0.18 eV, from 400 to 900 eV. The EELS images were recorded with a 1.5 nm scan step and a 1 s exposure time. For the cross-section preparation of the nanowires, a focused ion beam “lift-out” protocol was used. ²⁶

Raman analysis of the samples was performed using a Thermo Scientific™ DXR™ Raman spectrometer with a 532 nm wavelength, 3 mW power, 0.7 mm spot size laser,
an aperture opening of 50 mm and a grating of 900 lines per mm. The samples were analyzed from 100 to 2000 cm\(^{-1}\) and averaged over 16 scans from different points in the sample.

The PEC testing of iron oxide nanowires was carried out using a multi-channel BioLogic VSP-300 potentiostat in a three-electrode setup. The iron oxide nanowires were used as the working electrode, a platinum (Pt) mesh as a counter electrode and silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) as a reference electrode. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed with a sweeping voltage @ 20 mV s\(^{-1}\). The iron oxide photoanodes were prepared by connecting a copper foil to the Au film below the nanowires. Since the alumina templates are grown with non-anodized aluminum as a frame, the sample was covered and sealed in epoxy so that only the iron oxide nanowires were exposed to the electrolyte. The potential of the working electrode (iron oxide nanowires) is reported against the RHE by the Nernstian equation: 

\[
V_{RHE} = V_{AgCl} + 0.059 \, pH + V^0_{AgCl}, \text{ with } V^0_{AgCl} = 0.197 \, V \text{ at room temperature.}^{27}
\]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Figure 2(a-d) shows the top view SEM images of the as-prepared bare Fe nanowires with
comparable magnification. An average diameter of \( \sim 60 \) nm and density of \( 10^{10} \) nanowires per
cm² was measured using imageJ software. After annealing in air at 250°C, 350°C, 450°C or
550°C for two hours (Figure 2(e-h), respectively), the diameter increased while the height decreased logarithmically as seen in Figure 2(m) and (n). By estimating, the volumes of representative wires as cylinders from each sample, a nearly two-fold volume expansion was found.

Figure 2. SEM images of iron oxide nanowire arrays. Top view of as-prepared bare nanowires (a-d) and bare nanowires annealed in air at 250°C (e), 350°C (f), 450°C (g), and 550°C (h) for two hours. Tilted view of annealed bare nanowires (i-l). Diameter (m) and height (n) evolution for samples annealed at different temperatures.
for the samples annealed at 350°C and 450°C. This effective doubling of the volume is consistent with the calculated Pilling–Bedworth ratio (P–B ratio) which is ~ 2.1 for magnetite and 2.14 for hematite. It is important to note that, as will be seen below, this volume expansion could be misleading in assigning the iron oxide phase and that the diameter of the iron oxide nanowires annealed at 350°C and 450°C is much higher than the diffusion length of α-Fe₂O₃ (~ 20 nm). The sample annealed at 550°C (Figure 2(h, l)) is sintered, i.e., the nanowires have lost most of their cylindrical shape and are in contact with their neighbors.

The PEC activity of the iron oxide nanowires was evaluated using linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) in a three-electrode setup without uncompensated resistance correction. The three-electrode setup consists of a Pt counter electrode and a standard Ag/AgCl reference electrode in 1.0 M KOH (pH ~ 14) electrolyte. The sample annealed at 250°C did not show any photo response, while the sample annealed at 550°C was not considered for testing, due to sintering, as seen in Figure 2. The LSV curves shown in Figure 3 reveal an onset potential around 1.0 V and 0.8 V vs RHE for samples annealed
at 350 °C and 450 °C, respectively. This is higher than the flat band potential of α-Fe₂O₃, which is around 0.4-0.6 V vs RHE. ³⁰,³¹ This higher onset potential needed for α-Fe₂O₃ is attributed to the recombination of bulk hematite electrons with holes at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface. ³⁰ At low anodic bias, the energetic barrier generated by the space charge layer is not strong enough to prevent this recombination and a stronger anodic bias (~ 500 mV anodic of flat band) is needed. Because of this, water oxidation primarily happens at higher potentials (around 0.8 – 1.0 V vs RHE) than hematite’s flatband potential, even for the single crystal electrodes. ³² The samples annealed at 350 °C have a lower activity (around 40% less at 1.23 V vs RHE) than the samples annealed at 450 °C. A saturated photocurrent of about 0.8 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V vs RHE for the 450 °C sample is found, which is similar to the activity reported for iron oxide single crystals and powders. ⁷,⁹,¹⁰,³² Nonetheless, it is lower than some other forms of nanostructured iron oxide made using CVD. ²⁷ Although it is difficult to compare PEC activity as it depends on many factors (e.g. crystallinity, morphology, doping, light flux, co-catalyst and electrolyte pH), the reader may find a short summary of reported
photocurrent values at 1.23 V vs RHE of undoped iron oxide nanostructures without any co-catalysts in supplementary Table S1.

Figure 3. Linear sweep voltammetry of iron oxide nanowires annealed in air at 350°C and 450°C for 2 hours. Experimental conditions: Three-electrode system in 1M KOH (pH 14) under 1.5 suns illumination and a Pt counter electrode for hydrogen evolution reaction.

To elucidate the crystalline phase obtained after the annealing process, Raman spectroscopy was conducted, as shown in Figure 4. Table 1 lists the reported Raman vibrational modes expected from iron oxides. The spectra for samples annealed at 350, 450 and 550°C have peaks at 224, 244, 292, 410, 497, 611 and 1318 cm⁻¹, which can
be assigned to the α-Fe₂O₃ crystallographic phase. These peaks have been marked with solid circles in Figure 4. The peaks at 224 and 497 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the A₁g mode, while all other peaks at 244, 292, 410 and 611 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the E₉g mode. The peak at 656 cm⁻¹ corresponds to a secondary phase; it may be Fe₃O₄, FeO, or γ-Fe₂O₃, since all three compounds exhibit a peak around 658 cm⁻¹. However, γ-Fe₂O₃ has a doublet at 667 and 718 cm⁻¹. Moreover, as FeO and γ-Fe₂O₃ are not stable at room temperature; they are unlikely to be present in the samples. Hence, the peak
at 656 cm$^{-1}$ is probably due to Fe$_3$O$_4$. At 250 °C annealing temperature, only the Fe$_3$O$_4$ phase is detected. The peak at 1320 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to the 2E$_u$ (LO) mode and originates from a two-phonon scattering that is known to be resonantly enhanced. With increasing temperatures, a decrease in the Fe$_3$O$_4$ peak and the appearance followed by increase in intensities of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ vibrational modes is observed.

| Table 1. Identification of Raman peaks in iron oxide |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Peaks [cm$^{-1}$] | Crystallographic phase | Raman active phonon mode $^{35-37}$ |
| 224             | α-Fe$_2$O$_3$    | A$_{1g}$     |
| 244             | α-Fe$_2$O$_3$    | E$_g$        |
| 292             | α-Fe$_2$O$_3$    | E$_g$        |
| 409             | α-Fe$_2$O$_3$    | E$_g$        |
| 497             | α-Fe$_2$O$_3$    | A$_{1g}$     |
| 611             | α-Fe$_2$O$_3$    | E$_g$        |
To understand the oxidation process, a single nanowire annealed at 350°C was studied with a combination of STEM and EELS. High-resolution electron-energy loss near-edge fine structure is known to provide information on the local structure and bonding. 

**Figure 5.** Cross section of a nanowire: (a) High angle annular dark field STEM image and compositional mappings of oxygen (b), iron (c) and their superposition (d) obtained with monochromatic electron energy loss spectroscopy of the cross section of a nanowire annealed at 350°C (e) Electron Energy Loss Spectra at the oxygen K-edge (inset) and the 5a shows a High Angle Annular Dark Field (HAADF) cross-sectional STEM image of oxidized Fe nanowires, prepared by a focused ion beam process in which the nanowire section is shown in dark gray encircled with the dashed dark blue line. Platinum can be
seen in light gray on the upper part of the subfigure (covering the nanowire) and the silicon oxide substrate is shown at the bottom. The atomic composition in the sample is studied by filtering electrons with a specific energy loss. In Figure 5b, from the oxygen (O) K-edge compositional mapping, it is clear that oxygen is present throughout the cross-section indicating complete oxidation of the nanowire core. Figure 5c shows the Fe L-edge compositional mapping and Figure 5d the superposition of Figure 5b and c, revealing a fully oxidized nanowire in iron oxide state. The green circle around the nanowires in Figure 5d shows that the surface of the wire is oxygen-dominated as it appears also from the silicon oxide substrate in Figure 5b.

Figure 5e shows the Fe L$_{2,3}$ edge spectra and O K-edge spectra (inset) taken from the dashed square in Figure 5a. The EELS fine structure of the O K (~ 530 eV) and the Fe L$_{2,3}$ (~710 eV) energy edges can be used to identify a specific iron oxide phase. The O K spectrum has features labeled as (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) in the inset of Figure 5e. The pre-peak (i), located around 530 eV can be interpreted as electronic transitions from the O 1s core state to the unoccupied states of O 2p hybridized with the Fe 3d states. The (ii), (iii) and (iv) peaks are due to the transition of the O 1s electrons to O 2p unoccupied
states hybridized with Fe unoccupied 4s and 4p states. Peak (ii) is the dominant peak around 540 eV which remains rather similar for FeO, Fe$_3$O$_4$, γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$, and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Peak (iii) is very weak and peak (iv) is a rather broad peak and without any characteristic profile.

The relative peak position and intensity ratio of pre-peak (i) to peak (ii) can be used to identify the oxide phase. From the inset of Figure 5e, the relative position of peak (i), relative to (ii) is 10.04 eV, which would classify the phase as Fe$_3$O$_4$ (magnetite), according to Colliex et al. The intensity ratio of peak (i) with respect to (ii) was found to be ~0.66 which is similar to what was reported by Wang et al. for pure Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocrystals.

The Fe L$_{2,3}$ edges can be used to identify the specific iron oxide phase. The intense peaks (white lines) on the Fe L$_{2,3}$ edges are due to strong 2p$^6$3d$^n$ $\rightarrow$ 2p$^5$3d$^{n+1}$ excitations (n=5 for Fe$^{3+}$ and n=6 for Fe$^{2+}$) and are labeled as L$_3$ (~709 eV) and L$_2$ (~722 eV).

The valence state of Fe can be determined from the following three aspects of the Fe L$_{2,3}$ EELS fine structure: chemical shift (the energy edge position), fine structural features (splitting of the peaks), and the white-line ratios of the Fe L$_2$ and Fe L$_3$ spectra. The separation of the L$_3$ and the L$_2$ maxima due to the spin-orbit splitting was reported as 13 ± 0.3 eV in all iron oxides therefore, this separation can be used for calibration.
purposes only. However, the white-line intensity ratio $I(L_3)/I(L_2)$ in the 3d Fe is valence dependent; therefore, this ratio can be used to distinguish between different iron oxide phases. The reported $L_3/L_2$ ratios have a dependence on the origin of the samples and data analysis method, and vary slightly in different studies. For example, Colliex et al.\textsuperscript{38} reported that these ratios range from 3.9 to 4.6 for FeO, 4.2 to 5.3 for Fe$_3$O$_4$ and from 4.8 to 6.5 for $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, depending on the intensity analysis method. Cavé et al.\textsuperscript{41} reported $L_3/L_2$ ratios to be $\sim$ 5.0 for Fe$_3$O$_4$ and 5.7 for $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Gunay et al.\textsuperscript{42} also observed $L_3/L_2$ ratios of $\sim$ 4.2 for FeO, 4.5-5.3 for Fe$_3$O$_4$ and 5.5-6.9 for $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Regardless of the analysis method, their ratio increases with increasing Fe valence state.\textsuperscript{42} The Fe L$_2$ and L$_3$ peak maxima from the core of the nanowire are at 722 eV and 709 eV, respectively, as shown in Figure 5e. This energy difference of $\sim$ 13.0 eV is identical to reported values for various iron oxide phases.\textsuperscript{38–42} The calculated white-line ratio of the Fe L$_2$ and Fe L$_3$ edge was $\sim$ 5.0, indicating the core of the nanowires annealed at 350$^\circ$C has Fe in mixed oxidation state (+2 and +3) or in other words iron oxide is probably in the Fe$_3$O$_4$ phase.\textsuperscript{43}
To further analyze the oxidation process, we performed side view EELS of the nanowires annealed at 350°C and 450°C. The EELS spectra were analyzed in regions ranging from their edge (boxes 1 and 4) to their center, (boxes 3 and 6), as shown in Figure 6a and b. The Fe L-edge spectra can be found in supporting Figure S1 for all boxes. The Fe L-edge white line ratios were calculated and their results are plotted in Figure 6c. Based on changes in the Fe L-edge ratios it appears that the edge of both nanowires annealed at 350°C and 450°C is dominated by Fe^{3+} cations i.e. the outer shell is completely oxidized as indicated by $L_3/L_2$ ratio of $\sim$ 5.3 and 5.2, respectively. In case of

![Figure 6. HAADF STEM images of released nanowires annealed at 350 oC (a) and 450 oC (b). The inset boxes represent areas, where EELS spectra were taken from. (c) White line ratios of the iron L-edge calculated from the spectra extracted from the inset boxes (x-axis). Raw data can be found in supplementary Figure S1.](image-url)
the sample annealed at 350°C, when moving towards the center the L3/L2 ratio decreases suggesting decrease in the overall Fe valence state from +3 to +2; in line with the interpretation of data reported in Figure 5. In contrast, the nanowire annealed at 450°C is fully oxidized with an overall L3/L2 ratio of ~5.7 in the center. The difference in Fe valence state in boxes 3 and 6 shows the different rates at which oxygen diffuses inwards with different annealing temperatures. At annealing temperatures of 450°C fully oxidized nanowires are obtained in α-Fe2O3 phase (Fe:+3 oxidation state), while at 350°C the shell is composed of an α-Fe2O3 phase (Fe:+3) and the core is of Fe3O4 phase (two Fe in +3 and one Fe in +2 oxidation states). This could explain the lower PEC activity of the nanowires annealed at 350°C compared to those annealed at 450°C \(^{31,44-46}\), and the increased diffuse reflectance of a more oxidized structure as it approaches transparency at wavelength energies below the α-Fe2O3 bandgap (~2.2 eV, ~560 nm). \(^{47}\) (see supplementary Figure S3).
Figure 7 presents side views of compositional energy-filtered mappings obtained by EELS from nanowires annealed at 350°C (7a) and 450°C (7b) with the bottom end of the nanowire at the left side of each inset. The top left is a high angle annular dark field image with the energy filtered mappings of oxygen, iron, nickel and chromium below, and the

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Compositional maps of nanowires annealed at 350 oC (a) and 450 oC (b) with their bottom end at the left-hand side (growing direction from left to right side). The top left corresponds to the HAADF STEM image. Below, the gray-scale energy filtered mappings of oxygen, iron, nickel and chromium are shown. The top right image is a colored superposition of iron and oxygen mappings only.

The top right is a colored superposition of iron and oxygen only. As mentioned in the methods section, a Ni film is used as a mechanical support for the vertically standing nanowires and a solution of chromium trioxide (CrO₃) in phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄), was used to etch
the alumina template. The bright grey spots on each image represent the respective

elements. From Figure 7, we can make several observations. Firstly, the oxygen mapping

image indicates that the oxygen concentration at the core of the nanowire annealed at

450°C is higher than the one annealed at 350°C. This is in line with the discussion of

Figure 5 and Figure 6. Secondly, the Fe mapping image suggests that upon annealing,

Fe at the bottom of the nanowire expands to the sides. This could be explained by the

outward diffusion of Fe ions, since the growth of the oxide layer on Fe happens at the

oxide-gas interface following the Cabrera-Mott theory 48,49 and the inwards diffusion of Ni.

During the oxidation of iron, the Fe outward diffusion is the dominant mass transport

process. 49 In Fe nanostructures, this leads to formation of voids at the metal/metal oxide

interface, even at room temperature 49,50 allowing the formation of hollow structures. This

is associated with the Kirkendall effect 51,52 by which the outward diffusion rate of Fe is

much larger than the inward diffusion rate of oxygen. This has been observed for both Fe

nanoparticles and nanowires. 49–51 At the same time high temperature (>700 K) oxidation

leads to shrinkage and collapse of the nanostructures due to growth and increase of voids
which could explain the shrinkage and collapse of the Fe nanowires annealed at 550°C as observed in Figure 2 h & l.

Figure 7 also shows the diffusion on nickel into the nanowires from the bottom, through the porous gold layer (see supplementary Figure S2). The diffusion coefficient (D) in solids at different temperatures is generally well predicted by the Arrhenius equation. If we consider that Ni ions are diffusing in three dimensions, then using Einstein's approximation we can approximate the time it takes to diffuse an average distance in one dimension using:

\[ t = \frac{x^2}{2D} \]  

where \( x \) is the mean distance traveled by the diffusing ion along one axis after an elapsed time \( t \). Using an annealing time of 2 hours (7200 s) we calculated the diffusion coefficient of Ni along the length of the nanowires (\( x \sim 260 \text{ nm} \)) using equation (2) as 4.69 nm² s⁻¹ or 4.69 x 10⁻¹⁸ m² s⁻¹. Comparable values can be found for the diffusion of Ni in other iron oxides and pure iron phases. Nickel cation diffusion in bulk magnetite has been reported by Eveno et. al. as:


\[ D = 4.32 \times 10^{-6} \exp \left(-\frac{196000}{RT}\right) \]  

At annealing temperatures of 450°C (723 K), equation (2) gives \( D = 3 \times 10^{-20} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \).

Hirano et. al. \textsuperscript{55} measured nickel diffusion into ferromagnetic alpha-iron as:

\[ D = 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \exp \left(-\frac{245600}{RT}\right) \]  

At annealing temperatures of 450°C (723 K), equation (3) gives \( D = 2.54 \times 10^{-22} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \).

Thus, it is clear that Ni diffusion into the iron oxide nanowires is faster than in bulk systems. This is probably due to the formation of voids and the porous nature of the iron oxide nanowires during annealing as discussed above. Lastly, we also see the presence of chromium on the surface of the nanowires coming from the etching solution.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have synthesized ordered iron oxide nanowires suitable for photoelectrochemical (PEC) water oxidation. Iron nanowires were synthesized using electrodeposition of iron cations in AAO templates, using a Au film as electrode on the bottom side and a Ni film for mechanical support. After removing the alumina template, an ordered array of free-standing iron nanowires was obtained on top of the Au/Ni substrate, a structure that may
serve different applications. Then, the nanowires were converted to iron oxide by annealing at temperatures above 350°C in air. This caused doubling of the volume, in line with the expected Pilling–Bedworth ratio for Fe$_3$O$_4$ and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Raman spectroscopy also indicated that increasing the annealing temperature led to an increase of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ signal and a decrease of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ signal. STEM combined with EELS further elucidated the oxidation process. At annealing temperatures of 450°C, the nanowires were completely oxidized in α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase, while at 350°C it displayed a core-shell structure with a shell made of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and a core composed predominantly of Fe$_3$O$_4$. The samples annealed at 350°C have a lower PEC activity (~ 40% less) than the samples annealed at 450°C. The PEC activity (0.8 mA cm$^{-2}$ at 1.23 V vs RHE) for the 450 °C sample is similar to the activity reported for iron oxide single crystals and powders even though, upon annealing, nickel from the mechanical support film diffuses into the iron oxide nanowires. This takes place much faster compared to bulk systems and can be explained because of the formation of voids in iron nanostructures during annealing. This also contributes towards the doubling in volume mentioned before and should be taken into account when evaluating the morphology of metal oxide electrodes, regardless of the nickel diffusion.
This nickel diffusion into the voids of iron oxide nanostructures during annealing could be used as nanostructure alloying for different applications which, we have shown, differs from bulk. Lastly, we also see the presence of chromium on the surface of the nanowires coming from the etching solution. Whether the nickel and chromium affect the PEC activity positively or negatively, is yet to be understood.
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