Use of a Water-Based Probiotic to Treat Common Gut Pathogens
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Abstract

This work reports the anti-pathogenic effect of a commercially available water-based probiotic suspension, Symprove™, against three commonly encountered infectious organisms; *Escherichia coli*, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and *Shigella sonnei*. An isothermal calorimetric assay was used to monitor the growth of the species individually and in binary combinations, while colony plate counting was used to enumerate viable cell numbers. It was observed that all pathogenic species were faster growing than the probiotic bacteria in Symprove™ after inoculation into growth medium yet in all instances bacterial enumeration at the end of the experiments revealed a significant reduction in the pathogen population compared with the controls. A control population between $10^8 – 10^9$ CFU/ml was obtained for *E. coli* and *S. sonnei* whilst approximately $10^6$ CFU/ml was obtained for MRSA. Upon co-incubation for 48 hours, no viable counts were obtained for *E. coli*; a 4-log reduction was obtained for *S. sonnei* whilst MRSA numbers were down to less than 10 cells/ml. The results show that Symprove™ has antipathogenic activity against *E. coli*, *S. sonnei* and MRSA.
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1. Introduction

Probiotic bacteria, defined as 'live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host (Hill et al., 2014) have been known for centuries and have been taken by countless patients. The global market for probiotic products is large and is estimated to reach $46.5bn by 2020 (O'Toole et al., 2017). Drivers for this growth include increasing understanding of probiotic action and greater awareness of the composition of the human microbiome and its role in numerous disease conditions (Sanders, 2009). Recently, a 4-strain aqueous probiotic supplement (Symprove™, containing *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCIMB 30175, *Lactobacillus plantarum* NCIMB 30173, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* NCIMB 30174 and *Enterococcus faecium* NCIMB 30176) has been shown to reduce clinical symptom severity scores in IBS (Sisson et al., 2014), to reduce abdominal pain scores and significantly reduce constipation, diarrhoea and mucorrhoea in diverticular disease (Kvasnovsky et al., 2017).

Symprove appears to have clinical efficacy in part because its water-based formulation is effective at protecting the probiotic bacteria from the challenges of oral delivery (Fredua-Agyeman and Gaisford, 2015). *In-vivo*, consumption of water does not trigger production of stomach acid (which is primarily secreted to facilitate digestion of proteins by denaturing them and activating pepsinogen by converting it to pepsin (Smith and Morton, 2010, Wang et al., 2015). Indeed, ingestion of appreciable volumes of water will dilute gastric juice, raising local pH. Without fat, the stomach will empty water into the small intestine rapidly (the half-emptying time in humans is 13 ± 1 min (Mudie DM et al., 2014), where local pH rises again (the small intestine pH gradually increases along its length from ca. 5.6 to 7.4 (Ibekwe et al., 2008). Lactobacilli have been shown to have appreciable acid-tolerance; for instance, *L. acidophilus* strains remain viable at pH 3.5 (Chou and Weimer, 1999) while *L. rhamnosus* strains can remain viable for several hours at pH 3 (Succi et al., 2005). When fat is a component of the ingested foodstuff, water empties at the same rate but the fat is retained for a longer period (Chang et al., 1968).
In addition to potentially ameliorating the clinical symptoms of gut disorders, a further potential application of probiotics is to counter infections caused by pathogenic species and immunomodulation (Fredua-Agyeman et al., 2017, Moens et al., 2019). Intestinal Infectious diseases caused by pathogenic organisms are major causes of mortality in both developed and developing countries (Nomoto, 2005). According to the World Health Organization, diarrheal disease is the second leading cause of death and the leading cause of malnutrition in children under 5 years old. It kills around 525,000 children in that age group, and over 1.7 billion people overall, per year (WHO, 2017). Organisms like *Shigella spp.*, *Vibrio cholerae*, pathogenic *E. coli*, *Campylobacter spp.*, and rotavirus are the usual organisms involved in such cases (Nomoto, 2005, O’Ryan et al., 2005). Shigellosis remains a common gastrointestinal disease in developing and industrialized countries. *Shigella spp.* are etiologic agents of gastrointestinal diseases worldwide and are frequently associated with outbreaks because of their low infectious doses (Kim et al., 2015, Boumghar-Bourtchai et al., 2008). *E. coli* generally lives in the gastrointestinal tract as a commensal organism, but in immuno-compromised hosts or where the normal gastrointestinal barriers are breached, *E. coli* can lead to disease states (Kaper et al., 2004). *E. coli* strains have also been suspected to participate in the pathogenesis of Crohn’s disease due to the increased number of coliforms in their faeces, particularly during periods when the disease is active (Darfeuille-Michaud et al., 2004).

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has been involved in an increasing number of outbreaks in food-producing animals and foodstuffs from animal origin. MRSA food poisoning arises as a result of the toxins released by these resistant strains as a result of metabolism. MRSA food poisoning is generally attributed to poor handling of food products (Zhu et al., 2014, Shriver-Lake et al., 2003, Zhu et al., 2015). MRSA has historically been a problem associated with the hospital environment. In recent years, however, there has been an increase in community-acquired MRSA cases (Appelbaum, 2006, French, 2009, Otto, 2012). Many *S. aureus* infections present as moderately severe infections of the skin or respiratory tract, at other times it may be involved in life-
threatening conditions, such as necrotising fasciitis or necrotising pneumonia (Otto, 2012, Sikorska and Smoragiewicz, 2013).

The challenge of treating these infectious agents is particularly acute in the context of the growing problem of antibiotic resistance; antibiotics have been around for over 50 years yet nosocomial (hospital acquired) infection rates are not declining and multi-drug resistant bacteria continue to emerge creating a major public health problem as a result (Broeckx et al., 2016, Teughels et al., 2011). MRSA is increasingly becoming resistant to other classes of antibiotics; for instance, it is considered resistant to beta-lactams and there have been reports of resistance to vancomycin as well, posing a significant threat to the treatment of systemic infections like bacteraemia (Appelbaum, 2006). The problem is compounded by the lack of new generation antibiotics in development. The use of probiotics in combating pathogens is attractive because the mechanisms of action, which may include production of bacteriocins or producing harsh environmental conditions, are non-specific and do not target particular cellular pathways. Understanding the role of probiotic species in controlling and reducing pathogen populations is therefore an important stage in optimising clinical use of probiotic products in infection control. The use of probiotics as adjuncts to antibiotic therapy has also been demonstrated with positive outcomes (Sizemore et al., 2012). In this work we report the evaluation of the antipathogenic activity of Symprove against three common infectious pathogens; *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella sonnei* and MRSA. Isothermal calorimetry, a technique that has been used previously in the study of bacterial growth kinetics was used here for analysis to provide real-time data on microbial growth kinetics (Said et al., 2014a, Said et al., 2014b, Braissant et al., 2010, Fredua-Agyeman and Gaisford, 2015, Fredua-Agyeman et al., 2017).
2. Materials and methods

2.1 Microorganisms

Symprove (original flavour) was supplied by Symprove Ltd (Farnham, UK). The pathogenic species evaluated were *Escherichia coli* (NCTC 10418), Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (NCTC 13373), and *Shigella sonnei* (ATCC 25931).

2.2 Growth media and reagents

Nutrient broth, de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) agar, cooked meat medium and MacConkey agar were purchased from Oxoid Ltd, UK. Glucose was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, UK and phosphate buffered saline (PBS) tablets were from Fisher Scientific, UK.

2.3 Verifying the bacterial population of Symprove

A 100 μL aliquot of Symprove was added to 900 μL PBS at pH 7.4 and vortexed for 10 s. The suspension was then serially diluted (1 in 10) and plated onto MRS agar. The agar plates were incubated at 37 °C under anaerobic conditions for 48 hours after which colonies were counted.

2.4 Culture methods

To ensure constant growth characteristics of the pathogenic bacterial populations, the pathogenic species were cultivated and stored in frozen aliquots. *E. coli* was grown on nutrient agar and incubated overnight at 37 °C. A few colonies were then taken and used to inoculate nutrient broth (7 mL) to create a starter culture that was incubated for 24 hours. Fresh nutrient broth (99 mL) was inoculated with the starter culture (1 mL) to create a 1:100 dilution. This was incubated for a further 24 hours, at which point the bacteria were in the stationary phase. The culture was then mixed using a magnetic stirrer, to ensure an even mixture, and dispensed into falcon tubes. Centrifugation was performed at 9500 rpm and 4 °C for 10 minutes using a Sigma 3-16KL centrifuge (Germany) to harvest the cells after which the supernatant was removed carefully by suction. The cells were
washed with PBS and centrifuged at 9500 rpm and 4 °C for 10 minutes. The supernatant was removed by suction and the washing process repeated. The cells were resuspended in ¼ Ringer’s solution made up with 15% v/v glycerol, acting as a cryo-protectant. The bacterial cultures were mixed continuously using a hotplate stirrer (at 1000 rpm and 37°C) and then dispensed (1.8 mL) aseptically into 2 mL cryovials (Nunc). Sealed vials were immersed gently into liquid nitrogen for 10 minutes after which the frozen vials were removed and stored in a freezer at -80 °C. Prior to use, each frozen vial was thawed in a water bath at 40 °C for 3 minutes. The same growth media and conditions were used for MRSA.

For S. sonnei initial cultivation was conducted on MacConkey agar after which all other parameters were maintained. Post–freezing populations of 10⁶, 10⁵ and 10⁶ CFU/ml were obtained for E. coli, S. sonnei, and MRSA respectively.

2.5 Evaluating the antibacterial property of Symprove

Isothermal calorimetry was used to investigate the antipathogenic properties of Symprove against the pathogens. Power-time curves were recorded for the pathogenic species alone, Symprove alone and pathogenic species co-incubated with Symprove. Data were recorded with a 2277 Thermal Activity Monitor (TAM, TA Instruments Ltd., UK) operated at 37 ± 0.001 °C. Sterile glass calorimetric ampoules (3 mL volume) were used and were hermetically sealed prior to measurement. Cooked meat medium supplemented with 2% glucose (CMMg) was used as the growth medium. Frozen vials of the pathogenic species (E. coli (10⁶ CFU/mL), MRSA (10⁵ CFU/mL), S. sonnei (10⁶ CFU/mL)) were used for each experiment. Each ampoule contained sterilised cooked meat medium (0.3 g) suspended in glucose solution (2% w/v). The volumes used for inoculation were as follows: Symprove, E. coli and S. sonnei (30 μL) and MRSA (300 μL).
Prior to commencing data capture, samples were placed into the thermal equilibration position of the calorimeter (29 min) before being lowered into the measurement position (1 min); data collection therefore started exactly 30 minutes after inoculation (this was to enable the temperature of the ampoule and that of the calorimeter to be equal). Data were recorded with Digitam 4.1 (1 data point every 10 seconds).

Following calorimetric evaluation, the glass ampoules were opened and viable cell numbers determined via colony counting. E. coli and MRSA were enumerated on nutrient agar plates, S. sonnei was enumerated on MacConkey agar and Symprove bacteria were enumerated on MRS agar plates in all instances. Enumeration was performed in triplicate and data are presented as mean ± sd.

3. Results and Discussion

To exert a clinical effect, probiotic supplements must first contain the number of viable bacteria stated on the packaging (Hoa, 2000, Fredua-Agyeman and Gaisford, 2015, Hamilton-Miller, 2002, Hamilton-Miller et al., 2007, Temmerman et al., 2003, de Vos et al., 2010, Huff, 2004, Masco et al., 2005). Enumeration of Symprove yielded an average probiotic population of $4.08 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL. With a recommended dose of 70 mL, the probiotic population per dose was calculated as $2.86 \times 10^{10}$ (Table 1). Quantification of the numbers of individual strains was not conducted here since only the total cell number is stated on the product pack, but the measured viable count matched that on the packet.

Testing the effect of probiotics on pathogens has been conducted previously but most of these tests were done using the agar diffusion method (Karska-Wysocki et al., 2010, Tuo et al., 2013). These tests do not permit assessment of bacterial growth in real-time; they show end-point viability only.
Here, isothermal calorimetry was used to monitor growth as it provides real-time growth curves; as the number of bacteria increases, so does the power output from the vessel (Said et al., 2015, Fredua-Agyeman and Gaisford, 2015, Braissant et al., 2010, Fredua-Agyeman et al., 2017). When microbes are inoculated into an ampoule with an appropriate culture medium, there is an initial lag period which is dependent on the cell density and age of the cells (Belaich, 1980). The calorimeter, although very sensitive, has a limit of detection of about $10^5 - 10^6$ active cells (because one viable cell produces ca. 2 pW and the sensitivity of the TAM is ca. 0.1 µW); any cell density below this limit contributes to the lag time (Gaisford et al., 2009, Braissant et al., 2010, Kabanova et al., 2009). With bacterial metabolism, and utilisation of the nutrients in the medium for growth, cell density increases resulting in an increasing power output. The power signal will vary in proportion to metabolic activity and typically a series of peaks and troughs will be seen, reflecting sequential utilisation of nutrients. Once nutrients are exhausted, or the environment in the medium is toxic, the power signal returns to zero. It is important to note here that because power is a rate value, cells that are in a state of stasis and cells that are non-viable cannot be differentiated by calorimetry, because both will give zero power. Microbes have been shown to be viable over many hours even after the stationary phase of growth and this has been confirmed by viable counts being obtained after plating out at such periods (Hrenovic, 2009, Stulova et al., 2015, Braissant et al., 2013). Hence, the calorimetric data allow visual assessment of the rate of growth, but quantification of viable cell numbers at the end of the growth phases must be undertaken by colony counting. It is also important to recognise that because power is a ubiquitous property, it is not possible to analyse calorimetric data and know unequivocally which organisms are contributing to the measured power at any point. Interpretation must be performed qualitatively; the growth profiles of the individual species are measured and compared with the data from binary populations.

We discussed the use of isothermal calorimetry in a previous study of Lactobacilli co-inoculated with *Clostridium difficile* (Fredua-Agyeman and Gaisford, 2015). In that study, co- inoculated of *C. diff*
with Lactobacilli resulted in complete eradication of the pathogen; mechanistically, it was shown that the primary cause of antipathogenic activity was the reduction in pH caused by proliferation of the probiotic (Lactobacilli produce lactic acid as a by-product of metabolism). However, one issue with that study was that the probiotic was a faster-growing species than the *C. diff*, and so because experiments were performed in sealed ampoules it was possible that the probiotic was effective only because it utilised nutrients and poisoned the environment before the pathogen could respond. Here, all three pathogens grow faster that the probiotic and so this limitation is removed.

The data in Figure 1 show the power-time data for Symprove and *E. coli* alone and in combination. Several observations are apparent; (i) as noted above, the pathogen grows faster than the probiotics (ii) growth of both the pathogen and probiotics are seen in mixed culture and (iii) the growth curves of all species are altered in mixed culture. At first glance, the data suggest that the probiotic species do not show anti-pathogenic activity against *E. coli*. The colony count data, however, allow greater interpretation (Figure 2). 24h after inoculation *E. coli* numbers reduced from $10^9\text{ cfu/mL}$ when grown alone to $10^7\text{ cfu/mL}$ when grown in co-culture while Symprove$^{\text{TM}}$ numbers decreased from $10^9\text{ cfu/mL}$ when grown alone to $1\times10^7\text{ cfu/mL}$ when grown in co-culture. In other words, growth of all species was reduced in co-culture, as indicated in the power-time data, but the reduction was greater for the pathogen. Cell counts at 48h post-inoculation showed an increase in Symprove numbers (to $10^9\text{ cfu/mL}$) and a total absence of *E. coli*. Symprove can therefore be said to be anti-pathogenic against *E. coli*.

It is interesting that, in the closed environment of the calorimetric ampoule, the bacteria in Symprove are able to grow after proliferation of *E. coli* given that the pathogen is likely to have utilised the nutrients available in the growth medium. This suggests that the polysaccharide carbohydrates from the malted barley present in the Symprove suspension may be acting as a prebiotic nutrient source for the probiotic bacteria. In order to digest dietary carbohydrates gut
bacteria must express carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) to catalyse the breakdown of polysaccharides to fermentable monosaccharides. A recent review of the carbohydrate-digestive capacity of common gut bacteria species showed that firmicutes (to which *Lactobacilli* spp. belong) expressed significantly more CAZymes that proteobacteria (to which *E. coli* species belong), (El Kaoutari et al., 2013). The data also show that *E. coli* are not in themselves capable of modifying their environment after growth such that it becomes toxic to the probiotic species, but that the probiotic species can. The proposed mechanisms of probiotic activity include the release of chemicals or substances with antibacterial activity, competition for adhesion sites and available nutrients, and the production of acids which make the milieu unfavourable for pathogenic bacterial growth (Sanders, 2009, Govender et al., 2014, Verna and Lucak, 2010). Since *E. coli* generally adapts well to acidic environments (Benjamin and Datta, 1995), the release of acid by the probiotic may not be the primary cause for the complete elimination of viable *E. coli* cells; this leaves the release of antibacterial metabolites as a probable cause for results obtained.

Figure 3 shows the calorimetric data for Symprove and MRSA. The trends and interpretation are similar to that described above for *E. Coli*; the pathogen grows first and remains viable after 24h but is almost completely eradicated after 48h, once the probiotic has flourished, Figure 4. The colony count for MRSA at 48h was not absolutely zero, but there was an 8-log reduction in viable cell numbers and the final concentration was below that deemed clinically infectious. Antagonistic effects of probiotics on MRSA have been mainly attributed to bacteriocin-like inhibitors and/or production of organic acids (Sikorska and Smoragiewicz, 2013, Brachkova et al., 2010, Jabbar et al., 2011, Karska-Wysocki et al., 2010). The effect of organic acids on MRSA has been reported, with pH values between 4.6 to 10 suggested as optimal for *S. aureus* growth (Charlier et al., 2009, Tatini et al., 1971, Minor and Marth, 1970). Minor and Marth (1970) observed a 99% reduction in bacterial numbers as a result of acidifying milk with lactic acid. The degree of acidification by a starter culture
has been linked to its degree of inhibition (Charlier et al., 2009). Jabbar et al. (2011) also reported the potential of culture supernatants of *L. acidophilus* in preventing MRSA biofilm formation.

Figure 5 shows the calorimetric data for Symprove and *S. sonnei* and again similar interpretation can be made as above. In this case, however, colony counts at 48h (Figure 6) show that the pathogen has not been completely eradicated; its numbers reduced to $10^4$ cfu/mL. However, in evaluating antimicrobial effects, a 3-log reduction is considered to be a significant reduction (Usacheva et al., 2014, Heffernan et al., 2013, Koh et al., 2013, Sun et al., 2014). *S. sonnei* has been reported to be very susceptible to acidic environments. The antibacterial property of probiotic culture supernatants has been lost when the pH of the media was restored to a near neutral pH (Zhang et al., 2011, Zhang et al., 2012). The antagonistic effect obtained here could, therefore, be as a result of change in pH. *L. rhamnosus*, *L. acidophilus* and *L. plantarum* have been reported to demonstrate varying degrees of antibacterial activity against *S. sonnei* and *E. coli* (Tuo et al., 2013, Hutt et al., 2006, Apella et al., 1992). It was hence, not surprising for a formulation containing such probiotic organisms to demonstrate antagonistic activity against these pathogens. Nevertheless, *S. sonnei* is a very infective organism with as little as 10 organisms capable of causing disease (Hochstein, 2013). Considering that a single probiotic inoculation was used in this instance, continuous dosing could possibly result in complete elimination of *S. sonnei* viability, and the results also show that calorimetric analysis indicate qualitatively the difficulty in eradicating pathogenic species.

4. Conclusion

It has been demonstrated that Symprove has some antipathogenic activity against *E. coli*, *S. sonnei*, and MRSA even though in all cases the pathogen was the faster-growing species. The results indicate that ingestion of probiotic supplements, and effective integration and proliferation of probiotic
species in the gut, may be a new clinical option in treating gut infections. Importantly, when probiotics are used to control infections, the genetic diversity of the pathogenic population is retained, despite the reduction in total bacterial numbers, meaning that probiotic treatment will not drive the creation of antimicrobial-resistant strains. Investigations will be carried out in future using more complicated models with greater resemblance to the human gastrointestinal tract to study these conditions.
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Table 1: Average probiotic enumeration per dose of Symprove (70ml) after triplicate enumeration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test (CFU/ml)</th>
<th>Average (CFU/ml)</th>
<th>Average (CFU/dose)</th>
<th>Expected population (CFU/dose)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5.19 x 10^8</td>
<td>4.77 x 10^8</td>
<td>2.29 x 10^8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.08 x 10^8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.86 x 10^10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 x 10^10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Thermograms obtained after co-incubation of Symprove and E. coli, and their respective controls.
Figure 2: Colony counts at 24 and 48 hours after co-incubation of Symprove and *E. coli*, and their respective controls
Figure 3: Thermograms obtained after co-incubation of Symprove and MRSA, and their respective controls
Figure 4: Colony counts at 24 and 48 hours after co-incubation of Symprove and MRSA and their respective controls
Figure 5: Thermograms obtained after co-incubation of Symprove and *S. sonnei*, and their respective controls.
Figure 6: Colony counts at 24 and 48 hours after co-incubation of Symprove and *S. sonnei*, and their respective controls