# **Journal of Materials Chemistry A**

Materials for energy and sustainability rsc.li/materials-a



ISSN 2050-7488



PAPER Hongqi Sun, Shaobin Wang *et al.* Heterostructured WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub> bilayer nanosheets for enhanced visible-light photo, electro and photoelectro-chemical oxidation of water

# Journal of Materials Chemistry A



# PAPER

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 6265

Received 18th January 2018 Accepted 27th February 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ta00555a

rsc.li/materials-a

# 1. Introduction

As an emerging technology for solar energy conversion and storage, photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical (PEC) splitting of water into  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  has attracted tremendous attention for sustainable environment and energy development.<sup>1-3</sup> In this course, decomposition of water to dissociative oxygen (known as water oxidation or OER) is kinetically sluggish, due to the multi-step, four-electron and multi-proton transfer processes. Rational design of efficient photocatalytic or PEC water oxidation catalysts (WOCs) is essential for advancing the technologies toward efficient water-splitting into hydrogen.4,5 Enormous efforts have been devoted to pursuing suitable semiconductor materials that can achieve efficient solar-energy-conversion and propel the complex water oxidation reactions by photogenerated holes.<sup>6,7</sup> Among them, low-cost tungsten oxide  $(WO<sub>3</sub>)$  has emerged as a promising n-type and visible-lightactive semiconductor material, which possesses up to 12% solar spectrum absorption with a bandgap energy of 2.7 eV.<sup>8,9</sup>

# Heterostructured  $WO_3$ @CoWO<sub>4</sub> bilayer nanosheets for enhanced visible-light photo, electro and photoelectro-chemical oxidation of water†

Huayang Zhang,‡<sup>a</sup> [We](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1751-9162)njie Tian,‡<sup>a</sup> Yunguo Li[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6221-7585) ‡<sup>c</sup> Hongqi Sun[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0907-5626) \*<sup>b</sup> Moses O. Tade´ a and Shaobin Wang D \*a

Herein, a facile interface-induced synthesis method is first established to newly fabricate two-dimensional (2D) bilayer nanosheets of WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub> as highly efficient catalysts for enhanced photo, electro and photoelectro-chemical oxygen evolution reactions (OERs). The heterostructure and the interfacial oxygen vacancy of  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  reduce the energy barriers in the OER. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations and material characterizations reveal that the WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub> p-n heterojunction endows the composite with a narrowed band gap for better visible-light harvesting, rapid charge transfer across the interface and a lower recombination rate of the photo-excited carriers. The interface O-vacancy vests the active Co site with an enhanced density of state (DOS) at the valence band maximum (VBM), which can increase the concentration of the photogenerated holes to improve photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical (PEC) activity. This study presents a proof-of-concept design towards low cost and multi-metal 2D/2D nanosheets for water oxidation applications. PAPER<br>
(a) Check for updates<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  Check for updates<br>
Come a 2014 6<br>
Come a 2014 for example  $\frac$ 

Nonetheless, the low photon energy conversion efficiency, instability caused by photo-corrosion, and poor kinetics of pristine  $WO_3$  usually result in unsatisfactory activity in the OER.10,11

It was revealed that  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  in a 2D nanosheet configuration has planar conduction channels, promoting the exposure of catalytically active facets to accelerate fast transport of the photoexcited charge carriers.12,13 However, the long migration route in WO<sub>3</sub> makes it easy for electron-hole recombination. To solve this problem, construction of a heterojunction composite using two semiconductors is an excellent strategy.<sup>14,15</sup> As a ptype semiconductor with low cost and high stability, cobalt tungstate  $(CoWO<sub>4</sub>)$  has drawn our attention. We project that coupling  $WO_3$  nanosheets with  $CoWO_4$  nanosheets in a p-n heterostructure would be a promising WOC candidate. However, specific challenges have to be addressed in the synthesis of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  nanosheets because it is a nonlayered compound and lacks the driving force for 2D anisotropic growth.<sup>16</sup> Therefore, the design and integration of  $CoWO_4$ -WO<sub>3</sub> bilayered nanosheets are much more difficult. To the best of our knowledge, no such material has been attempted and reported.

In this work, we elaborately propose a hydrothermal method to generate  $WO_3$  nanosheets. Then, a scalable interface-induced strategy for bilayer formation was established by coating CoWO<sub>4</sub> onto WO<sub>3</sub> to obtain 2D WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub> bilayer hybrids. In this format,  $CoWO<sub>4</sub>$  produces benefits to the photocatalytic or PEC OER with triple functions: (i) construction of a  $WO_3@$ CoWO<sub>4</sub> p–n heterojunction; (ii) prevention of WO<sub>3</sub> from corrosion; (iii) CoWO<sub>4</sub> as an active OER electrocatalyst<sup>17-19</sup> to serve as

a Department of Chemical Engineering, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, WA 6845, Australia. E-mail: shaobin.wang@curtin.edu.au

b School of Engineering, Edith Cowan University, 270 Joondalup Drive, Joondalup, WA 6027, Australia. E-mail: h.sun@ecu.edu.au

c Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ta00555a

<sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally.

a cocatalyst to promote the photocatalytic OER. For the first time, evident enhancement of electrochemical, visible-light photocatalytic and PEC water oxidation performance was veri fied simultaneously. Moreover, we performed DFT calculations for structural insights into the enhanced electro/photochemical and PEC activities of  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  nanosheets.

### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1. Synthesis of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  nanosheets

WO3 nanosheets were synthesized via a hydrothermal method. In detail, 0.38 g of tungstic acid was dispersed in 27 mL deionized (DI) water, followed by dissolving 0.5 g thiourea in the solution. The suspension was then transferred into a 50 mL Teflon liner and sealed in an autoclave. The autoclave was heated at 180 °C for 24 h. The precipitates were separated using a centrifuge and washed with DI water and ethanol several times. Finally,  $WO_3$  powders were obtained after drying at 60 °C.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  nanosheet composites

The obtained  $WO_3$  (0.1 g) was dispersed into 10 mL DI water under stirring for 10 min at room temperature. After that, certain amount of  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  was added into the suspension solution and stirred for another 10 min. Then, 2.5 mL ammonium hydroxide solution was added dropwise into the above solution and stirred for 1 h at room temperature before evaporating at 80 $\degree$ C. Finally, the residual powders were collected and heated at 300  $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h under air with a heating rate of 5  $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$  min $^{-1}$ . The synthesized catalysts were designated as  $WO_3@CoWO_4-1$ ,  $WO_3@CoWO_4-2$ ,  $WO_3@CoWO_4-3$ ,  $WO_3@ CoWO<sub>4</sub>$ -4, and  $WO<sub>3</sub>(Q)COWO<sub>4</sub>$ -5 according to the different additive amounts of  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  at 3.2 mg, 6.4 mg, 9.6 mg, 64 mg and 128 mg, respectively.

#### 2.3. Electrochemical measurements

Electrocatalytic tests were conducted in  $N_2$ -saturated 0.1 M KOH in a three-electrode electrochemical system using a rotating disk electrode (RDE) configuration (Pine Instrument Company, USA), which is controlled by a Gamry electrochemical workstation (Reference 3000). Ag/AgCl (KCl sat.) and Pt wire were adopted as the reference electrode and counter electrode, respectively.

Preparation of the working electrode is described as follows: 7 mg catalyst was added into a solution containing 25  $\mu$ L Nafion® 117 solution and 500  $\mu$ L ethanol to generate a suspension by sonication. Then,  $10 \mu L$  of the catalyst ink was dripped onto a glassy carbon electrode (5.0 mm in diameter) and dried in air. All potentials were converted into reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) values based on eqn (1):

$$
E_{\rm RHE} = E_{\rm Ag/AgCl} + 0.059 \,\rm{pH} + 0.197 \,\rm{V} \tag{1}
$$

The electrodes were activated by running cyclic voltammetry (CV) cycles from 1.2 to 1.8 V (vs. RHE) at least 10 times till stable and reproducible curves were obtained. Then, polarization curves using linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) were recorded with

a rotation speed of 1600 rpm at a scan rate of 5 mV  $s^{-1}$ . The overpotential  $(\eta)$  was calculated according to the following formula:

$$
\eta = E_{\rm RHE} - 1.23 \text{ V} \tag{2}
$$

All polarization plots were recorded after iR-correction. The accelerated durability tests (ADTs) for  $WO_3@CoWO_4-4$  were conducted by measuring CV cycles at potentials from 1.164 to 1.764 V (vs. RHE) at a scan rate of 200 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. LSV of ADT after 1000 and 10 000 CV cycles was recorded. To probe changes in double-layer capacitance  $(C_{d1})$  during ADT, CV cycles were tested from 1.25 to 1.30 V at scan rates of 10, 20, 30, 40, and 60 mV  $\rm s^{-1}$ before and after 1000 and 10 000 cycles. The corresponding capacitive current densities at 1.275 V were plotted as a function of scan rate. The slope of the fitted line equals twice the value of  $C_{d}$  and represents electrochemically active surface area (EASA). The EASAs of the other samples were evaluated using the same method for comparison. Furthermore, the chronoamperometric response  $(i-t)$  of WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub>-4 was estimated at 1.614 V (vs. RHE) in 0.1 M KOH at 1600 rpm. Journal of Meteriatic Demistry A<br>
a cocalable to promote the photocaulatie OHE. For the fluid one requires and if years exhaused according to the fluid of the creative of the creative of the creative of the creative of th

#### 2.4. Photocatalytic oxygen evolution reaction tests

For each reaction, 50 mg catalyst was dispersed in 50 mL phosphate buffer solution and the pH value was adjusted to around 6.8, followed by addition of 0.49 g  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , 0.18 g  $Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  and 0.03 g  $[Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]*C*<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$ . After that, the solution was transferred to a sealed double jacketed reactor (800 mL) with a quartz window, which was connected to an on-line gas chromatograph (Agilent 490 Micro GC) with a thermal conductivity detector. To remove air in the reactor completely,  $N_2$  was pumped in for at least 30 min. Then, the solution was stabilized in the dark for 10 min, which was probed as the baseline. The solution was irradiated via a 300 W xenon lamp (Newport) through a light filter  $(\lambda > 420 \text{ nm})$  and aligned to 200 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> (2 suns) to start the reaction. The reaction temperature was maintained at 25  $\degree$ C by a flow of cooling water, controlled by a thermostatic water bath.

#### 2.5. Photoelectrochemical measurement

Photoelectrochemical tests were carried out on a Zennium workstation (Zahner, Germany) in a three-electrode framework, with an Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode and a Pt plate  $(1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ cm}^2)$  as the counter electrode. F-doped tin oxide (FTO) glasses were adopted as the photoanode substrate, which were cleaned before use under sonication with acetone, ethanol, and distilled water successively. Samples were loaded onto the FTO as below: 8 mg catalyst,  $25 \mu L$  Nafion 117 solutions and 500  $\mu$ L ethanol were mixed by ultrasonication and 40  $\mu$ L of the resulting suspension was loaded onto the  $1 \times 1$  cm<sup>2</sup> FTO each time via a spin coating method. The photoanodes were obtained by annealing the FTO glasses at 300  $\degree$ C for 30 min under an air atmosphere. The PEC properties were measured via the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) method under irradiation of AM 1.5G simulated solar light (light intensity: 1 sun or 100 mW  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ) from 0.6 to 1.8 V (vs. RHE) at a sweep rate of 10 mV  $s^{-1}$ .

Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) measurements were carried out in the dark and under irradiation, respectively, in a frequency range of 100 kHz to 100 mHz. Potentiostatic response was measured at 1.23 V (vs. RHE) under irradiation for 1 h.  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  solution (0.5 M, pH 6.8) as the electrolyte was purged with  $N_2$  for 30 min prior to the measurement. Mott-Schottky analysis was carried out at a frequency of 1 kHz.

The details of materials characterization and DFT calculation methods are provided in the ESI.†

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Synthesis and structural analysis

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (Fig. 1) indicate that  $WO_3$ nanosheets have a hexagonal crystalline structure  $(h-WO<sub>3</sub>)$ JCPDS # 33-1387). Different  $WO_3/COWO_4$  molar ratios of 1 : 0.026, 1 : 0.055, 1 : 0.083, 1 : 1 and 0.023 : 1 were prepared from  $WO_3@CoWO_4-1$ ,  $-2$ ,  $-3$ ,  $-4$  to  $-5$ , respectively. Accordingly, the diffraction peaks of monoclinic CoWO<sub>4</sub> (JCPDC 15-0867) increase gradually in the five samples with  $\text{CoWO}_4$  being the main phase in  $WO_3@COWO_4-5$ .

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images in Fig. 2a and S1a $\dagger$  reveal the nanosheet morphology of WO<sub>3</sub>. The highresolution TEM (HRTEM) analysis indicates that (001) planes are the exposed planes of  $WO_3$  (Fig. 2b).  $WO_3@COWO_4$ composites display very similar nanosheet morphologies (Fig. 2c and S1-S5†). Fig. 2d (WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub>-3) depicts the close atomic bonding between the  $(200)$  planes of CoWO<sub>4</sub> and the  $(001)$  planes of WO<sub>3</sub> with interface defects and vacancies caused by lattice mismatches. These defects or vacancies are also observed in other composites (Fig. S2–S5†). The presence of O vacancies in the composites can also be verified by the signal of  $W^{5+}$  (g = 1.96) in the representative solid-state EPR test on  $WO_3@COWO_4-3$  (Fig. S6†).<sup>20</sup>

Representative three-dimensional (3D) atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements (Fig. 2e and f) on  $WO_3@COWO_4-3$ show that the nanosheets could be thinner than 10 nm. High angle annular dark field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) and corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)



elemental mapping images of the composites (Fig. 2g, S2c, S3c, S4c and S5c†) implicate the different coverage levels of CoWO<sub>4</sub> on  $WO_3$ . The full-scan X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of WO<sub>3</sub> and WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub>-3 are provided in Fig. S7.<sup>†</sup> The peaks in W 4f spectra centering at 35.6 and 37.8 eV are ascribed to the spin–orbit splitting of W  $4f_{7/2}$  and W  $4f_{5/2}$ , illustrating  $W^{6+}$  as the dominant valence state in both samples.<sup>21,22</sup> In the O1s spectra (Fig.  $57c_7^+$ ), the peak at 530.4 eV can be associated with oxygen bonded to metal species, whereas the one centred at 531.6 eV is typical of the low coordination oxygen ions on the surface. $23,24$  Co 2p spectrum featured the main peaks of Co  $2p_{3/2}$  at 781.4 and Co  $2p_{1/2}$  at 796.7 eV with separate satellite shake-up lines and spin energy separation of 15.3 eV, indicating the typical oxidation state of  $Co^{2+}$ (Fig.  $S7d$ †).<sup>22,23</sup> Paper<br>
Decretor-benefits) increases are determined with the comparison of the comparison (Fig. 2g, S32, concernent content on 2018) increases are content on 2018. The common are the common are the common are the common an

The formation mechanism of the bilayer nanosheet composite is briefly proposed in Fig. 3. During the dropwise addition of  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$  into a  $Co(NO_3)_2$  solution with dispersed  $WO<sub>3</sub>, Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> formed initially, but it was dissolved by excess$ NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O to generate a  $\left[\text{Co(NH}_3)_6\right]^{2+}$  complex.<sup>25</sup> Meanwhile, partial WO<sub>3</sub> can be transformed into  $(NH_4)_2WO_4$  by  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O^{26}$ which will interact with the  $[\text{Co(NH_3)_{6}}]^{2^+}$  to form  $[\text{Co(NH_3)_{6}}]$  $WO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $CoWO<sub>4</sub>$  sediments on the surface of residual  $WO<sub>3</sub>$ . After annealing in air, stable  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  nanosheets were obtained. It is a simultaneous process of continuous  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  exfoliation and in situ  $CoWO<sub>4</sub>$  coating, which results in thinner layers of  $WO_3$  and  $CoWO_4$  in the composites.

#### 3.2. Characterization of the electrochemical OER performance

The electrocatalytic OER activity of the samples was evaluated using polarization curves (Fig. 4a). The overpotential at a current density (*J*) of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> is a criterion for assessing OER properties.  $WO_3$  was almost inactive in the electrocatalytic OER. With higher  $CoWO<sub>4</sub>$  loading in the composites, the electrocatalytic activity increased dramatically and then declined.  $WO<sub>3</sub>(Q)COWO<sub>4</sub> - 4$  gave the smallest overpotential of 0.38 V, which is lower than that of commercial  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  (0.40 V).  $WO<sub>3</sub>(QCOWO<sub>4</sub>-5)$ displayed an elevated overpotential, attributed to fewer interface defects from the low  $WO_3$  content. These defects can serve as catalytically active sites in the OER, proved by the DFT calculations shown later. The Tafel plots (Fig. 4b) indicated smaller Tafel slopes of  $WO_3@CoWO_4-1, -2, -3$  and -4 than  $RuO_2$ , suggesting their more favorable OER kinetics.<sup>27</sup> WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub>-5 showed a much higher Tafel slope. As the best OER catalyst,  $WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub>$ -4 displayed excellent durability, as provided in Fig. S8 in the ESI.<sup>†</sup> In addition,  $WO_3@COWO_4$  composites all displayed much higher electrochemically active surface area (EASA) than  $WO_3$ , with that of  $WO_3@CoWO_4-4$  being the highest (Fig. S9†). This result indicates that abundant active sites were introduced into  $WO_3$  by the loading of CoWO<sub>4</sub>.

#### 3.3. Visible-light photocatalytic OER performance

Fig. 5a displays photocatalytic OER activities of the samples. Enhanced performance was observed on  $WO_3@CoWO_4$ Fig. 1 XRD patterns of WO<sub>3</sub> and WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub> composites. composites compared with WO<sub>3</sub>. With rising CoWO<sub>4</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>



Fig. 2 TEM and HRTEM images of (a, b) WO<sub>3</sub> and (c, d) WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub>-3. (e) 3D AFM image of WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub>-3 and (f) thickness data of 1, 2 in (e). (g) HAADF-STEM image of  $WO_3@CoWO_4-3$  and its EDX elemental mapping analysis.

ratios, the OER activity increased first and then decreased.  $WO_3@CoWO_4-3$  presented the highest  $O_2$  evolution rate  $(1.6 \text{ mmol g}^{-1} \text{ O}_2 \text{ in 1 h})$ , which is over 9 times higher than that of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$ . This rate is among the highest values reported for nonprecious metallic OER catalysts, as compared in Table S2.†

A series of photo-dependent tests were carried out on the synthesized samples. As shown in UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-vis DRS, Fig. 5b), the visible light absorption intensity of the composites gradually improved with increasing  $CoWO<sub>4</sub>$  loadings. In addition, a red shift occurred in the absorption band-edge of the composites, reflecting better absorption at longer wavelengths.  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  presented a positive slope, typical of n-type semiconductors in the Mott–Schottky  $(M-S)$  plot (Fig. 5c). Since CoWO<sub>4</sub> is a p-type semiconductor with a negative slope, inverted "V-shapes" were then observed on  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  composites, reflecting a well-matched p-n heterostructure.<sup>28</sup>–<sup>30</sup> Fig. 5d shows a band structure diagram for the





Fig. 3 Schematic illustration of the formation process of  $WO_3@$ CoWO4 bilayer nanosheets.



Fig. 4 (a) Polarization curves tested in 0.1 M KOH and (b) the corresponding Tafel plots.



Fig. 5 (a) Visible-light photocatalytic OER activity. (b) UV-vis DRs and (c) M–S plots. (d) Band structure diagram (CB: conduction band; VB: valence band; vs. NHE, pH = 7). (e) Charge density distribution for WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub> (M-3): the green region indicates charge accumulation, while the blue area represents charge depletion; the isosurface value is 0.0038 e per Å $^3$ . (f) Room temperature EPR signals tested in a water oxidation system.

activated and migrated holes on the surface of  $CoWO<sub>4</sub>$  can efficiently oxidize the adsorbed  $H_2O$  molecules into  $O_2$ . Although  $WO_3@CoWO_4-4$  exhibited the best electrochemical OER performance, its photocatalytic OER activity was much lower than that of  $WO_3@CoWO_4-3$ . It is conjectured that the excessive coverage of CoWO<sub>4</sub> on WO<sub>3</sub> in WO<sub>3</sub>@CoWO<sub>4</sub>-4 might block the photon absorption of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$ , which impairs the function of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  in the heterostructure and leads to the deteriorated photocatalytic efficiency. As the OER tends to occur at the side of CoWO<sub>4</sub> and WO<sub>3</sub> is physically coated by CoWO<sub>4</sub>, the corrosion of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  by peroxo species generated during the OER process can be prevented. The stability of  $WO_3$  can be improved.

To explore the charge redistribution across the  $WO_3@$ - $CoWO<sub>4</sub> interface, molecular models including a hexagonal  $WO<sub>3</sub>$$ slab (M-2) and a  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  slab (M-3) were constructed (as given in Fig. S12 in the ESI†). The charge redistribution across the interface (Fig. 5e) was explored by subtracting the electronic charge of M-3 from those of M-1 and M-2. In particular, charge accumulation mainly occurs at the side of  $WO_3$  while charge depletion focuses on CoWO<sub>4</sub> near the interface. Therefore, an interface electric dipole forms at the interface, which enables electrons to transfer from  $\text{CoWO}_4$  to  $\text{WO}_3$  while the holes transfer from  $WO_3$  to  $CoWO_4$ .<sup>33</sup> This makes it easier for electron–hole transport and separation across the interface under light irradiation, which can also be experimentally demonstrated by photoluminescence (PL) spectra (Fig. S13†). As shown, the lower luminescence intensity of the composites compared to  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  suggests the reduced charge recombination.

Room temperature in situ electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) was performed in this water oxidation system with 5,5 dimethyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (DMPO) as the trapping agent

(Fig. 5f). No visible signal was obtained in the dark. Interestingly, active seven-line paramagnetic signals were captured as 'DMPO-X under irradiation after adding  $WO_3$  or  $WO_3@CoWO_4$ -3, which might arise from the excessive oxidation of DMPO by the peroxide generated during the OER.<sup>34-36</sup>

In general, the water oxidation reaction proceeds via fourelectron-transfer steps based on the following mechanism:<sup>27</sup> Step 1

$$
* + H_2O \rightarrow *OH + H^+ + e^-
$$

Step 2

\*OH 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 \*O + H<sup>+</sup> + e<sup>-</sup>

Step 3

$$
*O + H_2O \rightarrow *OOH + H^+ + e^-
$$

Step 4

$$
^*OOH \rightarrow O_2 + H^+ + e^-
$$

where \* denotes the surface site.

Peroxo species (\*OOH) serve as the intermediates in the third step and can be readily decomposed into  $O_2$ . The system with  $WO_3@CoWO_4-3$  displayed much stronger peak signals of DMPO-X than that with  $WO_3$ , indicating the higher concentration of peroxo species and the more active OER performance.

#### 3.4. Photoelectrochemical (PEC) OER performance

Based on the enhanced electro and photocatalytic OER performance of  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  composites, their PEC properties were further evaluated. Current-voltage curves were first recorded in the dark (Fig. S14†). By comparison, all the photoanodes presented deeply enhanced anodic photocurrent densities upon illumination (Fig. 6a). Compared to  $WO<sub>3</sub>$ , the photocurrent density of the composites firstly improved significantly along with the increased loading of CoWO<sub>4</sub> on WO<sub>3</sub> with WO<sub>3</sub>@-CoWO4-2 reaching a maximum. The photocurrent density of  $WO_3@COWO_4-2$  is about 2 times larger than that of  $WO_3$  at 1.3 V. However, the anodic photocurrent densities decreased dramatically in  $WO_3@CoWO_4-3$ , -4 and -5 with further higher ratios of CoWO<sub>4</sub>. Similar to the above discussion in the photocatalytic OER test, this phenomenon can be explained by the destruction of the optimum synergistic function of the heterostructure for PEC activity. The transient photoresponse of the composites was assessed by measuring  $i-t$  curves at 1.0 V (Fig. 6b). Prompt and steady photocurrent responses can be captured on the photoanodes during on and off cycles of illumination, which show the same trend as that in Fig. 6a. It is noted that an applied bias is imposed on the photoanode during the PEC OER, which promotes the output of the photogenerated electrons in  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  through FTO-glass. The electron– hole recombination rate is reduced and thus the OER activity of WO3 is largely enhanced in the PEC test compared with that in photocatalysis. Due to the different mechanisms,  $WO_3$  exhibited the worst performance in photocatalysis but it was not the worst in the PEC OER and the optimal  $\text{CoWO}_4/\text{WO}_3$  ratio was also different in the two systems. Journal of Meteriatic Demistry A<br>
A.s. Photoebectrochemical (FFC) OFR performance<br>
Unsert of the contraded context circuity computes were interacted context circuity commons are interacted are content and photoebecausies

EIS measurements were conducted on the photoanodes in the dark and under irradiation, respectively (Fig. S15†). Compared to those collected in the dark, all the semicircles in EIS were largely diminished under irradiation, proving the lowered charge transfer resistance by photo-induced charge carriers. In particular,  $WO_3@COWO_4-2$  exhibited the smallest resistance diameter, which helps explain its highest photocurrent response in the PEC test. Therefore, an appropriate construction of the  $WO_3/CoWO_4$  heterostructure could effectively boost the conductivity and PEC activity of  $WO_3$ .

Besides, the instability of  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  caused by photo-corrosion was largely improved. As provided in Fig.  $S16$ ,  $WO_3@COWO_4$ -2 (decayed by 4%) exhibited much better long-term PEC stability



Fig. 6 (a) Current–voltage curves of the composites under illumination; (b) i–t curves at a potential of 1.0 V (vs. RHE) under light ON–OFF cycling.

than  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  (decayed by 57%) tested by the potentiostatic method.

#### 3.5. DFT calculations for the OER

To study the effect of interface oxygen vacancies or defects (as verified by Fig. 2d, S3b, S4b and S5b) on the OER, M-4 (Fig. 7a) was built by removing an interface O in M-3. We probed adsorbates of \*OH, \*O and \*OOH on the surfaces terminated with Co (200) of CoWO<sub>4</sub> in M-1 (Fig. S17<sup>†</sup>) and (001) surface planes of  $WO_3$  in M-2 (Fig. S18†). For M-3 and M-4, the adsorbates on the selected Co site of the Co (200) interface were monitored, as provided in Fig. S19† and the inset images in Fig. 7b. This is because the OER prefers to occur on the side of CoWO4 in the composites due to migration of holes as analyzed in Fig. 5d and e. Moreover, Co sites are believed to be more active centres for the OER in  $\text{CoWO}_4$ .<sup>37,38</sup>

The specific Gibbs free energy changes during the four elementary steps are shown in Fig. 7b and Table S3.† All adsorption scenarios in the four models shared uphill/ endothermic energy profiles from  $*$ OH (step 1),  $*$ O (step 2) to \*OOH (step 3), suggesting that an external driving force (light irradiation or electrical potential) is required to initiate the OER reaction. Once the reaction got to \*OOH, the diagrams became downhill/exothermic in M-1, M-2 and M-3, indicating that step 4 is likely to happen and \*OOH will convert to  $O_2$  (step 4) automatically. The step with the highest free energy barrier is referred to as the overpotential-determining step.<sup>39</sup> It was noted



Fig. 7 (a) Molecular model of M-4; (b) illustration of the Gibbs free energy changes for the four elementary steps of the OER at  $pH = 7$  and  $U = 0$  in M-1, M-2, M-3 and M-4. Insets are close-up atomic structures of the selected area in M-4 which show the adsorption of intermediate species on the active Co site. (c) DOS of the selected Co site with and without O vacancy in M-3 and M-4.

that steps 1 and 2 were the potential-determining steps of  $WO_3$ , while these energy barriers were lower in  $CoWO<sub>4</sub>$  with step 2 being the hardest one.  $\text{CoWO}_4$  was catalytically more active than  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  in the OER. Compared with CoWO<sub>4</sub>, the energy barriers in steps 1, 2 and 3 were reduced in M-3, indicating the lowered OER overpotential on the  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  interface. This can be associated with the hole accumulation at the side of  $CoWO<sub>4</sub>$ across the interface (Fig. 5e). Interestingly, after an O was removed in the interface, the barriers in steps 1 and 2 were significantly lowered (M-4), implying a simpler adsorption of water molecules onto the active Co site (step 1) and easier formation of OH\* (step 2). As these two initial steps were the hardest in M-1, M-2 and M-3, their easier proceedings promoted by interface-O-vacancy were considered to contribute considerably to the overall OER activity in  $WO_3@COWO_4$  composites. Therefore, the  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  interface and especially interface-O-vacancies can serve as active sites for both electro, photocatalytic and PEC oxidation of water. Paper<br>
Unit steps 4 and 2 were distorted onter the town in ONO, with step 3 WA New Yarries in the step are the step are the town with the step are the common access Article is likely for the step and the step are the step

DOS of the designated Co site in M-3 and M-4 were projected in Fig. 7c. In particular, the interface-O-vacancy induced dramatically increased DOS of the Co site at both VBM and CBM, which can accelerate the transport of photon-generated carriers under light irradiation.<sup>16,40</sup> Faster diffusion kinetics, higher photoconversion efficiency and higher concentration of the photogenerated holes to react with  $H_2O$  can be achieved. Thus, interface-O-vacancies can not only reduce OER energy barriers but also induce enhanced photo-responsive behavior.

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, a versatile method was proposed for the synthesis of WO3@CoWO4 bilayer nanosheets as excellent WOCs for enhanced visible-light-driven photo, electro-catalytic and PEC OER processes. Because of the theoretically reduced OER barriers by the  $WO_3@CoWO_4$  interface and the interface-Ovacancies,  $WO_3@COWO_4-4$  displayed a low overpotential of 0.38 V in 0.1 M KOH for electrocatalysis. The creation of p–n heterojunctions and interface-O-vacancies can increase the photo-energy conversion efficiency and the water oxidation ability, enabling  $WO_3@CoWO_4-3$  to present over 9 times higher  $O<sub>2</sub>$  evolution rate than WO<sub>3</sub>. A larger photocurrent with high stability was also observed in  $WO_3@COWO_4$ -2 for the PEC OER. The paradigm we presented in this work could provide a refreshing perspective for pursuing and designing more efficient low-dimensional photocatalytic, electrocatalytic and PEC OER catalysts.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (DP150103026 and DP130101319). Y. Li acknowledges support from the NSFC (grant no. 11674131). The authors acknowledge the help from the Centre for Microscopy, Characterization and

Analysis (CMCA) of The University of Western Australia and the WA X-Ray Surface Analysis Facility of Curtin University funded by the Australian Research Council LIEF grant LE120100026 for material characterization.

# References

- 1 S. Y. Reece, J. A. Hamel, S. Sung, T. D. Jarvi, A. J. Esswein, J. J. H. Pijpers and D. G. Nocera, Science, 2011, 334, 645–648.
- 2 T. Hisatomi, J. Kubota and K. Domen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 7520–7535.
- 3 X. Zong, H. Yan, G. Wu, G. Ma, F. Wen, L. Wang and C. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 7176–7177.
- 4 T. Faunce, S. Styring, M. R. Wasielewski, G. W. Brudvig, A. W. Rutherford, J. Messinger, A. F. Lee, C. L. Hill, H. deGroot, M. Fontecave, D. R. MacFarlane, B. Hankamer, D. G. Nocera, D. M. Tiede, H. Dau, W. Hillier, L. Wang and R. Amal, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 1074–1076.
- 5 A. R. Parent, R. H. Crabtree and G. W. Brudvig, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 2247–2252.
- 6 J. T. Kirner and R. G. Finke, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 19560– 19592.
- 7 X. Li, J. Yu, J. Low, Y. Fang, J. Xiao and X. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 2485–2534.
- 8 N. Zhang, X. Li, H. Ye, S. Chen, H. Ju, D. Liu, Y. Lin, W. Ye, C. Wang, Q. Xu, J. Zhu, L. Song, J. Jiang and Y. Xiong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 8928–8935.
- 9 J. Huang, Y. Zhang and Y. Ding, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 1841– 1845.
- 10 A. Martínez-García, V. K. Vendra, S. Sunkara, P. Haldankar, J. Jasinski and M. K. Sunkara, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 15235–15241.
- 11 B. Zhang, X. L. Zheng, O. Voznyy, R. Comin, M. Bajdich, M. Garcia-Melchor, L. L. Han, J. X. Xu, M. Liu, L. R. Zheng, F. P. G. de Arquer, C. T. Dinh, F. J. Fan, M. J. Yuan, E. Yassitepe, N. Chen, T. Regier, P. F. Liu, Y. H. Li, P. De Luna, A. Janmohamed, H. L. L. Xin, H. G. Yang, A. Vojvodic and E. H. Sargent, Science, 2016, 352, 333–337.
- 12 J. Zhang, P. Zhang, T. Wang and J. Gong, Nano Energy, 2015, 11, 189–195.
- 13 J. Yan, T. Wang, G. Wu, W. Dai, N. Guan, L. Li and J. Gong, Adv. Mater., 2015, 27, 1580–1586.
- 14 S. S. Kalanur, I.-H. Yoo, J. Park and H. Seo, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 1455–1461.
- 15 C. Yu, W. Zhou, L. Zhu, G. Li, K. Yang and R. Jin, Appl. Catal., B, 2016, 184, 1–11.
- 16 J.-S. Li, Y. Wang, C.-H. Liu, S.-L. Li, Y.-G. Wang, L.-Z. Dong, Z.-H. Dai, Y.-F. Li and Y.-Q. Lan, Nat. Commun., 2016, 7, 11204.
- 17 T. Tian, J. Jiang and L. H. Ai, Electrochim. Acta, 2017, 224, 551–560.
- 18 H. Jia, J. Stark, L. Q. Zhou, C. Ling, T. Sekito and Z. Markin, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 10874–10881.
- 19 M. I. Ahmed, A. Adam, A. Khan, A. U. Rehman, M. Qamaruddin, M. N. Siddiqui and M. Qamar, Mater. Lett., 2016, 183, 281–284.
- 20 Z. Lou, M. Zhu, X. Yang, Y. Zhang, M.-H. Whangbo, B. Li and B. Huang, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 226, 10–15.
- 21 J. Zhang, Y. Ma, Y. Du, H. Jiang, D. Zhou and S. Dong, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 209, 253–264.
- 22 J. F. Moulder, W. F. Stickle, P. E. Sobol and K. D. Bomben, Phys. Electron. Inc., 1995, 261.
- 23 S. Chen, G. Yang, Y. Jia and H. Zheng, ChemElectroChem, 2016, 3, 1490–1496.
- 24 H. Zhang, W. Tian, L. Zhou, H. Sun, M. Tade and S. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 223, 2–9.
- 25 R. F. Sultan, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2002, 4, 1253–1261.
- 26 J. E. Yourey, J. B. Kurtz and B. M. Bartlett, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 3200–3205.
- 27 H. Y. Wang, S. F. Hung, H. Y. Chen, T. S. Chan, H. M. Chen and B. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 36–39.
- 28 J. Ke, J. Liu, H. Sun, H. Zhang, X. Duan, P. Liang, X. Li, M. O. Tade, S. Liu and S. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 200, 47–55.
- 29 Z. Liu, J. Tian, D. Zeng, C. Yu, L. Zhu, W. Huang, K. Yang and D. Li, Mater. Res. Bull., 2017, 94, 298–306.
- 30 X. Yan, Z. Wu, C. Huang, K. Liu and W. Shi, Ceram. Int., 2017, 43, 5388–5395.
- 31 T. Montini, V. Gombac, A. Hameed, L. Felisari, G. Adami and P. Fornasiero, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2010, 498, 113–119.
- 32 C. Yu, G. Li, S. Kumar, K. Yang and R. Jin, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 892–898.
- 33 W. Fan, C. Li, H. Bai, Y. Zhao, B. Luo, Y. Li, Y. Ge, W. Shi and H. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 4894–4903.
- 34 R. G. Li, Y. X. Weng, X. Zhou, X. L. Wang, Y. Mi, R. F. Chong, H. X. Han and C. Li, Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 8, 2377–2382.
- 35 J. Tian, Z. Wu, Z. Liu, C. Yu, K. Yang, L. Zhu, W. Huang and Y. Zhou, Chin. J. Catal., 2017, 38, 1899–1908.
- 36 H. Zhang, W. Tian, X. Guo, L. Zhou, H. Sun, M. O. Tadé and S. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 35203–35212.
- 37 J. Suntivich, K. J. May, H. a. Gasteiger, J. B. Goodenough and Y. Shao-horn, Science, 2011, 334, 2010–2012.
- 38 C. Ling, L. Q. Zhou and H. Jia, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 24692– 24697.
- 39 B. T. Zhao, L. Zhang, D. X. Zhen, S. Yoo, Y. Ding, D. C. Chen, Y. Chen, Q. B. Zhang, B. Doyle, X. H. Xiong and M. L. Liu, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 14586. Open Moteria Chemistry Articles. Articles. Published on 27 February 2018. Downloaded on 27 February 2018. Downloaded on 27 February 2018. Downloaded on 2018. The Hanny Applished on 2018. Downloaded the Unported Unported Un
	- 40 F. C. Lei, Y. F. Sun, K. T. Liu, S. Gao, L. Liang, B. C. Pan and Y. Xie, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 6826–6829.