

**Patient Reported Outcome Measuring Tools in Cataract Surgery –
Clinical Comparison in a Tertiary Hospital.**

Short title

Comparison of Patient Reported Outcome Measuring Tools in Cataract
Surgery.

Authors & Affiliation

Simon SM Fung¹, MA FRCOphth

Joshua Luis², MB BS

Badrul Hussain¹, FRCSEd, FRCOphth

Catey Bunce¹, BSc (Hons), MSc, DSc

Melanie Hingorani¹, FRCOphth

Joanne Hancox¹ FRCOphth

1. Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, 162 City Road, London,
EC1V 2PD.

2. St. George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Blackshaw Road,
Tooting, London, SW17 0QT.

Previously presented in ESCRS Winter meeting, Ljubljana, Slovenia,
February 2014 and UKISCRS annual meeting, London, UK, September 2014.

Corresponding author:

Simon S M Fung

Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust,

162 City Road,

London, EC1V 2PD.

Phone: +44 207 253 3411

Email: simon.fung@moorfields.nhs.uk

Funding & Disclosure: none declared

Keywords: patient reported outcomes, PROM, cataract surgery, phacoemulsification

1 **Abstract**

2 **Purpose**

3 To assess the performance of Patient Reported Outcome Measure (PROM)
4 questionnaires ~~to~~and determine their appropriateness for routine use in
5 cataract patients.

6 **Setting**

7 Moorfields Eye Hospital, London, United Kingdom.

8 **Design**

9 Prospective cohort study.

10 **Method**

11 Patients undergoing cataract surgery between February and March 2013
12 were recruited. Four questionnaires, including Catquest-9SF, EQ-5D and its
13 visual analog scale (VAS), NEI-SES and VF-8R were given to patients to
14 complete before surgery, 3 weeks post-surgery and 3 months post-surgery.
15 Rasch-analyzed data, when possible, was used to compare questionnaires'
16 performances. Statistical significance was calculated with paired student's t-
17 test. Pearson's correlation coefficients were determined between PROMs'
18 scores and visual acuity.

19

20

44 **Introduction**

45 Cataract surgery is the commonest procedure performed in the public
46 healthcare system, the National Health Service (NHS), in the United Kingdom,
47 with around 330 000 cases performed in England.¹ Although there is clear
48 evidence of objective visual improvements from modern cataract surgery,²
49 based on visual acuity measurement, this fails to accurately evaluate patient
50 perceived benefits of the procedure.³

51 Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) examining health related
52 quality of life, functional status and symptom scores have increasingly been
53 recognized as important tools in patient centered care and in measuring the
54 value of health interventions.^A Generic PROM, measured by EQ-5D, has been
55 a mandatory requirement for four types of high volume elective surgeries
56 performed in NHS England, namely hip and knee replacements, groin hernia
57 repair, and varicose vein surgery since 2009. ~~However, d~~Despite the volume
58 of cases, cataract surgery is currently not included due to uncertainties of
59 validity and responsiveness of EQ-5D in these patients.⁴

60 The 51-item National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI-VFQ) ←
61 is considered the 'gold-standard' for assessing visual function.⁵ A shortened
62 version of NEI-VFQ with 25 items (NEI-VFQ-25) has also been introduced in
63 the assessment of vision-related quality of life of patients with ocular diseases
64 in cross-sectional studies.⁶⁻¹⁰ ~~This~~ Both versions have been used mainly in the
65 ~~has remained largely a research setting tool, as they are~~ ~~However, it is~~
66 lengthy and time-consuming ~~both~~ for patients to complete and for clinicians to
67 analyze. making them difficult to implement therefore not practical in in-routine

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

68 ~~clinical practice settings, and therefore has remained largely a research tool.~~

69 NEI-VFQ was also found to contain several design issues that reduces its

70 validity, namely multidimensionality (more than 1 construct in 1 score),

71 questions that did not fit the construct, suboptimum targeting of item difficulty

72 to person ability, and dysfunctional subscales.¹¹

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

73 ~~A shortened version of NEI-VFQ with 25 items (NEI-VFQ-25) has been used~~

74 ~~more widely in the assessment of vision-related quality of life of patients with~~

75 ~~ocular diseases in cross-sectional studies.⁶⁻⁸ More recently, shorter validated~~

76 questionnaires for cataract patients have been developed. These include VF-

77 8R and Catquest-9SF,^{129,103} the latter of which has been successfully adopted

78 in Sweden since 1998 as part of the Swedish National Cataract Register and

79 is promoted by the International Consortium for Health Outcomes

80 Measurement for international use.^{14,14} ~~In the UK, However, so far~~ there is no

81 consensus in the UK on a PROM for cataract surgery patients so far.

82 The aim of this study is to evaluate the feasibility of using PROMs in routine

83 NHS service for cataract patients in the United Kingdom, and to compare the

84 responsiveness of different tools in order to identify the best PROM for this

85 purpose. To our knowledge, this is the first clinical study comparing PROMs

86 for cataract patients in the United Kingdom.

87

88 **Method**

89 *Study cohort*

90 This was a [prospective](#) longitudinal study of consecutive patients scheduled
91 for cataract surgery at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London between February
92 and March 2013. Patients were recruited during their pre-operative
93 assessment. Eligible patients had cataracts in one or both eyes, were ≥ 40
94 years of age, were scheduled to have phacoemulsification and intraocular
95 lens implant insertion, were able to read and interpret English without
96 translation, and could give valid consent. We excluded patients with
97 significant [visual impairment from](#) ocular comorbidities in the eye that was to
98 be operated upon (e.g., advanced age related macular degeneration,
99 advanced glaucoma, uncontrolled diabetic retinopathy and maculopathy, and
100 other conditions that carried a guarded visual prognosis after cataract
101 surgery), and patients with psychiatric or cognitive diseases. [Those with](#)
102 [stable ocular comorbidities not causing significant visual impairment were](#)
103 [included in this study \(Table 1\).](#)

104 The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved as a
105 part of service evaluation. Informed consent was obtained from all participants
106 in this study.

107 *Data collection*

108 A study pack with four questionnaires, consisted of Catquest-9SF, EQ-5D,
109 NEI-SES and VF-8R was given to patients to complete. Clarifications and
110 support were given by nursing staff if patients were unable to understand the
111 questionnaire. In accordance with the design of all the questionnaires used,
112 patients were instructed to consider their situation during the 2 weeks prior to
113 the assessment only. Data from one operated eye was recorded. In second
114 eye patients, no questionnaires were given within 2 weeks of completion of
115 the first eye surgery.

Formatted: Font: Arial, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Arial, 12 pt

116 The same pack was subsequently mailed to the patients at 3 weeks and at 3
117 months post-surgery. Questionnaire response rates were enhanced by
118 telephone call reminders at 3 weeks and 3 months post-surgery.

119 Clinical data for the subjects was retrieved from medical records. Data
120 collected included past medical history, pre- and post-operative corrected
121 distance visual acuity (CDVA) and refraction, slit-lamp biomicroscopy findings,
122 operation report, and any intra- and post-operative complications.

123

124 *Patient-reported outcome measuring tool selection*

125 Four preference-based patient-reported outcome instruments were selected
126 for this study. The 4 questionnaires were selected in order to cover 3 different
127 areas: generic health status, disease-specific health status, and
128 socioemotional status.

129 EQ-5D was used as the tool for generic health status measurement. National
130 Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) and the UK Department of Health have
131 recommended the use of this questionnaire as part of a wider comparative
132 health-care economic analysis in other common procedures in England. It
133 consists of 5 questions concerning subject's self-reported health, and a visual
134 analogue scale (EQ-VAS) that allow subjects to report their perceived overall
135 status of general health. EQ-5D has not been recommended specifically for
136 cataract patients,^B but some recent studies have shown that it is responsive in
137 patients with visual impairments, although none of them were based in
138 primarily English-speaking patient population. [42-4515-18](#)

139 For disease-specific measuring tool, Catquest-9SF and VF-8R were selected.
140 Both questionnaires were specifically designed to capture visual function data
141 in cataract patients, and have previously been vigorously validated in English-
142 speaking populations. [9,1012,13](#) Furthermore, a head-to-head study has shown
143 ~~that~~ Catquest-9SF to be superior to other questionnaires in cataract
144 patients. [46-19](#) We decided to include VF-8R because its predecessor (VF-14)
145 was recommended for UK cataract patients.^B However, we did not use VF-14
146 as it has not been Rasch-validated and is less responsive in detecting
147 longitudinal changes in visual function. [47-20](#)

148 NEI-SES was chosen to assess the socioemotional changes brought about by
149 cataract surgeries. This questionnaire was based on the 39-item National Eye
150 Institute Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI-VFQ). After validation and the
151 removal of redundancies by Pesudovs et al., NEI-SES was developed to
152 capture data measuring socioemotional construct. [48-11](#)

153

154 *Statistical analysis*

155 Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics were analysed by descriptive
156 statistics. Subgroup analyses on patients undergoing cataract surgery for the
157 first time and for the second time were also performed.

158 To assist data analysis, EQ-5D health states were converted to index values
159 as reported previously.^{49,2021,22} Raw value of EQ-VAS was used, as no index
160 score conversion was available. For Catquest-9SF, VF-8R and NEI-SES,
161 Rasch adjusted scoring systems were preferred over summative (Likert)
162 scoring system. The advantages of using Rasch scoring include validated
163 scoring weighting, better precision in detecting change over time,^{47,20} and the
164 possibility of using parametric statistical techniques, allowing direct
165 comparison of the performances of the questionnaires. Rasch measuring
166 scale is linear and uses a unit known as logit, or log-odds unit, which is the
167 logarithm of odds ratio of the probability a person will endorse a particular
168 rating scale step over 1- the same probability, with persons of higher ability
169 achieving a negative score.^{48,11} In other words, negative logit scores
170 represent better health states. The conversion was based on previously
171 published articles for each questionnaire.^{9,10,18,11-13}

172 Visual acuity was assessed by Snellen-converted ETDRS. Corrected distance
173 visual acuity data were analyzed with ipsilateral eye undergoing surgery
174 (CDVA), better-seeing eye (BEVA), worse-seeing eye (WEVA) and weighted
175 average of both eyes (WVA) as separate variables of interest. The WVA was

176 based on 75% contribution by BEVA and 25% contribution by WEVA.²⁴²³
177 Data analysis was performed with Microsoft Excel for Mac 2011 (Microsoft
178 Corp, 2010). Association between continuous variables were examined using
179 the Pearson's correlation coefficient. Coefficients were considered strong
180 (>0.5), moderate (>0.35 – 0.50), weak (>0.20 – 0.35), and no correlation
181 (≤ 0.2). Statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) was assessed using two-tailed paired
182 student's t-test after non-respondents were excluded from longitudinal data
183 analysis.

184 **Results**

185 One hundred and twenty-two~~three~~ patients were recruited for the study. Sixty-
186 ~~five~~four patients (52~~3~~%) were male. Mean age of all subjects (\pm S.D.) was
187 70.72 \pm 10.60 years. Sixty-eight~~nine~~ patients (55.76~~4~~%) had surgery on their
188 right eye; while 67 patients (54.5~~*~~%) were undergoing cataract surgery for the
189 first time. ~~Forty-nine~~Fifty-nine patients (48.40~~0~~%) were White British and
190 ~~Forty-one~~30 (33.62~~4~~%) were Indian. Mean pre-operative CDVA, BEVA,
191 WEVA and WVA were 0.634 \pm 0.489, 0.267 \pm 0.2730, 0.654 \pm 0.504 and
192 0.367 \pm 0.2730 LogMAR, respectively. Post-operative mean CDVA, BEVA,
193 WEVA and WVA were 0.204 \pm 0.2630, 0.146 \pm 0.205, 0.357 \pm 0.358 and
194 0.204 \pm 0.216 LogMAR, respectively. Peri-operative complications include 1
195 case of contained anterior radial capsular tear as well as 1 case of cystoid
196 macular oedema, 1 case of raised intraocular pressure and 1 case of post-
197 operative uveitis, all of which settled after a short course of medical treatment.
198 Table 1 summarises the sociodemographic and clinical data.

199 Of the 122~~3~~ patient recruited, 824 (67.25~~9~~%) patients responded at 3 weeks
200 after surgery, while 76 (61.8%) patients responded at 3 months after surgery.
201 Non-respondents at each point of follow-up were excluded from further
202 statistical analyses. Table 2 showed the median age, gender and ethnicity
203 distribution between respondents and non-respondents at 3 weeks and at 3
204 months. Age and gender distributions were similar between the two groups at
205 both time points, although the ethnic groups were slightly different between
206 respondents and non-respondents at both time points.

207 Patient responses at pre-operative assessment, ~~at~~ 3 weeks post-surgery and
208 ~~at~~ 3 months post-surgery are summarized in Table 3. All questionnaires
209 reported improvement in patient reported outcomes in relation to post-
210 operative CDVA improvement. Catquest-9SF reported the largest and
211 statistically significant changes at both 3 weeks and 3 months post-surgery
212 (120.86~~10.8~~%, $P \leq 0.0001$ and 162.42~~57.3~~%, $p < 0.0001$, respectively). VF-
213 8R was also statistically significant at both time points (61.76%, $p < 0.0001$ and
214 87.55, $p < 0.0001$ respectively). ~~Both VF-8R and~~ NEI-SES reported statistically
215 significant changes at 3 months post-surgery (~~86.35~~4.63%, $p \leq 0.0001$ ~~0005~~
216 ~~and 49.1%, $P = 0.0006$ respectively).~~ Neither EQ-5D health states nor the EQ
217 VAS showed change that achieved statistical significance at any time points.

218 Figure 1 illustrates the responses from patients at both 3 weeks and at 3
219 months post-surgery. Catquest-9SF and VF-8R showed changes that were
220 statistically significant results at all time points. NEI-SES did not show
221 statistically significant change in either of the patients subgroups until 3
222 months post-operatively. Neither EQ-5D nor EQ VAS showed change that
223 was statistically significant results at any time point.

224 We further investigated the patients who responded to PROMs at both 3-week
225 and 3-month post-operatively. (Table 4) Sixty-four patients responded at both
226 time points. The changes in response to the different questionnaires were
227 similar to those observed when all patients were considered. Significant
228 improvements versus pre-operative responses were recorded by Catquest-
229 9SF and VF-8R at 3-weeks post-operatively. These two questionnaires and
230 NEI-SES also registered significant improvements from pre-operative

231 responses at 3-months post-operatively. However, unlike Catquest-9SF and
232 NEI-SES whose scores significantly improved between the two follow-ups,
233 VF-8R did not register further significant improvement during this period. EQ-
234 5D and EQ-VAS did not yield noticeable changes at either time points.

235

236 Subgroup analyses into the effect of first and second eye cataract surgery
237 were performed and shown in Table 54. Catquest-9SF ~~and VF-8R both was~~
238 ~~the only measuring tool that~~ demonstrated statistically significant changes in
239 both groups of patients at 3 weeks; ~~whereas VF-8R demonstrated statistically~~
240 ~~significant change only in second eye patients at 3 weeks.~~ Subgroup analysis
241 of NEI-SES and EQ-5D performance in both sets of patients were similar to
242 the overall results shown in Figure 1.

243 Although considerable changes were recorded by VF-8R, these changes did
244 not achieve statistical significance. EQ-5D and EQ-VAS did not yield
245 noticeable changes.

Formatted: Normal, Space After: 0 pt

246 Table 65 illustrates the Pearson's correlation coefficients between visual
247 function and clinical variables. Pre-operatively, only Catquest-9SF showed
248 weak correlation to one of the four visual function variables (WEVA, $r=0.22$,
249 $P<0.05$). All other PROM tools did not show any correlation to visual function.
250 At 3-weeks post-surgery, Catquest-9SF, VF-8R, NEI-SES and EQ-5D were
251 found to be weakly correlated to CDVA, BEVA and WEVA. In addition, NEI-
252 SES and EQ-5D were also weakly correlated to WVA. At 3-months post-
253 surgery, CDVA, BEVA and WVA were weakly correlated with VF-8R and NEI-

254 SES, while WEVA was correlated to Catquest-9SF, VF-8R, NEI-SES and EQ-
255 VAS.

256 ~~We found no association between Catquest-9SF and either pre-operative~~
257 ~~CDVA or BEVA, and weak correlation to WEVA and WVA (Pearson's~~
258 ~~correlation coefficients 0.18, 0.14, 0.25 and 0.22, respectively). Similarly, we~~
259 ~~found no association between NEI-SES and pre-operative CDVA and BEVA,~~
260 ~~but weak correlation to WEVA and WVA (Pearson's correlation coefficients~~
261 ~~0.11, 0.12, 0.17 and 0.14, respectively). VF-8R, EQ-5D and EQ-VAS did not~~
262 ~~appear associated with pre-operative visual function.~~

263 ~~Post-operatively, Catquest-9SF was weakly correlated to all four parameters~~
264 ~~of visual function at 3 weeks (Pearson's correlation coefficients 0.28, 0.32,~~
265 ~~0.37 and 0.32 for CDVA, BEVA, WEVA and WVA, respectively). However, it~~
266 ~~only remained correlated to WEVA at 3 months. VF-8R was only correlated to~~
267 ~~WEVA at 3 weeks, but showed weak correlations with WEVA as well as~~
268 ~~BEVA and WVA at 3 months. NEI-SES has a similar pattern of correlation to~~
269 ~~visual function, showing weak correlations at 3 weeks to BEVA, WEVA and~~
270 ~~WVA and correlation to WEVA only at 3 months post-surgery. In contrast, EQ-~~
271 ~~5D only showed weak correlation to WEVA at 3 weeks and no correlation to~~
272 ~~other parameters at any other time points. We found no evidence of~~
273 ~~association between EQ-VAS and any visual function parameters at any time~~
274 ~~point.~~

275

276 **Discussion**

277 Routine use of patient reported outcome measures could help patients and
278 clinicians make better decisions, facilitate comparisons and stimulate
279 improvements in the provision of healthcare.²²⁻²⁴ To our knowledge, this is the
280 first clinical study evaluating different PROM tools in the same cohort of
281 patients as part of routine cataract surgery in the UK NHS. This study showed
282 that cataract surgery has a positive impact on visual function and is best
283 measured by Catquest-9SF as early as 3 weeks after surgery. We also
284 showed possible delayed improvements in the socioemotional construct in
285 patients undergoing cataract surgery, and poor correlations between PROMs
286 results (i.e. visual function) and clinical parameters (i.e. visual acuity) in
287 cataract patients.

288 Cataract surgery positively impacts visual function and therefore quality of life
289 as determined by all the instruments in this UK population, ~~and similar to~~
290 ~~findings from previous studies~~ have been reported by Desai and colleagues,²⁵
291 ~~(ref)~~. Both Catquest-9SF and VF-8R were highly sensitive to this change, with
292 the logit scores improved significantly by 16257% and 868% at 3 months,
293 respectively. It has been shown previously that Catquest-9SF was the most
294 responsive questionnaire of 16 instruments in a head-to-head study, including
295 VF-8R in a Swedish patient cohort,⁽¹⁶⁾⁹ but the authors advised caution in the
296 extrapolation of its superiority over other questionnaires to other populations.
297 ~~Nevertheless, o~~Our study lends support to the idea that Catquest-9SF is a
298 highly responsive tool and may be the most appropriate questionnaire of

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

299 choice for the measurement of patient reported outcomes in cataract surgery
300 in UK.

301 Previous PROM studies have reported outcomes between 3 to 6 months after
302 cataract surgery.^{9-12,13} We purposefully chose a much earlier timeframe of 3
303 weeks after surgery as the first point of data collection, in order to assess the
304 feasibility of using PROMs as part of patients' routine post-operative care,
305 since UK patients most commonly return for their final post-operative review
306 at 2-6 weeks either in the hospital or at their community optometrist.^C By
307 integrating PROMs with routine post-operative review, patient-reported
308 outcomes could be collected without further patient visits or the difficulties of
309 obtaining post-discharge questionnaire return, thereby improving patient
310 participation rates while minimizing administrative and resource costs.

311 At 3 weeks post-surgery, Catquest-9SF detected statistically significant
312 improvements in visual function in patients who underwent their first cataract
313 surgery as well as those for the second time. ~~In contrast, at 3 weeks post-~~
314 ~~surgery status,~~ VF-8R similarly produced statistically significant responses- in
315 both groups of patients but to a much smaller effect, especially in first eye
316 patients at 3 weeks only in patients who underwent second eye cataract
317 surgery. Furthermore, we showed (Table 4) that although VF-8R responded to
318 early improvements in patient reported visual function, it was less sensitive in
319 capturing changes between early and later follow-up, suggesting a plateau
320 effect of its responsiveness. These findings suggest that Catquest-9SF
321 performance is superior to that of VF-8R, as previously shown in a head-to-
322 head comparison study.¹⁸ Our results also showed that, while improvements

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Superscript

§23 in PROM could be recorded as early as 3-week post-surgery, further
§24 significant improvement could be recorded up until 3-month post-surgery.
§25 Further longer-term longitudinal study with Catquest-9SF may help
§26 demonstrate the sustainability of the PROM changes after cataract surgery. A
§27 previous study suggested that visual function improvement in patients
§28 receiving unilateral cataract surgery was dependent on whether the fellow eye
§29 has significant visual impairment (defined as CDVA \leq 0.20).²³ However, this is
§30 an unlikely explanation for our failure to detect an effect with VF-8R, since the
§31 majority of our first eye patients had CDVA $<$ 0.20 in the fellow eye (42 of 63,
§32 66.7%). Visual function improvements in the first eye subgroup were
§33 statistically significant when measured by VF-8R at 3 months status-post. We
§34 therefore interpret our findings as providing evidence that Catquest-9SF
§35 captures changes of visual function at an earlier time after cataract surgery
§36 than VF-8R.

§37
§38 A study by Shekhawat and colleagues has shown that cataract surgeries
§39 could improve patients' socioemotional status.^D Changes in socioemotional
§40 status have also been reported in cross-sectional studies involving patients
§41 undergoing other procedures, such as corneal transplants.²⁴ However, to the
§42 best of our knowledge, longitudinal socioemotional changes have not been
§43 previously reported in cataract patients. In our study, cChanges in NEI-SES
§44 were delayed and only became ~~were~~ statistically significant at 3 months after
§45 cataract surgery ~~in our study, but not earlier. These results suggest that~~
§46 improvement in socioemotional status occurred after improvement of visual
§47 function, and this phenomenon may not be fully assessable until at least 3

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

348 ~~months post-operatively~~. Socio-emotional changes brought about by medical
349 interventions are currently poorly understood. ~~We speculate that~~
350 neuroplasticity could account for the delayed changes in socioemotional
351 status. Neuroplasticity is the ability of the brain to reorganise its structure and
352 function in response to changes in the environment, and there is now a
353 growing body of evidence that neuroplasticity occurs in adult patients with
354 amblyopia and those after refractive surgery.²⁶ Patients with multifocal lens
355 also seem to display neuroplasticity or “neuroadaptation” after surgery to
356 counteract the associated side effects, such as glare, halos and loss of
357 contrast sensitivity, and this process can take several months. While studies
358 of neuroplasticity in ophthalmology have focused on the visual cortex, we
359 hypothesise that changes in other areas of the brain, including ones
360 controlling social interactions and emotional status, also occur after cataract
361 surgery. Since changes in socioemotional construct appeared to take longer
362 than visual function to materialise, future research in this area should take into
363 account the timing of data collection.

364 In our study, EQ-5D and EQ-VAS ~~did not respond~~ ~~were poorly responsive well~~
365 to cataract surgery. There have been conflicting reports of validity and
366 sensitivity of EQ-5D in patients with visual or ophthalmic related conditions.⁴
367 ~~Although There are~~ some studies ~~have~~ ~~showing a~~ good performances of EQ-
368 5D in cataract patients, many of ~~these which reports consist involve of~~ patient
369 cohorts with very different ethnic compositions ~~compared with to our this~~
370 study.^{12-15,18} Our current results do not support the use of EQ-5D in routine
371 assessment of patient reported visual function improvement after cataract
372 surgery.

373 All the questionnaires, at best, showed weak correlation with visual acuity
374 status. ~~Only WEVA was consistently weakly associated with Catquest-9SF~~
375 ~~and NEI-SES at all time points.~~ Similar ~~findings~~ ~~findings~~ have been ~~reported~~
376 ~~noted~~ in patients ~~who underwent cataract surgery²⁷ and in those~~ with age-
377 related macular degeneration.²⁵⁻²⁸ Our study ~~therefore adds weight to their~~
378 ~~findings and~~ suggests that the severity of visual acuity impairment measured
379 in clinical settings may not fully reflect patient's visual function or their
380 perception of the severity of their health problems. ~~We believe, and supports~~
381 ~~the view~~ that pre-operative assessment of patient-reported visual function and
382 severity of deterioration could be an important tool to help assist decision-
383 making by both patients and clinicians.

384 Limitations in this study are that it has a near 30% non-respondent rate,
385 despite multiple telephone reminders during the study period, and raises
386 concerns on the feasibility of routine use of PROMs. Non-respondent rates of
387 around 50% are commonly reported in studies based on mail surveys.²⁶⁻²⁹
388 The use of PROMs at routine post-operative visits for cataract patients would
389 help resolve this issue. Although age and gender distribution was similar
390 between respondents and non-respondents, there were differences in the
391 ethnic distributions. Further work is needed to investigate any potential
392 differences in the responses by different ethnic groups in the questionnaires
393 we used. Furthermore, this study was based in a tertiary centre in a
394 metropolitan area; therefore the findings may not generalize across the UK.
395 We did not include other generic health status measuring tools due to
396 concerns of inducing interviewee fatigue, although further studies with

397 different generic PROMs, such as the Health-utilities index 3 (HUI-3) may
398 yield results that are more suitable for cost-utility analyses.

399 In conclusion, this study demonstrated the feasibility of collecting patient-
400 reported outcomes in cataract surgery in routine clinical practice.

401 Improvements in patient-reported visual function could be detected as early
402 as 3 weeks post-operatively, with Catquest-9SF being the most responsive
403 measuring tool ~~both in first-eye and second-eye patients~~. Results from NEI-
404 SES suggest that cataract surgery could exert a delayed effect on patient's
405 socioemotional construct and further research in this area should be mindful
406 of the possible bias induced by timing of data collection. Generic PROMs
407 produce insufficient response to cataract surgery and should not be used in
408 these patients. Visual acuity measurements correlates poorly with patient-
409 reported visual function, and the incorporation of PROMs into routine practice
410 could assist clinical decision-making and in assessing the value of ophthalmic
411 interventions.

412 **What was known**

- 413 - Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) are important in
- 414 assessing outcomes in patients undergoing medical interventions.
- 415 - Previous reports have demonstrated the robustness of various PROMs
- 416 in cataract surgery.

417 **What this paper adds**

- 418 - Catquest-9SF was shown to be the most responsive PROM tool in a
- 419 British cohort at an earlier follow-up time than previously reported.
- 420 - Delayed response in NEI-SES suggests a possible late effect of
- 421 cataract surgery in socio-emotional construct.

422 **References**

- 423 1. Day AC, Donachie PH, Sparrow JM, Johnston RL, Royal College of
424 Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database. The royal college of
425 ophthalmologists' national ophthalmology database study of cataract surgery:
426 Report 1, visual outcomes and complications. *Eye (Lond)* 2015;29:552-560.
- 427 2. Jaycock P, Johnston RL, Taylor H, Adams M, Tole DM, Galloway P,
428 Canning C, Sparrow JM, UK EPR user group. The cataract national dataset
429 electronic multi-centre audit of 55,567 operations: Updating benchmark
430 standards of care in the united kingdom and internationally. *Eye (Lond)*
431 2009;23:38-49.
- 432 3. Rönbeck M, Lundström M, Kugelberg M. Study of possible predictors
433 associated with self-assessed visual function after cataract surgery.
434 *Ophthalmology* 2011;118:1732-1738.
- 435 4. Longworth L, Yang Y, Young T, Mulhern B, Hernández Alava M, Mukuria
436 C, Rowen D, Tosh J, Tsuchiya A, Evans P, Keetharuth AD, Brazier J. Use of
437 generic and condition-specific measures of health-related quality of life in
438 NICE decision-making: A systematic review, statistical modelling and survey.
439 *Health Technol Assess* 2014;18:1-224.
- 440 5. Mangione CM, Berry S, Spritzer K, Janz NK, Klein R, Owsley C, Lee PP.
441 Identifying the content area for the 51-item national eye institute visual
442 function questionnaire: Results from focus groups with visually impaired
443 persons. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1998;116:227-233.

- 444 6. Espindle D, Crawford B, Maxwell A, Rajagopalan K, Barnes R, Harris B,
445 Hileman K. Quality-of-life improvements in cataract patients with bilateral blue
446 light-filtering intraocular lenses: Clinical trial. J Cataract Refract Surg
447 2005;31:1952-1959.
- 448 7. Lotery A, Xu X, Zlatava G, Loftus J. Burden of illness, visual impairment
449 and health resource utilisation of patients with neovascular age-related
450 macular degeneration: Results from the UK cohort of a five-country cross-
451 sectional study. Br J Ophthalmol 2007;91:1303-1307.
- 452 8. McKean-Cowdin R, Wang Y, Wu J, Azen SP, Varma R, Los Angeles Latino
453 Eye Study Group. Impact of visual field loss on health-related quality of life in
454 glaucoma: The Los Angeles latino eye study. Ophthalmology 2008;115:941-
455 948.
- 456 [9. Zhu M, Yu J, Zhang J, Yan Q, Liu Y. Evaluating vision-related quality of life
457 in preoperative age-related cataract patients and analyzing its influencing
458 factors in China: a cross-sectional study. BMC Ophthalmol. 2015;15:160](#)
- 459
- 460 [10. Abdelfattah NS, Amgad M, Salama AA, Israel ME, Elhawary GA, Radwan
461 AE, Elgayar MM, El Nakhal TM, Elkhateb IT, Hashem HA, Embaby DK, Elabd
462 AA, Elwy RK, Yacoub MS, Salem H, Abdel-Baqy M, Kassem A. Development
463 of an Arabic version of the National Eye Institute Visual Function
464 Questionnaire as a tool to study eye diseases patients in Egypt. Int J
465 Ophthalmol. 2014;7:891-897.](#)

466

467 [118](#). Pesudovs K, Gothwal VK, Wright T, Lamoureux EL. Remediating serious
468 [flaws in the national eye institute visual function questionnaire. J Cataract](#)
469 [Refract Surg 2010;36:718-732.](#)
470
471 [129](#). Lundström M, Pesudovs K. Catquest-9SF patient outcomes
472 questionnaire: Nine-item short-form rasch-scaled revision of the catquest
473 questionnaire. J Cataract Refract Surg 2009;35:504-513.
474 [130](#). Gothwal VK, Wright TA, Lamoureux EL, Pesudovs K. Measuring
475 outcomes of cataract surgery using the visual function index-14. J Cataract
476 Refract Surg 2010;36:1181-1188.
477 [44-14](#). Behndig A, Montan P, Stenevi U, Kugelberg M, Lundström M. One
478 million cataract surgeries: Swedish national cataract register 1992-2009. J
479 Cataract Refract Surg 2011;37:1539-1545.
480 [42-15](#) Polack S, Kuper H, Mathenge W, Fletcher A, Foster A. Cataract visual
481 impairment and quality of life in a kenyan population. Br J Ophthalmol
482 2007;91:927-932.
483 [43-16](#) Polack S, Eusebio C, Fletcher A, Foster A, Kuper H. Visual impairment
484 from cataract and health related quality of life: Results from a case-control
485 study in the philippines. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2010;17:152-159.
486 [44-17](#) Polack S, Eusebio C, Mathenge W, Wadud Z, Mamunur AK, Fletcher
487 A, Foster A, Kuper H. The impact of cataract surgery on health related quality

488 of life in Kenya, the Philippines, and Bangladesh. Ophthalmic Epidemiol
489 2010;17:387-399.

490 ~~15-18.~~ Ang M, Fenwick E, Wong TY, Lamoureux E, Luo N. Utility of EQ-5D to
491 assess patients undergoing cataract surgery. Optom Vis Sci 2013;90:861-
492 866.

493 ~~196.~~ McAlinden C, Gothwal VK, Khadka J, Wright TA, Lamoureux EL,
494 Pesudovs K. A head-to-head comparison of 16 cataract surgery outcome
495 questionnaires. Ophthalmology 2011;118:2374-2381.

496 ~~2047.~~ Las Hayas C, Bilbao A, Quintana JM, Garcia S, Lafuente I, IRYSS
497 Cataract Group. A comparison of standard scoring versus rasch scoring of the
498 visual function index-14 in patients with cataracts. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci
499 2011;52:4800-4807.

500 ~~48. Pesudovs K, Gothwal VK, Wright T, Lamoureux EL. Remediating serious~~
501 ~~flaws in the national eye institute visual function questionnaire. J Cataract~~
502 ~~Refract Surg 2010;36:718-732.~~

503 ~~2149.~~ Dolan P. Modeling valuations for euroqol health states. Med Care
504 1997;35:1095-1108.

505 ~~220.~~ van Hout B, Janssen MF, Feng YS, Kohlmann T, Busschbach J, Golicki
506 D, Lloyd A, Scalone L, Kind P, Pickard AS. Interim scoring for the EQ-5D-5L:
507 Mapping the EQ-5D-5L to EQ-5D-3L value sets. Value Health 2012;15:708-
508 715.

509 [234](#). Scott IU, Schein OD, West S, Bandeen-Roche K, Enger C, Folstein MF.
510 Functional status and quality of life measurement among ophthalmic patients.
511 Arch Ophthalmol 1994;112:329-335.

512 [242](#). Black N. Patient reported outcome measures could help transform
513 healthcare. BMJ 2013;346:f167.

514

515 [25. Desai P, Reidy A, Minassian DC, Vafidis G and Bolger J. Gains from](#)
516 [cataract surgery: visual function and quality of life. Br J Ophthalmol](#)
517 [1996;80:868-873.](#)

518 [26. Martins Rosa A, Silva MF, Ferreira S, Murta J, Castelo-Branco M.](#)
519 [Plasticity in the human visual cortex: an ophthalmology-based perspective.](#)
520 [Biomed Res Int. 2013;2013:568354. doi: 10.1155/2013/568354.](#)

521 [23. Tan AC, Tay WT, Zheng YF, Tan AG, Wang JJ, Mitchell P, Wong TY,](#)
522 [Lamoureux EL. The impact of bilateral or unilateral cataract surgery on visual](#)
523 [functioning: When does second eye cataract surgery benefit patients? Br J](#)
524 [Ophthalmol 2012;96:846-851.](#)

Formatted: Space After: Auto

525 [24. Mak ST, Wong AC. Vision-related quality of life in corneal graft recipients.](#)
526 [Eye \(Lond\) 2012;26:1249-1255.](#)

527 [2725. Lau J, Michon JJ, Chan WS, Ellwein LB. Visual acuity and quality of life](#)
528 [outcomes in cataract surgery patients in Hong Kong. Br J Ophthalmol.](#)
529 [2002;86\(1\):12-7.](#)

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 6 pt

530 [28.](#) Au Eong KG, Chan EW, Luo N, Wong SH, Tan NW, Lim TH, Wagle AM.
531 Validity of euroqol-5d, time trade-off, and standard gamble for age-related
532 macular degeneration in the singapore population. Eye (Lond) 2012;26:379-
533 388.

Formatted: Space After: Auto

534

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 6 pt

535 [296.](#) Christensen AI, Ekholm O, Kristensen PL, Larsen FB, Vinding AL,
536 Glümer C, Juel K. The effect of multiple reminders on response patterns in a
537 danish health survey. Eur J Public Health 2015;25:156-161.

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

538

539

540 **Other cited material**

541 A. Devlin NJ, Appleby J, "Getting the most out of PROMs: putting health
542 outcomes at the heart of NHS decision-making," The Kings Fund, UK, 2010.

543 B. Browne J, Jamieson L, Lewsey J, van der Meulen J, Black N, Cairns J,
544 Lamping D, Smith S, Copley L, Horrocks J, "Patient Reported Outcomes
545 Measures (PROMS) in Elective Surgery: report to the Department of Health,"
546 December 12, 2007

547 C. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists Clinical Council, "Commissioning
548 Guide: cataract surgery," February 2015.

549 D. Shekhawat N, Lawrence M, Baze E, Daly M, Sulewski M, Chomsky A,
550 "Impact of First Eye Versus Second Eye Cataract Surgery on Quality of Life:

551 results from the Veterans Affairs Ophthalmic Surgical Outcomes Data
552 program," presented at the ARVO annual meeting, Florida, US, April 2011

553

554 **Figure legends**

555 Figure 1. Box-plots of patient responses to (a) Catquest-9SF, VF-8R and NEI-
556 SES, and; (b) EQ-5D and EQ-VAS pre-operatively, at 3 week post-surgery
557 and at 3 months post-surgery. For easier comparison, logit scores were
558 inverted to show improvement in health states captured by Catquest-9SF, VF-
559 8R and NEI-SES. For EQ-5D, index scores were used. For EQ-VAS,
560 percentages (in decimal) were used. Pre-operative – white; 3 weeks post-
561 surgery: dotted; 3 months post-surgery: diagonals.

562