Increased Vitronectin Production by Complement-Stimulated Human Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cells
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PURPOSE. A variation in the complement factor H gene was associated with an enhanced risk to develop especially early age-related macular degeneration. Drusen and basal laminar deposits are hallmarks of this AMD manifestation that contain vitronectin as a major component. In this study, the correlation between complement stimulation and vitronectin production of retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells was investigated.

METHODS. ARPE-19 cells, a permanent cell line of human RPE cells, were supplemented with and without human complement competent serum in medium with and without heat inactivated fetal calf serum. The cells were examined in situ for their vitronectin production as an effective inhibitor of alternatively activated complement by immunohistochemistry. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR and Western blots were performed to analyze vitronectin mRNA and protein.

RESULTS. A strong immunohistochemical staining for vitronectin was observed after complement supplementation. The enhanced production of this complement inactivator by ARPE-19 cells was confirmed by Western blot, whereas the expression analysis revealed unaltered mRNA amounts.

CONCLUSIONS. A stimulation of RPE cells with complement resulted in an upregulated production of vitronectin. This may support the concept of a protective mechanism, since vitronectin is the major inhibitor of complement activated by the alternative pathway. On the other hand, this increased vitronectin production after complement stimulation may contribute to focal or diffuse deposits in Bruch's membrane, as observed in early AMD. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50: 5304–5309) DOI:10.1167/iovs.08-3326

The complement system is part of the innate immunity and provides first-line defense against a variety of pathogens, such as helminths or bacteria. The activation of the complement cascade is potentially harmful for the host's own cells, therefore it is strictly regulated. A mutation in the gene encoding for complement factor H (CFH) that leads to a less active inhibition of the alternative pathway was proven to be strongly related to the development of age-related macular degeneration (AMD).1–4 These findings about CFH suggested a role for activated complement in the pathogenesis of AMD, although the precise involvement of CFH in the development of AMD is unknown.5 Further protective alleles have been identified in the genes coding for factor B and complement component 2.6,7 and a functional polymorphism in the complement component 3 gene in relation to AMD was also described.8 Especially in early AMD, an increased activation of complement might play an important role.9,10

Throughout life, the accumulation of debris results in changes of Bruchs' membrane (BrM) characterized by a deposition of lipids and an abnormal composition of extracellular matrix proteins.11 This process may result in the development of focal or diffuse deposits in BrM known as drusen or basal laminar and linear deposits (BLD), which are histopathological hallmarks for AMD.12–15 Drusen and BLD showed positive staining for vitronectin14–15; also, the cytoplasm of RPE cells in close proximity to drusen was immunoreactive for antibodies recognizing vitronectin.15 In addition, drusen were shown to contain the membrane attacking complex (MAC) also termed C5b-9, the final product of activated complement.16–18 An enhanced staining for vitronectin was also detected in BLD of removed choroidal neovascular membranes of AMD patients.19,20 The source of vitronectin and the corresponding mRNA could be RPE cells14,21 and the photoreceptor cells.22

In atherosclerosis, a disease with some similarities to AMD, vitronectin was interpreted as an important mediator of disease.23–25 Atherosclerotic deposits also contain vitronectin and the MAC as indicated by positive staining for S-Protein and C5b-9,26 and the vitronectin deposition is thought to be initiated to inhibit complement.

Vitronectin or S-Protein is an acidic glycoprotein that can bind to the C5b-9 complex in free solution and thereby has a protective effect by preventing the attachment of the complex to the surface of cells.27 In particular, the metastable membrane-binding site of the nascent precursor complex C5b-7 is occupied by vitronectin28 and it inhibits the polymerization of C9 in a concentration dependant manner.29

The degeneration of the RPE and other abnormalities of these cells were closely connected to AMD.30,31 The present study was conducted to clarify whether complement can alter directly the vitronectin production of RPE cells. Therefore, cells of the well-established adherent human retinal pigment epithelial cell line ARPE-1932 were used as model for RPE metabolism. To investigate if the vitronectin production by RPE cells is enhanced by complement, the cells were challenged with different complement preparations in vitro and their production of vitronectin was confirmed by immunohistochemistry, mRNA analysis, and Western blot.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cell Culture

ARPE-19 cells (ATCC number CRL-2302) were grown in T75 flasks (Nunc, Rochester, NY) and cultured in a 1:1 mixture of DMEM and
Ham’s F12 (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) containing 15 mM HEPES, 2.5 mMglutamine, 1.2 g/L sodium bicarbonate, and 0.5 mM sodium pyruvate. The medium was supplemented with penicillin and streptomycin (PAA, Pasching, Austria) and 10% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS; Biochrom). For subcultivation that was necessary every 7 to 10 days, the adherent cells were detached by trypsin-EDTA solution (PAA) and split in a 1 to 5 ratio. In running cultures the medium was renewed 2 to 3 times a week.

Study Design

The RPE cells were grown in eight-well chamber slides (BD Biosciences Europe, Erembodegem, Belgium) for immunohistochemistry and in six-well plates for protein and RNA extraction in DMEM/F12 containing 10% FCS. They were allowed to adhere overnight, were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and supplemented with fresh media alone with and without FCS or media containing 1, 5, and 10 μg lipopolysaccharide (LPS)/mL (from Escherichia coli, Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany). LPS treated cells were used as control for ARPE-19 cells activated by a stimulus unrelated to complement. In other sets of experiments 1, 5, and 10 μL of complement (human complement sera, 74 or 51 CH50 Units/mL, Sigma) per 250 μL serum was stored in aliquots at -80°C. This second complement source was examined at concentrations of 1%, 5%, and 10%. In some experiments zymosan A (Sigma), an activator of both the alternative and the classic complement pathway,23 was included. Zymosan was tested alone and in combination with complement competent serum; serum was stored in aliquots at 80°C. The adherent cells were detached by trypsin-EDTA solution (PAA) and split in a 1 to 5 ratio. In running cultures the medium was renewed 2 to 3 times a week.

Immunohistochemistry

ARPE-19 cells were cultured in eight-well chamber slides (Becton Dickinson, Heidelberg, Germany) near to confluency. They were washed twice with PBS and were fixed for 10 minutes in 4% buffered formaldehyde. After additional washing steps with PBS, a monoclonal mouse anti-human vitronectin antibody (TaKaRa, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France) was incubated at a concentration of 5 μg/mL in PBS containing 5% fetal calf serum for 1 hour at room temperature. The cells in the chamber slides were washed with tris-buffered saline (TBS) and 100 μL universal link (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) was added for 15 minutes, followed by washing with TBS and 15 minutes incubation with alkaline phosphatase conjugated to streptavidin (DAKO). The red reaction product was developed by fuchsin substrate in 20 to 30 minutes, and Gill No. 3 (Sigma) was used as a blue counterstaining. After washing with distilled water, the blue color was fixed with ammonium water and the cells were coverslipped with aquatex (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) after two additional washing steps with distilled water.

RNA Analysis

Total RNA of 1 × 10⁶ ARPE-19 incubated 24 hours with the different stimuli in serum-free and serum-containing media was extracted by an extraction kit (RNeasy; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) as recommend by the supplier. First strand cDNA synthesis of 1 μg of RNA of each specimen was performed by reverse transcription kit (OmniScript; Qiagen) according to the protocol of the manufacturer. Control reactions run without any mRNA template. Adjacent PCR with Taq polymerase (HotStar; Qiagen) started with an initial denaturating step at 95°C for 15 minutes followed by 30 cycles (for GAPDH) or 35 cycles (for vitronectin), each consisting of 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds annealing temperature, and 1 minute at 72°C for the extension followed by a terminal 10-minute extension phase at 72°C. The PCR products were examined on 1.8% agarose gels and the obtained bands were analyzed by Image (NIH freeware; developed by Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD; available at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij). Two different primer pairs specific for human vitronectin published elsewhere22 were used, and GAPDH expression (primer design by Teresa Hsi, Harvard NeuroDiscovery Center, Boston, MA) was investigated as housekeeping gene (see Table 1 for the sequences of the primers). All primer pairs span two exons and were specific for human cDNA.

Protein Isolation

Total protein of 1 × 10⁶ ARPE-19 incubated 24 hours with the different stimuli in serum-free and serum-containing media was isolated according to a standard protocol. Briefly, the cells were washed twice with PBS, detached mechanically with ice-cold PBS and a cell scraper and were pelleted by centrifugation. The collected pellet was resuspended in pre-cooled RIPA buffer (2% nonidet-P40, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM sodium chloride, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecylsulfate, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonfluoride, 10 μg/mL leupeptin and 10 μg/mL pepstatin adjusted to pH 7.4) and sonicated on ice. After centrifugation at 14,000g, the soluble protein was aliquoted and frozen at −20°C. The protein concentration was analyzed by protein assay kit (DC Protein Assay kit; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munich, Germany) before usage in downstream applications.

Western Blot

First, 10 μg of protein was applied in each lane of two identical 10%polyacrylamide minigels and electrophoresis was done for 3 hours and 120 V at 4°C. Negative controls were performed without any protein, a positive control with proteins extracted from human serum run on each gel. A prestained protein standard (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was used to estimate the molecular weight of the obtained bands. One gel was stained by Coomassie blue, the other one was blotted on nitrocellulose membrane (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) for 45 minutes at 350 mA. The blots were saturated with TBS containing 0.5% Tween 20 and 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) over night at 4°C. The blot was incubated for 3 hours with the vitronectin-specific antibody (TaKaRa) diluted 1:2000 in TBS containing 0.5% Tween 20 and 1% BSA. After washing with TBS and 0.5% Tween 20, 1:5000

| Table 1. Sequences of the Primers Used in this Study, the Annealing Temperatures in the PCR Protocols, and the Lengths of the Specific Amplification Products |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Product | Primer | Sequence from 5’ to 3’ | Annealing Temperature/ Product Size |
| Vitronectin 1 | Forward | CGA GGA GAA AAA CAA TGC CAC | 58.1°C/502 bp |
| | Reverse | GAA GCC GTC AGA GAT ATT TGG | |
| Vitronectin 2 | Forward | CCT TCA CCG ACC TCA AGA AC | 56.6°C/257 bp |
| | Reverse | GAA GCC GTC AGA GAT ATT TGG | |
| GAPDH | Forward | ATG AGA TCA AGA AGG TGG TG | 54.7°C/177 bp |
| | Reverse | GAT ACC AGG AAA TGA GCT TG | |
Results

Vitronectin was constitutively expressed at low levels by cultured ARPE-19 cells (Fig. 1B) but was not detected in isotype and negative controls (Fig. 1A). When human complement competent serum was added to the serum-free media, the immunohistochemical staining for vitronectin was strongly enhanced in a concentration dependent manner (Figs. 1D, 1E). Additionally, increased cell detachment during the staining procedure was observed with increasing concentrations of complement. The cells themselves were stained mainly at their borders, and a strong labeling of the surrounding matrix was observed. The same results were obtained when the cells were cultured in serum-containing medium, although the staining intensity for vitronectin was decreased. When ARPE-19 cells were stimulated with LPS, no enhanced staining for vitronectin either of the surrounding matrix or of the cells was detected. Only at the highest concentration of 10 μg/mL tested was some staining of the cytoplasm around the nucleus noted (Fig. 1G). Comparable vitronectin specific staining was found in ARPE-19 cells that were cultured in FCS containing medium (Fig. 1I), but the staining in LPS and FCS treated cells was in clear difference to the findings of a strong positive staining result for vitronectin after complement addition (compare Figs. 1G and 1I to 1D and 1E).

ARPE-19 cells incubated with zymosan showed only a very slight enhanced spotted staining for vitronectin (Fig. 1C). In contrast, the combination of zymosan A with no background (Fig. 1H), although the staining intensity was lower than in specimens including RPE cells. (Fig. 1A) when LPS or zymosan were added alone to the medium and incubated for 24 hours on the slides. These findings were independent of the presence or absence of FCS.

When cDNA obtained from total RNA of ARPE-19 cells that were incubated with increasing concentrations of complement serum was analyzed by PCR, no differences in GAPDH expression were detected (Fig. 2A). Instead, increased detection of PCR products specific for vitronectin were measured (Fig. 2B). The same results were obtained with both vitronectin specific primer pairs. This was also found in densitometry analysis of the respective bands (Fig. 2C).

To verify that the enhanced vitronectin staining observed in immunohistochemistry after incubation with complement serum stem from the RPE cells themselves, we isolated total protein of untreated ARPE-19 cells and those treated with human complement serum. In SDS gels of cells incubated with human complement competent serum, a band of approximately 75 kDa was clearly stronger (Fig. 3A). When protein lysates of human liver cells as known vitronectin producers were examined, the detected band for vitronectin was on the same heights, indicating the same molecular weight of approximately 75 kDa. Further analysis in Western blot experiments...
confirmed that a band corresponding to vitronectin was detected constitutively in proteins extracted from untreated ARPE-19 cells and that this band was stronger in protein preparations isolated from complement serum treated RPE cells (Fig. 3B). Densitometry analysis indicated a 1.2 to 1.7-fold increase in vitronectin protein production by RPE cells evoked by complement serum (Fig. 3C).

**DISCUSSION**

Genetic studies demonstrated the involvement of a variant in the complement factor H gene that will allow an increased activation of the complement system via the alternative pathway during the development of AMD. In particular, BrM deposits of early AMD patients like drusen and BLD were suspected to be triggered by complement or to trigger complement activation via the alternative pathway. The predominant extracellular matrix component of these deposits is vitronectin. Therefore, the theory arose that vitronectin production by RPE cells might be the result of a protective mechanism against the attack by activated complement. The findings of the present study supported this hypothesis and confirmed that a band corresponding to vitronectin was detected constitutively in proteins extracted from untreated ARPE-19 cells and that this band was stronger in protein preparations isolated from complement serum treated RPE cells (Fig. 3B). Densitometry analysis indicated a 1.2 to 1.7-fold increase in vitronectin protein production by RPE cells evoked by complement serum (Fig. 3C).
gave evidence that complement can trigger RPE cells to produce vitronectin.

ARPE-19 cells cultured on BrM from aged donors showed diminished gene expression for vitronectin when compared to culturing on BrM explants from younger donors.55 Given this in combination with a protective function of vitronectin against activated complement and the results of our study, this may suggest that an enhanced production of vitronectin by RPE cells after complement challenge may reflect a defense mechanism with bystander deposition of vitronectin under the RPE. In vivo, this process might support the development of drusen, BLD, and later, AMD. ARPE-19 cells from Larry M. Hjelmeland (University of California, Davis, CA) were heterozygous for the Y402H polymorphism, as revealed by SNP analysis (Lincoln V. Johnson, personal communication, February 2008). Thereby, these cells possess the genotype of persons with an enhanced risk for developing AMD, although the homozygous genotype is even more endangered.

Vitronectin occurs as a component of the extracellular matrix and also as soluble form in serum. Indeed, we found a vitronectin-specific background staining in human complement serum preparations. This background staining was not that strong, as observed in the immunohistochemistry that included RPE cells, yet it was initially not clear if the observed enhanced detection of vitronectin was produced by the RPE cells themselves.

To further confirm the vitronectin production by RPE cells after incubation with complement serum, we performed RT-PCR. With both primer pairs we did not detect any upregulation of vitronectin. This indicates that the enhanced production of vitronectin by RPE cells after incubation with complement serum is not regulated by de novo synthesis of mRNA transcripts and might include other mechanisms such as prolonged half-life of mRNA.

Additional Western blots with protein isolations from complement treated and untreated cells clearly showed that at least in part the detected vitronectin proteins were expressed in enhanced amounts by the cells. Therefore, RPE cells were identified as an important source for vitronectin after incubation with complement serum. That RPE cells are producers of vitronectin is in accordance with previously published results.13 Hepatocytes were described as the cells with the highest production of vitronectin.46 In our study, the vitronectin production of RPE cells exceeded those of hepatocytes, as detected by direct comparison of protein preparations from human liver and from ARPE-19 cells. For vitronectin, different molecular weights were shown: while vitronectin proteins from serum are 80 kDa and 70 kDa, a single chain molecule with a molecular weight of 75 to 78 kDa is produced by hepatocytes.57 In addition, a two-chain form of 65 + 10 kDa resulting in 75 kDa and with the occurrence in the circulation is known.58 We observed a molecular weight of 75 kDa for the vitronectin produced by the ARPE-19 cells. Supplementary experiments should clarify if this corresponds to the single chain or the two-chain form of vitronectin.

The induced vitronectin production by RPE cells by complement can be viewed as a sign for the activation of these cells. Activated T cells can also stimulate RPE cells to an upregulation of complement factors and enhance the vitronectin response approximately 1.5-fold (Juel H, et al. IOVS 2008; 49:ARVO EAbstract 5152). This might suggest an increased production of vitronectin by RPE cells as a specific reaction on complement that is not observed after a stimulus like LPS.

There is the possibility that ARPE-19 cells as a permanent cell line do not closely simulate the behavior of in vivo RPE cells in the eye. Therefore, one future goal is to repeat the experiments with primary cultures of RPE cells and to examine confluent monolayers grown on filter inserts to mimic the in vivo situation more closely.

Taken together, this study showed that RPE cells can be stimulated to produce an important regulatory protein of the alternative pathway of complement activation in reaction to human complement serum. This may mirror a protective mechanism to inactivate the complement cascade on the one hand, but on the other hand, may promote in vivo focal deposits as drusen or diffuse laminar and linear deposits in BrM, as observed in early AMD. The enhanced production of vitronectin by RPE cells after complement exposure might explain at least in part the underlying mechanism with which complement can contribute to the development of early AMD.
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