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Abstract

Background: In 2012, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Clinical Guidelines for Epilepsies: Diagnosis and Management (CG137) included, for the first time, ketogenic diets (KDs) as a treatment option for drug resistant paediatric epilepsy. The recommendation was made to refer children and young people with epilepsy whose seizures have not responded to appropriate anti-epileptic drugs to a tertiary paediatric epilepsy specialist for consideration of the use of KDs. We aimed to assess the impact of this change in guidance on numbers of ketogenic centres and patients following KDs for epilepsy in the UK and Ireland.

Methods: An online survey was circulated to ketogenic dietitians from the UK and Ireland. Results were compared with similar surveys published in 2000 and 2010.

Results: The number of centres offering KDs for treatment of epilepsy has risen
from 22 in 2000, to 28 in 2010 to 39 in 2017 (77% overall increase). Seven of these centres accept adult referrals, in comparison to only two centres in 2010. Patient numbers have increased from 101 in 2000 to 754 in 2017. 267 patients are waiting to commence KD at 31 centres.

**Conclusions:** Over the last seven years, the number of patients treated with a KD for epilepsy in the UK and Ireland has increased by 647%, with a 77% increase in the number of centres offering KDs. Despite this rapid growth, there is ongoing demand for patients to be considered for dietary therapy, highlighting the need for continued expansion of KD services nationally.

**Introduction**

Ketogenic diets (KDs) are high-fat, restricted carbohydrate diets that have been used as a treatment for drug-resistant epilepsy since the 1920s (1). There are different types of KDs in use: the classical KD (CKD) (2), medium chain triglyceride (MCT) KD (3), Modified Atkins diet (MAD) (4) modified ketogenic diet (MKD) (5, 6) and the low glycaemic index treatment (LGIT) (7). The MAD, MKD and LGIT are less restrictive versions of a KD, often using household measures rather than accurate weighing of foods, and greater freedom in consumption of protein and, in some cases, carbohydrate. This more liberal approach may help improve tolerability and adherence (8), but the principles of a high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet remain, requiring significant dietary adjustment for the child, young person or adult undertaking KD therapy.

KDs are a well-established non-pharmacological treatment for drug resistant epilepsy evidenced by an increasing number of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in the paediatric population. The most recent Cochrane review (2018) (8) concluded that evidence from 10 trials showed promising results for the use of KDs in epilepsy treatment. ≥50% seizure reduction was achieved in 38-85% (9, 10) of participants treated with classical 4:1 KD and 42-60% (11, 12) treated with MAD for a duration of 3 months. Seizure freedom varies with 1-55% (9, 10) of participants following a classical KD and 10-25% (11, 12) following a MAD for 3 months achieving this.
KDs are being increasingly used for adults with epilepsy and both the classical KD and MAD show promise in terms of feasibility and tolerability \(^{(13-15)}\). Effectiveness was recently assessed in two RCTs comparing MAD to control (treatment as usual). Zare et al. \(^{(16)}\) reported 35.3% of participants to be responders achieving >50% reduction in seizure frequency after 2 months treatment with MAD. In contrast, Kverneland et al. \(^{(17)}\) found only 12.5% of participants experienced >50% seizure reduction after 3 months treatment with MAD. However, this finding was not statistically significant. At best, they report a significant reduction in diet versus control group for moderate benefit only (25-50% seizure reduction). Low participant numbers, epilepsy type (focal versus generalised) and length of time with drug resistant epilepsy are possible reasons for difference in findings. Further, large scale RCTs are required in this area.

The use of KDs to treat childhood epilepsy in the UK was examined in 2000 \(^{(18)}\) and then again in 2010 \(^{(19)}\) via a survey to paediatric ketogenic dietitians. The number of centres offering KDs and patients following a KD increased in this time period. These surveys were undertaken prior to 2012 and the publication of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance on the diagnosis and management of epilepsies (CG137; 2012) \(^{(20)}\) which, for the first time, recommended consideration of a KD for children and young people with drug resistant epilepsy. One may expect that referrals for KD therapy and demand on KD services would have increased further since the change in NICE guidance \(^{(20)}\). This survey aimed to reassess the use of KDs aiming to assess the changes in practice and service provision since 2007.

**Methods**

An online survey was devised by a group of adult and paediatric ketogenic dietitians, as part of the Ketogenic Dietitians Research Network (KDRN). The survey comprised 44 questions (Appendix 1) including questions regarding the number of services offering KD therapy, number and age of patients treated, waiting time to commence KD, duration on KD and types of KD in use. The survey was circulated
via the KDRN and Ketogenic Professional Advisory Group (KetoPAG) mailing lists and advertised through the parental support charities, Matthew’s Friends and The Daisy Garland. Each service was asked to complete only one survey. Data were collected in July 2017 and approved by the audit and research departments of individual centres as required. The results were compared with the findings of earlier surveys published in 2000 (18) and 2010 (19).

Results

Demographics

Twenty-five KD services across the UK and Ireland completed the full survey. An additional 14 KD services provided data on the number of patients being treated with KD and those waiting to commence treatment but declined or were unable to complete the full survey for unreported reasons.

Ketogenic diet services

The number of services offering KDs for the treatment of epilepsy grew from 22 in 2000, (18) to 28 in 2010 (19), to 39 in 2017 representing a 77% increase (Figure 1).

Figure 2 shows the 39 centres in the UK and Ireland offering a service in 2017, with comparative size and patient group (paediatric, adult or both) treated. Two services offered KD therapy to adults in 2010 (19), compared with seven in 2017.
**Number of patients**

The number of patients with epilepsy being treated with a KD increased from 101 in 2000 (18), to 152 in 2010 (19), to 754 in 2017 (Figure 3) over a seven-fold increase. Thirty-one services had a waiting list, with 276 patients in total (range 1-49) waiting to commence a KD.
Types of KDs used

Twenty-six (of 39) ketogenic services responded to this section of the survey. 324/580 (55.9%) patients were following a classical KD, 187/580 (32.2%) MKD, 56/580 (9.7%) MCT KD and 13/580 (2.2%) LGIT (Figure 4a). The classical and MCT KDs were the only diets in use in 2000 (18) and 2010 (19).

In 2017, of the 324 patients following a classical KD, 142 (43.8%) were orally fed, 36 (11.2%) were enterally and orally-fed, and 146 (45%) were fully enterally-fed. Of the 187 patients following MKD, 183 (97.9%) patients fed orally, 3 (1.6%) were both
enterally and orally-fed, and 1 (0.5%) were fully enterally-fed. Of the 56 patients following MCT KD, 40 (71.4%) fed orally, 8 (14.2%) were enterally and orally-fed, and 8 (14.2%) were fully enterally-fed. 13 (100%) patients were following a LGIT orally. No service reported use of the MAD (Figure 4b).

KD initiation
180/580 (31%) of patients commenced a KD in the acute hospital setting which is similar to 43/132 (33%) of patients in 2010 (19) and 52/101 (51%) in 2000 (18). The remainder commenced a KD at home as an outpatient.

KD service provision
7/26 (27%) of ketogenic services were supported by charity funding, with the remainder funded predominantly by the health service. Almost half of all ketogenic services reported inadequate funding for dietitians 11/26 (42%), with some centres reporting inadequate funding for nurses 3/26 (12%) and consultants 2/26 (8%) which has limited service provision for new patients. 176/580 (30.3%) patients were treated with a KD for over 2 years and 4 services stated that this extended use of KD treatment, limited their service provision for new patients. Only 5 services had access to a transition service for children ≥18 years; the shortage of transition services also limited ketogenic service provision.
Discussion

The number of KD services has increased by over two thirds since 2000, with a concomitant increase of 647% in patient numbers. This is likely due, in part, to the growing body of evidence supporting use of the KD for epilepsy (8) and the inclusion of KDs in NICE guidance (20). A similar study of French KD services (21) also reports an increase in the number of KD services and patients treated from 2005 to 2018.

Use of the classical KD has reduced substantially since 2000, predominantly replaced with the MKD, which almost half of all orally-fed patients in this survey are following. Despite the lack of published evidence for the effectiveness of MKD for epilepsy, use of this KD variant may have increased as it is viewed as easier to implement by dietitians, reducing the dietetic time required to calculate the dietary prescription and to educate patients (8). The less restrictive MKD may be better tolerated and have higher compliance levels compared with the classical and MCT KDs, but further research is needed to support this and to determine its efficacy for epilepsy.

In 2000, it was common practice to initiate KD in the acute hospital setting (18) and the original International Consensus Statement (2009) (22) on Optimal Clinical Management of KDs in epilepsy supported this practice. In 2010 (19), half of all responding centres initiated KDs on an outpatient basis. This trend continued in our survey, with the majority of ketogenic services in the UK and Ireland favouring outpatient KD initiation. The patients who commenced KD in the acute setting may have been admitted for other reasons, including poor epilepsy control rather than exclusively to start a KD. Commencing a KD as an outpatient is generally less resource intensive for the service and is likely to be less of a burden for families.

Although not yet recommended as part of current NICE guidance, there has been an increase in availability of adult services. Research into KD use in this patient group increases (16, 17, 24), although studies are limited by small sample sizes and there remains a need for a large scale RCT exploring clinical and cost effectiveness. Our survey has highlighted that a third of patients on a KD remain on diet over the recommended two year treatment period (23), which could contribute to the greater
demand for adult services. Disappointingly, despite the introduction of some adult services, only 5/26 (19%) of paediatric centres stated they had access to an adult or transition service to refer their older patients (≥18 years) to. This is an ongoing challenge for the managing paediatric ketogenic team but more significantly, the family who may have to consider weaning their child from KD, even when a positive response is still maintained.

The present study has some limitations. The survey was not validated and could be subject to reporter bias. Whilst, this was a practical and cost-efficient method of contacting nationwide ketogenic services, missing or incomplete data are inevitable, and the time restraints of clinical practice may have limited the depth of response on some occasions. On analysing the data this provided more questions; how long patients are waiting to access KD services, why are patients staying on diet over 2 years and what service adjustments or improvements are being implemented to reduce waiting lists or waiting times.

In conclusion, there is ongoing demand for KD for both paediatric and adult patients. Despite the rapid increase in services and increased availability of the diet, there are still substantial waiting lists, highlighting the need for continued expansion of services nationally. With almost 80% of services stating that the service size is limited by funding, new models of service delivery and funding need to be found to ensure that patients can access an effective treatment in a timely manner.
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