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Abstract

Purpose: To describe the distribution of corneal hysteresis (CH) in a large cohort and explore its associated factors and possible clinical applications.

Design: Cross-sectional study within the UK Biobank, a large cohort study in the United Kingdom.

Participants: We analyzed CH data from 93,345 eligible participants in the UK Biobank cohort, aged 40 to 69 years.

Methods: All analyses were performed using left eye data. Linear regression models were used to evaluate associations between CH and demographic, lifestyle, ocular and systemic variables. Piecewise logistic regression models were used to explore the relationship between self-reported glaucoma and CH.

Main outcome measures: CH (mmHg).

Results: The mean CH was 10.6 mmHg (10.4 mmHg in males and 10.8 mmHg in females). After adjusting for covariates, CH was significantly negatively associated with male sex, age, Black ethnicity, self-reported glaucoma, diastolic blood pressure and height. CH was significantly positively associated with smoking, hyperopia, diabetes, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), greater deprivation (Townsend index) and Goldmann-correlated intraocular pressure (IOPg). Self-reported glaucoma and CH were significantly associated when CH was less than 10.1 mmHg (OR 0.86, 95%CI 0.79-0.94 per mmHg CH increase) after adjusting for covariates. When CH exceeded 10.1 mmHg, there was no significant association between CH and self-reported glaucoma.

Conclusion: In our analyses, CH was significantly associated with factors including age, sex and ethnicity which should be taken into account when interpreting CH values. In our cohort, lower CH was significantly associated with a higher prevalence of self-reported glaucoma when CH was less
than 10.1mmHg. CH may serve as a biomarker aiding glaucoma case detection.
It is well recognized that variation in central corneal thickness (CCT) influences the accuracy of intraocular pressure (IOP) measurements. It has also been hypothesized that CCT independently influences the risk of glaucoma, with thin CCT evidenced in those at highest risk. However, this view is not universally accepted, as one particular high-risk group (African Americans) typically have thinner CCT than people of European heritage. A plausible alternative explanation is that thin CCT is a biomarker for race, and identifies those at highest risk, attributable to other ocular or systemic factors.

Corneal hysteresis (CH) offers an alternative index of corneal biomechanical characteristics to CCT and reflects the viscoelastic damping effect of corneal tissues, defined as the difference in air pulse pressure between inward and outward applanation forces. Recent evidence indicates CH can also provide valuable information related to the presence, progression and response to therapy of glaucoma. CH can be measured simultaneously with IOP using non-contact tonometry with augmented functionality. Differences in CH have been reported not only in glaucoma but also in many systemic diseases including thyroid eye disease, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, acromegaly and myotonic dystrophy, which suggests CH may play a clinical role in fields other than ophthalmology. Previous studies on CH are limited by small sample sizes. The distribution of CH and its associations with demographic, ocular and systemic variables remain to be accurately determined and confirmed in a large sample.

The UK Biobank is one of the largest prospective population cohort studies in the world. In this study, we aimed to report the distribution of CH by age, sex and ethnicity, and explore its associations including the relationship between CH and self-reported glaucoma. We also tested the association between CH and 16 self-reported diseases selected based on existing literature.
Methods

Study population

The UK Biobank is a multisite community-based cohort study with 502,544 participants. All UK residents aged 40 to 69 who registered with the National Health Service and lived within 25 miles of any of the 22 assessment centers were invited to join the study. The initial visit assessments took place between 2006 and 2010. Eye assessments were carried out from 2009 in 6 recruitment centers (5 in England and 1 in Wales) which enrolled 133,953 participants. The UK Biobank study was approved by the North West Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee (Reference No. 06/MRE08/65) and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written consent was obtained from every participant. More detailed information and protocols for UK Biobank are available online (http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/).

Ethnicity was self-reported by participants and selected from White, Asian, Black, Chinese, mixed and other ethnic backgrounds. Socioeconomic status was derived using the Townsend deprivation index estimated using residence postcodes. This represents an indicative measure of economic deprivation in an area and higher scores indicate worse socioeconomic status.

Measurements

Cohort characteristics and ophthalmic measures have been previous described. Visual acuity was measured using a bespoke computerized logMAR acuity measure conforming to British Standard BS4274-1968, with left eye following right eye. Autorefraction was performed with the RC5000 Auto Refkeratometer (Tomey, Japan). After measuring visual acuity and refraction, CH and Goldmann-correlated IOP (IOPg) were measured with the Reichert Ocular Response Analyser (ORA, Reichert, Inc. USA) according to a predetermined protocol (available online).
Participants who had any eye surgery within the preceding 4 weeks were excluded from tests. The measurements were performed first in the right eye and taken only once in each eye. If participants blinked during the test a further measurement was attempted.

Blood pressure was measured with an automatic blood pressure monitor, HEM-70151T (Omron, The Netherlands). Two measurements were performed for each participant and the average was used for analysis if the values of both were available. Height was measured with the Seca 202 instrument (Seca, UK).

**Medical History**

All diseases were self-reported by participants via verbal interviews conducted by trained nurses or via touchscreen questionnaires. Self-reported eye disorder(s) status was collected in the verbal interview or was selected by participants from a list of eye disorders in response to the question “Has a doctor told you that you have any of the following problems with your eyes?” The list of eye disorders was:

1. Diabetes related eye disease
2. Glaucoma
3. Injury or trauma resulting in loss of vision
4. Cataract
5. Macular degeneration
6. Other serious eye condition
7. None of the above
8. Prefer not to answer
90. Do not know

91 Smoking and alcohol consumption were self-reported via touchscreen questionnaires. Smoking
92 status was trichotomized for the purpose of analysis to current smokers, ex-smokers and those that
93 have never smoked. Alcohol consumption was pentachotomized to daily/almost daily, weekly or
94 more often, monthly or more often, occasional and never. The use of IOP lowering medications
95 was recorded by trained interviewers. Only currently and regularly used ones were recorded. IOP
96 lowering medication status was dichotomized to user and non-user for analysis.
97 More detailed information about all variables is available online
98 (http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/index.cgi).

99 Eligibility criteria

100 All participants who had available ORA data (CH and IOPg) in the left eye were used for this
101 analysis. Participants who met any exclusion criteria in Figure 1 were excluded from the analyses.
102 0.5% of participants who were younger than 40 or older than 69 years were excluded based on the
103 UK Biobank eligibility criteria. Extreme values (lowest 0.5% and highest 0.5%) of CH and IOPg
104 may represent measurement errors and were therefore excluded. We excluded participants with a
105 history of eye injury in their left eye, diabetes related eye disease, macular degeneration or other
106 serious eye conditions (except for glaucoma and cataract) in either eye. Left eyes without data on
107 ocular comorbidities and/or refractive error, and/or with high refractive errors (spherical
108 equivalent >+5D or <-6D) and/or high astigmatism (absolute value of cylindrical power >3D) and/or
109 a history of refractive surgery were excluded. Participants with a history of surgery or laser for
110 glaucoma or ocular hypertension were also excluded. Of the 93,345 left eyes remained in analysis,
111 1,208 eyes with self-reported glaucoma were excluded for analyses of CH distribution.
Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using left eye data which were captured after right eye data as specified in the study protocol. This may mean left eye data are less prone to artefact, such as blinking, in our cohort\(^2\). We included refractive error in analyses as the spherical equivalent in dioptres (D, sphere power+1/2 cylinder power). For glaucoma status, controls were defined as participants without self-reported glaucoma in either eye.

A descriptive analysis of CH in left eyes stratified by age, sex and ethnicity was conducted after excluding all participants with self-reported glaucoma. One-way analysis of variance was performed to compare means of CH by age, sex and ethnicity.

 Associations between CH and other demographic, ocular and systemic factors and self-reported glaucoma were evaluated with univariable linear regression and all factors with \( p < 0.05 \) in univariable analysis were also analyzed with multivariable linear regression.

 We analyzed the relationship between self-reported glaucoma and CH using the following steps:

 1) Locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS)\(^2\), a method usually used to visualize the structure of data\(^2\), was used to explore the relationship between self-reported glaucoma and corneal hysteresis. The turning point(s) found on the LOWESS curve was used as node(s) for piecewise analysis.

 2) Piecewise logistic regression for self-reported glaucoma and CH was performed in three models after adjusting for covariables.

 3) The joint distribution of the proportion of self-reported glaucoma, CH and IOPg was displayed using a 3D bar chart.

 We then applied linear regression to evaluate the relationships between CH and 16 systemic diseases
after adjusting for covariates.

The 3D bar chart was plotted using Excel for Office 365 (Microsoft Corp, CA, USA). All other analyses were performed and plots generated using STATA/SE-15 (StataCorp LLC, TX, USA).

**Results**

All analyses were performed using left eye data in this study. 111,942 UK Biobank participants had available CH values for left eyes. After data cleaning as shown in Figure 1, the mean CH was 10.60 ± 1.88 mmHg (95% CI 10.59-10.62 mmHg) in the 92,137 eyes without self-reported glaucoma. The distribution of mean CH stratified by age, sex and ethnicity is summarized in Table 1. A significant difference in CH was found between participants with different ethnicities (p<0.001). CH values were lower in Black people (9.62 ± 1.87 mmHg, 95% CI 9.56-9.69 mmHg) compared to White participants (10.66 ± 1.87 mmHg, 95% CI 10.65-10.67 mmHg). CH was significantly greater in females (10.79 ± 1.86 mmHg, 95% CI 10.77-10.80 mmHg) compared to males (10.39 ± 1.88 mmHg, 95% CI 10.37-10.40 mmHg, p<0.001). Overall, CH was also significantly higher in younger people across the whole age spectrum enrolled (mean 10.91 ± 1.91 mmHg, 95% CI 10.87-10.95 mmHg for those aged 40-44 compared to 10.30 ± 1.84 mmHg, 95% CI 10.27-10.32 mmHg for those aged 65-69, p<0.001).

The associations of CH were analyzed with linear regression models as shown in Table 2. CH was significantly associated with all included factors except for visual acuity and alcohol intake frequency. In the multivariable linear regression model after adjusting for covariates, CH was significantly higher in women (0.19 mmHg, p=2.07 × 10^{-27}), smokers (reference: never smoked; 0.10 mmHg former smokers, p=7.71 × 10^{-13}; 0.42 mmHg current smokers, p=1.22 × 10^{-84}), participants with a higher Townsend deprivation index (0.01 mmHg/Unit, p=7.82 × 10^{-9}) and self-
reported diabetes (0.28 mmHg, \(p=1.25 \times 10^{-20}\)). CH was significantly lower in older participants (-0.33 mmHg/10 years, \(p<10^{-300}\)), Black participants (reference: white; -1.22 mmHg, \(p=1.03 \times 10^{-260}\)), Asian participants (reference: white; -0.46 mmHg, \(p=2.08 \times 10^{-46}\)), participants with higher blood pressure (-0.08 mmHg/10 mmHg diastolic blood pressure, \(p=1.29 \times 10^{-33}\)), greater height (-0.16 mmHg/10 cm, \(p=4.71 \times 10^{-61}\)), greater myopia (0.03 mmHg/D, \(p=3.06 \times 10^{-26}\)) and in those with self-reported glaucoma (-0.52 mmHg, \(p=1.13 \times 10^{-15}\)).

Figure 2, Table 3 and Figure 3 show the relationship between self-reported glaucoma and CH. Overall, lower CH was associated with a higher proportion of self-reported glaucoma. As shown in Figure 2A, when CH was less than approximately 10 mmHg, the proportion of self-reported glaucoma increased markedly when CH decreased. However, with increases in CH above 10 mmHg the proportion of self-reported glaucoma remained relatively stable at around 1%. The LOWESS curve shapes were similar in analyses stratified by age (Figure 2B) and IOPg (Figure 2C), with sharp rises in the proportions of self-reported glaucoma at CH values less than approximately 10 mmHg.

Piecewise logistic regressions were performed with a node set at 10.1 mmHg (Table 3). As shown in the online supplementary material, 10.1 mmHg was the smallest node that self-reported glaucoma and CH were significantly associated when CH was less than the node while there was no association between self-reported glaucoma and CH when CH was greater than the node in all three models. When CH was less than 10.1 mmHg, higher CH was a protective factor for self-reported glaucoma. A 1 mmHg increase in CH was associated with an OR of 0.78 (95% CI 0.73-0.82, \(p<0.001\)) after adjusting for age, sex and ethnicity in Model I, an OR of 0.82 (95% CI 0.78-0.87, \(p<0.001\)) in Model II (Model I with further adjusting for IOPg) and an OR of 0.86 (95% CI 0.79-0.94, \(p<0.001\)) in Model III (the maximally adjusted model). When CH exceeded 10.1 mmHg it was
not associated with self-reported glaucoma in all three models (Table 3).

The relationship between self-reported glaucoma, CH and IOPg is displayed using a 3D bar chart (Figure 3). In keeping with the analyses reported in Figure 2C and Table 3, the proportion of self-reported glaucoma was highest in participants with high IOPg and low CH, and lowest in the participants whose IOPg was not high and CH was not low.

We analyzed associations between CH and 16 self-reported disorders of the thyroid gland, pituitary gland and other immunological/systemic disorders (Table 4). Only systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) was significantly associated with CH following correction for multiple testing ($p<0.003125$, Bonferroni-corrected threshold). CH was significantly higher in participants with self-reported SLE (0.55, 95% CI 0.24-0.86 mmHg in the fully adjusted model).

**Discussion**

In this large UK cohort, we have described mean CH stratified by age, sex and ethnicity (Table 1). We found that CH was significantly lower in Black participants and in older age groups, which is consistent with previously published findings\(^ {15,23}\). Past studies indicate that CH and CCT are positively associated\(^ {24-26}\) and CCT is negatively associated with darker skin pigmentation\(^ {27}\). One explanation for the variation in CH by ethnicity may be differences mediated by changes in CCT. Conversely, previous publications revealed no significant association between CCT and age\(^ {7,28,29}\), suggesting an independent association between lower CH and older age.

CH was significantly higher in smokers in our cohort (both current and former smokers). A previous, smaller study had suggested this but results were inconclusive\(^ {30}\). The mechanisms underlying the relationship between smoking and corneal changes are unknown\(^ {31,32}\) and the association between smoking and corneal ectatic disorders is controversial\(^ {33,34}\). An epidemiological study showed a
marked reduction in the incidence of keratoconus amongst smokers,$^{34}$ implying altered corneal biomechanics. This is supported by experimental evidence of collagen crosslinking by formaldehyde, a constituent of cigarette smoke, with resulting increased resistance to collagenases.$^{34}$ Smoking has also been reported to damage the tear film$^{35,36}$ and possibly the corneal endothelium$^{37}$, which may influence CCT and CH measurements. We found no significant association between alcohol consumption and CH.

Our findings in Figure 2, Table 3 and Figure 3 suggest that CH may be useful in glaucoma risk stratification in clinical practice. Figure 2 and Table 3 indicate that a CH value of 10.1 mmHg could play a role as cutoff point in clinical practice to evaluate a patient’s risk of glaucoma. When CH is less than 10.1 mmHg, lower CH may be associated with a higher risk of glaucoma (OR 1.16, 95% CI 1.07-1.26 per mmHg CH decrease in the fully adjusted model). When CH was greater than 10.1 mmHg, the rate of self-reported glaucoma remained relatively stable with further increases in CH. Medeiros et al reported that lower CH with values below 10 mmHg was a risk factor for glaucoma progression.$^{38}$

CH measurement demonstrates good repeatability$^{39}$ and there are no significant diurnal fluctuations$^{26,40}$, making CH measurement a potentially attractive addition to current glaucoma risk stratification methods. CH has been shown to be lower in different types of glaucoma including open angle glaucoma, angle closure glaucoma, normal tension glaucoma, pseudoexfoliative glaucoma and congenital glaucoma.$^{41-46}$ Lower CH is also positively associated with visual field progression$^{38}$. Some studies have found a positive association between CH and glaucoma-related changes in optic disc morphology$^{47-49}$ whereas others found no such relationship$^{50-52}$. Unlike CH, IOP and CCT measurements are limited by significant diurnal variation$^{26,40,53-55}$. Figure 2C, Table 3 and Figure 3
show that CH and IOPg could be analyzed together in clinical settings to evaluate glaucoma risk, as
the risk of self-reported glaucoma was highest in participants with low CH and high IOPg, and
lowest in participants whose IOPg was not high and CH was not low.

In analyses for associations between CH and self-reported disorders shown in Table 4, only SLE
was significantly associated with CH at \( p<0.003 \) (Bonferroni-corrected threshold for multiple
testing). We found that CH was significantly higher in participants with SLE, which is contradictory
to the result in a case-control study which reported CH was lower in SLE patients\(^5^6\). Lower CH has
also been reported in thyroid eye disease\(^1^0\), however we did not find an association between CH and
thyroid disorders. We also did not find associations between CH and rheumatoid arthritis or psoriasis
as previously published\(^1^1,1^2\). Participants with acromegaly in our cohort had higher CH values (at
\( p<0.05 \)), in agreement with findings from Ozkok and colleagues\(^1^3\), however our result was not
significant after correction for multiple testing. Former studies have yielded variable results when
evaluating CH in diabetes\(^5^7-6^0\). Our study shows higher CH amongst patients with diabetes as
previously reported\(^6^0,6^1\), which is supported by the former findings that having diabetes decreased
odds of having more severe keratoconus\(^6^2\). The increased cross-linking of corneal collagen\(^6^3\) in
diabetes may contribute to the higher CH. However, two small sample studies\(^6^4,6^5\) reported no
significant change of CH after cross-linking operation in keratoconus. Another possible mechanism
is the morphological\(^6^6\) and functional alteration\(^6^7\) of corneal endothelium in diabetes patients,
leading to abnormal hydration and increased thickness of cornea\(^6^6,6^7\), which is associated with higher
CH.

The very large sample size and standardized techniques are major strengths of our study, allowing
us to detect and quantify small effects. However, the study is limited by the fact that all disease
statuses were self-reported by participants which can result in misclassification error\textsuperscript{68}. UK Biobank
has a low response rate of 5.5\% which limits external validity. With respect to glaucoma, there will
be an under-ascertainment of disease since approximately 50\% of cases may not have been
diagnosed\textsuperscript{68}. Meanwhile participants with ocular hypertension, suspected glaucoma or cataracts may
report a diagnosis of glaucoma. The potential impact of these errors is unknown. We excluded
participants with a past history of surgery or laser for glaucoma or ocular hypertension. A potential
confounding variable in the reported association between CH and glaucoma is the use of IOP
lowering medications, which may significantly alter corneal biomechanical properties\textsuperscript{9,69,70}. The
binary variable of current, regular IOP lowering medication use versus no use in this study may
oversimplify the effects of different medications on corneal biomechanics. CH and IOPg in this
study were measured together using the same instrument and adjusting one for the other makes
interpretation difficult. Despite this, we found weak correlation between them ($\rho=0.045$) in the
sample after data cleaning. Investigation into the association between CH and diseases including
glaucoma, SLE and diabetes is scarce and we anticipate that future research will build on our
findings.

Our study offers CH reference values for future research and clinical practice. We also report
associations between CH and age, sex, ethnicity, smoking status, refractive error, self-reported
glaucoma, diabetes and SLE, which may be important when interpreting CH. CH measurement may
play a role in clinical practice for glaucoma and other ocular and systemic conditions.
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Figure 1: Flow chart showing participants included for analysis (CH, corneal hysteresis; D, dioptre; IOPg, Goldmann-correlated intraocular pressure).

Figure 2: Locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS) of self-reported glaucoma and corneal hysteresis, (A) unstratified (B) stratified by age (C) stratified according to the tertiles of IOPg. (IOPg, Goldmann-correlated intraocular pressure).

Figure 3: 3D bar charts showing the percentage of self-reported glaucoma stratified according to tertiles of corneal hysteresis and IOPg. (IOPg, Goldmann-correlated intraocular pressure).