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Abstract

Background: Previous research showed that automatic emotion regulation is associated with activation of subcortical areas and subsequent feedforward processes to cortical areas. In contrast, cognitive awareness of emotions is mediated by negative feedback from cortical to subcortical areas. Pregenual anterior cingulate cortex (pgACC) is essential in modulation of both affect and alexithymia. We considered the interplay between these two mechanisms in the pgACC and their relationship with alexithymia.

Method: In 68 healthy participants (30 women, age= 26.15± 4.22) we tested associations of emotion processing and alexithymia with excitation/inhibition (E/I) balance represented as glutamate (Glu)/GABA in the pgACC measured via magnetic resonance spectroscopy in 7T.

Results: Alexithymia was positively correlated with the Glu/GABA ratio (N= 41, p= .0393). Further, cognitive self-awareness showed an association to Glu/GABA (N= 52, p= .003), which was driven by a correlation with GABA. In contrast, emotion regulation was only correlated with glutamate levels in the pgACC (N= 49, p= .008).

Conclusion: Our results corroborate the importance of the pgACC as a mediating region of alexithymia, reflected in an altered E/I balance. Furthermore, we could specify that this altered balance is linked to a GABA-related modulation of cognitive self-awareness of emotions.
INTRODUCTION

Emotion regulation is one of the main processes of behavioral functioning and deficits in related circuitry might contribute to the development of affective disorders. Neural models propose an interplay of feedforward and feedback processes between subcortical areas, especially the amygdala and cortical areas such as the prefrontal or orbitofrontal cortex to be essential for successful emotion regulation (Phillips et al. 2008). A ventral area of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), the pregenual ACC (pgACC), is suggested as an integrative hub between subcortical and cortical areas (Phillips et al. 2008). Automatic emotion regulation is thought to be mediated by stimulating feedforward processes from subcortical to cortical areas, while voluntary cognitive mechanisms are mediated by downregulating feedback from cortical to subcortical areas (Figure 1).

Figure 1 should be placed here

Automatic or “intrinsic” emotion regulation is closely related to direct experience of emotions. It starts automatically, is effortless and without awareness (Gyurak et al. 2011). It is considered as a protective factor against development of depression (Joormann & Gotlib 2010), while its absence is related to alexithymia and increased psychopathology (Green et al. 2007; Angst 2008). Neuroimaging investigations of automatic emotion regulation revealed that glutamate (Glu) concentrations in the pgACC were related to emotional dysregulation in youth (Wozniak et al. 2012). Furthermore, depression, a disorder marked by aberrant automatic emotion regulation, has been found to be associated with activity and glutamatergic neurotransmission in the pgACC (Horn et al. 2010; Peng et al. 2012; Victor et al. 2013; Li et al. 2014; Philippi et al. 2015; Colic et al. 2019).

A second aspect of emotion processing is voluntary or cognitive emotion regulation. In contrast to automatic emotion regulation, this process is consciously evoked and effortful (Gross 1998; Gyurak et al. 2011; Braunstein et al. 2017). One aspect of voluntary emotion regulation is self-awareness - a cognitive process closely associated with reflective functioning (RF) (Gyurak et al. 2011). RF is defined as ability to mentalize - the capacity to understand one’s own and other’s behaviors as expressions of
mental states and feelings (Fonagy & Target 1997) and reflects a cognitive understanding of emotions. Studies showed that mentalizing is an important factor for psychological well-being as low RF is associated with psychiatric conditions, such as depression (Toth et al. 2008) or borderline personality disorder (Gutman & Laporte 2002; Deborde et al. 2012; New et al. 2012). Mentalizing was associated with activity in ventromedial prefrontal and orbitofrontal cortex (Schurz et al. 2015) and was affected by interpersonal stress (Nolte et al. 2013). Moriguchi et al. (2007) reported a hypoactivation in these areas during mentalizing tasks in alexithymic subjects, indicating a possible disturbance in metabolic excitation/inhibition (E/I) balance. Local inhibitory metabolites were shown to correlate with activity of the pgACC during emotional tasks (Northoff et al. 2007), thus further emphasizing the importance of pgACC metabolism in emotion processing. In conclusion, voluntary cognitive emotion processing is in part mediated by projections from prefrontal areas to the cingulate cortex and further to subcortical structures, which are hypothesized to be modulated by GABAergic local circuitry (Northoff et al. 2007; Phillips et al. 2008; Wiebking et al. 2014).

Deficits in emotion processing, regulation, or experience are features of alexithymia, a personality trait describing a general lack of emotional understanding that is present in about 10% of population. Alexithymia is a multifaceted construct and integrates a cognitive emotion regulation deficit and an automatic emotion regulation deficit (Taylor 2000). In line with this theory, general emotion regulation was repeatedly shown to be dysfunctional in alexithymia (Taylor & Bagby 2004; Vermeulen et al. 2006; Swart et al. 2009; Venta et al. 2013) and impairments in both types of emotion processing have been reported. Regarding cognitive emotion processing it has been shown that reflective functioning is negatively associated with alexithymia (Antonsen et al. 2014; Rothschild-Yakar et al. 2018) and lower mentalizing skills are a risk factor for alexithymia (Moriguchi et al. 2006; Swart et al. 2009). Regarding automatic emotion regulation, it has been reported that behavior and neural activity (Pollatos & Gramann 2012; van der Velde et al. 2013) are altered in experiments eliciting automatic emotion processing (e.g. priming, masked emotional stimuli, Donges & Suslow 2017). Additionally, alexithymia has been associated with habitual suppressive emotion
regulation (Lane et al. 2000; Swart et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2011; Walker et al. 2011), which has been proposed as a primarily automatic process impairing emotional experience (Mauss et al. 2007a, 2007b; Abler et al. 2010). Alexithymia was previously described as a risk factor for affective disorders (Conrad et al. 2009; Luminet 2010; Leweke et al. 2012). Nonetheless, the underlying mechanisms of alexithymia are still not fully understood (Salminen et al. 1999). Neuroimaging investigations pointed towards ACC as a region of interest, identifying overlapping neuronal substrate for emotion regulation processes and alexithymia (Berthoz et al. 2002; Leweke et al. 2004; van der Velde et al. 2013; Grabe et al. 2014).

Inconsistent results of increased (Berthoz et al. 2002; Mériaux et al. 2006; Heinzel et al. 2012) or decreased ACC activity (Leweke et al. 2004; Silani et al. 2008; Bird et al. 2010; Reker et al. 2010) during emotional tasks have been reported in subjects with alexithymia. To overcome a potential task bias, magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) studies subsequently showed an association between GABA concentration in the pgACC and alexithymia (Ernst et al. 2014). Moreover, a general shift in neuronal integrity markers was found in the pgACC (Colic et al. 2016). The latter study revealed pgACC as region of general association with alexithymia regardless of gender while other cingulate regions, such as dorsal ACC and posterior ACC had gender specific associations. Congruently, volumetric studies also showed a negative association between pgACC volume and alexithymic features (Sturm & Levenson 2011), specifying this region as a starting point of emotion regulation deficits, as expressed in alexithymia.

Within the framework of emotion regulation proposed by Phillips et al. (2008) we attempt to offer a more integrated explanation of alexithymia, considering both voluntary and automatic emotion regulation processes and their differential biological substrates. We suggest that alexithymia is related to alterations in emotional experience in two complementary dimensions: automatic emotion regulation, characterized by a more immediate emotional experience, and cognitive regulation, characterized by self-awareness. We propose that these two regulation processes are linked to subcortical - cortical feedforward processes (automatic emotion experience) and cortical -
subcortical feedback mechanisms (voluntary emotion regulation), which are in turn reflected by excitatory (glutamatergic) and inhibitory (GABAergic) inputs, respectively. We therefore focused on the pgACC as key region integrating these signals on both the metabolic and the behavioral level. We first tested an association between E/I balance, measured as glutamate to GABA ratio (Glu/GABA), in the pgACC and alexithymia. We additionally used the dorsal ACC (dACC) as a control region, to corroborate the regional specificity of both processes. Second, we assessed associations of emotion regulation facets with alexithymia and their respective metabolic contributions. We predicted that cognitive self-awareness would be associated with GABA, whereas we expected automatic emotion regulation to correlate with Glu levels in the pgACC.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Participants were 68 healthy volunteers (age= 26.15 +/- 4.22, 30 women), recruited through advertisement and reimbursed for their participation. All subjects were screened for prior neurological or psychiatric illnesses with the German Version 5.0.0 of the M.I.N.I Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Ackenheil et al. 1999) and underwent an interview with the study physician. The subjects completed three questionnaires concerning alexithymia, reflective functioning, and emotional experience. Participants underwent a magnetic resonance session in the 7T, where anatomical and MRS data was acquired. The ethical committee of the University of Magdeburg reviewed and approved the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and all subjects gave written, informed consent.

**Psychometric tests**

The Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) has 20 items with a 5-point Likert scale. We used the total alexithymia score in our analysis. The original English version has good reliability and item consistency (Bagby et al. 1994a, 1994b; Parker et al. 2003) and comparable results were obtained for the German version (Bach et al. 1996; Taylor et al. 2003; Franz et al. 2008).
The “Skalen zum Erleben von Emotionen” (SEE, Emotional Experience Scales (Behr & Becker 2004)) was employed to measure habitual emotion regulation experience. The questionnaire consists of 42 items divided in 7 subscales, which are rated on a 5-point Likert scale. We used the subscale “Experiencing Emotion Regulation” (EER), as alexithymia has been previously shown to be associated with deficient emotion regulation (Taylor & Taylor 1997).

To measure mentalizing capacities, we used the Reflective Functioning Questionnaire, RFQ, (Fonagy et al. 2016). It uses a 7-point Likert scale and consists of 54 items. The scores are nonpolar and higher scores represent lower mentalizing capacity. For analysis, we used the subscale assessing uncertainty about the mental state of oneself (LRFuS), as a measure for impaired self-awareness. The RFQ has satisfactory internal consistency and test-retest reliability (Fonagy et al. 2016).

**MRS data acquisition**

MRI data were acquired with a Siemens 7 T scanner using a 32-channel head array coil (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). High-resolution T1–weighted anatomical MR images were obtained with magnetization–prepared rapid gradient–echo (MPRAGE) sequence (TE= 2.73 ms, TR= 2300 ms, TI= 1050 ms, flip angle= 5°, bandwidth= 150 Hz/pixel, isotropic voxel size= 0.8 mm). The MR spectra were acquired from pgACC (20 x 15 x 10 mm³) and dACC (25 x 15 x 10 mm³) voxel with a STEAM sequence. The following scan parameters were used: TE = 20 ms, TR = 3000 ms, TM = 10 ms, and 128 averages. Tissue water spectra were measured to serve as an internal concentration reference for quantification. LCModel (Stephen Provencher, Inc., Oakville, ON, Canada, V6.3.0) was used to analyze the spectral data (0.6 - 4.0 ppm). Concentrations were obtained for GABA and Glu (expressed using institutional units (i.u.)) together with their Cramér-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB) and full width at half maximum (FWHM) values. To ensure sufficient data quality, measurements with CRLB > 20%, FWHM > 24 Hz, or signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) < 20 were excluded and data were visually inspected by two independent raters and excluded if both agreed on insufficient data (Li et al. 2018; Ristow et al. 2018). The ratio of glutamate to GABA was calculated as a representation of the E/I balance. The gray
matter proportions used for tissue content correction, were obtained from segmented anatomical T1 images using VBM8 ([www.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm](http://www.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm)) in SPM8 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, United Kingdom). Co-registration of voxel location and T1 images was performed using in-house script.

**Statistical analysis**

Several subjects had to be excluded for each analysis because of either incomplete questionnaires ($N_{TAS} = 1$, $N_{EER} = 12$, $N_{LRFuS} = 4$) or insufficient MRS data quality (pgACC: $N = 4$ (2 FWHM, 2 insufficient curves), dACC: $N = 19$ (4 SNR, 3 CLRB, 12 insufficient curves). As some participants had multiple measures missing, the final sample sizes are stated for each analysis. The primary hypothesis of a differential association of alexithymia with the E/I balance in the pgACC compared to the dACC was assessed in a subsample ($N = 47$) with satisfactory MRS data quality in both regions. Subsequent analyses were focused only on pgACC thereby increasing the sample size.

First, data was tested for distribution with the Smirnov-Kolmogorov test. Psychometric data was not normally distributed, thus nonparametric partial Spearman-rank correlations were used thereby accounting for outliers. Age, sex, and gray matter proportions were included as covariates. Furthermore, we calculated bootstrapped confidence intervals for all effects. The p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons by applying Bonferroni correction for each hypothesis separately.

As the metabolite measures are not independent, we used an adjusted Bonferroni correction ([Sankoh et al. 1997](#)) considering the mean correlation of $r = .35$ between metabolites (Glu/GABA, GABA and Glu). The significance threshold was $p_{\text{corr}} = .027$ for correlations regarding alexithymia, automatic emotion regulation or cognitive self-awareness with metabolite concentrations and $p_{\text{corr}} = .025$ for the correlations between TAS-20 and EER or LRFuS. Correlations between dACC/pgACC metabolite concentrations and alexithymia were compared with Steiger’s Z coefficient for dependent correlations. All analyses were performed with SPSS (Release 20.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Subsequently, we tested the specificity of the associations between questionnaires and metabolites.
evaluating three multiple regressions, one for each metabolite including all three scales as independent variables and age, sex, and gray matter ratio as covariates. To assess if the relationship of TAS-20 and the E/I balance is explained by alterations in reflective functioning or emotion regulation, we tested a mediation model with the same covariates and EER and LRFuS as proposed mediators. Significance of indirect effects was tested using bootstrapping.
**RESULTS**

First, we determined the relationship of alexithymia with emotion regulation and reflective functioning. TAS-20 correlated with low levels of self-awareness characterized by high uncertainty (LRFuS, N= 60, rho(56)= .30, p= .024, CIboot [0.01 – 0.54]), corrected for multiple comparisons. In contrast, there was no association with emotion regulation (EER, N= 56, rho(52)= -.14, p= .30, CIboot [-0.43 – 0.15]). Explorative analysis revealed that EER and LRFuS were negatively correlated with each other (N= 51, rho(47)= -.38, p= .006, CIboot [-0.62 - -0.11]).

We then tested the association between alexithymia and E/I balance in a subsample (N= 46) with data from pgACC and dACC, to elucidate regional specificity of pgACC. There TAS-20 correlated with the pgACC Glu/GABA ratio (rho(41)= .393, p= .009, CIboot [0.10– 0.65], Figure 2A). In contrast, the correlation between TAS-20 and the Glu/GABA ratio in the dACC was not significant (rho(41)= .015, p= .923, CIboot [-0.32 – 0.34]). The regional specificity was confirmed by a significant difference in correlations (Z= -2.11, p= .017). Therefore, follow-up analyses focused on metabolites in the pgACC, which lead to an increased sample size (N= 64). In this larger sample Glu/GABA ratio was still significantly correlated to TAS-20 (rho(59)= .30, p= .019, CIboot [0.05 – 0.58]). Further analysis revealed an association of TAS-20 with GABA concentration in the pgACC on trend-level (uncorrected, rho(59)= -.223, p= .087, CIboot [-0.46 – 0.04]), and no association with Glu (rho(59)= .043, p= .798, CIboot [-0.22 – 0.34]). Similar results were found in the subsample of the primary analysis (GABA: rho(41)= -.314, p= .04, CIboot [-0.58 – -0.003]; Glu: rho(41)= .092, p= .557, CIboot [-0.23 – 0.43]).

**Figure 2** should be placed here

After confirming that alexithymia is associated with E/I balance in the pgACC, we analyzed correlations between possibly implicated emotion regulation facets and metabolite concentrations in subsamples with complete data for the respective measures.

We found that higher experience of emotion regulation (EER) was significantly correlated with lower Glu levels in pgACC (N54, rho(49)= -.37, p= .008, CIboot [-0.56 - -0.08], Figure 2B) on a corrected level,
correlated with Glu/GABA on an uncorrected trend level (\(\text{rho}(49) = -0.24, p = 0.095, \text{CI}_{\text{boot}} [-0.51 - 0.05]\)), and not correlated with GABA levels (\(\text{rho}(49) = 0.06, p = 0.674, \text{CI}_{\text{boot}} [-0.21 - 0.35]\)).

In contrast, cognitive contributions were more strongly related to the E/I balance. The Glu/GABA was significantly correlated with low reflective functioning due to high uncertainty about mental states of oneself (\(N = 57, \text{rho}(52) = 0.398, p = 0.003, \text{CI}_{\text{boot}} [0.14 - 0.58]\)), surviving Bonferroni-correction. A significant negative correlation with GABA (\(\text{rho}(52) = -0.28, p = 0.041, \text{CI}_{\text{boot}} [-0.47 - -0.021]\), Figure 2C) was additionally accompanied by a trend level correlation with Glu levels (\(\text{rho}(52) = 0.26, p = 0.053, \text{CI}_{\text{boot}} [-0.0012 - 0.51]\)), although both correlations were on an uncorrected level.

**Table 1 should be placed here**

Lastly, we confirmed the general pattern of the correlation analyses in the subset (\(N = 49\)) with complete data for all variables using multiple regression analyses. The associations between Glu and EER (\(\beta = -0.064, p = 0.09, \text{CI}_{\text{boot}} [-0.128 - 0.007]\)) as well as GABA and LRFuS (\(\beta = -0.071, p = 0.066, \text{CI}_{\text{boot}} [-0.149 - -0.008]\)) were still significant on trend-level, while the other psychometric scales were not (Table 2). Critically, the association between alexithymia and Glu/GABA was not significant (\(\beta = 0.000, p = 0.984, \text{CI}_{\text{boot}} [-0.03 - 0.02]\)) anymore when self-awareness (LRFuS, \(\beta = 0.169, p = 0.011, \text{CI}_{\text{boot}} [0.039 - 0.320]\)) was added as additional predictor, indicating that the relationship between alexithymia and E/I balance is partly explained by self-awareness. Indeed, a mediation model revealed a significant indirect (2.32, CI_{\text{boot}} [0.29 - 5.14], Figure 3) effect for LRFuS as mediator between pgACC Glu/GABA levels and alexithymia(TAS-20). In contrast, no significant indirect effect (0.11, CI_{\text{boot}} [-0.83 - 1.23]) was found for EER.
DISCUSSION

By combining behavioral and metabolic profiling, we have shown that the pgACC E/I profile correlated with alexithymia. Furthermore, proposed constructs implicated in alexithymia were distinctively correlated with inhibitory and excitatory transmitter concentrations. Impaired autonomic emotion regulation was associated with Glu. In contrast, lack of cognitive self-awareness was most strongly correlated with the E/I balance which seems to be mainly driven by an association with GABA. However, additional contributions of Glu could not be excluded.

Our findings add to recent observations outlining the importance of the pgACC in alexithymia, in terms of brain activity (van der Velde et al. 2013) and metabolic associations (Ernst et al. 2014; Colic et al. 2016). The relationship between E/I balance in the pgACC (Table 1) and alexithymia supports our hypothesis that such an imbalance might represent an underlying mechanism in the complex pathophysiology of alexithymia. Multimodal imaging studies investigating E/I balance are still rare due to technical requirements for such MRS studies. Most commonly used editing sequences such as MEGA-PRESS, allowing sufficient detection accuracies for GABA also at 3T, normally limit the accuracy for isolated glutamate measurements and allow solely the assessment of combined glutamine-glutamate (Glx) levels. Alternatives, e.g., 2D resolved spectroscopy would in principle overcome this problem, however, then inference on regional specificity is not possible, given the increased acquisition times per voxel (Dou et al. 2013). We benefited from equally well-suited measurements for both components of the E/I balance and for the first time investigated its regional profile in alexithymia. We found that associations between alexithymia and Glu/GABA levels differed significantly between pgACC and dACC. Similarly, using multiple single voxel PRESS sequences at 3 Tesla, Colic et al. (2016) found, that the association of alexithymia with NAA, a subtle indicator of neuronal integrity, was gender unspecific and restricted to the pgACC, while the association with Glx/NAA was specific for male participants in the dACC. Although extensive, our sample was not large enough to segregate sex specific effects on the E/I balance, however, sex was considered as a
covariate in our analysis. This and a more detailed investigation of relationships between subtle molecular and structural markers will have to be subject of future investigations.

Most importantly different emotion regulation processes (automatic and cognitive) that are altered in alexithymia, were indirectly related to the E/I balance through respective inhibitory and excitatory metabolites (Table 1). The sign of correlations herein needs to be interpreted in context of the respective scaling: EER scores lowest for highest impairments in emotion regulation, while high RFQ values indicate strongest deficits in self-awareness.

The negative correlation of experiencing emotion regulation and Glu levels in the pgACC (Table 1) supports our hypothesis that deficits related to automatic emotion processing might be related to excitatory inputs to the pgACC. The importance of the pgACC in emotion regulation and experience has been extensively reported (Etkin et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2012; Victor et al. 2012). Specifically, this association corresponds well with the emotion regulation model described by Phillips et al. (2008) which postulated that feedforward mechanisms, initiating in the amygdala, passing through the pgACC, and ending in medial prefrontal areas, are essential for automatic emotion regulation. These connections have been found directly affected, e.g., in bipolar patients, where anatomical fiber connections of the uncinate fasciculus, linking hippocampus and amygdala with the orbitofrontal cortex, showed altered fractional anisotropy in diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) (Versace et al. 2008; Lin et al. 2011). The functional importance of Glu in the pgACC has been shown in functional connectivity between cortical and subcortical regions (Duncan et al. 2011, 2013), activity at rest (Enzi et al. 2012), neural activity in response to emotional stimuli (Walter et al. 2009), and abnormal functional coupling (Horn et al. 2010) of the pgACC to the anterior insula, another region associated with alexithymia (Ernst et al. 2014). Importantly, glutamate metabolism of the pgACC are also altered in depressive disorders (Yüksel & Öngür 2010; Taylor 2014; Moriguchi et al. 2018), for which alexithymia is a risk factor (Conrad et al. 2009).
Surprisingly, the direct association of automatic emotion regulation and alexithymia was not significant. One possible explanation is that alexithymia, at least measured with TAS-20, reflects cognitive and self-aware aspects of deficits in emotional understanding. Other questionnaires, such as Bermond-Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire, measure automatic processing deficits in alexithymia more directly (Goerlich-Dobre et al. 2014) and thus might be better suited to assess associations with EER.

In contrast to automatic emotion processing, self-uncertainty was correlated with the Glu/GABA and, at least on a trend-level, with Glu (positive) and GABA (negative) concentrations separately (Table 1). While this suggests that cognitive emotion regulation is not only related to inhibitory top-down signaling, GABAergic processes may still dominate this relationship. Nonetheless, the positive correlation to Glu/GABA and the negative correlation to GABA indicate that subjects with low GABA levels show strongest deficits in self-awareness. This strengthens the idea that the association of Glu/GABA and alexithymia also reflects GABAergic top down processes from the cognitive control network (Northoff et al. 2007; Wiebking et al. 2014) involved in generating self-awareness of emotions. The involvement of cognitive affect processing at the level of emotional self-awareness in alexithymia was supported by their positive correlation. More generally, the association between GABA and self-certainty corresponds to the emotion regulation model proposed by Phillips et al. (2008), in which voluntary emotion regulation processes are mediated by feedback mechanisms from lateral and medial prefrontal areas to the ACC. Additionally, these cognitive control networks and the related pgACC have been implicated in the cognitive experience of emotions and self-judgments (Kjaer et al. 2002; Onoda et al. 2009; Denny et al. 2012; D’Argembeau et al. 2012).

The positive relationship between alexithymia and uncertainty about one’s own mental states replicates findings from Badoud et al. (2015), who observed a positive correlation of alexithymia with the RFQ uncertainty scale. Further, this corresponds well to the previously described cognitive dimension of alexithymia, which is characterized by diminished abilities to name, evaluate, and express one’s own feelings (Aleman & Kahn 2005; Swart et al. 2009).
Critically, cognitive self-awareness almost completely mediated the association between alexithymia and the excitation/inhibition balance, whereas the experience of automatic emotion regulation did not. This suggests that the increased E/I balance in high alexithymia is predominantly driven by GABAergic processes of cognitive emotion regulation and self-awareness about feelings. In contrast, while automatic emotion regulation is related to Glu levels in pgACC, this relationship is not significantly reflected in alexithymia related alterations of the Glu/GABA ratio.

We could not explicitly replicate the previously reported specific association between the GABA concentration in pgACC and alexithymia in 22 subjects using MRS at 3 Tesla by Ernst et al. (2014). Instead we found an association of Glu/GABA ratio in the pgACC with alexithymia, while GABA was only correlated on trend level (Table 1). Methodological differences between the two studies might contribute to discrepant findings; next to a much smaller sample size the voxel position was considerably different. In the present study outlines of the ACC subregions were confined to histoarchitectural and receptor-architectural boundaries (Dou et al. 2013), whereas Ernst et al. (2014) relied on larger voxels to reach sufficient SNR given the low field strengths. Furthermore, inclusion of correction for gray matter content in the respective voxels, which was not done by Ernst et al. (2014), has become feasible for most institutions and will hopefully lead to more consistent findings. Those limitations of the initial results by Ernst et al. (2014) on isolated GABAergic effects may explain the difference to our finding of a more general effect of the Glu/GABA ratio.

To the best of our knowledge, a relationship between self-uncertainty and experience of emotion regulation has so far not been reported. A negative correlation between these two scales indicates that high uncertainty regarding the mental and emotional state of oneself is associated with reduced experience of emotion regulation. While implications of both concepts in psychopathologies are often investigated separately, this finding is in accordance with the emotion regulation theories proposing that self-awareness and introspection are essential for the regulation of emotions (Koole 2009). Further evidence comes from a study by Sharp et al. (2011) describing a correlation between mentalization and emotion regulation.
**LIMITATIONS**

The results and conclusions should be interpreted with caution, considering demographic characteristics of the sample. The sample included only healthy subjects in the normal range of alexithymia. Accordingly, range and distribution included here (TAS-20: mean 40.33, SD 8.26, range 23-68) varied from large scale epidemiological samples as reported in Franz *et al.* (2008) (TAS-20: mean 48.8, SD 9.3; range 22–85, 2008). The screening for psychiatric disorders excluded participants with pathologic autistic characteristics, nonetheless there is considerable overlap between alexithymia and autism that we have not assessed with additional questionnaires. Therefore, further research is necessary to delineate alexithymia and autism related processes. However, it has previously been shown, that alexithymia but not autism is related to emotion processing deficits (Bird *et al.* 2010; Bird & Cook 2013; Cook *et al.* 2013). Subjects were within the age range of 18 to 40 and thus results are not representative for children, adolescents or elderly, who can have distinct metabolite profiles (Brooks *et al.* 2001) and have been shown to differ in alexithymia scores and associated ACC gray matter volume (Paradiso *et al.* 2008). Further, values for all scales, but most prominently cognitive self-awareness, were not normally distributed. While we used appropriated non-parametric statistical methods that are robust to outliers, e.g. Spearman-rank correlations and bootstrapping, replication in a more heterogenous sample would be advisable. Finally, it should be considered that data measured in this study representing excitation or inhibition, is not assessing the neuronal activity of regions or fiber connectivity between regions, but rather reflects average metabolite concentrations regardless of neuron or glia specific origin. This makes MRS a non-specific measurement and individual differences in MRS transmitter estimates do not directly measure differences in synaptic/vesicular concentrations from one represented neural subtype (e.g. long-range projections from the prefrontal cortex) within a region. This may be crucial particularly when interpreting the lack of specificity for GABAergic mechanisms related to RFQ. Furthermore, regional metabolite concentrations, while used to decipher the state of the region of interest, do not explain the direct structural connectivity underlying the hypothesized bottom up and top down processes. It
would be necessary to perform studies using DTI, to further determine the connectivity leading to metabolite profiles and explain underlying mechanisms of autonomic and cognitive aspects of emotion processing.

**CONCLUSION**

We demonstrated that alexithymia is associated with the pgACC E/I balance. Variance in E/I balance seems to incorporate individual variations of GABA and Glu levels, which were shown to correlate differentially with automatic emotional experience and cognitive self-awareness. Although scales of automatic emotional experience and cognitive self-awareness dimensions were correlated with each other, their contribution to alexithymic features seems to reflect distinct biological mechanisms of feedforward and feedback control, which are integrated in this area and are important for general emotion regulation.
Legends

**Figure 1:** a) Voxel position of the spectroscopy voxel in the pgACC; b) Schematic representation of GABAergic feedback projections and Glutamatergic feedforward projections. Adapted from Phillips et al. (2008), schematic brain figure from BrainNet Viewer (http://www.nitrc.org/projects/bnv/, Xia et al., 2013).

**Figure 2** Scatterplots of correlations between metabolite concentrations in the pgACC (corrected for age, sex, and gray matter ratio) and alexithymia, automatic emotion regulation, and reflective functioning (all corrected for age and sex). a) Partial spearman-rank correlation between alexithymia (TAS-20) and Glu/GABA corrected for age, sex, and gray matter ratio, $\rho(58)= .30$, $p= .019$. b) Spearman-rank correlation between experiencing emotion regulation (EER) and Glu corrected for age, sex, and gray matter ratio, $\rho(49)= -.37$, $p= .008$. c) Spearman-rank correlation between Low Reflective Functioning: Uncertainty Self (LRFuS) and GABA corrected for age, sex, and gray matter ratio, $\rho(52)= -.28$, $p= .041$.

**Figure 3** The association between alexithymia (TAS-20) and Glu/GABA is mediated by Reflective Functioning (LRFuS). * $p < .05$, † $p < .10$
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\[ a_{RFQ} = 1.24^{**} \]

\[ ab_{RFQ} = 2.32 [0.29 - 5.14] \]

\[ b_{RFQ} = 1.87^{**} \]

\[ a_{SEE} = -0.96^{*} \]

\[ ab_{SEE} = 0.11 [-0.83 - 1.23] \]

\[ c = 2.45^+ \]

\[ c' = 0.03 \]

\[ b_{SEE} = -0.11 \]
### Metabolite concentrations in pgACC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Glu</th>
<th>GABA</th>
<th>Glu/GABA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rho</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>rho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAS-20</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Alexithymia)</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.798</td>
<td>-.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEE: Experiencing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion Regulation</td>
<td>-.370**</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RFQ: Uncertainty</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>.264</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>-.279**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: A* p < .05, Bonferroni corrected; A** p < .01, Bonferroni corrected; B* p < .05, uncorrected;*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>beta</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>LCI_{boot}</th>
<th>UCI_{boot}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GTA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS-20</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.985</td>
<td>-.361</td>
<td>.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFQuSelf</td>
<td>.459</td>
<td>2.648</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.137</td>
<td>.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEE</td>
<td>-.130</td>
<td>-.893</td>
<td>.377</td>
<td>-.460</td>
<td>.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GABA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS-20</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>.911</td>
<td>-.285</td>
<td>.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFQuSelf</td>
<td>-.361</td>
<td>-1.888</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>-.750</td>
<td>-.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEE</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>.964</td>
<td>-.307</td>
<td>.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glu</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS-20</td>
<td>-.032</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>-.459</td>
<td>.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFQuSelf</td>
<td>.129</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>-.192</td>
<td>.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEE</td>
<td>-.274</td>
<td>-1.730</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>-.534</td>
<td>.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>