1 Eds versionTitle

- 2 Benefits and Harms of Oral Anticoagulant Therapy in Chronic Kidney Disease: A Systematic
- 3 Review and Meta-Analysis

4 Short title

5 Oral anticoagulant therapy in kidney disease

6 Authors

- 7 Jeffrey T Ha, MBBS; Brendon L Neuen, MBBS(Hons); Lap P Cheng, MBBS; Min Jun, PhD;
- 8 Tadashi Toyama, PhD; Martin P Gallagher, PhD; Meg J Jardine, PhD; Manish M Sood, MD;
- 9 Amit X Garg, PhD; Suetonia C Palmer, PhD; Patrick B Mark, PhD; David C Wheeler, MD;
- 10 Vivekanand Jha, MD; Ben Freedman, PhD; David W Johnson, PhD; Vlado Perkovic, PhD;
- 11 Sunil V Badve, PhD

12 Author affiliations

- 13 The George Institute for Global Health, UNSW Medicine, Sydney, NSW, Australia (J.T.H.,
- 14 B.L.N., L.P.C., M.J., T.T., M.P.G., M.J.J., V.P., S.V.B.); Department of Nephrology and
- 15 Laboratory Medicine, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan (T.T.); Department of Renal
- 16 Medicine, St. George Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia (J.T.H., L.P.C., S.V.B); Concord
- 17 Repatriation General Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia (M.P.G., M.J.J., B.F.); Institute for
- 18 Clinical Evaluative Sciences, Toronto, Canada (A.X.G., M.M.S.); Ottawa Hospital Research
- 19 Institute, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada (M.M.S.); Lilibeth Caberto Kidney Clinical
- 20 Research Unit, London Health Sciences Centre, London, Canada (A.X.G.); Division of
- 21 Nephrology, Western University, London, Canada (A.X.G.); Department of Medicine,
- 22 University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand (S.C.P.); Institute for Cardiovascular and
- 23 Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK (P.B.M.); Department of Renal
- 24 Medicine, University College London, London, UK (D.C.W.); The George Institute for
- 25 Global Health, New Delhi, India (V.J.); University of Oxford, Oxford, UK (V.J.); University

- 26 of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia (V.J.); Heart Research Institute, Charles
- 27 Perkins Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia (B.F.); Department of
- 28 Nephrology, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia (D.W.J.); Translational
- 29 Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia (D.W.J.); Australasian Kidney Trials Network,
- 30 University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia (D.W.J.)

31 Corresponding Author

- 32 Sunil V. Badve
- 33 Renal and Metabolic Division, The George Institute for Global Health,
- 34 Level 5, 1 King Street, Newtown, NSW 2042, Australia
- 35 Ph: +61 (2) 8052 4300; Fax: +61 (2) 8052 4301 Email: <u>sbadve@georgeinstitute.org.au</u>
- 36 **Manuscript word count** 3,722

37 ABSTRACT

Background: The effects of oral anticoagulation in chronic kidney disease (CKD) are
uncertain.

40 **Purpose:** To evaluate benefits and harms of vitamin K antagonists (VKA) and non-vitamin K

41 oral anticoagulants (NOAC) in patients with CKD stages 3 to 5, including those with

42 dialysis-dependent end-stage kidney disease (ESKD).

43 Data Sources: Medline, EMBASE, and Cochrane databases from inception to February 2019

44 with English language restriction; bibliographies of reviews; Clinicaltrials.gov (February 25,

45 2019)

46 Study selection: Randomized controlled trials evaluating VKA or NOAC in adult CKD

47 patients for any indication, and reported efficacy and/or bleeding outcomes.

48 Data Extraction: Two authors independently extracted data, performed risk of bias
49 assessment, and rated certainty of evidence.

50 Data Synthesis: Forty-five trials, involving 34,082 participants anticoagulated for atrial

51 fibrillation ([AF] (eleven trials), venous thromboembolism ([VTE] eleven trials),

52 thromboprophylaxis (six trials), prevention of dialysis-access thrombosis (eight trials), and

53 cardiovascular disease other than AF (nine trials) were included. All but the eight trials

54 involving ESKD patients excluded participants with creatinine clearance <20 mL/min or

55 estimated glomerular filtration rate <15 mL/min/1.73 m². In AF, compared with VKA,

56 NOAC reduced the risks of stroke or systemic embolism (risk ratio 0.79, 95% CI 0.66–0.93;

57 high certainty evidence), and hemorrhagic stroke (0.48, 0.30–0.76; moderate certainty

58 evidence). Compared with VKA, NOAC effects on recurrent VTE or VTE-related death were

59 uncertain (0.72, 0.44–1.17; low certainty evidence). In all trials combined, NOAC reduced

60 major bleeding risk compared to VKA, though the finding was not statistically significant

(0.75, 0.56-1.01; low certainty evidence).

- 62 **Limitation:** Scant evidence among patients with advanced CKD stages or ESKD, data
- 63 mostly extracted from subgroup analyses of large trials.
- 64 **Conclusion:** In early stages of CKD, NOAC had a benefit-risk profile superior to VKA with
- a clear reduction in the risk of stroke or systemic embolism in AF, and reduction in overall
- 66 major bleeding risk that was not statistically significant. There is insufficient evidence to
- 67 conclude whether patients with advanced CKD stages or ESKD derive benefit from VKA or
- 68 NOAC.
- 69 **Registration:** International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews PROSPERO 2017
- 70 CRD42017079709 (December 4, 2017)
- 71 **Primary Funding Source:** None.

72 INTRODUCTION

73 Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a pro-thrombotic state, associated with substantially 74 increased risks of arterial and venous thromboembolism (VTE) (1). In addition, atrial 75 fibrillation is highly prevalent in this population, affecting 18% of patients with CKD (2), and 76 12-25% of patients with dialysis-dependent end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) (3, 4). The 77 presence of CKD increases the risks of stroke or systemic embolism, congestive heart failure, 78 myocardial infarction, and all-cause death among patients with atrial fibrillation (5, 6). 79 Compared with people with normal kidney function, the risk of VTE is almost two-fold 80 greater among those with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) between 15 and 59 81 $mL/min/1.73 m^2$) (7), and three-fold greater in patients with dialysis-dependent ESKD (8). 82 VTE in ESKD is also associated with increased risks of bleeding and all-cause death (8). 83 Other common clinical manifestations of increased thrombotic risk in CKD include acute 84 coronary syndrome, stroke, peripheral arterial occlusion, and dialysis access thrombosis (1, 85 9).

Anticoagulant therapy is an important intervention in the prevention of cardiovascular thrombotic and VTE events. Evidence-based treatment guidelines recommend anticoagulation for the prevention of stroke in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation and a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score \geq 2 in men or \geq 3 in women (10, 11), VTE in major orthopedic or non-orthopedic surgical patients or hospitalized acutely ill medical patients (12), and recurrent VTE in patients with VTE disease (13).

Patients with advanced stages of CKD and ESKD with atrial fibrillation are
prescribed oral anticoagulant therapy less frequently than those with normal kidney function
(3, 14). The use of warfarin in patients on dialysis who have atrial fibrillation varies
considerably, ranging from as low as 2% in Germany to 37% in Canada (3). The low rates of
anticoagulant therapy use in advanced CKD and ESKD may be due to the increased risk of
bleeding, uncertainty regarding potential benefits in this population, warfarin-associated

98 calciphylaxis, and warfarin-related nephropathy (15, 16). In CKD, the risk of major bleeding 99 increases linearly with declining eGFR (17). In patients with dialysis-dependent ESKD, the 100 bleeding risk is further increased with the incremental use of antithrombotic agents such as 101 warfarin and antiplatelet agents (18). The exclusion of CKD patients from nearly 90% of 102 trials evaluating anticoagulant interventions has contributed to uncertainty on the role of 103 anticoagulant therapy in CKD (19). 104 The aim of the current systematic review was to evaluate the benefits and harms of 105 oral anticoagulant (OAC) therapy for a range of clinical indications in patients with CKD 106 stages 3 to 5, including those receiving dialysis.

108	METHODS

109 The systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred

110 Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (20). The

111 protocol of this review was prospectively registered in the International Prospective Register

- 112 of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; December 4, 2017) and can be accessed at:
- 113 https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=79709
- 114 Data Sources and Searches

115 Relevant studies were identified by searching Medline (inception to February 2019), 116 Embase (inception to February 2019), and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials 117 (January 2019) with English language restriction using search strategy described in 118 Appendix Table 1. In addition, reference lists of relevant systematic reviews were searched. 119 Online trial registry Clinicaltrials.gov was searched (February 25, 2019) using the following 120 terms: chronic kidney disease, renal dialysis, atrial fibrillation and anticoagulation. 121 **Study Selection and Outcomes** Studies were eligible for inclusion if they (i) were randomized controlled trials; (ii) 122 123 included adults with CKD (creatinine clearance [CrCl] <60 mL/min or eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m²), or dialysis-dependent ESKD; (iii) compared vitamin K antagonist (VKA) 124 125 or non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant (NOAC) to another oral anticoagulant, placebo, low-126 molecular weight heparin (LMWH), aspirin, or no study medication; and (iv) reported 127 efficacy and/or bleeding outcomes. All indications for anticoagulation were eligible for 128 inclusion. Two authors (J.T.H. and B.L.N.) independently reviewed each title and abstract, 129 and performed full-text review of shortlisted studies. Disagreements about study eligibility 130 were resolved via consultation with two other authors (S.V.B. and V.P.). If multiple 131 secondary publications of the same trial were identified, the publication with the most 132 complete data was used and additional data from secondary sources were extracted. 133 Incomplete, or unpublished data from trials were requested from the investigators.

134 The outcomes of this systematic review were: stroke or systemic embolism in atrial 135 fibrillation, non-hemorrhagic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, all-cause or cardiovascular death, 136 VTE or VTE-related death, myocardial infarction, composite cardiovascular events 137 (cardiovascular or all-cause death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or stroke), dialysis access 138 thrombotic events, major bleeding, major or non-major clinically relevant bleeding, and 139 intracranial hemorrhage.

140 **Data Extraction and Ouality Assessment**

141 Data extraction was carried out independently by two authors (J.T.H. and B.L.N.). 142 Disagreements were resolved via consultation with two other authors (S.V.B. and V.P.). The 143 following data were extracted using a standardized form: patient demographic details, study 144 design and conduct, indication for anticoagulation, dose of drug, non-randomized co-145 interventions, follow-up duration, and outcome and bleeding events. The methodological 146 quality of each study included was assessed at the outcome level independently by two 147 authors (J.T.H. and B.L.N.) using the risk of bias assessment tool developed by the Cochrane Bias Methods Group (21). 148

149 **Data Synthesis and Analysis**

150 The results were expressed as risk ratios (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). A 151 treatment arm continuity correction was used if there were zero events in one arm in a trial. 152 For trials with three arms comparing two different doses of NOAC with VKA, similar to a 153 previous meta-analysis (22), data from only the high dose NOAC arm were used for the main 154 analyses to avoid potentially uninterpretable results by merging of the benefits and harms of 155 different doses. Additional analyses were conducted by combining data from both high and 156 low dose arms of NOAC. Summary estimates were obtained by random-effects model using 157 the Paule-Mandel method (23). If data on the number of events and participants were not 158 reported, generic inverse variance meta-analysis was performed by calculating log hazard

159 ratio and its standard error from the reported hazard ratio and respective CI. Evidence of

160 statistical heterogeneity across the studies was estimated using the I² test. I² values of 25%,

161 50%, and 75% were considered to correspond to low, moderate, and high levels of

162 heterogeneity (24). Statistical analyses were performed using Stata/MP, version 15.1

163 (StataCorp College Station, Texas), and R statistical software, version 3.5.3 (R Foundation

164 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

165 Certainty in the evidence was summarized using the Grading of Recommendations

166 Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach, considering the following

167 domains: (1) within-study risk of bias, (2) indirectness of evidence, (3) unexplained

168 heterogeneity or inconsistency of results, and (4) imprecision of results by three authors

169 (J.T.H., B.L.N., and L.P.C.) and disagreements were resolved via consultation with two other

170 authors (S.V.B. and M.J.) (25). Because all meta-analyses involved fewer than 10 trials,

171 small study effects (publication bias) was not assessed and publication bias was not included

172 in rating certainty of evidence (26).

173 Role of the Funding Source

174 There was no funding source for this study.

176 **RESULTS**

177 Selection and Description of Studies

Forty-five trials involving 34,082 participants (median sample size 276 [range 10-178 179 4,168], median follow-up 12 [range 1-36] months) evaluating VKA or NOAC were included 180 in the systematic review (Figure 1). Of these trials, eight included 685 participants with 181 dialysis-dependent ESKD (median sample size 91 [range 18-174], median follow-up 12 182 [range 3-36] months) evaluating VKA for the prevention of dialysis access thrombosis in 183 seven trials and one evaluated the effect of VKA on hemostatic factors. The remaining 37 184 trials included 33,397 participants with CKD, who were not receiving dialysis (defined as 185 CrCl 20-60 mL/min, eGFR 15-60 mL/min/1.73 m², or serum creatinine level \geq 1.5 mg/dL; 186 median sample size 380 [range 10-4,168], median follow-up 12 [range 1-36] months). Eleven 187 trials included 16,787 participants with atrial fibrillation (median sample size 516 [range 12-188 4,074], median follow-up 14 [range 3-34] months); eleven trials included 2,975 participants 189 with acute VTE (median sample size 162 [range 72-657], median follow-up 12 [range 6-36] 190 months), six trials included 3,908 medically ill or peri-operative patients requiring 191 anticoagulation for thromboprophylaxis (median sample size 380 [range 42-2,197] median 192 follow-up 2 [range 1-6] months); and the remaining nine trials included 9,727 participants 193 with cardiovascular disease other than atrial fibrillation (median sample size 331 [range 72-194 2197] median follow-up 9 [range 1-36] months). Data from the 37 trials involving patients 195 with non-dialysis CKD were obtained exclusively from CKD subgroup analyses of large 196 trials. Details of included trials are described in Appendix Table 2. 197 NOAC was compared with VKA (15 trials, 16,495 participants), placebo (ten trials,

198 11,683 participants), LMWH (five trials, 1,720 participants), and aspirin (four trials, 2,690
199 participants); and VKA was compared with placebo (four trials, 408 participants), no study
200 medication (four trials, 277 participants), LMWH (two trials, 293 participants), and aspirin
201 (one trial, 516 participants). The interventional agents were: rivaroxaban (13 trials),

202	dabigatran	(eight trials).	apixaban	(seven trials).	. edoxaban (five trials), betrixaban ((one trial)
		(,		,	(0110 011001)

fixed-dose [1 or 2 mg] or low-intensity [target international normalized ratio (INR) 1.4-1.9]

204 warfarin (six trials), and adjusted-dose (target INR 1.5-2.5, or 2-3) warfarin or

205 acenocoumarol (five trials).

206 Source of funding was not reported in four trials. Thirty-nine of the remaining 41

207 (95%) trials were sponsored by pharmaceutical companies.

208 **Risk of bias**

209 Risk of bias assessment at outcome level is described in Appendix Table 3. Random 210 sequence generation and allocation concealment were reported using low risk methods in 211 80% of trials reporting the outcomes of stroke or systemic embolism, and major bleeding in 212 trials involving participants with atrial fibrillation. Random sequence generation and 213 allocation concealment were reported using low risk methods in all trials reporting the 214 outcome of VTE or VTE-related death in participants with acute VTE or those requiring 215 thromboprophylaxis, and major adverse cardiovascular events in participants with 216 cardiovascular disease other than atrial fibrillation. Trials involving participants with dialysis-217 dependent ESKD reporting the outcomes of hemodialysis access thrombosis or malfunction, 218 all-cause death, and major bleeding were generally at high or unclear risk of bias in the 219 domains of random sequence generation and allocation concealment.

220 Effects of interventions

221 Trials involving participants with atrial fibrillation

None of the eleven trials involving participants with atrial fibrillation included patients with dialysis-dependent ESKD. Anticoagulation was used for the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism in seven trials, acute coronary syndrome or percutaneous coronary intervention in two trials, and for periprocedural anticoagulation in participants undergoing cardioversion, or catheter ablation, in one trial each. No trial tested a treatment strategy of comparing an OAC to no anticoagulation in atrial fibrillation. Compared with 228 VKA, high dose NOAC reduced the risks of stroke or systemic embolism (RR 0.79, 95% CI

229 0.66–0.93), hemorrhagic stroke (RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.30–0.76), and all-cause death (RR 0.88,

230 95% CI 0.78–0.99); and had no clear effect on non-hemorrhagic stroke though confidence

- 231 bounds were wide (RR 1.04, 95% CI 0.83–1.30) (Figure 2, Appendix Figures 1 to 4).
- 232 Compared with aspirin, any OAC (VKA or NOAC) reduced the risk of stroke or systemic
- embolism (RR 0.30, 95% CI 0.19–0.48). Compared with VKA, high dose NOAC reduced the
- risk of major bleeding (RR 0.80, 95% CI 0.61–1.04), although this finding was not
- statistically significant (Appendix Figure 5). Compared to VKA, the effect of high dose
- NOAC on the risk of major or non-major clinically relevant bleeding was uncertain (RR 0.97,
- 237 95% CI 0.76–1.23) (Appendix Figure 6). Additional analyses after the inclusion of both
- high and low doses of NOAC showed that, compared with VKA, NOAC reduced the risks of
- stroke or systemic embolism (RR 0.87, 95% CI 0.74–1.02), and major bleeding (RR 0.74,
- 240 95% CI 0.55–1.00), though these findings were not statistically significant as their respective
- 241 upper limits of confidence intervals crossed 1(Appendix Figures 1 and 5).

242 Trials involving participants with acute VTE

243 NOAC reduced the risk of recurrent VTE or VTE-related death when compared with 244 placebo (RR 0.14, 95% CI 0.04-0.48); but had an uncertain effect when compared with VKA 245 (RR 0.72, 95% CI 0.44–1.17) (Figure 3, Appendix Figure 7). There was no difference in the 246 risk of recurrent VTE or VTE-related death between any OAC and LMWH (RR 2.10, 95% 247 CI 0.72–6.15) (Appendix Figure 7). None of the NOAC trials reported data on all-cause 248 death. There was no difference in the risk of all-cause death between VKA and LMWH (RR 249 1.01, 95% CI 0.79–1.31). There were no differences in the risk of major bleeding between 250 NOAC and VKA (RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.21-1.43), VKA and LMWH (RR 1.03, 95% CI 0.43-2.51), and any OAC and LMWH (RR 1.24, 95% CI 0.54–2.88) (Appendix Figure 8). There 251

- 252 was no difference in the risk of major or non-major clinically relevant bleeding between
- 253 NOAC and VKA (RR 0.84, 95% CI 0.63–1.11) (Appendix Figure 9).
- 254 Trials involving participants requiring anticoagulation for thromboprophylaxis
- 255 There were no clear differences between NOAC and LMWH in the risks of VTE or
- 256 VTE-related death (RR 0.85, 95% CI 0.40–1.83), major bleeding (RR 3.72, 95% CI 0.79–
- 17.54), and major or non-major clinically relevant bleeding (RR 1.09, 95% CI 0.64–1.85)
- 258 (Appendix Figure 10). There was no difference in the risk of VTE or VTE-related death (RR
- 259 0.98, 95% CI 0.53–1.82) between NOAC and placebo.

260 Trials involving participants with dialysis-dependent ESKD

- 261 None of the eight trials involving participants with dialysis-dependent ESKD
- 262 evaluated NOAC (Appendix Figure 11). There was no clear difference in the risk of dialysis
- 263 access thrombosis or catheter malfunction between fixed-dose/low-intensity warfarin and
- 264 placebo/no study medication (RR 1.04, 95% CI 0.85–1.28) (Appendix Figure 12).
- 265 Compared with no study medication, adjusted-dose warfarin reduced the risk of dialysis
- access thrombosis or catheter malfunction (RR 0.28, 95% CI 0.16–0.47) (Appendix Figure
- 12). Compared with placebo or no study medication, the effect of fixed-dose or low-intensity
- 268 warfarin on all-cause death (RR 0.65, 95% CI 0.34–1.24), and major bleeding (RR 2.66, 95%
- 269 CI 0.39–18.19) were uncertain (**Appendix Figures 13 and 14**).

270 Participants with cardiovascular disease other than atrial fibrillation

- Compared with placebo, NOAC reduced the risk of major adverse cardiovascular
 events (defined as a composite of cardiovascular or all-cause death, non-fatal myocardial
 infarction or stroke), though this finding was not statistically significant as the upper limit of
 confidence intervals crossed 1 (RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.75–1.04) (Appendix Figures 15 and 16).
 In a single trial involving 4,168 participants with stable coronary or peripheral arterial
- disease, the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events with low dose NOAC was lower than

277 placebo (RR 0.77, 95% CI 0.62–0.95). Compared with placebo, NOAC significantly

- increased the risk of major bleeding (2.18, 95% CI 1.10–4.32) (Appendix Figure 17).
- 279 Additional analyses with the inclusion of trials comparing only the low dose NOAC with
- 280 placebo showed that NOAC reduced the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (RR
- 281 0.89, 95% CI 0.77–1.04) although the upper limit of confidence intervals crossed 1, with no
- difference in major bleeding risk (RR 2.29, 95% CI 0.57–9.18) (Appendix Figures 16 and
- **283 17**).

284 Bleeding outcomes from all trials combined

285 Compared with VKA, high dose NOAC reduced the risk of major bleeding (RR 0.75, 95% CI 0.56–1.01), though this finding was not statistically significant as the upper limit of 286 287 confidence intervals crossed 1 (Figure 4, Appendix Figure 18). There was no significant 288 interaction of major bleeding risk by indication for anticoagulation (p=0.84). There was no 289 clear difference in the risk of major or non-major clinically relevant bleeding (RR 0.95, 95% 290 CI 0.83–1.07) between the NOAC and VKA groups (Appendix Figure 19). Compared with 291 VKA, high dose NOAC reduced the risk of intracranial hemorrhage (RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.30-292 0.80) (Appendix Figure 20). Compared with placebo, NOAC increased the risks of major 293 bleeding (RR 2.27, 95% CI 1.21-4.26), and major or non-major clinically relevant bleeding 294 (RR 4.03, 95% CI 1.62–10.03). Compared to LMWH, NOAC increased the risk of major 295 bleeding (RR 3.67, 95% CI 1.05–12.89), but not major or non-major clinically relevant 296 bleeding (RR 1.09, 95% CI 0.64–1.85). Additional analysis after the inclusion of high and 297 low doses of NOAC showed clear reduction in major bleeding risk with NOAC compared 298 with VKA (RR 0.71, 95% CI 0.52–0.96) (Appendix Figure 18).

299

301 **DISCUSSION**

302 This review provides a comprehensive overview of the available data describing the 303 effects of anticoagulation for people with kidney disease and a range of co-morbidities or 304 other risk factors. It identifies some clear findings that can be used to guide treatment 305 decisions, but also a number of areas where the available data are inadequate and further 306 studies are urgently required. A key finding is that in patients with atrial fibrillation and early 307 stage CKD, NOAC were superior to VKA, with 21%, 52% and 51% relative risk reductions 308 in stroke or systemic embolism, hemorrhagic stroke and intracranial hemorrhage, 309 respectively. However, NOAC did not reduce the risk of non-hemorrhagic stroke in atrial 310 fibrillation. In AF, NOAC reduced the risk of major bleeding, though this finding was not 311 statistically significant. Compared with placebo, NOAC reduced the risk of recurrent VTE or 312 VTE-related death in patients with CKD receiving acute VTE treatment; but when compared 313 with VKA, this effect was uncertain. These data suggest that NOAC may be a reasonable 314 option in people with CKD who develop VTE, but further data would be helpful. In all trials 315 combined, compared with VKA, high dose NOAC reduced the risk of major bleeding, though 316 this result was not statistically significant. In contrast, for people with advanced stages of 317 CKD (CrCl <25 mL/min), including dialysis-dependent ESKD, there were no data available 318 regarding the effects of VKA or NOAC on the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism in 319 atrial fibrillation, or on VTE and VTE-related death.

Although the rates of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, and intracranial hemorrhage were not reported in all trials involving participants with atrial fibrillation, it is possible that the benefit of reduced stroke or systemic embolism with NOAC was mainly driven by a reduction in hemorrhagic stroke. A similar finding was reported in the previously reported systematic review of four randomized trials comparing NOAC with VKA (22). The excess burden of atrial fibrillation, cardiovascular thrombotic events and VTE in patients with advanced CKD contributes to their poor survival (5, 6, 8). Given the greater rates of arterial

327 and venous thrombotic in patients with advanced CKD than those with normal kidney 328 function, the absolute risk reduction with anticoagulation treatment in this population may be 329 greater, but this systematic review highlights the absence of evidence in patients with 330 advanced stages of CKD and ESKD, specifically for the prevention of stroke or systemic 331 embolism in atrial fibrillation, and recurrent VTE or VTE-related death. The potential benefit 332 of anticoagulation treatment needs to be balanced against the risk of bleeding in this 333 population. The rates of major bleeding with apixaban and warfarin in patients with 334 hemodialysis-dependent ESKD (19.7 and 22.9 per 100 person-years, respectively) (27) are 335 substantially greater than those with normal or mildly decreased kidney function (2.13 and 336 3.09 per 100 person-years, respectively) (28). Furthermore, 60-75% of patients with ESKD 337 discontinue oral anticoagulation within one year, possibly due to bleeding (27, 29). Despite 338 the absence of specific evidence, current guidelines suggest warfarin with target INR 2.0-3.0 339 or apixaban (recommendation class: IIa, evidence level: B-NR) (11) and time in therapeutic 340 range >65-70% (ungraded consensus-based statements) (10) in patients CrCl <15 mL/min or 341 dialysis-dependent ESKD with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score ≥ 2 in men or ≥ 3 in women (11). The 342 lack of evidence-based guidelines strongly suggests that adequately-powered randomized 343 trials are required to address the unmet need in this population.

Leveraging their favourable benefit-harm profile, NOAC are now being evaluated for new cardiovascular indications. In early stage CKD, although NOAC did not reduce major cardiovascular events after acute coronary syndrome, the combination of low dose rivaroxaban and aspirin was beneficial for this primary outcome in patients with stable coronary or peripheral arterial disease in a single trial (30). A dose of rivaroxaban far below that required for full anticoagulation may be particularly valuable in patients with advanced CKD and ESKD, who also have an elevated bleeding risk. However, the exclusion of patients 351 with eGFR <15 mL/min/1.73 m² in this trial mandates the testing of this strategy in 352 randomized trials specifically in patients with advanced CKD and ESKD.

353 In contrast to the other recent systematic reviews identified by searching Medline to 354 February 2019, this systematic review demonstrates superiority of NOAC over VKA in 355 reducing the risk of stroke or systemic embolism in atrial fibrillation (31, 32). Furthermore, 356 the broad scope of clinical settings of the present review allows a more comprehensive 357 understanding of effects. Other strengths of this systematic review include inclusion of a 358 large number of participants, robust evaluation of efficacy and bleeding outcomes, and use of 359 the GRADE approach to assess the body of evidence. These strengths should be balanced 360 against its limitations, which are largely due to the limitations of the underlying literature. 361 These include exclusion of patients with dialysis-dependent ESKD and advanced non-362 dialysis CKD, limited information on demographic characteristics of the CKD subgroup, 363 under-reporting of organ-specific bleeding data (especially gastrointestinal bleeding), lack of 364 individual patient data and suboptimal methodological quality of trials involving participants 365 with dialysis-dependent ESKD. Data on patients with CKD from trials of NOAC were 366 obtained exclusively from subgroup analyses of large trials. The current review was not 367 designed to assess differences between individual NOAC.

368 There are two ongoing trials comparing apixaban to VKA in participants with 369 hemodialysis-dependent ESKD and atrial fibrillation (RENAL-AF trial: NCT02942407 and 370 AXADIA trial: NCT02933697) (33). Another ongoing trial will compare VKA to no oral 371 anticoagulation in participants with hemodialysis-dependent ESKD and atrial fibrillation 372 (AVKDIAL: NCT02886962). Future trials should include not only participants with dialysis-373 dependent ESKD but also those with creatinine clearance <25 mL/min. Since no trial has 374 evaluated a treatment strategy for comparing an OAC to no anticoagulation in atrial 375 fibrillation, future trials should compare NOAC to placebo.

376 In summary, this systematic review demonstrates that NOAC had a benefit-risk 377 profile superior to VKA in people with early stages of CKD, with significant reductions in 378 stroke or systemic embolism and hemorrhagic stroke in atrial fibrillation, and also reduction 379 in overall major bleeding risk in all trials combined that was not statistically significant, 380 suggesting that these individuals will derive similar or greater benefit than those who do not 381 have CKD. However, there is insufficient evidence to recommend widespread use of VKA or 382 NOAC to improve clinical outcomes in patients with advanced CKD and dialysis-dependent 383 ESKD. Adequately-powered randomized trials are required to evaluate the benefits and 384 harms of anticoagulant therapy in this patient population.

386 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Professor John H. Alexander of Duke University School of
Medicine, Durham, NC, USA for providing unpublished trial data from one trial for metaanalysis.

390 Dr Ha is supported by a University Postgraduate Award, UNSW Sydney, Australia. 391 Dr Neuen is supported by a John Chalmers PhD Scholarship from The George Institute for 392 Global Health, Australia, a University Postgraduate Award from UNSW Sydney, and an 393 Oxford Australia Clarendon Scholarship from Oxford University. Dr Jun is supported by a 394 Scientia Fellowship from UNSW Sydney. Dr Toyama is supported by the Japan Society for 395 the Promotion of Science Program for Fostering Globally Talented Researchers. Dr Palmer is 396 supported by a Rutherford Discovery Fellowship from the Royal Society of New Zealand. Dr 397 Johnson is supported by an Australian Government National Health and Medical Research 398 Council (NHMRC) Practitioner Fellowship. Dr Jardine is supported by a Medical Research 399 Future Fund Next Generation Clinical Researchers Program Career Development Fellowship. 400 Dr Badve is supported by a John Chalmers Clinical Research Fellowship with the support of 401 Servier from The George Institute for Global Health, Australia. These supporting 402 organizations/agencies had no role in the design and conduct of the study, analysis and 403 interpretation of the data, review and approval of the manuscript, and decision to submit the 404 manuscript.

405 Author Contributions

- 406 Concept and design: J.T.H., L.P.C., V.P., S.V.B.
- 407 Literature search, data extraction and risk of bias assessment: J.T.H., B.L.N.
- 408 GRADE assessment: J.T.H., B.L.N., L.P.C., M.J.
- 409 Data analysis: J.T.H., T.T.

- 410 Data interpretation: J.T.H., L.P.C., T.T., M.J.J., M.P.G., M.J., M.M.S., A.X.G., P.B.M.,
- 411 D.C.W., S.C.P., V.J., B.F., D.W.J., V.P., S.V.B.
- 412 Drafting of the manuscript: J.T.H., L.P.C., S.C.P., S.V.B.
- 413 Critical review of the manuscript: All authors.
- 414 Supervision: V.P., S.V.B.
- 415 All authors had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the
- 416 integrity of the data and the accuracy of the analysis.

417 **Disclosures**

418 Drs Ha, Cheng, Toyama, Sood, Garg and Palmer have no conflicts of interest relevant 419 to the contents of this paper to disclose. Dr Neuen has received travel support from Janssen. 420 Dr Jun has received an unrestricted grant from Venturewise (a wholly owned subsidiary of 421 NPS MedicineWise) funded by AstraZeneca. Dr Gallagher has received non-financial 422 support from Bayer AG. Dr Jardine is responsible for research projects that have received 423 unrestricted funding from Gambro, Baxter, CSL, Amgen, Eli Lilly, and Merck; has served on 424 advisory boards sponsored by Akebia, Baxter, Boehringer Ingelheim and Vifor, spoken at 425 scientific meetings sponsored by Janssen, Amgen and Roche; with any consultancy, 426 honoraria or travel support paid to her institution. Dr Mark reports personal fees from Vifor, 427 Astrazeneca, Pharmacosmos, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, and Bristol Myers Squibb; grants 428 from Boehringer Ingelheim; and non-financial support from Pharmacosmos. Dr Wheeler has 429 received consultancy fees from Amgen, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi-Sankyo, Janssen, GalaxoSmithKline, Mundipharma, Napp and Vifor Fresenius. Dr Jha has received 430 431 personal fees and research grants from NeohroPlus, Baxter healthcare and GSK. Dr 432 Freedman has received grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Bayer, grants, 433 personal fees and non-financial support from BMS-PFizer, personal fees and non-financial 434 support from Daiichi-Sankyo, non-financial support from Alivecor. Dr Johnson has received

435 personal fees, research grants, speaker's honoraria and travel sponsorships from Baxter 436 Healthcare and Fresenius Medical Care, personal fees from Astra Zeneca and travel 437 sponsorships from Amgen. Dr Perkovic has received personal fees for advisory boards or 438 scientific presentations from Retrophin, Janssen, Merck and Servier; served on Steering 439 Committees for trials funded by Abbvie, Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, Janssen and Pfizer; 440 and participated in scientific presentations/advisory boards with Abbvie, Astellas, Astra 441 Zeneca, Bayer, Baxter, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Durect, Eli Lilly, Gilead, GSK, 442 Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Pharmalink, Relypsa, Sanofi, Tricida, Dimetrix and Vitae, 443 with fees paid to his institution. Dr Badve has received grants from National Health and 444 Medical Research Council of Australia, non-financial support from Bayer AG, and speaker's 445 honoraria from Amgen Australia. **Reproducible Research Statement** 446

- 447 *Study protocol*: Available from PROSPERO
- 448 (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=79709)
- 449 *Statistical code:* Available from Dr Badve (sbadve@georgeinstitute.org.au)
- 450 *Data set:* Available from Dr Badve (sbadve@georgeinstitute.org.au)

- 452 Mailing addresses of all authors
- 453 Drs Jeffrey T. Ha, Brendon L Neuen, Lap P. Cheng, Meg Jardine, Martin P. Gallagher,
- 454 Min Jun, Vlado Perkovic, Sunil V. Badve
- 455 Renal and Metabolic Division, The George Institute for Global Health,
- 456 Level 5, 1 King Street, Newtown, NSW 2042, Australia
- 457 Dr Tadashi Toyama
- 458 Department of Nephrology and Laboratory Medicine, Kanazawa University Hospital,
- 459 Kanazawa, 920-8641, Japan
- 460 Dr Manish Sood
- 461 Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Civic Campus, 2-014 Administrative Services Building,
- 462 1053 Carling Avenue, Box 693, Ottawa, Ontario K1Y 4E9, Canada
- 463 Dr Amit Garg
- 464 Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences Western Facility (ICES Western), 800
- 465 Commissioners Road, Victoria Hospital, Room ELL-215, London, ON N6A 5W9, Canada
- 466 Dr Suetonia Palmer
- 467 Department of Medicine, University of Otago Christchurch, 2 Riccarton Ave, Christchurch
- 468 8140 New Zealand
- 469 **Dr Patrick Mark**
- 470 Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, 126 University
- 471 Place, Glasgow G12 8TA, UK
- 472 **Dr David Wheeler**
- 473 Centre for Nephrology, University College London, Rowland Hill Street, London, NW3 2PF,
- 474 UK
- 475 Dr Vivekanand Jha
- 476 The George Institute for Global Health, 310–11 Splendor Forum, Jasola, New Delhi 110025,
- 477 India

478 **Dr Ben Freedman**

- 479 Heart Research Institute, Charles Perkins Centre, Building D17, Level 3, Room 3114,
- 480 University of Sydney, Camperdown NSW 2006 Australia

481 Dr David Johnson

- 482 Ambulatory Renal and Transplant Services (ARTS) Building, 199 Ipswich Road,
- 483 Woolloongabba QLD 4102 Australia

485

486 **REFERENCES**

- Lutz J, Menke J, Sollinger D, Schinzel H, Thurmel K. Haemostasis in chronic kidney
 disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2014;29(1):29-40.
- 489 2. Soliman EZ, Prineas RJ, Go AS, Xie D, Lash JP, Rahman M, et al. Chronic kidney
 490 disease and prevalent atrial fibrillation: the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort
 491 (CRIC). Am Heart J. 2010;159(6):1102-7.
- Wizemann V, Tong L, Satayathum S, Disney A, Akiba T, Fissell RB, et al. Atrial
 fibrillation in hemodialysis patients: clinical features and associations with
 anticoagulant therapy. Kidney Int. 2010;77(12):1098-106.
- 495 4. Zimmerman D, Sood MM, Rigatto C, Holden RM, Hiremath S, Clase CM.
- 496 Systematic review and meta-analysis of incidence, prevalence and outcomes of atrial
 497 fibrillation in patients on dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2012;27(10):3816-22.
- Go AS, Fang MC, Udaltsova N, Chang Y, Pomernacki NK, Borowsky L, et al. Impact
 of proteinuria and glomerular filtration rate on risk of thromboembolism in atrial
 fibrillation: the anticoagulation and risk factors in atrial fibrillation (ATRIA) study.
 Circulation. 2009;119(10):1363-9.
- 502 6. Massicotte-Azarniouch D, Kuwornu JP, Carrero JJ, Lam NN, Molnar AO,
- Zimmerman D, et al. Incident Atrial Fibrillation and the Risk of Congestive Heart
 Failure, Myocardial Infarction, End-Stage Kidney Disease, and Mortality Among
 Patients With a Decreased Estimated GFR. Am J Kidney Dis. 2018;71(2):191-9.
- 506 7. Wattanakit K, Cushman M, Stehman-Breen C, Heckbert SR, Folsom AR. Chronic
 507 kidney disease increases risk for venous thromboembolism. J Am Soc Nephrol.
 508 2008;19(1):135-40.
- Molnar AO, Bota SE, McArthur E, Lam NN, Garg AX, Wald R, et al. Risk and
 complications of venous thromboembolism in dialysis patients. Nephrol Dial
 Transplant. 2018;33(5):874-80.
- 512 9. Salmela B, Hartman J, Peltonen S, Alback A, Lassila R. Thrombophilia and
 513 arteriovenous fistula survival in ESRD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;8(6):962-8.
- 514 10. Lip GYH, Banerjee A, Boriani G, Chiang CE, Fargo R, Freedman B, et al.
- Antithrombotic Therapy for Atrial Fibrillation: CHEST Guideline and Expert Panel
 Report. Chest. 2018;154(5):1121-201.

517	11.	January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, Chen LY, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC, Jr., et al.
518		2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the
519		Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College
520		of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice
521		Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019.
522	12.	Kearon C, Akl EA, Comerota AJ, Prandoni P, Bounameaux H, Goldhaber SZ, et al.
523		Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of
524		Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical
525		Practice Guidelines. Chest. 2012;141(2 Suppl):e419S-e96S.
526	13.	Kearon C, Akl EA, Ornelas J, Blaivas A, Jimenez D, Bounameaux H, et al.
527		Antithrombotic Therapy for VTE Disease: CHEST Guideline and Expert Panel
528		Report. Chest. 2016;149(2):315-52.
529	14.	Reinecke H, Nabauer M, Gerth A, Limbourg T, Treszl A, Engelbertz C, et al.
530		Morbidity and treatment in patients with atrial fibrillation and chronic kidney disease.
531		Kidney Int. 2015;87(1):200-9.
532	15.	Nigwekar SU, Zhao S, Wenger J, Hymes JL, Maddux FW, Thadhani RI, et al. A
533		Nationally Representative Study of Calcific Uremic Arteriolopathy Risk Factors. J
534		Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;27(11):3421-9.
535	16.	Yao X, Tangri N, Gersh BJ, Sangaralingham LR, Shah ND, Nath KA, et al. Renal
536		Outcomes in Anticoagulated Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol.
537		2017;70(21):2621-32.
538	17.	Molnar AO, Bota SE, Garg AX, Harel Z, Lam N, McArthur E, et al. The Risk of
539		Major Hemorrhage with CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;27(9):2825-32.
540	18.	Chan KE, Lazarus JM, Thadhani R, Hakim RM. Anticoagulant and antiplatelet usage
541		associates with mortality among hemodialysis patients. J Am Soc Nephrol.
542		2009;20(4):872-81.
543	19.	Konstantinidis I, Nadkarni GN, Yacoub R, Saha A, Simoes P, Parikh CR, et al.
544		Representation of Patients With Kidney Disease in Trials of Cardiovascular
545		Interventions: An Updated Systematic Review. JAMA Intern Med. 2016;176(1):121-
546		4.
547	20.	Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for
548		systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. J Clin Epidemiol.
549		2009;62(10):1006-12.

550	21.	Higgins J, Altman DG. Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In: Higgins J, Green
551		S, eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Chichester,
552		West Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd; 2008:187-241.
553	22.	Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E, Hoffman EB, Deenadayalu N, Ezekowitz MD,
554		et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin
555		in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. Lancet.
556		2014;383(9921):955-62.
557	23.	Paule RC, Mandel J. Consensus values and weighting factors. Journal of Research of
558		the National Bureau of Standards. 1982;87(5):377-85.
559	24.	Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-
560		analyses. BMJ. 2003;327(7414):557-60.
561	25.	Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Schunemann HJ, Tugwell P, Knottnerus A. GRADE
562		guidelines: a new series of articles in the Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. J Clin
563		Epidemiol. 2011;64(4):380-2.
564	26.	Sterne JA, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JP, Terrin N, Jones DR, Lau J, et al.
565		Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-
566		analyses of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d4002.
567	27.	Siontis KC, Zhang X, Eckard A, Bhave N, Schaubel DE, He K, et al. Outcomes
568		Associated With Apixaban Use in Patients With End-Stage Kidney Disease and Atrial
569		Fibrillation in the United States. Circulation. 2018;138(15):1519-29.
570	28.	Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ, Lopes RD, Hylek EM, Hanna M, et al.
571		Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med.
572		2011;365(11):981-92.
573	29.	Shen JI, Montez-Rath ME, Lenihan CR, Turakhia MP, Chang TI, Winkelmayer WC.
574		Outcomes After Warfarin Initiation in a Cohort of Hemodialysis Patients With Newly
575		Diagnosed Atrial Fibrillation. Am J Kidney Dis. 2015;66(4):677-88.
576	30.	Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Bosch J, Dagenais GR, Hart RG, Shestakovska O, et al.
577		Rivaroxaban with or without Aspirin in Stable Cardiovascular Disease. N Engl J Med.
578		2017;377(14):1319-30.
579	31.	Harel Z, Sholzberg M, Shah PS, Pavenski K, Harel S, Wald R, et al. Comparisons
580		between novel oral anticoagulants and vitamin K antagonists in patients with CKD. J
581		Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;25(3):431-42.
582	32.	Kimachi M, Furukawa TA, Kimachi K, Goto Y, Fukuma S, Fukuhara S. Direct oral
583		anticoagulants versus warfarin for preventing stroke and systemic embolic events

- among atrial fibrillation patients with chronic kidney disease. Cochrane Database Syst
 Rev. 2017;11:CD011373.
- 586 33. Reinecke H, Jurgensmeyer S, Engelbertz C, Gerss J, Kirchhof P, Breithardt G, et al.
- 587 Design and rationale of a randomised controlled trial comparing apixaban to
- 588 phenprocoumon in patients with atrial fibrillation on chronic haemodialysis: the
- 589 AXADIA-AFNET 8 study. BMJ Open. 2018;8(9):e022690.

590

592 FIGURE LEGENDS

- 593 Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram showing selection of studies
- 594 PRISMA flow diagram showing selection of studies.
- 595 Abbreviations: CAD, coronary artery disease; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; PAD:
- 596 peripheral artery disease; RCT, randomized controlled trial; VTE, venous thromboembolism.
- 597 Figure 2. Summary of treatment effects in trials involving participants with atrial

598 fibrillation

- 599 Forest plot showing treatment effects in trials involving participants with atrial fibrillation on
- 600 stroke or systemic embolism, non-hemorrhagic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, myocardial
- 601 infarction, all-cause death, and bleeding outcomes.
- 602 Abbreviations: ASA, aspirin; CI, confidence intervals; GRADE, Grading of
- 603 Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation; NOAC, non-vitamin K oral
- anticoagulants; OAC, oral anticoagulants; RR, risk ratio; VKA, vitamin K antagonists.

605 Figure 3. Summary of treatment effects in trials involving participants with acute VTE

- 606 Forest plot showing treatment effects in trials involving participants with acute VTE on
- 607 recurrent VTE or VTE-related death, all-cause death, and bleeding outcomes.
- 608 Abbreviations: ASA, aspirin; CI, confidence intervals; GRADE, Grading of
- 609 Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation; LMWH, low molecular weight
- 610 heparin; NOAC, non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants; OAC, oral anticoagulants; RR, risk ratio;
- 611 VKA, vitamin K antagonists; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

612 Figure 4. Summary of treatment effects on bleeding outcomes in all trials combined

- 613 Forest plot showing treatment effects in all trials combined on major bleeding, major or non-
- 614 major clinically relevant bleeding, and intracranial hemorrhage.
- 615 * The number of events were not reported in one trial; hence generic inverse variance meta-
- 616 analysis was performed.

- 617 Abbreviations: ASA, aspirin; CI, confidence intervals; GRADE, Grading of
- 618 Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation; LMWH, low molecular weight
- 619 heparin; NOAC, non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants; RR, risk ratio; VKA, vitamin K
- antagonists.



- Recent embolic stroke: 1 trial, 419 participants

Outcome Comparison	Trials	Events, Intervention	Events, Control		RR (95% CI)	² %	GRADE certainty of evidence
Stroke or systemic embolism VKA vs ASA NOAC vs ASA Any OAC vs ASA High dose NOAC vs VKA High and low dose NOAC vs VKA	1 1 2 5 5	6/267 17/857 23/1124 227/5735 389/8265	23/249 51/840 74/1089 283/5597 283/5597		0.24 (0.10, 0.59) 0.33 (0.19, 0.56) 0.30 (0.19, 0.48) 0.79 (0.66, 0.93) 0.87 (0.74, 1.02)	NA NA 0 8	Very low Very low Moderate High High
Non-hemorrhagic stroke High dose NOAC vs VKA	3	151/4362	144/4328	+	1.04 (0.83, 1.30)	0	Moderate
Hemorrhagic stroke High dose NOAC vs VKA	3	27/4362	57/4328		0.48 (0.30, 0.76)	0	Moderate
Myocardial infarction High dose NOAC vs VKA	1	34/1379	38/1361	+	0.88 (0.56, 1.39)	NA	Very low
All-cause death VKA vs ASA NOAC vs ASA Any OAC vs ASA High dose NOAC vs VKA	1 1 2 3	21/267 59/857 80/1124 598/4113	22/249 66/840 88/1089 664/4002		0.89 (0.50, 1.58) 0.88 (0.62, 1.23) 0.88 (0.66, 1.18) 0.88 (0.78, 0.99)	NA NA 0 22	Very low Very low Low Moderate
Death or ischemic event after PCI/ACS High dose NOAC vs VKA	2	* 13/73	* 8/72		0.91 (0.33, 2.56)	75	Very low
Major bleeding VKA vs ASA NOAC vs ASA Any OAC vs ASA High dose NOAC vs VKA High and low dose NOAC vs VKA	1 1 2 5 5	5/267 24/857 29/1124 402/5600 574/8121	6/249 20/840 26/1089 491/5473 491/5473		0.78 (0.24, 2.51) 1.18 (0.65, 2.11) 1.08 (0.64, 1.83) 0.80 (0.61, 1.04) 0.74 (0.55, 1.00)	NA NA 0 75 83	Very low Very low Low Low Low
Major or non-major clinically relevant bleeding High dose NOAC vs VKA	5	* 401/1792	* 396/1762		0.97 (0.76, 1.23)	28	Low
Intracranial hemorrhage High dose NOAC vs VKA	3	41/4102	81/3954		0.49 (0.30, 0.80)	36	Moderate
Gastrointestinal bleeding High dose NOAC vs VKA	1	51/1372	43/1356		1.17 (0.79, 1.75)	NA	Very low
Fatal bleeding High dose NOAC vs VKA	1	9/1372	19/1356	_	0.47 (0.21, 1.03)	NA	Very low
Minor bleeding High dose NOAC vs VKA	1	120/1372	150/1356		0.79 (0.63, 0.99)	NA	Very low
			I 0.1	0 1	<mark>І</mark> 3.7		

Control better

Outcome Comparison	Trials	Events, Intervention	Events, Control	
VTE or VTE-related death	ı			
NOAC vs placebo	2	3/298	18/245	→
NOAC vs LMWH	1	2/38	1/34	+
VKA vs LMWH	2	24/150	11/143	_
Any OAC vs LMWH	3	26/188	12/177	
NOAC vs ASA	1	0/92	3/64 —	•
NOAC vs VKA	5	27/942	40/925	_ + _
All-cause death VKA vs LMWH	2	66/144	61/137	+
Major bleeding				
VKA vs LMWH	2	11/149	10/143	_
NOAC vs LMWH	1	4/38	1/34	
Any OAC vs LMWH	3	15/187	11/177	+
NOAC vs VKA	3	14/610	27/598	_
Major or non-major clinica	ally relevant	bleeding		
NOAC vs placebo	1	10/91	2/46	
NOAC vs ASA	1	1/92	4/64	
NOAC vs VKA	3	79/703	95/708	-+
			I 0.01 Intervention	1 1 1 better

		GRADE
	 2	certainty of
RR (95% CI)	%	evidence

0.14 (0.04, 0.48) 1.79 (0.17, 18.87) 2.39 (0.44, 12.96) 2.10 (0.72, 6.15) 0.12 (0.01, 1.87) 0.72 (0.44, 1.17)

- 0 Low NA Very low 76 Very low 51 Very low NA Very low 0 Low
- 1.01 (0.79, 1.31) 0 Very low

1.03 (0.43, 2.51)	10	Very low
3.58 (0.42, 30.48)	NA	Very low
1.24 (0.54, 2.88)	11	Very low
0.54 (0.21, 1.43)	51	Very low

2.53 (0.58, 11.06)	NA	Very	low
0.17 (0.02, 1.52)	NA	Very	low
0.84 (0.63, 1.11)	0	Low	

Outcome	_	Events,	Events,	
Companson	Irials	Intervention	Control	
Major bleeding				
High dose NOAC vs VKA	9	416/6215	518/6076	-
High and low dose NOAC vs VKA	9	588/8736	518/6076	-
NOAC vs placebo	4	126/4480	68/4230	-
NOAC vs ASA	1	24/857	20/840	-+-
NOAC vs LMWH	4	12/443	3/420	
VKA vs ASA	1	5/267	6/249	
VKA vs LMWH	2	11/149	10/143	
Low-intensity VKA vs placebo	2	16/184	7/182	
Major or non-major clinically relevant ble	eding			
High dose NOAC vs VKA	8	* 480/2495	* 491/2470	+
NOAC vs placebo	3	48/397	5/177	
NOAC vs ASA	2	* 1/92	* 4/64	
NOAC vs LMWH	3	27/640	26/674	
Low-intensity VKA vs placebo	1	26/87	18/87	+•
Intracranial haemorrhage				
High dose NOAC vs VKA	3	41/4102	81/3954	—
			I	

0.05 Intervention better

		GRADE
	 ²	certainty of
RR (95% CI)	%	evidence

0.75 (0.56, 1.01) 0.71 (0.52, 0.96) 2.27 (1.21, 4.26) 1.18 (0.65, 2.11) 3.67 (1.05, 12.89) 0.78 (0.24, 2.51) 1.03 (0.43, 2.51) 2.66 (0.39, 18.19)

67	Low
76	Low
50	Low
NA	Very low
_	
0	Very low
0 NA	Very low Very low
0 NA 10	Very low Very low Very low
0 NA 10 48	Very low Very low Very low Very low

0.95 (0.83, 1.07) 4.03 (1.62, 10.03) 0.54 (0.12, 2.36) 1.09 (0.64, 1.85) 1.44 (0.86, 2.44)

- 4 Low0 Very low
- 47 Very low
- 0 Very low
- NA Very low

0.49 (0.30, 0.80) 36 Moderate

19 Control better