1 Adenovirus 5 Recovery Using Nanofiber Ion Exchange Adsorbents

Jordan Turnbull,¹ Bernice Wright,¹ Nicola K Green,² Richard Tarrant,² Iwan Roberts,³ Oliver
Hardick,³ Daniel G. Bracewell¹

- 4 ¹Department of Biochemical Engineering, University College London, Bernard Katz
- 5 Building, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; telephone: +44 20 7679 9580;
- 6 <u>d.bracewell@ucl.ac.uk</u>
- ⁷²Clinical BioManufacturing Facility, University of Oxford, Old Road, Headington, Oxford,
- 8 OX3 7JT, United Kingdom
- ⁹ ³Puridify, Stevenage Bioscience Catalyst, SG1 2FX, United Kingdom

10 Grant Numbers

11 EP/L01520X/1 and EP/N013395/1

12 Abstract

13 Viral vectors such as adenovirus have successful applications in vaccines and gene therapy 14 but the manufacture of high quality virus remains a challenge. It is desirable to use the 15 adsorption based chromatographic separations that so effectively underpin therapeutic protein 16 manufacture. However fundamental differences in the size and stability of this class of 17 product means it is necessary to revisit the design of sorbent's morphology and surface 18 chemistry. In this study, the behaviour of a cellulose nanofiber ion exchange sorbent 19 derivatised with quaternary (Q) amine ligands at defined densities is characterised to address 20 this. This material was selected as it has a large accessible surface area for viral particles and 21 rapid process times.

22 Initially the impact of surface chemistry on infective product recovery using low (440 23 μ mol/g), medium (750 μ mol/g) and high (1029 μ mol/g) ligand densities is studied. At higher 24 densities product stability is reduced, this effect increased with prolonged adsorption durations of 24 minutes with just ~10% loss at low ligand density vs. ~50% at high. This 25 26 could be mitigated by using a high flowrate to reduce the cycle time to ~1 minute. Next the 27 impact of ligand density on the separation's resolution was evaluated. Key to understanding 28 virus quality is the virus particle: infectious virus particle ratio. It was found this parameter 29 could be manipulated using ligand density and elution strategy. Together this provides a basis 30 for viral vector separations that allows for their typically low titres and labile nature by using 31 high liquid velocity to minimise both load and on-column times while separating key product 32 and process related impurities.

33 Keywords

34 Nanofibers, anion exchange chromatography, viral vectors, downstream processing

35 Introduction

36 The adenovirus serotype 5 (Ad5) particle is a non-enveloped, icosahedral capsid with a 90-37 100nm diameter that carries a linear, double-stranded DNA genome (San Martin, 2012). 38 Human Ad5 is the most widely studied adenovirus serotype and is a typical model for viral 39 vector process development (Crystal, 2014). Ad5 is an attractive gene delivery vector due to 40 structural stability, ability to carry large transgene payloads and broad tissue tropism (Crystal, 41 2014). As of 2017, 20% of all gene therapy trials utilise an adenovirus vector (Lee et al., 42 2017). In the majority of these clinical trials the Ad5 vector fulfils two roles; in an oncolytic 43 capacity for treatment of cancers and as a vaccine whereby the vector expresses a foreign 44 antigenic protein (Keeler, ElMallah, & Flotte, 2017).

45 Downstream processing of viral vectors represents a significant bottleneck and a primary cost 46 of production (Vellinga et al., 2014). Conventionally, industry and academia have relied 47 heavily on the ultracentrifugation technique for downstream purification of highly purified 48 viral vectors (Chen, Marino, & Ho, 2016). However, the process has major drawbacks 49 including poor scalability and high operating costs (Vicente, Roldão, Peixoto, Carrondo, & 50 Alves, 2011).

51 Initial efforts to develop scalable purification platforms led to the repurposing of anion-52 exchange resins designed for protein purification, building on experience of the rapeutic 53 protein processes. Increases in the physical size and complexity of biological products such as viral vectors highlight the limitations of these conventional resin-based chromatographic
platforms, for instance poor recovery of the complex biotherapeutics (Lucero et al., 2017).

56 To address this, a number of alternative chromatography materials have been applied to the 57 purification of viruses designed to improve the efficiency and scalability of the process. 58 Monoliths have been applied to Ad5 purification (Whitfield, Battom, Barut, Gilham, & Ball, 59 2009) as well as the separation of much larger enveloped virus species including Vaccinia 60 viruses (350nm) (Vincent et al., 2017). The recovery of a recombinant Ad5 gene therapy was improved from 28% using a Q-Sepharose[™] XL column to 35% using a monolith column 61 62 (CIMTM QA-1) (Lucero et al., 2017). Previous reports showed that the CIMTM QA-1 was 63 preferable over the weak anion CIMTM DEAE-1. The final infective coefficient of virus particle per infective virus particles (VP/IVP) was 13, a range documented as acceptable for 64 potency by the Food and Drug Administration (Kramberger, Urbas, & Štrancar, 2015). Other 65 66 work using a porous cast membrane Peixoto, Ferreira, Sousa, Carrondo, and Alves (2008) achieved a 62% recovery (determined by cell fluorescence) of infectious Ad5. As well as 67 68 exploration of alternate adsorbents there has also been a significant amount of work to 69 optimise process and platform design. Piergiuseppe Nestola et al. (2014) described 70 purification of Ad5 using a two column, quasi-continuous, simulated moving-bed size 71 exclusion chromatography (SEC) process which achieved a recovery of 86% determined by 72 real-time PCR.

In the this work nanofibers adsorbents are used which have seen a variety of separation
applications and can be synthesised in a range of materials such as nylon (Stanelle, M Straut,
& Marcus, 2007), glass and cellulose (Ruckenstein & Guo, 2004). The cellulose nanofiber

76 based adsorbents used exhibit a number of physical properties which could be beneficial for 77 Ad5 purification when compared to existing commercial monolith/resin/membranes, 78 including their high surface area and mobile phase accessibility to the entire functionalised surface. Ryu, Kim, Lee, Park, and Lee (2003) reported surface areas of 14 m²g⁻¹ for nylon 6 79 nanofibers and poly(4-vinylpyridine) nanofibers were shown to have an area of 26 m^2g^{-1} 80 81 (Matsumoto, Wakamatsu, Minagawa, & Tanioka, 2006). Porous cast membranes for bioseparations with a pore size of 0.45 μ m exhibit a surface area of 1-2 m²g⁻¹ a surface 82 83 significantly lower than nanofibers (Wang, Faber, & Ulbricht, 2009). Beaded porous resins typically have the highest reported surface area at 40 m²g⁻¹ (Wen-Chien, Chang-Hung, Ruoh-84 85 Chyu, & Keh-Ying, 1995). Despite the high surface area of these resins, the pore size (typically less than 100nm) results in size exclusion of Ad5 from the inner functionalised 86 87 surface resulting in lower binding capacities (Lusky, 2005) for large biological product such 88 as viruses than would otherwise be expected. The electrospinning process that is used to 89 fabricate the nanofibers requires controlled atmospheric conditions in order to generate 90 consistent nanofiber deposition. Using this approach an average nanofiber diameter within 91 5% of 350nm (Hardick et al., 2011) can be achieved. The fibres are randomly deposited (non-92 woven) to create a consistent stationary phase architecture to avoid channelling while keeping 93 favourable pressure / flow characteristics (Hardick, Stevens, & Bracewell, 2011). The 94 resulting adsorbent bed once derivatised with an appropriate ligand and packed has convective 95 mass transfer characteristics, and an internal porosity estimated to be 0.62 by mass-density-96 volume calculations. In this work the nanofibers are packed in a ~0.125 mL bed, 0.3mm height 97 and 25mm diameter of (Hardick, Dods, Stevens, & Bracewell, 2013).

98 To create nanofibers with the desired separation properties for this use ligand density on the 99 adsorbents is critical. Vicente, Fáber, Alves, Carrondo, and P.B. Mota (2011) demonstrated 100 this parameter impacted recombinant baculovirus (rBV) product quality and impurity 101 clearance for anion exchange membranes. P. Nestola et al. (2014) have shown on similar 102 adsorbents that Ad5 recovery is doubled by reducing the grafted ligand density. In the current 103 study, nanofibers incorporating Q amine ligands at low, medium and high densities on the 104 adsorbent surfaces are used. It is hypothesised that modifying the density of the ligand in this 105 manner would affect Ad5 binding and separation of product and process related impurities, 106 as well as yield.

107 Materials and Methods

108 Materials

109 The HEK293 cell line used for the generation of Ad5 stocks and for performing the β -110 galactosidase infectivity titre were purchased from American Tissue Culture Collection 111 (Manassas, VA, USA). Ad5containing a β -galactosidase gene insert were kindly gifted from 112 the Clinical BioManufacturing Facility (Oxford, UK). Nanofiber adsorbents were made to a 113 range of Q amine ligand densities of low (440 µmol/g), medium (750 µmol/g) and high (1029 114 µmol/g) quaternary (Q) ligand density nanofibers by Puridify (now GE Healthcare, 115 Stevenage, UK). All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK) unless 116 otherwise stated. Antibodies for Western blotting analyses were purchased from Abcam or 117 2BScientific. Polyclonal antibody - Primary antibody: Rabbit polyclonal antibody to Ad5 118 (catalogue number: ab6982, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), secondary antibody: Goat polyclonal 119 antibody to rabbit IgG (catalogue number: ab6721, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Ad5 Hexon 120 antibody - Mouse monoclonal antibody to Ad5 Hexon (catalogue number: 10R-8460

- 121 2BScientific Limited, Upper Heyford, UK), secondary antibody: Rabbit polyclonal antibody
- 122 to mouse IgG (catalogue number: ab6728, Abcam, Cambridge, UK).

123 Methods

124 HEK293 Cell Culture

125 HEK293 cells were cultured in an incubator at 37°C in a 5% (v/v) CO₂ enriched atmosphere 126 at 95% humidity. Cells were cultured for three days and passaged at 80% confluency. Cells 127 were counted using a haemocytometer and they were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's 128 Medium from Life Technologies (catalogue no: 21969035, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 129 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany), 1% (v/v) 130 penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), and 2mM L-glutamine (Biochrom, Cambridge, UK). Cells were cultured in 10-tiered HYPERFlasks[®] (Sigma-Aldrich, 131 132 Steinheim, Germany).

133 Adenovirus 5 Propagation in HEK293 Cells

134 Infection of HEK293 cells with Ad5 was performed by adding 100 μ L, 5.1 x 10⁹ VP of Ad5 135 in 2.5% glycerol to HYPERFlasks[®] containing HEK293 cells at 80% confluency. The cells 136 were then incubated for 48 h in the cell culture incubator at 37°C, 5% (v/v) CO₂ and 95% 137 humidity.

138 Adenovirus 5 Harvest and Clarification

To harvest Ad5 propagated in HEK293 cells, the HYPERFlasks[®] were knocked, removing
the cells from culture surface, and the contents transferred to 50 mL centrifuge tubes. Cells

141 were stored on dry ice for 30 min and thawed at 37°C for 40 min. The cycle of freezing and 142 thawing was performed three times to disrupt the cell membrane (Lucero et al., 2017). The 143 cell lysate was then centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 min, and filtered using 33mm 144 Polyethersulfone (PES) membrane sterile syringe driven filters (0.45µm, Merck Millipore, 145 Feltham, UK) and pooled. Tangential flow filtration (TFF) of the clarified cell lysate was 146 conducted on a KR2i system using a 500kDa molecular weight cut off (MWCO) D06-E500-147 05-N hollow fiber (length 65cm, surface area 370cm²; both Spectrum Labs, Breda, The 148 Netherlands) at a flow rate of 20 mL/min and transmembrane pressure of 2 Psi (\pm 0.5). The 149 cell lysate was concentrated 4X and dialysed in binding buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.4) 5X 150 volume of retentate, sample was then diluted 1 in 4 to original harvest volume to control for 151 changes in loading volume when comparing TFF and CCL feed.

Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis of Adenovirus 5 Binding to Quaternary Amine Functionalised Nanofibers

154 Quaternary amine functionalised nanofiber disks were washed with ddH₂O and submerged in 155 an aqueous binding buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7.4. The nanofibers were then 156 conditioned in fresh binding buffer for 30 min. Clarified Ad5 ($\sim 10^9$ VP: 100 µL) in culture 157 media was added to 900 µL fresh binding buffer to which the discs were submerged and 158 agitated at room temperature for 60 min. A selection from this sample of nanofiber disks were 159 washed in binding buffer to remove non-bound material and submerged in 1% (v/v) 160 glutaraldehyde solution for 10 seconds and left to dry at room temperature. A second batch 161 of nanofiber disks were prepared as before and then submerged in 20 mM Tris, 1 M NaCl pH 162 7.4 for 5 minutes, the nanofibers were then washed with ddH2O and submerged in 1% (v/v)

163 glutaraldehyde aqueous solution for 10 s and dried at room temperature. Scanning electron 164 microscopy (SEM) was used to image the virus particles bound the adsorbent, the open 165 structure of nanofibers meant that no manipulation of the nanofiber bed was required to 166 visualise adsorbent surface. Nanofibers were mounted on aluminium stubs using adhesive 167 carbon taps. Mounted samples were coated in a 2 nm layer of gold/palladium using a 681 168 Gatan ion beam coater (Roper Industries, Abingdon UK) and imaged using a JEOL 7401 169 FEGSEM (JEOL, Peabody, MA US).

170 Chromatography

171 Two different Ad5 containing feeds were assessed to determine if a reduction in process 172 impurities achieved by incorporating a TFF step into the process would change the feed 173 binding characteristics on nanofiber membranes. Two feeds were prepared. One a cell lysate 174 clarified by 33mm Polyethersulfone (PES) membrane sterile syringe driven filters (0.45µm, 175 Merck Millipore, Feltham, UK), referred to as clarified cell lysate (CCL). The second feed 176 was prepared taking CCL then processed using TFF, referred to as 'TFF'. Experiments were 177 performed using an ÄKTA Avant (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Buckinghamshire UK), with 178 online measurements of pH, conductivity and UV absorbance (260 and 280 nm). The ~0.125 179 mL nanofiber adsorbent (bed height 0.3mm, diameter 25mm) was equilibrated with 10 mL 180 wash buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4 at a flow rate of 10 mL/min. 5 mL Ad5 feed at a concentration of $\sim 10^8$ filled virions per mL (VP/mL) was loaded onto the nanofiber adsorbent 181 182 that was washed with binding buffer until conductivity reached a constant reading. A linear 183 20 mL gradient elution (20 mM Tris, 1 M NaCl, pH 7.4) was applied to nanofibers at a flow

rate of 10 mL/min to elute Ad5 bound to the nanofiber adsorbent. The nanofiber adsorbent
was washed with 2M NaCl 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4.

To investigate the effect of prolonged adsorption durations on Ad5, 5 mL of CCL was loaded onto the nanofiber and wash steps were performed with 10, 40, 80 or 240 mL equilibration buffer at a flow rate of 10 mL/min. Peak resolution was determined by identifying peaks from 20 mL gradient elutions and a step elution methodology was developed using the relative salt concentrations identified.

The resolution of peaks was refined by extending the gradient elutions when multiple peaks with similar isoelectric points were identified. Total run time for step elution was limited, whilst maintaining a constant flow rate of 10 mL/min, to minimise any potential effects of prolonged adsorption durations on Ad5 infective recovery whilst allowing high resolution separations. Elution fractions were collected using a F9-R fraction collector (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Buckinghamshire UK). All samples were diluted 1 in 7.5 in phosphate buffered saline to minimise the effects of high salt on recovery of infective Ad5 particles.

198 Western Blotting

199Fractions were concentrated using Vivaspin® Turbo 4 (Sartorius, Gottingen Germany). Total200protein was quantified using the Modified Lowry protein assay according to manufacturer's201instructions (ThermoFischer, East Grinstead, UK). Protein samples were treated 1:1 with202Laemmli sample treatment buffer: 50 mM Tris-HCl, 4% (w/v) SDS (Sigma), 10% (v/v) β-203mercaptoethanol (Sigma), 20% (v/v) glycerol (Sigma), a trace of Coomassie brilliant blue R204(Sigma), pH 6.8, and heated at 95°C for 5 min. Proteins were separated via SDS-PAGE using

205 NuPAGE[™] precast 10%, BisTris mini-gels (ThermoFischer, East Grinstead, UK) with gels 206 run at 100V per gel. Proteins were transferred from gels to polyvinylidine difluoride 207 membranes using an iBlotTM 2 gel transfer device following the manufacturer's instructions. 208 Blots were blocked with 5% milk (w/v) for 1 h at room temperature before they were 209 incubated in primary antibody (mouse monoclonal antibody to Ad5 hexon in 2% milk (w/v)) 210 overnight at +4°C. Blots were washed three times in 1X tris buffered saline-tween (TBS-T) 211 for 5 min before incubating in secondary antibody (rabbit polyclonal antibody to mouse IgG 212 (HRP-conjugated) in 2% milk) for 2 h at room temperature. Blots were imaged after a 1 min 213 incubation in enhanced chemiluminescent reagent using an Amersham Imager 600 (GE 214 Healthcare Life Sciences, Buckinghamshire UK).

215 Analysis of Purified Adenovirus 5 using Transmission Electron Microscopy

Transmission electron microscopy was used to visualise Ad5. To perform the analysis, Ad5 particles were negatively stained by adding uranyl acetate to Ad5 samples. The stained samples were dropped onto a carbon grid (400 mesh) and loaded onto JEOL 1010 Transmission Electron Microscope (JEOL, Peabody, MA USA) before they were imaged.

220 Host Cell Protein Quantification

Host cell protein (HCP) concentrations from purified Ad5 fractions were analysed using the
HEK293 HCP ELISA kit F650R (Cygnus Technologies, Southport, NC, USA) following
manufacturer's instructions.

224 **Quantitative PCR**

225 To assess total Ad5 capsids containing DNA, samples were analysed using Adeno-X[™] Rapid 226 Titer Kit (Takara Bio Europe, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France). Briefly, samples were pre-227 treated with DNA ase to remove ex-virus DNA, and then chemically lysed with protease; DNA 228 was isolated using NucleoSpin® Virus Columns (Takara Bio Europe, Saint-Germain-en-229 Laye, France). Samples were added to master reaction mix in a 96 well plate so that each well 230 contained 2 µL of unknown sample or standard control DNA, 6.8 µL PCR-grade H₂O, 0.4 µL 231 Adeno-X forward primer (10 µM), 0.4 µL Adeno-X reverse primer (10 µM), 0.4 µL ROXTM 232 Reference Dye LMP, 10.0 µL SYBR® Advantage qPCR Premix. All reaction were performed 233 using a CFX Connect[™] Real-Time PCR Detection System (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) 234 using the following cycle conditions: stage one, 95°C for 30 seconds; stage two, 95°C for 5 235 seconds, followed by 60°C for 30 seconds (40 repetitions); stage three, dissociation curve of 236 95°C for 10 seconds, 65°C to 95°C increment 0.5°C every 5 seconds. To ensure that 237 recoveries obtained from NucleoSpin® Virus Columns (Takara Bio Europe, Saint-Germain-238 en-Laye, France) were not affected by the range of salt conditions present in the elution 239 samples, a range of samples containing standard control DNA containing 20 mM Tris, and a 240 range of salt concentrations from 0-0.5 M NaCl (all pH 7.4) were also analysed.

241 Adenovirus 5 Cell Infectivity Assay

The detection and quantification of Ad5 units that were able to deliver the β -galactosidase gene were analysed as a measure of sample infectivity using the β -galactosidase reporter gene staining kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen Germany). Reactions were conducted following manufacturer's instructions but they were modified for a 96-well plate format. Briefly, plates

were coated in poly-L-lysine for 10 min. HEK293 cell suspension of concentration of 4 x 10⁵ 246 247 cells per mL were loaded per well and incubated overnight. Growth media was removed from 248 wells prior to transfection with serial dilutions of Ad5 (100 µL of Ad5 sample in 249 supplemented DMEM) and the plate incubated for 1h at 37°C. The Ad5 sample was then 250 removed from wells, replaced with 100 µL of growth media and the plate was incubated 251 overnight at 37°C. To stain, media was removed from wells and cells (attached to well 252 surfaces) were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), fixed with 1X fixation 253 buffer (20% formaldehyde, 2% glutaraldehyde in 10X PBS) and incubated for 10 min at room 254 temperature. Wells were washed twice with PBS followed by 30 µL of staining solution. 255 Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and blue stained cells were manually counted using a 256 light microscope.

257 Results and Discussion

258 Binding and Elution of Adenovirus 5 under Batch Conditions

259 Batch experiments were conducted to gain insight into the mechanism for virus binding with 260 the purification materials (Wickramasinghe, Carlson, Teske, Hubbuch, & Ulbricht, 2006). 261 Direct imaging of bound virus particles was conducted using scanning electron microscopy 262 (SEM) to determine if the binding and elution interaction behaved as expected using 263 previously described buffer conditions (Peixoto et al., 2008). Adenovirus 5 particles were 264 bound to anion exchange nanofibers under batch conditions by submerging nanofiber disks 265 into binding buffer containing the virus. The nanofibers were then imaged using SEM (Figure 1). Adenovirus 5 virions measure ~90nm in diameter and are clearly visible bound to the 266 267 nanofiber adsorbent. Other host cell components are also visible as a layer bound to the

nanofiber surface. To determine if product and impurity components had migrated into the inner bed structure as expected several cross sections through the nanofiber bed were imaged with no observable differences between layers (data not shown). To elute the bound virus, nanofibers were submerged in high salt (1 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4) elution buffer subsequent SEM reveals all components were visibly removed from the nanofiber surface (Figure 1).

274 Comparison of Clarified and Buffer Dialysed Adenovirus 5 Feeds

Adenovirus 5 harvest was clarified with 0.45 μ m filters, this clarified cell lysate (CCL) was divided - 50% was further processed using ultrafiltration and diafiltration (UF–DF) with a 500kDa TFF system to retain Ad5 and remove bulk host cell impurities before dialysis into binding buffer. The TFF and CCL feeds were analysed using the β -galactosidase infectivity assay to characterise the effect of processing on Ad5 infective potency. After TFF filtration the retentate had an infective recovery of 89% compared to the CCL.

A 5 mL (5.6 x $10^8 \pm 5.6$ x 10^7 IVP) volume of CCL Ad5 feed was loaded onto a 0.125 mL 281 282 anion exchange nanofiber adsorbent at 10 mL/min (Figure 2), and a 20 mL gradient elution 283 of up to 1 M NaCl was applied to the column. The elution profile was then compared to a 5 284 mL (5.6 x $10^8 \pm 5.6$ x 10^7 IVP) load of TFF feed under the same process conditions. This was 285 repeated for low, medium and high density Q amine ligand nanofibers. A large flow through 286 peak was observed for all the ligand densities when challenged with CCL feed. This was not 287 observed for the TFF feed, due to the removal of impurities during the TFF step. The total 288 UV peak area for the TFF feed is reduced compared to the CCL feed, again due to clearance 289 of host cell impurities. Comparison of the CCL feed across the three different Q amine 14

290 nanofibers (low, medium and high ligand density) shows elution profiles are distinct across 291 all three fiber types (Figure 2), with components binding more tightly giving rise to more 292 peaks and requiring higher ionic strength to elute as ligand density increases. There are more 293 subtle differences seen for the TFF treated material, which are more noticeable at the highest 294 charge density. An explanation could be that with the reduced impurity levels present in the 295 TFF material interactions between Ad5, impurities and the charge surface that allow 296 discrimination for the CCL material are reduced. The distinct elution profile across the three 297 fiber types, demonstrate different separation capabilities of nanofibers as the Q amine ligand 298 density changes. This suggests that by tailoring the ligand functionalisation of the nanofibers 299 it is possible to optimise Ad5 purification process for improved separations.

300 Extended Adsorption Periods on Quaternary Amine Functionalised Nanofibers Reduce 301 Adenovirus 5 Infectivity

302 Poor viral vector recoveries over an ion exchange chromatography step have been attributed 303 to prolonged adsorption periods that cause degradation of capsid integrity and entrapment of 304 virus particles in the complex internal adsorbent structures (Trilisky & Lenhoff, 2009). 305 Hardick et al. (2013) showed that the large inter-fiber space and morphology of the 306 functionalised surface of nanofibers minimises diffusive mass transfer limitations, a property 307 which has been shown to be detrimental to capacity and recovery of large biotherapeutic 308 molecules (Wickramasinghe et al., 2006). This open structure (Figure 1) may minimise 309 entrapment events and multipoint attachment, suggesting loss in infective units is a result of 310 irreversible binding or capsid damage.

311 The effects of prolonged binding duration on the recovery of infective Ad5 (Figure 3) was 312 analysed. CCL clarified Ad5 feed (5 mL) was loaded onto nanofiber columns and adsorption 313 durations were selected to approximately replicate binding durations of current 314 chromatographic viral vector manufacturing processes. Figure 4 shows overlay 315 chromatograms for low ligand density 1, 4, 8 and 24 min adsorption periods. A 100% recovery 316 of infective virus was observed after the shortest binding duration (1 min) using low ligand 317 density nanofibers (Figure 3). Extending binding durations from 4-24 min using low ligand 318 density nanofibers did not cause a significant decrease in the infectivity of Ad5 eluate, with 319 recoveries between 87-90%. At an extended adsorption duration of 24 min there was a 320 dramatic loss of almost 50% in total infective capsids for medium and high ligand density 321 nanofibers. Significant losses in Ad5 infective recoveries were also observed on high ligand 322 density nanofibers after adsorption periods of 1-8 min and 8-24 min adsorption periods.

323 The substantial losses in Ad5 infectivity observed with use of the medium and high ligand 324 density nanofibers indicates product damage. This could be a result of loss of critical features 325 of the virus for its infectivity, i.e. fiber proteins (McNally, Darling, Farzaneh, Levison, & 326 Slater, 2014). Alternatively the loss of infective units could be caused due to deformation of 327 the capsid as it is 'pulled' onto the functionalised surface over the adsorption duration 328 damaging the capsid. Similar effects have been observed during the recovery of virus-like 329 particles of recombinant hepatitis B virus surface antigen (Huang et al 2006). This is of 330 particular relevance for Ad5 as Perez-Berna et al. (2012) have shown that the virus maturation 331 process gives rise to a metastable structure. These brittle capsids may show a reduced 332 resistance to multipoint attachment, when compared to immature non-infective Ad5. These

data suggest that although medium and high ligand density nanofibers limit the recovery of
infective Ad5 over extended adsorption periods, acceptable recovery can be achieved if the
rapid bind/elute times possible with these nanofiber adsorbents is utilised.

336 Quaternary Amine Functionalised Nanofibers Achieve Efficient, High Yield 337 Purification of Infectious Adenovirus 5 Particles

338 Vicente, Fáber, et al. (2011) reported that changing ligand density caused a clearer impact on 339 bovine serum albumin (BSA) binding capacity than on both rBV and Ad5. To investigate 340 whether an impact could be seen on Q functionalised nanofibers the same range of three ligand 341 densities where exposed to a greater vector load challenge. Here the nanofiber column volume 342 (CV) 0.125 mL, was loaded with 50 mL (400 CV) TFF processed Ad5 feed (total load 2.39 x 10¹⁰ VP, 5.6 x 10⁹ IVP) (Figure 5). Five 10 mL flowthrough fractions were collected from 343 344 each run and screened for the presence of infective Ad5 capsids. No infective Ad5 capsids 345 were present in the flowthrough (data not shown) which is indicative that capacity was not 346 reached. Based on the SEM image (Figure 1) we performed a Fermi estimate of the capacity 347 for viral particles on this nanofiber adsorbent system. Assuming conservatively 25 viral 348 particles bound per micron of nanofiber and calculating in the region of 5,000 km of nanofiber to be present in a 1 mL packed bed we calculate 1.25×10^{14} VP/mL. The load challenge of 349 1.78×10^{11} VP/mL measured in this study was significantly lower than the calculated capacity 350 of 1.25×10^{14} VP/mL. The calculated capacity for the nanofibers exceeded what we were able 351 352 to test in this study. In low titre vector manufacturing process the dynamic binding capacity 353 (DBC) would likely not be reached as many 1000s of CVs would be required.

In vivo therapeutic loads of Ad5 range from 10^8 to 10^{12} virus particles (VP) per dose 354 355 depending on the therapy and site of administration (Habib et al., 2001; Smaill et al., 2013). 356 Whilst further work to determine the upper limit of capacity is required at the current scale, a single 0.125 mL column can recover ten 10⁹ VP doses per cycle. Operating at 10 mL/min 357 358 (4,800 CV/h), a conservative flowrate for this adsorbent with an 80 mL full cycle, the nanofibers exhibit a productivity of 1.43 x 10¹⁵ VP/L/h. In comparison a 1 mL Sepharose Q 359 360 XL column operating at 0.5 mL/min was shown to have an Ad5 DBC of 1.30 x 10¹¹ VP by Bo et al. (2015) which gives rise to a productivity of 4.88 x 10^{13} VP/L/h. Under these 361 362 assumptions nanofibers exhibit a 29-fold increase in productivity compared to conventional 363 packed bed resins.

This compares favourably with Hardick, Dods, Stevens, and Bracewell (2015) where it is shown nanofibers are capable of operating at high flow rates to increase protein purification productivity, achieving a 15-fold increase compared to packed bed adsorbents. Running the Ad5 separation at this higher velocity (70 mL/min) shows no significant impact on Ad5 infective recovery (data not shown). Operating under these conditions nanofibers could achieve a productivity of 1 x 10^{16} VP/L/h.

370 Reproducibility and Life Cycle Performance of Quaternary Amine Functionalised 371 Nanofibers

High performance and reproducible performance of chromatography tools are paramount in
bioprocessing (Rathore & Sofer, 2005). Nine consecutive bind/elute profiles for each
nanofiber ligand density were compared to demonstrate operational reproducibility. There
was no detectable loss in binding capacity after nine runs across all three nanofiber ligand

densities suggesting a 2M NaCl wash was sufficient to remove TFF Ad5 feed components
between runs (data not shown). The absorbance flow profiles were then compared to two
more nanofiber cartridges of the same chemistry to demonstrate manufacturing
reproducibility. Peak area variability of <5% was observed between cartridges suggesting
good manufacturing reproducibility (data not shown).

381 Separation of Infectious Adenovirus 5 Particles using Quaternary Amine Functionalised 382 Nanofibers

383 High infective product recovery is the primary challenge when purifying a viral vector. It is 384 necessary to assess both the total recovery of Ad5 capsids and their infective potency across 385 each unit operation. In Table I, this data is presented for each of the ligand densities (Figure 386 6). Ouantitative PCR analysis was used to determine the recovery of total Ad5 VP. At low 387 ligand density fraction LP4 contained the majority of VPs while at medium ligand density it 388 was MP5 and at high ligand density fraction HP6 was found to contain most of the virus 389 particles. TEM analysis was used confirm presence of Ad5 (Figure 7). This increase in 390 fraction number for VP elution with ligand density is anticipated and reflects the 391 chromatograms seen in Figure 6.

Adenovirus 5 particle infectivity was measured by counting β-galactosidase staining in
infected cells (Table I). The ratio of viral particles to infective viral particles or units (VP/IVP)
is often used as an indicator of product quality. At low ligand density the LP4 fraction
contained a ratio of 4.59 VP/IVP, MP5 had 5.12, and HP6 4.00 VP/IVP all are within accepted
ranges for clinical use (Kramberger et al., 2015) and despite the different ligand densities
presenting unique elution profiles with product eluting at different conductivities, the highest

titre peaks (LP4, MP5 and HP6) showed a relatively consistent infective ratio. The highest proportion of packed, non-infective Ad5 capsids were separated in HP7 using high ligand density nanofibers with a coefficient of 16.04 VP/IVP, suggesting clearance of a population of lower quality Ad5. Damaged or immature Ad5, represent important possible product related impurities. Therefore their separation is of particular interest for the manufacture of viral vectors for therapeutic use.

404 Clearance of host cell proteins (HCPs) a process related impurity of primary importance in
405 the manufacture of a therapeutic biological product is documented in Table 1. Removal across
406 the TFF and chromatography step was high with >95% (compared to non-purified Ad5 feed)
407 of HCPs removed.

The mass balances of packed, infective Ad5 capsid recovery across all nanofibers ligand densities were similarly high (Table I) especially when compared to other membrane adsorbers (P. Nestola et al., 2014) and monoliths (Lucero et al., 2017) with recoveries of 70%, and 34% respectively

412 Separation of Free Hexon Capsid Protein

Analysis of capsid recovery provides evidence for the separation of free capsid proteins from
assembled virus particles. Hexon is a key component within the Ad5 capsid (see Figure 1) but
can also be found in non-assembled forms (Klyushnichenko, Bernier, Kamen, & Harmsen,
2001). It has been shown to be immunogenic and represents an important product related
impurity (Bradley, Lynch, Iampietro, Borducchi, & Barouch, 2012). A western blot (Figure
8) was used to show the distribution of hexon during the separations shown in Figure 6. Hexon

419 was identified in the purified fractions, LP3, LP4, MP5, and HP6, demonstrated to contain 420 packed and infective Ad5 capsids. Hexon is also found in MP3 and HP4 fractions that do not 421 contain infective Ad5 particles and therefore is free hexon protein that is not incorporated into 422 complete capsids. This suggests with medium and high ligand density nanofibers it was 423 possible to isolate free hexon from capsid bound hexon, it is possible at low ligand density 424 free capsid does not bind and goes straight into the flow through. The ability to resolve free 425 hexon from an adenovirus feed using a DEAE-Fractogel anion exchange was also 426 demonstrated by Green et al. (2002), eluting, as shown here at low ionic strength (<25 427 mS/cm).

428 Conclusions

429 Nanofibers provide a promising scalable capture platform by which to purify Ad5 from HCP 430 and free hexon, producing an enriched product pool with a high product quality as determined 431 by the VP/IVP ratio. Using medium and high ligand density nanofibers it was possible to 432 achieve a separation of product peaks from a hexon rich peak during salt gradient elution. The 433 Ad5 hexon forms the major building block of the virus capsid (>60%) (Perez-Berna et al., 434 2012) and non-assembled hexon represents major product impurity due to its antigenic 435 properties. We show that nanofiber materials allow very high infective recoveries of >90%. 436 Critical to this is adsorption time, which when reduced from 24 to 8 min improved recovery 437 from $\sim 50\%$ to >90% and up to 97% for 1 min. The macroporosity, convective mass transfer 438 characteristics and shallow bed height of the nanofibers allows for rapid separations in the 439 manner. Operating under these conditions a 29-fold productivity improvement can be 440 achieved over a classical beaded packed bed resin process. The high recovery achieved across this initial capture step allows for a two or three step chromatography process to readily be considered to meet a given product's specification. The results presented here therefore demonstrate potential clinical utility of this nanofiber adsorbent as a high productivity manufacturing technology for the capture of infective Ad5.

445 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council
(EPSRC) grant EP/L01520X/1, and Puridify (now part of GE Healthcare), in conjunction with
grant EP/N013395/1. We would like to thank Mark Turmaine, for support with electron
microscopy.

450 **Conflict of interest**

451 No conflict of interest.

452

454 **Tables**

455 Table I. The total recoveries of infective Ad5 units (IVP, analysed by β-Gal stain), DNA containing (VP, analysed by qPCR) Ad5 units and the

456 ratio of these two populations within all Ad5 containing peaks separated on low (440 µmol/g), medium (750 µmol/g) and high (1029 µmol/g) Q

457 ligand density nanofibers. No qPCR signal was detected for samples LP3 and MP4. Good amounts of host cell protein was shown to be removed

458 from the Ad5 containing feed, when compared to clarified cell lysate (CCL) Ad5 harvest (1.30E+06 ng/mL) (n=3).

	Ad5 containing sample	Sample Volume (mL)	Infectious particle number (IVP)	Standard Error of the Mean	Total IVP Recovery to IVP recovery from TFF	IVP Recovery Standard Error	Virus Particle Number	Standard Error of the Mean	Total VP Recovery to VP recovery from TFF	VP Recovery Standard Error	Infectivity coefficient (VP/IVP)	Eluted NaCl concentration (M)	HCP conc (ng/mL)	Percentage HCP removal from CCL
	CCL	Total	6.31E+08	9.40E+06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	1.30E+06	0%
	Feed (TFF)	Total	5.60E+08	8.70E+06	100.0%	1.53%	2.39E+09	3.30E+07	100.0%	1.31%	4.23	N/A	3.56E+05	72.6%
Low	LP3	8	1.40E+07	4.00E+06			-	-			-	0.29	3.82E+04	97.1%
Ligand	LP4	8	4.22E+08	8.72E+06			1.94E+09	5.06E+07			4.59	0.49	4.00E+04	96.9%
Density	LP5	6	7.13E+07	8.73E+06			3.26E+08	3.03E+07			4.57	1	4.87E+04	96.3%
		Total	5.07E+08		90.2%	3.81%	2.27E+09		94.8%	3.38%	4.47		4.23E+04	96.8%
Medium	MP4	8	4.20E+07	6.93E+06			-	-			-	0.43	6.28E+04	95.2%
Ligand	MP5	8	4.39E+08	1.51E+07			2.25E+09	2.46E+08			5.12	0.6	3.67E+04	97.2%
Density	MP6	6	3.26E+07	4.99E+06			2.69E+08	3.86E+07			8.25	1	6.05E+04	95.4%
		Total	5.14E+08		91.4%	4.81%	2.53E+09		105.4%	11.91%	4.93		5.33E+04	95.9%
High	HP6	8	4.97E+08	1.48E+07			1.99E+09	4.71E+07			4.00	0.61	3.32E+04	97.5%
Ligand	HP7	6	2.55E+07	5.41E+06			4.09E+08	1.44E+07			16.04	1	5.45E+04	95.8%
Density		Total	5.23E+08		92.9%	3.59%	2.40E+09		99.6%	2.57%	4.59		4.39E+04	96.6%

460 Figures



Figure 1. Top - Scanning electron microscopy images of Ad5 bound to Q ligand and eluted
from functionalised nanofibers. Bottom – Diagram of adenovirus proteins, highlighting the
level of complexity within each virion (diagram combined from Mangel and San Martin
(2014); San Martin (2012)). Adenovirus proteins prefixed with a 'p' denote proteins that
undergo proteolysis by adenovirus maturation protein (AVP) as part of a maturation which

- 468 causes a disassociation of the adenovirus genome from the capsid and a capsid stiffening,
- 469 priming the capsid for uncoating under endosomal acidification.



472 Figure 2. Elution profile comparison of Ad5 on low (440 μ mol/g), medium (750 μ mol/g) and 473 high (1029 μ mol/g) Q ligand density nanofibers (CV = 0.125 mL). Ad5 was separated from 474 a clarified cell lysate (CCL) and a tangential flow filtration (TFF) UF/DF 500 kDa retentate 475 diafiltered into binding buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.4). Loads (5 mL) of both Ad5 feeds 476 containing a total load of 5.6 x 10⁸ ± 5.6 x 10⁷ IVP were used. Chromatograms were generated

- 477 using a 20 mL gradient elution at 10 mL/min from 0 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, to 1 M
- 478 NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 (n=3).



481 Figure 3. Recovery of adenovirus 5 infectivity during adsorption to nanofiber based ion 482 exchangers, measured by a cell based β-galactosidase reporter assay. Low (440 µmol/g), 483 medium (750 µmol/g) and high (1029 µmol/g) Q ligand density nanofibers (CV = 0.125 mL) 484 were loaded with 6.22 x 10⁸ IVP of Ad5 in a clarified feed (n=3).





Figure 4. Elution profile of four chromatography runs of clarified cell lysate Ad5 feed with
varying wash durations (10, 40, 80, 240 mL or 1, 4, 8, 24 min) in triplicate for a total of twelve
runs for Low (440 μmol/g) charge density.



491 Figure 5. High loadings of adenovirus feed material to quaternary amine exchange nanofibers.
492 A 50 mL (high volume) TFF Ad5 feed (2.39 x 10¹⁰ VP, 5.6 x 10⁹ IVP) was separated using

- 493 low (440 µmol/g), medium (750 µmol/g) and high (1029 µmol/g) Q amine ligand density
- 494 nanofibers (CV = 0.125 mL). Fiber saturation was not achieved (n=3).



497 Figure 6. The impact of increasing Q amine ligand density on the resolution of Ad5 feed
498 components. Elution peak profiles of low (440 µmol/g), medium (750 µmol/g) and high (1029
499 µmol/g) Q amine ligand density nanofibers were recorded from a chromatography run of 5

- 500 mL (2.39 x 10^9 VP, 5.6 x 10^8 IVP) TFF feed loaded onto a 0.125 mL nanofiber column at a
- 501 flow rate of 10 mL/min (n=3).



504 Figure 7. High (A) and Low (B) magnification transmission electron microscopy analysis

505 showed the presence of Ad5 particles in fraction HP6.











Figure 8. Western blot using a Hexon antibody with a secondary antibody (rabbit polyclonal antibody to mouse IgG (HRP-conjugated) showing Adenovirus 5 hexon expression in purified fractions from low (440 μ mol/g), medium (750 μ mol/g) and high (1029 μ mol/g) Q ligand density nanofibers collected from step elution chromatograms (n=3). A molecular weight marker (MWM) and Ad5 from a clarified cell lysate (CCL) and a tangential flow filtration (TFF) UF/DF 500 kDa retentate diafiltered into binding buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.4) was also loaded.

517 **References**

- Bo, H., Chen, J., Liang, T., Li, S., Shao, H., & Huang, S. (2015). Chromatographic
 purification of adenoviral vectors on anion-exchange resins. *Eur J Pharm Sci*, 67, 119125. doi: 10.1016/j.ejps.2014.11.004
- Bradley, R. R., Lynch, D. M., Iampietro, M. J., Borducchi, E. N., & Barouch, D. H. (2012).
 Adenovirus serotype 5 neutralizing antibodies target both hexon and fiber following
 vaccination and natural infection. *Journal of Virology*, 86(1), 625-629.
- 524 Chen, H., Marino, S., & Ho, C. Y. (2016). 97. Large Scale Purification of AAV with
 525 Continuous Flow Ultracentrifugation. *Molecular Therapy*, 24, S42.
- 526 Crystal, R. G. (2014). Adenovirus: the first effective in vivo gene delivery vector. *Hum Gene* 527 *Ther*, 25(1), 3-11. doi: 10.1089/hum.2013.2527
- Green, A. P., Huang, J. J., Scott, M. O., Kierstead, T. D., Beaupre, I., Gao, G. P., & Wilson,
 J. M. (2002). A new scalable method for the purification of recombinant adenovirus
 vectors. *Hum Gene Ther*, *13*(16), 1921-1934. doi: 10.1089/10430340260355338
- Habib, N. A., Sarraf, C. E., Mitry, R. R., Havlik, R., Nicholls, J., Kelly, M., . . . Salama, H.
 (2001). E1B-deleted adenovirus (dl 1520) gene therapy for patients with primary and secondary liver tumors. *Human Gene Therapy*, *12*(3), 219-226.
- Hardick, O., Dods, S., Stevens, B., & Bracewell, D. G. (2013). Nanofiber adsorbents for high
 productivity downstream processing. *Biotechnology and bioengineering*, *110*(4),
 1119-1128. doi: 10.1002/bit.24765
- Hardick, O., Dods, S., Stevens, B., & Bracewell, D. G. (2015). Nanofiber adsorbents for high
 productivity continuous downstream processing. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 213, 7482. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2015.01.031
- Hardick, O., Stevens, B., & Bracewell, D. (2011). Nanofibre fabrication in a temperature and
 humidity controlled environment for improved fibre consistency. *Journal of Materials Science*, 46(11), 3890-3898. doi: 10.1007/s10853-011-5310-5
- 543 Huang, Y., Bi, J., Zhou, W., Li, Y., Wang, Y.J., Ma, G., & Su, Z. (2006). Improving recovery
- 544 of recombinant hepatitis B virus surface antigen by ion-exchange chromatographic supports
- 545 *with low ligand density.* Process Biochemistry 41 (2006) 2320–2326
- 546
- Keeler, A. M., ElMallah, M. K., & Flotte, T. R. (2017). Gene therapy 2017: Progress and
 future directions. *Clinical and Translational Science*.
- Klyushnichenko, V., Bernier, A., Kamen, A., & Harmsen, E. (2001). Improved high performance liquid chromatographic method in the analysis of adenovirus particles. J
 Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl, 755(1-2), 27-36.
- Kramberger, P., Urbas, L., & Štrancar, A. (2015). Downstream processing and
 chromatography based analytical methods for production of vaccines, gene therapy
 vectors, and bacteriophages. *Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics*, 11(4), 10101021.
- Lee, C. S., Bishop, E. S., Zhang, R., Yu, X., Farina, E. M., Yan, S., . . . He, T.-C. (2017).
 Adenovirus-mediated gene delivery: Potential applications for gene and cell-based
 therapies in the new era of personalized medicine. *Genes & Diseases, 4*(2), 43-63. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2017.04.001
- Lucero, A. T., Mercado, S. A., Sánchez, A. C., Contador, C. A., Andrews, B. A., & Asenjo,
 J. A. (2017). Purification of adenoviral vector serotype 5 for gene therapy against
 37

- alcoholism using anion exchange chromatography. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 92(9), 2445-2452. doi: 10.1002/jctb.5255
- Lusky, M. (2005). Good manufacturing practice production of adenoviral vectors for clinical
 trials. *Hum Gene Ther*, *16*(3), 281-291. doi: 10.1089/hum.2005.16.281
- Mangel, W. F., & San Martin, C. (2014). Structure, function and dynamics in adenovirus
 maturation. *Viruses*, 6(11), 4536-4570. doi: 10.3390/v6114536
- Matsumoto, H., Wakamatsu, Y., Minagawa, M., & Tanioka, A. (2006). Preparation of ionexchange fiber fabrics by electrospray deposition. *J Colloid Interface Sci*, 293(1), 143150. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2005.06.022
- McNally, D., Darling, D., Farzaneh, F., Levison, P., & Slater, N. (2014). Optimised
 concentration and purification of retroviruses using membrane chromatography.
 Journal of Chromatography A, 1340, 24-32.
- Nestola, P., Silva, R. J., Peixoto, C., Alves, P. M., Carrondo, M. J., & Mota, J. P. (2014).
 Adenovirus purification by two-column, size-exclusion, simulated countercurrent chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1347*, 111-121.
- Nestola, P., Villain, L., Peixoto, C., Martins, D. L., Alves, P. M., Carrondo, M. J., & Mota, J.
 P. (2014). Impact of grafting on the design of new membrane adsorbers for adenovirus purification. *J Biotechnol*, *181*, 1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2014.04.003
- Peixoto, C., Ferreira, T. B., Sousa, M. F. Q., Carrondo, M. J. T., & Alves, P. M. (2008).
 Towards purification of adenoviral vectors based on membrane technology. *Biotechnology Progress*, 24(6), 1290-1296. doi: 10.1002/btpr.25
- Perez-Berna, A. J., Ortega-Esteban, A., Menendez-Conejero, R., Winkler, D. C., Menendez,
 M., Steven, A. C., . . . San Martin, C. (2012). The role of capsid maturation on
 adenovirus priming for sequential uncoating. *J Biol Chem*, 287(37), 31582-31595.
 doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.389957
- Rathore, A., & Sofer, G. (2005). Life span studies for chromatography and filtration media.
 Process Validation in Manufacturing of Biopharmaceuticals, 169-204.
- Ruckenstein, E., & Guo, W. (2004). Cellulose and glass fiber affinity membranes for the
 chromatographic separation of biomolecules. *Biotechnol Prog*, 20(1), 13-25. doi:
 10.1021/bp030055f
- Ryu, Y. J., Kim, H. Y., Lee, K. H., Park, H. C., & Lee, D. R. (2003). Transport properties of
 electrospun nylon 6 nonwoven mats. *European Polymer Journal*, *39*(9), 1883-1889.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-3057(03)00096-X
- San Martin, C. (2012). Latest insights on adenovirus structure and assembly. *Viruses*, 4(5).
 doi: 10.3390/v4050847
- Smaill, F., Jeyanathan, M., Smieja, M., Medina, M. F., Thanthrige-Don, N., Zganiacz, A., . .
 Puri, L. (2013). A human type 5 adenovirus–based tuberculosis vaccine induces robust T cell responses in humans despite preexisting anti-adenovirus immunity. *Science translational medicine*, 5(205), 205ra134-205ra134.
- Stanelle, R., M Straut, C., & Marcus, R. (2007). Nylon-6 Capillary-Channeled Polymer
 Fibers as a Stationary Phase for the Mixed-Mode Ion Exchange/Reversed-Phase
 Chromatography Separation of Proteins (Vol. 45).
- Trilisky, E. I., & Lenhoff, A. M. (2009). Flow-dependent entrapment of large bioparticles in
 porous process media. *Biotechnology and bioengineering*, *104*(1), 127-133. doi:
 10.1002/bit.22370

- Vellinga, J., Smith, J. P., Lipiec, A., Majhen, D., Lemckert, A., van Ooij, M., . . . Havenga,
 M. (2014). Challenges in manufacturing adenoviral vectors for global vaccine product
 deployment. *Hum Gene Ther*, 25(4), 318-327. doi: 10.1089/hum.2014.007
- Vicente, T., Fáber, R., Alves, P., Carrondo, M., & P.B. Mota, J. (2011). Impact of Ligand
 Density on the Optimization of Ion-Exchange Membrane Chromatography for Viral
 Vector Purification (Vol. 108).INCOMPLETE REFERENCE
- Vicente, T., Roldão, A., Peixoto, C., Carrondo, M. J. T., & Alves, P. M. (2011). Large-scale
 production and purification of VLP-based vaccines. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, *107*, *Supplement*(0), S42-S48. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2011.05.004
- Vincent, D., Kramberger, P., Hudej, R., Štrancar, A., Wang, Y., Zhou, Y., & Velayudhan, A. 617 (2017). The development of a monolith-based purification process for Orthopoxvirus 618 619 vaccinia Lister strain. Journal of *Chromatography* virus Α. doi: 620 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.09.003
- Wang, J., Faber, R., & Ulbricht, M. (2009). Influence of pore structure and architecture of
 photo-grafted functional layers on separation performance of cellulose-based
 macroporous membrane adsorbers. *J Chromatogr A*, *1216*(37), 6490-6501. doi:
 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.07.042
- Wen-Chien, L., Chang-Hung, L., Ruoh-Chyu, R., & Keh-Ying, H. (1995). High-performance
 affinity chromatography of proteins on non-porous polystyrene beads. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 704(2), 307-314. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/00219673(95)00267-Q
- Whitfield, R. J., Battom, S. E., Barut, M., Gilham, D. E., & Ball, P. D. (2009). Rapid highperformance liquid chromatographic analysis of adenovirus type 5 particles with a
 prototype anion-exchange analytical monolith column. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1216*(13), 2725-2729. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2008.11.010
- Wickramasinghe, S. R., Carlson, J. O., Teske, C., Hubbuch, J., & Ulbricht, M. (2006).
 Characterizing solute binding to macroporous ion exchange membrane adsorbers
 using confocal laser scanning microscopy. *Journal of Membrane Science, 281*(1-2),
 609-618. doi: DOI 10.1016/j.memsci.2006.04.032