Journal Name

COMMUNICATION



A Cobalt Arylphosphonate MOF – Superior Stability, Sorption and Magnetism

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Yunus Zorlu^a, Dogan Erbahar^b, Ahmet Çetinkaya^c, Aysun Bulut^{a,d}, Turan S. Erkal^e, A. Ozgur Yazaydin^e, Jens Beckmann^{*f}, and Gündoğ Yücesan^{*g}

www.rsc.org/

We report a novel metal organic framework (MOF) based on a cobalt arylphosphonates, namely, $[Co_2(H_4-MTPPA)]\cdot 3 NMP\cdot H_2O$ (1·3 NMP·H₂O), which was prepared solvothermically from the tetrahedral linker tetraphenylmethane tetrakis-4-phosphonic acid (H₈-MTPPA) and CoSO₄·7 H₂O in N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP). Compound 1 has the highest porosity (BET surface area of 1034 m²/g) ever reported for a MOF based on a arylphosphonic acid linker. The blue crystals of 1·3 NMP·H₂O are comprised of edge-shared eight-membered Co₂P₂O₄ rings, are thermally very stable up to 500°C.

The discovery of metal organic frameworks (MOFs) was a great advance in the field of porous solids.¹ MOFs create ordered pore sites with tailor-made surface areas that could perform specific tasks such as sorption, catalysis, small molecule storage, proton conduction, greenhouse gas sequestration etc.² MOFs allowed post-synthetic modifications to further optimize the function of the pore sites after the initial synthesis.³ One of the drawbacks of common carboxylatebased MOFs is the poor thermal stability and sensitivity towards hydrolysis that somewhat hampers commercial applications and their use in industry.^{4,5} These drawbacks may be overcome using phosphonate-based MOFs, which are chemically and thermally more robust due to the higher number of bonds between the linkers and the inorganic domains.⁶⁻⁸ Phosphonates have higher affinity for metal ions compared to the majority of the other metal binding Lewis bases.^{9, 10} The linearly expanding 1,4-phenyldiphosphonic acid and 4,4'-biphenyldiphosphonic acids are the most widely used arylphosphonate linkers to produce metal organophosphonate compounds.¹¹⁻¹⁵ Unlike their corresponding carboxylate derivatives,¹⁶ the linear arylphosphonate linkers usually produced lamellar and pillared-layered networks. The close packing of hydrophobic linear organic components has lead the formation of two-dimensional M-O-P-O-M condensations, which are connected by the linear organic linkers to form the pillared layered structures. One approach to eliminate the formation of metal oxide layers and pillared layered networks is the use of the trigonal or tetrahedral expanding ligands where organophosphonate units are well separated and threedimensional geometry of the organic linker core is not suitable for the formation of the dense pillars. This hypothesis worked with the open armed tritopic trigonal planar ligands that produced the hexagonal, honey comb structured void channels connecting the trimeric one dimensional inorganic building units⁷ and this approach formed one of the most porous metal organophosphonate frameworks with surface areas up to 647 m²/g.^{17, 18} In a similar way, Shimizu, Zon and our group have reported novel arylphosphonate linkers expanding in tetrahedral geometry, which created the Cu-P-O cluster nodes and connected this cluster nodes to form porous Cu-MOFs with BET surface areas of up to 794 $\rm m^2/g.^{19\text{-}21}$

Herein, we report the single crystal structure of the first complex of porous cobalt complex $[Co_2(H_4-MTPPA)]\cdot 3$ NMP·H₂O (**1**·3 NMP·H₂O) synthesized with the tetraphenylmethane tetrakis-4-phosphonic acid (H₈-MTPPA) ligand (Scheme 1). The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) indicates that **1**·3 NMP·H₂O has extraordinary stability at high temperatures. The crystallization is a difficult task to achieve in metal organophosphoante chemistry as the transition metal ion affinity for phosphonate is extremely high compared to carboxylate functional groups.^{9, 10}

^{a.} Department of Chemistry, Gebze Technical University, P.O. Box 141 Gebze, 41400 Kocaeli, Turkey

^{b.} Dogus University, Fac. of Engineering, Dept. of Mechanical Eng. Acibadem, Kadikoy, 34722, Istanbul, Turkey.

^c Department of Bioengineering, Yildiz Technical University, Esenler, Istanbul, Turkey

^{d.} School of Pharmacy, Altinbas University, Bakirkoy, Istanbul, Turkey

^e Department of Chemical Engineering, University College London, London WC1E 7JE, United Kingdom

^{f.} Institut f
ür Anorganische Chemie und Kristallographie, Universit
ät Bremen, Leobener Stra
ße, 28359 Bremen, Germany, *E-mail: <u>i.beckmann@uni-bremen.de</u>

^{g.} Lebensmittelchemie und Toxikologie, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany. *E-mail: <u>vuecesan@tu-berlin.de</u>

⁺ Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION



Metal-organophosphonate structures are often reported using powder diffraction or recently electron diffraction methods. Stock recently reported beautiful tetraphosphonate-MOFs using the planar porphyrine core using these methods, where the surface areas were up to 700 m²/g.²²⁻²⁴ In order to obtain the single crystals of metal organophosphonates, we adapted a high throughput method using temperature and pH as the variables to optimize the crystallization conditions.²⁵ As a result of this approach, 1·3 NMP·H₂O was obtained as indigo blue single crystals by the solvothermal synthesis of CoSO₄·7H₂O with H₈-MTPPA in NMP as a solvent at 165°C. Although porous cobalt organophosphonate solids are very rare in the literature,²³ cobalt is an interesting element with respect to its spectroscopic and magnetic properties.

The crystal structure of 1.3 NMP·H₂O revealed the threedimensional porous framework, which is composed of a metaloxide chain of edge shared eight- membered Co₂P₂O₄ rings (Fig. 1). These one-dimensional chains were connected by H_4 -MTPPA⁴⁻ linkers to form the void channels via the tetrahedral Co(II) in the chain structure. The tetrahedral structure of H₈-MTPPA and mono deprotonated phosphonate arms dictated the formation of tetrahedral Co(II) centers in $1.3 \text{ NMP-H}_2\text{O}$. The whole network of $1.3 \text{ NMP-H}_2\text{O}$ is composed of tetrahedral R-PO₃²⁻, tetrahedral C atom in MTPPA and tetrahedral Co(II), which is the reminiscent of the known zeolites. As seen in Fig. 1a and 1c, the one-dimensional chain pattern in 1 (Fig 1a, 1b, 1c) was also observed in previously reported of Zn_2H_4 -MTPPA and Zn_2H_4 -STPPA (H₈-STPPA = tetraphenylsilane tetrakis-4-phosphonic acid, Scheme 1) structures, which also produced large BET surface areas. As it can be seen in Figure 1, the previously reported Zn-MOFs with H₈-STPPA and H₈-MTPPA linkers indicated that the conformational changes in the edge sharing eight-membered rings in the chain structure results in the significant changes in the calculated BET surface areas. Recently, ZrH₄-STPPA was reported, which also exhibited similar one dimensional chain structure composed of edge shared eight-membered rings with octahedral Zr centers.²⁶ The additional connectivity of octahedral geometry increased the linker/metal ratio created the dense three dimensional network of ZrH₄-STPPA.²⁶ The BET surface area of 1 was derived from its simulated N₂ adsorption isotherm at 77 K obtained by grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations (Supporting Information). Such calculations have been widely used for characterizing the surface area of MOF materials. $^{\rm 27,\ 28}$ The calculated BET surface area for ${\rm 1\!\!1},\ 1034$ m^2/g , is 107 m^2/g higher than that of the reported for its Zn analogue, Zn₂H₄-MTPPA, which was 927 m²/g.¹⁹ However, it is significantly higher compared to the isostructural zinc compound Zn₂H₄-STPPA (565 m₂/g). The same chain pattern was also observed in structurally rigid naphthalene arylphosphonate linkers with square pyramidal and octahedral metal atoms producing microporous frameworks.²⁹ The stability of such edge shared Zn₂P₂O₄ chains is significant as inorganic building units for future metal robust organophosphonate compounds, which could hypothetically produce isoreticularly expanding void channels with, tetratopic, tritopic and ditopic ligands with expanding tether lengths. As seen in the thermogravimetric analysis graphic (see ESI), the compound is an unusually stable MOF as organic components from MTPPA starts decomposing at ca. 525°C, and 27% (calculated 29.5%) of total weight loss of MTPPA continues until 700°C. Initial ca. 20% (calculated 27 %) weight loss corresponds to the solvent molecules (Supporting Information). The recent article about ultra stable ZrH₄-STPPA also shows a similar STPPA decomposition pattern but 1 is approximately 100°C more stable than the previously reported zirconium compound, which was not porous.²⁶ The magnetism in MOFs is an active research area.³⁰⁻³³ We investigated the magnetic properties of 1 via ab initio calculations. Spin polarized density functional theory analysis is performed on the structure that is revealed from XRD data and it is observed that the electronic structure tends to converge towards a state where a net spin of 3 per Co atom is established (Fig. 1d). While the charge density of this net spin has been observed to concentrate mainly around Co atoms it is not totally localized and extends throughout the structure along the b axis of the crystal which may point out to a ferromagnetic interaction between Co atoms as well as the magnetic anisotropy in the crvstal.



Figure 1. The conformations of 1D IBUs composed of corner shared (a) $Zn_2P_2O_4$ (in Zn_2H_4 -STPPA) adapted from the reference 19; (b) $Zn_2P_2O_4$ (in Zn_2H_4 -MTPPA) adapted from the reference 19; (c) $Co_2P_2O_4$ (in Zn_2H_4 -MTPPA) adapted from the reference 19; (c) $Co_2P_2O_4$ (in Zn_2H_4 -MTPPA) adapted from the reference 19; (c) $Co_2P_2O_4$ (in Zn_2H_4 -MTPPA). The performational structure of the one-dimensional building block is significant in characterizing the porosity of the organophosphonate-MCF. The pore sizes are increasing from 564, 937 and 1034 m²/g from left to right. The conformation of the 1D IBU is significant in determining the pore sizes. (d) Side and perspective view of the crystal cell which the DFT calculations have been carried out. The yellow cloud represents a typical isosurface of the charge density carrying a net spin which extends along the b axis.

In conclusion, we report extremely stable and rare metal organic framework (MOF) based on cobalt arylphosphonates. The calculated surface area of 1034 m^2/g indicates that **1** has the largest surface area synthesized with a tetrahedral arylphosphonic acid. The extreme stability (up to 500°C) at high temperatures is certainly a great advantage for potential industrial applications. Metal organophosphonates already have myriad of applications such as, the catalysis of butane to maleic anhydride, imaging, bone remodelling, identifying micro calcifications, proton conductivity and osteoporosis treatment etc.³⁴⁻⁴⁰ Moreover, organophosphonates are thought to be involved in many biochemical pathways.^{41, 42} The porous metal arylphosphonate compounds are rare but the recent efforts using the novel arylphosphonate linkers have been gradually contributing to the library of porous metal organophosphonates. The previously reported Zn₂H₄-MTPPA, Zn_2H_4 -STPPA and $[Co_2(H_4$ -MTPPA)]·3 NMP·H_2O (1) are isostructural with different bond lengths and angles. The persistence and conformational flexibility of the eightmembered chains with different metals in metal organophosphonate chemistry are significant, ^{20, 23, 26} as it could be further used as a reliable secondary building unit to construct predictable three-dimensional metal organic frameworks using alternating tether lengths. The conformational flexibility of the chain structure observed in 1 could be further used to construct mechanically breathing metal organic frameworks and isoreticular expansions with alternating tether lengths.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

COMMUNICATION

- 1. O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi and J. Kim, *Nature*, 2003, **423**, 705-714.
- 2. H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 974-+.
- 3. S. M. Cohen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, **139**, 2855-2863.
- M. Taddei, R. J. Wakeham, A. Koutsianos, E. Andreoli and A. R. Barron, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11706-11710.
- 5. G. Ferey, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2016, 4275-4277.
- 6. K. J. Gagnon, H. P. Perry and A. Clearfield, *Chem. Rev.* 2012, **112**, 1034-1054.
- 7. M. Taddei, F. Costantino and R. Vivani, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 4300-4309.
- G. Yücesan, Y. Zorlu, M. Stricker and J. Beckmann, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2018, 369, 105-122.
- 9. R. J. Motekaitis, I. Murase and A. E. Martell, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1976, **15**, 2303-2306.
- 10. T. K. Hurst, D. Wang, R. B. Thompson and C. A. Fierke, Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Proteins and Proteomics, 2010, **1804**, 393-403.
- 11. N. B. Padalwar and K. Vidyasagar, J. Solid State Chem., 2016, **243**, 83-94.
- 12. R. Silbernagel, C. H. Martin and A. Clearfield, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 1651-1656.
- 13. O. O. Ogunsolu, J. C. Wang and K. Hanson, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2015, 7, 27730-27734.
- 14. T. L. Kinnibrugh, V. I. Bakhmutov and A. Clearfield, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2014, **14**, 4976-4984.
- 15. P. O. Adelani and T. E. Albrecht-Schmitt, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2012, **12**, 5800-5805.
- 16. N. L. Rosi, J. Eckert, M. Eddaoudi, D. T. Vodak, J. Kim, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2003, **300**, 1127-1129.
- 17. N. Hermer, H. Reinsch, P. Mayer and N. Stock, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, **18**, 8147-8150.
- 18. N. Hermer and N. Stock, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 3720-3723.
- A. Schütrumpf, A. Bulut, N. Hermer, Y. Zorlu, E. Kirpi, N. Stock, A. O. Yazaydin, G. Yücesan and J. Beckmann, *ChemistrySelect*, 2017, 2, 3035-3038.
- 20. J. M. Taylor, A. H. Mahmoudkhani and G. K. H. Shimizu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, **46**, 795-798.
- 21. A. Schütrumpf, A. Duthie, E. Lork, G. Yücesan and J. Beckmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2018, **644**, 1134-1142.
- 22. T. Rhauderwiek, K. Wolkersdorfer, S. Oien-Odegaard, K. P. Lillerud, M. Wark and N. Stock, *Chem. Comm*, 2018, **54**, 389-392.
- B. Wang, T. Rhauderwiek, A. K. Inge, H. Xu, T. Yang, Z. Huang, N. Stock and X. Zou, *Chemi. Eur. J.* 2018, **27**; 17429-17433.
- 24. T. Rhauderwiek, H. S. Zhao, P. Hirschle, M. Doblinger, B. Bueken, H. Reinsch, D. De Vos, S. Wuttke, U. Kolb and N. Stock, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 5467-5478.
- 25. A. Bulut, Y. Zorlu, E. Kirpi, A. Cetinkaya, M. Worle, J. Beckmann and G. Yücesan, *Crys. Growth Des.* 2015, **15**, 5665-5669.
- 26. C. Y. Gao, J. Ai, H. R. Tian, D. Wu and Z. M. Sun, *Chem. Commun.* 2017, **53**, 1293-1296.
- 27. K. S. Walton and R. Q. Snurr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 8552-8556.
- 28. D. A. Gomez-Gualdron, P. Z. Moghadam, J. T. Hupp, O. K. Farha and R. Q. Snurr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 215-224.

- A. Bulut, Y. Zorlu, M. Worle, A. Cetinkaya, H. Kurt, B. Tam,
 A. O. Yazaydin, J. Beckmann and G. Yücesan,
 ChemistrySelect, 2017, 2, 7050-7053.
- J. M. Manriquez, G. T. Yee, R. S. Mclean, A. J. Epstein and J. S. Miller, *Science*, 1991, **252**, 1415-1417.
- 31. T. Mallah, S. Thiebaut, M. Verdaguer and P. Veillet, *Science*, 1993, **262**, 1554-1557.
- 32. S. Ferlay, T. Mallah, R. Ouahes, P. Veillet and M. Verdaguer, *Nature*, 1995, **378**, 701-703.
- G. M. Espallargas and E. Coronado, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, 47, 533-557.
- H. Hyun, H. Wada, K. Bao, J. Gravier, Y. Yadav, M. Laramie,
 M. Henary, J. V. Frangioni and H. S. Choi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 10668-10672.
- 35. K. R. Bhushan, E. Tanaka and J. V. Frangioni, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7969-7971.
- A. Zaheer, R. E. Lenkinski, A. Mahmood, A. G. Jones, L. C. Cantley and J. V. Frangioni, *Nature Biotech.*, 2001, 19, 1148-1154.
- 37. J. V. Frangioni, *Curr. Op. Chem. Bio.*, 2003, **7**, 626-634.
- F. N. Shi, J. C. Almeida, L. A. Helguero, M. H. V. Fernandes, J. C. Knowles and J. Rocha, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 9929-9935.
- 39. G. Centi, F. Trifiro, J. R. Ebner and V. M. Franchetti, *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, **88**, 55-80.
- 40. G. Centi, *Cat. Today*, 1993, **16**, 5-26.
- X. M. Yu, J. R. Doroghazi, S. C. Janga, J. K. Zhang, B. Circello, B. M. Griffin, D. P. Labeda and W. W. Metcalf, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 2013, **110**, 20759-20764.
- 42. D. A. Born, E. C. Ulrich, K. S. Ju, S. C. Peck, W. A. van der Donk and C. L. Drennan, *Science*, 2017, **358**, 1336-1338.