Does Mindfulness Meditation improve Chronic Pain? A Systematic Review
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Abstract

Purpose of review

Psychological factors are associated with chronic pain. Mindfulness meditation may ameliorate symptoms. The objective was to evaluate the effects of mindfulness meditation in chronic pain.

Recent findings

A systematic search of four databases identified 534 citations, thirteen RCTs satisfied the inclusion criteria. Mindfulness meditation significantly reduced depression (SMD -0.28; 95% CI -0.53, -0.03; p = 0.03; I² = 0%). For affective pain (SMD -0.13; 95% CI -0.42, 0.16; I² = 0%), sensory pain (SMD -0.02; 95% CI -0.31, 0.27; I² = 0%) and anxiety (SMD -0.16; 95% CI -0.47, 0.15; I² = 0%) there was a trend towards benefit with intervention. Quality of life items on mental health (SMD 0.65; 95% CI -0.27, 1.58; I² = 69%), physical health (SMD 0.08; 95% CI -0.40, 0.56; I² = 32%) and overall score (SMD 0.86, 95% CI -0.06, 1.78; I² = 88%) improved with mindfulness meditation.

Summary

Mindfulness meditation has most prominent effect on psychological aspects on living with chronic pain, improving associated depression and quality of life.
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Key points

1. Chronic pelvic pain has significant impact on patients’ quality of life and is often resistant to surgical and medical treatment

2. Psychological and somatic causes require a multidimensional approach

3. Patient self-management (PSM) is now recognised as a tool empowering
patients to cope better with chronic condition and mindfulness meditation is one of PSM options

4. Effect of mindfulness meditation on chronic pain by assuming that chronic pelvic pain is a subset of chronic pain as they share the similar psychogenicity

5. Mindfulness meditation in chronic pain shows its most prominent effect on psychological aspects of living with chronic pain by improving associated depression and quality of life
Introduction

Chronic pelvic pain (CPP) is defined as a subjective physical and emotional experience that has been present for at least six months that may or may not have an identifiable pathology. It affects up to 24% women worldwide (1) accounting for 20% of UK gynaecological clinic referrals (2) and has a considerable impact on patients’ quality of life and their income. CPP costs the NHS € 3.3bn per year (3). Despite costly interventions, CPP is often resistant to surgical and medical treatment.

Multifactorial psychological and somatic causes require a multidimensional approach. Psychological and somatic causes require a multidimensional approach, which is not routinely offered in gynaecology clinics (3). Evidence from randomised trials suggests that primary inclusion of psychological interventions may be superior to primary surgery (4). Although psychological treatment is provided across the NHS, mostly in the context of primary care Improving Access to Psychological Therapies there are problems with capacity, waiting times and an overall number of patients being able to access services. Alternatively, patient self-management (PSM) is now recognised as a tool empowering patients to cope better with their condition (5) with mindfulness meditation being one of PSM options.

CPP is not limited to the reproductive age, and there is emerging evidence that CPP, especially idiopathic CPP is a pain, syndrome like other regional pains. (6) In the general population, the prevalence of chronic pain is as high as 50%, and it is a common reason for seeking healthcare (7). It affects the sufferer’s life quality leading to work absenteeism and loss of employment.
Mindfulness-based meditation (MBM) is a complementary therapy for chronic pain (8) during which person suffering from the pain anchors their mind on the present moment what should allow them to cope better and ameliorates the experience of pain (9, 10). Two uncontrolled small pilot studies (10, 11) revealed a promising role for mindfulness meditation on CPP. Several reviews have assessed the effect of mindfulness meditation on a range of chronic pain conditions. However, there are limitations to their recommendations because of the small number of included studies, the variety of populations under study (12-14), and deficiencies in quality assessment (15-17). Systematic review by Bawa et al. (18) included studies only till June 2013 missing four recent trials (19-22). The primary aim of this study was to summarize evidence from randomised trials evaluating the effects of MBM on chronic pain and psychological morbidity.

Methods

The systematic review was conducted based on prospective protocol designed using established methods (23-25).

Identification of studies

A systematic search without any language restrictions was conducted in: MEDLINE (via OVID), EMBASE, PsychINFO and AMED from database inception to July 2013 and subsequently update in December 2015 and May 2016. The databases were searched for relevant studies using the following key words and word variants: “chronic pain” or “pelvic pain”, and “meditation” or “mindfulness” or “Vipanassa” or “mindfulness based stress reduction” or “mindfulness based intervention” or “mindfulness based therapy”. The reference lists from the articles obtained were
examined for additional articles. We also hand searched all relevant systematic reviews (12-14, 16-17, 26) and in case of any difficulties with obtaining identified articles we approached the authors.

Study selection

We included studies with adults with chronic pain where researchers compared the impact of mindfulness meditation with standard care or ‘waiting list’ on pain perception and relevant outcomes. The secondary outcome was any psychological morbidity. From the downloaded database of electronic searches, duplicates were removed retaining the most recent and complete versions of the citations. Two reviewers (EB and EM) independently scrutinized the full manuscripts of citations thought to be potentially relevant in the electronic searches on the basis of title and abstract. Studies were excluded if the intervention was conducted on children or in cancer patients. Any disagreements were discussed or judged by the third reviewer (ER).

Data extraction and study quality assessment

Means, with standard deviations and sample sizes were extracted from eligible articles where possible. Additionally, study characteristics and methods were extracted (EB and EM) on to piloted Excel sheets. The quality of RCT was assessed using Cochrane risk of bias tool (23) for following domains: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data and selective reporting. Any disagreements were discussed or judged by the third reviewer (ER).

Data synthesis
Forest plots were used to display the effects of intervention on pain related and psychological outcomes, and quality of life. All outcomes were continuous measures, thus results were presented as standardized mean differences. Meta-analysis with random effects model was carried out using RevMan software (version 5.2). Funnel plots were generated in order to investigate small study effect.

**Results**

*Study selection*

A total of 472 citations were initially identified by electronic searches, further 62 were identified on updated search. We evaluated the full text of which thirteen met the inclusion criteria: (19-22, 27 - 35). The study selection process can be found on Figure 1.

*Characteristics of the included studies and intervention*

The included trials studied the effect of intervention on populations with a variety of chronic pains (musculoskeletal pain, back pain, fibromyalgia, diabetic neuropathy pain, general chronic pain, headache, and medically unexplained pain). The typical mindfulness program applied in the studies was based on Kabat-Zinn’s well-known mindfulness based stressed reduction (MBSR) program (8). Length of intervention varied between 7 - 12 weeks. In some studies, mindfulness meditation was also accompanied with the usual treatment, psycho-education, home CD guide, group retreat, or daily diary. (Table 1)

The quality of the included 13 RCTs was assessed. Random sequence generation was assessed as low risk in 7/13 studies (54%). The risk of bias for allocation concealment
was assessed as low in 4/13 studies (31%). Performance bias was graded as low in 1/13 (8%) and as high in 5/13 (38%) RCTs. Detection bias was of a high risk in 3/13 (23%), low in 4/13 (31%) studies. Attrition bias was evaluated as low risk in 5/13 trails (38%). 10/13 (77%) of trials were assessed as having a low risk of reporting bias (Figure 2).

Effects of mindfulness meditation

Three RCTs (n = 183) looked at the effect of mindfulness meditation on reduction of affective pain and three (n = 183) looked at reduction in sensory pain. Six trials looked at effect of meditation on pain intensity (n = 374) and three (n = 251) looked at pain acceptance.

Compared to control group, there were reduction of affective pain (SMD -0.13, 95% CI -0.42, 0.16, I² = 0%) and sensory pain (SMD -0.02, 95% CI -0.31, 0.27, I² = 0%) shown in SDC1 and SDC2. Meditation did not show reduction in pain intensity (SMD 0.14, 95% CI -0.06, 0.35, I² = 20.2%) (Figure 3). The estimate for pain acceptance is of SMD 0.34, 95% CI 0.09, 0.59 (Figure 4).

Four RCTs (n = 368) reported the effect of mindfulness meditation on reduction of depression and three (n = 278) the effect of reduction of anxiety. Pooled estimates for depression and anxiety were SMD -0.31, 95% CI -0.52, -0.10, I² = 0%; SMD -0.21, 95% CI -0.45, 0.03, I² = 0% respectively (Figure 5 and Figure 6). Four trials (n = 193) looked at effect of mindfulness meditation on mental health quality of life, five randomized trials (n = 230) looked at effect of mindfulness meditation on physical
health quality of life and four randomized trials (n = 215) looked at overall quality of life following mindfulness meditation.

There is no difference in quality of life (physical health) SMD 0.04, 95% CI -0.22, 0.30, I² = 0% (SDC3). One can observe a trend on the forest plot for improvement in quality of life (mental health) (SMD 0.57, 95% CI 0.25, 0.89, I² = 52.9%) (Figure 7). The total quality of life improvement is of borderline significance (SMD 0.86, 95% CI -0.06, 1.78, I² =88%) (SDC4).

**Discussion**

We believe that our systematic review is one of the few without language restriction including only RCT evidence. Most included RCTs omitted objective clinical outcomes such as emergency hospital visits; only two studies (32, 36) report a reduction in need for pain and sleeping medication as a clinical outcome. We identified an additional two studies (37-38), which could not be included due to reporting of their findings. However these studies broadly concur with the findings, showing significant improvement in chronic pain and pain related distress. Only two (28, 34) of the nine included RCTs were graded as having low overall risk of bias. In most other studies lack of reported details of randomization, allocation concealment and blinding led to an assessment of unclear risk of bias. It was our protocol to grade studies on the basis of published study design and not to contact authors for unpublished details.

A criticism that applies to all included studies is the lack of a suitable control group that would allow us to identify specific contribution from mindfulness meditation.
The ideal control group would receive ‘sham’ meditation sessions, to ensure proper blinding of participants. Although difficult to design such a control group would avoid any unspecific effects, which can arise merely from the additional attention, and care the intervention group receives in comparison to treatment as usual or waitlist controls. Replacing an active control such as massage or education with ‘sham’ meditation sessions would avoid any influences on outcomes from active interventions. Bawa et al. (18) noted that the effect of mindfulness meditation was smaller when compared to active controls. Yet, they subgroup comparison was not powered to show statistical significance. Our attempt of subgroup analysis was hampered by the same issue of insufficient power to detect a meaningful difference.

Most previous systematic reviews (12, 17, 26) do not report effect sizes, thus making a quantitative comparison with the present findings is difficult. The findings of improved depressive symptoms in the present study match the subgroup analysis of RCTs from Veehof et al. (14), but in contrast to this systematic review we do not report improvement in pain. The most likely reason for this is the controversial combination of diverse pain scales by Veehof et al. (14), who combined pain intensity with pain interference and pain related affect. In addition, Veehof et al. (14) included seven studies of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT), which is a therapeutic approach that overlaps with cognitive behavioural therapy, can be practiced in many different forms. Meditation is not an integral part of ACT. Veehof et al. (14) did not analyse those studies separately but they may account for a larger effect on pain reduction. Rainer et al. (17) also reported improvement in pain scores but the authors included a large number of non-randomized trials, which risks bias in particular in psychological interventions. Several of the studies included in the present paper have
also been included in previous systematic reviews. Veehof et al. (14) with a similar scope to the present paper closed data collection in January 2009, since then we identified and analysed three new RCTs (33-35) thus enabling us to include purely RCT evidence. We were also able to add 4 new studies to the most recent systematic review (18), a good quality systematic review as assessed by the CASP checklist, who closed their data collection in 2013.

The current paper and Bawa et al. (18) jointly included the same six, (29, 31, 32, 35, 36, 39). We did not include four papers that Bawa review due to absence of inactive controls (32, 40), lack of inclusion of chronic pain patients (41), or lack of pain as an outcome measure (42).

Bawa et al. (18) investigated similar chronic pain conditions as the present paper. The outcomes under investigation included Pain intensity sleep quality, depressive symptoms, anxiety, Mindfulness, perceived control, physical functioning, physical health related quality of life and pain acceptance. Significant effects from mindfulness meditation were reported in perceived pain control. Mindfulness intervention has similar favourable trends on outcomes as reported in the present paper. The present paper includes more studies and hence it is not surprising that more outcomes (depression, anxiety, affective and sensory pain) are significantly improved.

We would like to extrapolate the findings of the present review to chronic pelvic pain in women and this is supported by emerging evidence of CPP being a form of chronic pain syndrome (6). However, men and women may experience and cope with pain differently. Hence we attempted a subgroup analysis of our collated data by gender. Unfortunately, numbers were too small for this. However, the population in 9 out of
13 studies comprised of more or equal 75% of women, and three studies recruited only women. We therefore conclude that our findings apply to the women.

Conclusions
The present systematic review, the first one based solely on RCT evidence, indicated that mindfulness meditation in chronic pain shows its most prominent effect not on reducing the perception of pain but on psychological aspects on living with chronic pain; improving associated depression and quality of life. Mindfulness meditation should be considered as an evidence-based adjunct in the treatment of chronic pain.

Our review reveals that the lack of evidence on the use of mindfulness meditation on subgroups such as patients with chronic pelvic pain. From what we have gathered, we looked at the effect of mindfulness meditation on chronic pain by assuming that chronic pelvic pain is a subset of chronic pain as they share the similar psychogenicity. Two uncontrolled small pilot studies (10, 11) revealed a promising role for mindfulness meditation on chronic pelvic pain. A feasibility study, MEMPHIS (NCT02721108) using a smartphone app to teach mindfulness mediation to women with CPP is currently underway. We assume that findings from the current review also apply to patients with CPP.
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