Characterisation of peripheral and central components of the rat monoiodoacetate model of Osteoarthritis
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S U M M A R Y

Objective: Pain is the main reason patients report Osteoarthritis (OA), yet current analgesics remain relatively ineffective. This study investigated both peripheral and central mechanisms that lead to the development of OA associated chronic pain.

Design: The monoiodoacetate (MIA) model of OA was investigated at early (2–6 days post injection) and late (>14 days post injection) time points. Pain-like behaviour and knee histology were assessed to understand the extent of pain due to cartilage degradation. Electrophysiological single-unit recordings were taken from spinal wide dynamic range (WDR) neurons to investigate Diffuse Noxious Inhibitory Controls (DNIC) as a marker of potential changes in descending controls. Immunohistochemistry was performed on dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons to assess any MIA induced neuronal damage. Furthermore, qPCR was used to measure levels of glia cells and cytokines in the dorsal horn.

Results: Both MIA groups develop pain-like behaviour but only late phase (LP) animals display extensive cartilage degradation. Early phase animals have a normally functioning DNIC system but there is a loss of DNIC in LP animals. We found no evidence for neuronal damage caused by MIA in either group, yet an increase in IL-1β mRNA in the dorsal horn of LP animals.

Conclusion: The loss of DNIC in LP MIA animals suggests an imbalance in inhibitory and facilitatory descending controls, and a rise in the mRNA expression of IL-1β mRNA suggest the development of central sensitisation. Therefore, the pain associated with OA in LP animals may not be attributed to purely peripheral mechanisms.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Osteoarthritis Research Society International.

Introduction

Pain is the major symptom of OA and the reason patients report the disease,1 yet the discordance between radiographic joint damage observed and the associated pain renders it difficult to understand which aspects of the disease trigger pain.2 Animal models of OA provide powerful tools to investigate mechanisms how structural joint pathology progresses and the associated development of chronic pain.3 An OA-like phenotype can be induced in animals by chemically injuring the joint with monoiodoacetate (MIA), causing chondrocyte cell death and articular cartilage erosion.4–6 The MIA model is particularly relevant for the pain associated with OA as animals develop hyperalgesia and allodynia in primary and secondary sites.7,8 Furthermore, the MIA model has many advantages including the lack of invasiveness, the simplicity of induction, the pain-like behaviour that develops, and the histological changes that occur in the articular cartilage.9

Diffuse noxious inhibitory controls (DNIC) are a unique form of endogenous inhibitory control of spinal wide dynamic range (WDR) neurons, where both noxious and innocuous evoked neuronal activity can be strongly inhibited by a second concomitant noxious conditioning stimulus outside of the receptive field.10,11 The activation of supraspinal structures and functional descending controls are required for DNIC to induce neuronal inhibition, as DNIC cannot be observed in anesthetized animals with spinal cord (SC) transection.12,13 Specifically, the conditioning noxious stimulus activates descending inhibitory noradrenergic transmission to the SC, and neuronal inhibition is mediated by a final post-synaptic mechanism.14,15 DNIC is lost in a neuropathic model, confirming that an imbalance in descending inhibitory and facilitatory controls...
are contributing to the maintenance of chronic pain. Similarly, the human counterpart of DNIC, Conditioned Pain Modulation (CPM), has been demonstrated to be lost in a variety of chronic pain states. Furthermore, measuring CPM responses in patients has been shown to predict the likelihood of patients developing chronic pain and how responsive they will be to drugs that restore this descending inhibition. Overall, assessing DNIC or CPM provides a useful method for investigating the functionality of descending controls.

Variations with monoaminergic descending pathways have been demonstrated over the course of the MIA model, so this study investigated DNIC in both the early inflammatory phase (EP) and late chronic phases (LP) of the model. Furthermore, a neuropathic component of the MIA model has previously been reported, so MIA induced neuropathy was explored further to investigate if this was contributing to dysregulation of descending controls.

Methods

Animals

In all experiments, male Sprague Dawley rats were used. Food and water were provided ad libitum, with cages kept in a 12 h light/dark cycle. All experiments were performed in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

The MIA model

Male Sprague–Dawley rats (190–210 g for early phase (EP) and 120–140 g for late phase) were anaesthetized with isoflurane, the ventral surface of the hind limb was clipped of hair and the knee area cleaned with chlorhexidine. Arthritis was induced by an intrarticular injection of 2 mg MIA (Sigma) in 25 μL of 0.9% saline, with a 27 g needle into the left knee. Sham animals were assigned randomly and received an intrarticular injection of 25 μL 0.9% saline only, and assessed at the same time points as MIA animals.

Behaviour

For all behavioural testing the experimenter was blinded to experimental or control groups. Testing was carried out on days 2, 4, 7 and 14 post injection. Animals were acclimatized to the equipment before testing. Mechanical hypersensitivity was tested using the up-down method as previously described (EP n = 10, LP sham (EPS) n = 10, late phase (LP) n = 10, LP sham (LPS) n = 10). Von Frey filaments were applied to the planter hind paw and withdrawal flinching or shaking was counted as a positive response. Weight bearing assessment was carried out using an electronic sensor (Linton Instrumentation) (EP n = 15, EPS n = 12, LP n = 14, LPS n = 14). With one hind paw in contact with each force platform, the force exerted by each hind paw was measured over a 5 s period and repeated 3 times per animal. The average weight placed on the ipsilateral limb was quantified as a percentage of both hind paws.

Electrophysiology

Electrophysiological experiments were carried out 2–6 days post MIA injection for EP animals (n = 18) and 14–20 days post injection for LP animals (n = 35) as previously described (Urch and Dickenson 2003). Briefly, animals were anaesthetized for the duration of the experiment with isoflurane (1.5%) delivered in a gaseous mix of O2 (33%) and N2O (66%). A laminectomy was performed to expose the L4-L5 segments of the SC. Extracellular single unit recordings were made from deep dorsal horn WDR neurons (Lamina V-VI) using parylene coated tungsten electrodes (A-M systems). All WDR neurons used in this study responded to both innocuous and noxious stimulations in a graded manner coding intensity. Data was captured and analysed by a CED 1401 interface coupled to a computer running Spike2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design; rate functions).

DNIC study design

Firstly, the pre-conditioned mechanically evoked neuronal firing rates were quantified in response to 8 g, 26 g and 60 g von Frey filament stimulation applied to the hind paw. This was repeated 3 times to obtain a stable pre-conditioned response (where all neurons met the inclusion criteria of <10% variation in action potential firing). For the DNIC response, the von Frey filaments were applied to the receptive field with a concurrent noxious ipsilateral ear pinch (15.75 ± 2.3 mm Bulldog Serrefine, Interfocus, Linton) or noxious ipsilateral knee pinch (0.75 cm clamp was placed around the knee). This trial was repeated and pre-conditioned and DNIC responses were calculated as the mean from the two trials. A DNIC response was quantified as an inhibition on mechanically evoked neuronal firing in presence of the conditioning noxious pinch. A 1-min nonstimulation recovery period was allowed between each test, while a 10-min nonstimulation recovery period was allowed between each trial to ensure neuronal responses had returned to baseline (EP n = 19 neurons from 18 animals, EPS n = 13, LP n = 41 neurons from 35 animals, LPS n = 25).

Knee histology

Following electrophysiology, animals were terminally anaesthetised and knee joints were dissected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 24–48 h. The knees were decalcified in Immunocal® (Quartet) for approximately 1 week. The knee tissue was then processed with a series of ethanol and wax washes, cut into 10 μm serial sections using a microtome (Microm HM 360) and stained with toluidine blue. Scoring was blinded and carried out by two individuals using a system previously described (Glasson et al., 2010) (Table 1). For the maximum score the highest score throughout the entire knee was taken, while the average maximum score is an average of the highest scoring condyle from each slide (EP n = 6, EPS n = 6, LP n = 9, LPS n = 5).

Fast blue neuronal tracer

Animals were anaesthetized with ketamine (n = 3) [0.6 ml sterile saline, 0.1 ml medetomidine hydrochloride (1 mg/ml), 0.24 ml ketamine (0.3 mg/ml)], with 250 μL injected interperitoneally per 100 g of rat. 25 μL of Fast Blue (2% w/v in D2H2O (Polysciences Europe GmbH) was injected in the knee. The animals were brought back to consciousness with the reversing agent atipamezole (5 mg/ml), where 25 μL was injected subcutaneously per 100 g of animal.

Immunohistochemistry

Animals were terminally anaesthetised and transcardially perfused with 4% PFA (EP n = 3, EPS n = 3, LP n = 5, LPS n = 4). The ipsilateral L3-L5 dorsal root ganglion (DRG) was dissected and post-fixed in 4% PFA overnight. Tissue was placed in 30% sucrose overnight, mounted in OCT, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Transverse 10 μM sections were cut on a cryostat and placed on SuperFrost Plus slides. Slides were incubated with blocking buffer (10% donkey serum and 0.02% Triton-X-100 in PBS), for 6 h at room temperature. Slides were incubated with primary
antibodies (βIII-tubulin - Invitrogen, mouse monoclonal, 1:1000, 
ATF-3 - Santa Cruz, rabbit polyclonal, 1:500) overnight at room 
temperature and incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated 
with Alexa-488 (anti-mouse, 1:1000) (Invitrogen), or Alexa-564 
(anti-rabbit, 1:1000) (Invitrogen) for 2 h at room temperature. 
Slides were mounted with Fluormount-G and 4 DRG slices per 
animal were imaged using a Zeiss Imager Z.1 microscope, and 
neuronal diameter was measured using ImageJ.

qPCR

Animals were terminally anaesthetised with an overdose of 
isoﬂurane and the ipsilateral lumbar dorsal horn and L3-L5 DRGs 
were dissected (EP n = 4, EPS n = 4, LP n = 4, LPS n = 4), snap frozen 
in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. RNA was extracted from 
homogenized tissue using a RNase microkit (Qiagen). First strand 
cDNA synthesis was performed on 500 ng RNA using a Superscript 
III Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen) according to manufac-
turers instructions with deoxynucleotide-triphosphates (Promega), 
and random primers (Promega). mRNA levels of IL-1β, TNFα, IL-6, 
ATF-3, lba1, and glial ﬁbrillary acidic protein (GFAP) were measured 
with quantitative PCR using speciﬁc primers (Table II) and Light-
Cycler® 480 SYBR Green I master mix (Roche). The mRNA levels 
were normalized to GAPDH and expressed as either 2-ΔCT values 
or relative to sham groups.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v22 (IBM, 
Armonk). All data plotted represents the mean ± SEM. For behav-
ior and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
ple, a Kruskall–Wallis and histological studies when comparing independent sam-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Osteoarthritic damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Some loss of toluidine blue staining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Small superficial lesions of the articular cartilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Small lesions immediately below the superficial layer of articular cartilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Large lesions or erosion of the articular cartilage covering up to 20% of the condyle surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Loss of articular cartilage tissue from 20% to 50% of the condyle surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Loss of articular cartilage tissue from 50% to 80% of the condyle surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Loss of articular cartilage tissue from more than 80% of the condyle surface</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Reverse sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>AGGACAGACAGACAGACACACA</td>
<td>TTGCTGCAATCCACACTCCAG</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lba1</td>
<td>TCCCACTAACGCCACACACC</td>
<td>CTCTCTCGCGACACACTTTAG</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATF-3</td>
<td>GCTCCGCTGACCTGCTGAG</td>
<td>CTCTCTCGCGACACACTTTAG</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td>CGTCTGCCACAGCCACAGCA</td>
<td>ATGGCCGCAAGACGCTTACT</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>TCTTCCGCAAGACACCTCC</td>
<td>GCCCGACTTGCTGCTGGA</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>CAACCTCCAGATTGCGAAGA</td>
<td>TGGTGGGCTGCTGCTGGA</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>CTCGACCAAGCTGCTTAG</td>
<td>TGATGGGCATGCTGCTGGA</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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bearing or paw withdrawal threshold, such that animals with higher knee histology scores place less weight and withdraw at lower mechanical forces on the ipsilateral hind paw (Linear regression analysis, weight bearing: $R^2 = 0.5343$, $P = 0.0253$, PWT: $R^2 = 0.2812$, $P = 0.1420$) (Fig. 1(K) and L).

**DNIC in MIA animals**

The presence of DNIC was confirmed by a reduction in mechanically evoked neural firing, induced by a conditioning noxious ear or knee pinch. In EP animals the conditioning ear and knee pinch considerably reduced neural firing for all mechanical stimulations in both ipsilateral and contralateral WDR neurons (Two way ANOVA, Lpsi: 8 g: $P < 0.0001$, knee: $P < 0.0001$, 26 g: $P = 0.001$, knee: $P < 0.0001$, Contra: 8 g ear: $P = 0.072$, uninnjured knee: $P = 0.802$, MIA knee: $P = 0.793$, 26 g: $P = 0.004$, uninjured knee: $P = 0.171$, MIA knee: $P = 0.214$, 60 g: ear $P = 0.038$, uninjured knee: $P = 0.196$, MIA knee: $P = 0.498$) [Fig. 2(A), (B) and (C)]. Yet, in LP MIA animals there was consistently no reduction in mechanically evoked neural firing with a conditioning noxious ear pinch, in either ipsilateral or contralateral WDR neurons, indicating an alteration in the endogenous inhibitory system (Two way ANOVA, Lpsi: 8 g: $P = 0.184$, 26 g: $P = 0.456$, 60 g: $P = 1$, Contra: 8 g: $P = 0.683$, 26 g: $P = 0.084$, 60 g: $P = 1$)(Fig. 2(D), (E), (F), (G), (H) and I). Remarkably, a reduction in neural firing could be induced in some LP animals with a conditioning noxious knee pinch, indicating the DNIC system is not completely abolished if the conditioning stimulus is high (Two way ANOVA, Lpsi: 8 g: $P = 0.095$, 26 g: $P < 0.0001$, 60 g: $P < 0.0001$, Contra: 8 g: $P = 0.866$, 26 g: $P = 0.0476$, 60 g: $P = 0.346$) (Fig. 2(D), (E), (F), (J), (K) and L).

**Fast Blue neuronal tracer**

Peripheral afferent fibres that took up Fast Blue projected from L2 to S1 DRGs [Fig. 3(C)]. The L4 and L5 DRGs had significantly higher percentages of neurons showing positive staining for Fast Blue, with L4 DRGs having 5.7% of neurons stained (Friedman’s, vL2: $P = 0.025$, vS1: $P = 0.001$) and L5 DRGs having 3.4% of neurons stained (Friedman’s, vL2: $P = 0.013$, vS1: $P = 0.40$). Cumulative sum analysis indicated that for L3-L6 DRGs the size distribution for Fast Blue positive neurons was very similar to the size distribution of the total neurons within the DRG [Fig. 3(C), (D), (E) and (F)], indicating that both small and large diameter peripheral afferent fibres innervate the knee. The contralateral DRGs did not show positive Fast blue staining (data not shown).

**ATF3 expression in MIA animals**

ATF3 is a transcription factor, which is upregulated in response to stressful stimuli and is considered a sensitive marker for detecting neuronal damage.24 No ATF3 staining was observed in L3-L5 DRGs taken from either EP (day 4) or LP (day 14) MIA animals [Fig. 4(A)]. Furthermore, there was no significant increase in the mRNA expression of ATF-3 in the ipsilateral L3-L5 DRGs in EP or LP MIA animals compared to sham controls (Kruskall–Wallis: EP v Sham $P = 0.094$, LP v Sham $P = 0.197$, independent-samples t-test: EP: $P = 0.743$, LP: $P = 0.339$) [Fig. 4(C)], suggesting MIA is not causing substantial nerve trauma in MIA animals. Confirming the validity of the antibody, ATF-3 expression was observed in the nucleus of lumbar DRG cells taken from rats with neuropathy [Fig. 4(B)].

**Central inflammatory changes in the dorsal horn**

An increased number of activated microglia has previously been reported in the ipsilateral SC of MIA animals, which is thought to contribute to the development of central sensitisation.25,26 Therefore, the mRNA expression of the microglia marker ionized calcium binding adaptor molecule 1 (Iba1) and astrocyte marker GFAP were assessed in the dorsal horn using qPCR in MIA and sham animals. There was weak evidence for a rise in Iba1 mRNA expression in LP MIA animals but this effect was not significant (EP: $P = 0.669$, LP: $P = 0.176$) [Fig. 5(A) and (C)]. We observed no difference in GFAP mRNA expression levels between MIA and sham animals (EP: $P = 0.839$, LP: $P = 0.805$), indicating there is no increase in the number of astrocytes in the dorsal horn following MIA injection at these time points [Fig. 5(A) and (D)].

The increased release of proinflammatory cytokines from activated spinal glia, in particular IL-1β, TNFα, and IL-6 have been demonstrated following peripheral injury.30,31,32 The mRNA expression of IL-6 and TNFα in the dorsal horn remained relatively constant in both MIA and sham groups (IL-6: EP: $P = 0.285$, LP: $P = 0.161$, TNFα: EP: $P = 0.846$, LP: $P = 0.439$) [Fig. 5(B), (F) and (G)]. However, there was a significant increase in the mRNA expression of IL-1β in the ipsilateral dorsal horn of LP MIA animals compared to sham ($P = 0.028$), but this was not found in EP MIA animals ($P = 0.699$).

**Discussion**

We demonstrate that EP MIA animals develop significant pain-like behaviour despite histology indicating a lack of cartilage degradation, which agrees with previous reports.31,34 MIA causes an initial inflammatory infiltrate of monocytes, neutrophils and basophils in the joint, peaking around day 3, thus pain behaviour in EP animals may be due to the general toxicity of MIA causing an inflammatory response in the joint.6,10 However, only cartilage damage was scored with histology and since cartilage is both aneurial and avascular it cannot be the direct cause of pain.35 Therefore, the pain behaviour observed in EP MIA animals may be a result of damage to other joint structures, such as the synovium. The pain-like behaviour observed in the EP MIA model may not be a result of joint pathology that is typical of human OA, and representative of the mechanisms driving OA associated pain. LP MIA animals present with structural joint damage, including loss of...
Fig. 2. Shows the Diffuse Noxious Inhibitory Controls (DNIC) expression in EP and LP MIA animals. A) A conditioning noxious ipsilateral ear and knee pinch produced a significant reduction in mechanically evoked neuronal firing in ipsilateral wide dynamic range (WDR) neurons in EP MIA animals (n = 19 neurons from 18 animals). B) A conditioning ear pinch and a conditioning pinch placed on both the MIA injured and uninjured knee reduced mechanically evoked neuronal firing in contralateral WDR neurons (n = 5). C) A representative trace from an ipsilateral WDR neuron in an EP MIA animal, showing three baseline responses and a DNIC induced reduction in neuronal firing. D) In LP MIA animals a conditioning noxious ear pinch no longer produces a reduction in neuronal firing in ipsilateral WDR neurons, but there is a significant decrease in neuronal firing with a conditioning noxious knee pinch on the MIA injured knee (n = 41 neurons from 35 animals). E) Electrophysiological recordings from contralateral WDR neurons in LP MIA animals show that a conditioning noxious ear pinch or knee pinch placed on the uninjured knee no longer produce a reduction in neuronal firing, yet a contralateral knee pinch on the MIA injured knee does produce a reduction in neuronal firing (n = 7). F) A representative trace from an ipsilateral WDR neuron in a LP MIA animal, showing three baseline responses, a conditioning noxious ear pinch no longer produces a reduction in neuronal firing yet a conditioning noxious knee pinch does. G-L) The conditioned response as a percentage of the baseline in EP and LP MIA animals and sham controls with a conditioning noxious ear pinch (G – 8 g, H – 26 g, I – 60 g). This shows a consistent reduction in neuronal firing with a conditioning noxious knee pinch in EP and sham groups, while the response is more varied in LP MIA animals with some animals expressing DNIC and some showing no reduction in neuronal firing (EP n = 19 neurons from 18 animals, EPS n = 13, LP n = 41 neurons from 35 animals, LPS n = 25). (A–E: Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction, G–L: Kruskal–Wallis. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).
articular cartilage and in some cases exposure of subchondral bone, which has been demonstrated to be associated with the resultant pain behaviour. Indeed, a linear relationship was shown between knee histology score and the weight placed on the ipsilateral limb. Although this is insufficient evidence to demonstrate causality, this does agree with previous evidence that MIA-induced joint pathology depends upon time, with later time points more accurately modeling the structural joint pathology and pain that occur in human OA.

We show that EP MIA animals have a normally functioning DNIC as both concurrent noxious ear and knee pinch produced a significant reduction in mechanically evoked neuronal firing. On the other hand, there was a loss of DNIC in both ipsilateral contralateral WDR neurons of LP MIA animals as the conditioning ear pinch no longer produced a reduction in neuronal firing, indicating a bilateral loss of inhibitory control, which is similar to that observed in SNL animals. A functional DNIC system relies on a balance between descending noradrenergic inhibitory pathways and
facilitatory serotonergic pathways, so we suggest an imbalance in descending controls develops in LP MIA animals. Specifically, a reduction in descending noradrenergic inhibitory controls and an enhanced descending serotonergic facilitatory drive acting at 5-HT3 receptors in the SC has been demonstrated in LP 2 mg MIA animals. Furthermore, while NSAIDs are effective at relieving hyperalgesia and allodynia in EP MIA animals, they are ineffective in LP MIA animals.24,37 Specifi-
cally, a reduction in neuronal inhibition in EP MIA animals is likely a result of peripheral sensitisation, yet in LP animals central changes lead to an imbalance in inhibitory and facilitatory descending controls from the brainstem.

Remarkably, in some LP MIA animals a reduction in neuronal firing was observed when the conditioning noxious pinch was placed on the injured knee. This indicates that the DNIC system is not completely abolished and we propose that the imbalance in descending controls is masking the expression of DNIC, which agrees with previous studies demonstrating DNIC can be reestablished pharmacologically. Previous studies using electrophysiological recordings from joint afferents have demonstrated MIA produced a graded sensitisation and increased firing rate, while a Nav1.8 channel blocker reduced the firing rate of joint afferents in response to noxious rotation of the joint. Thus noxious conditioning stimulation of the MIA injured knee produces enhanced firing of joint afferents and an increased transduction of the nociceptive signal to the dorsal horn. Therefore, the conditioning MIA injured knee pinch may now produce a sufficient nociceptive signal to activate suppressed descending inhibitory controls and produce a small level of neuronal inhibition.

Histology studies indicated that in areas of extreme cartilage degradation there was exposure of the subchondral bone, which may lead to peripheral nerve damage. This study demonstrated that the majority of knee joint afferents were localized in the L3 DRGs, while a previous study found that the majority of subchondral bone afferents are localized in the L3 DRGs. Interestingly, no upregulated ATF-3 mRNA expression could be identified in ipsilateral L3-L5 DRGs in either EP or LP MIA groups, suggesting a lack of nerve trauma in knee joint or subchondral bone afferents. This contrasts with previous MIA studies that identified substantial ATF-3 expression in lumbar DRGs. However, the time post MIA injection when ATF-3 expression arises differs between studies, with one identifying ATF-3 staining as soon as day 3, and others...
reporting that ATF-3 upregulation only occurred later in the model. Furthermore, no study reported an increase in ATF-3 positive neurons in the L3 DRGs, indicating the reported neuropathic component was not a result of damage to peripheral afferents innervating the subchondral bone. Furthermore, Thakur et al. reported that 20% of L5 DRG cells bodies showed positive staining for ATF-3, whereas the neuronal tracer experiment in this study indicated that only 4–6% of L4 and L5 neurons innervated the knee. In addition, ATF-3 staining in the ventral horn indicated damage to motor neurons surrounding the knee, suggesting leakage of MIA from the intraarticular space, yet we identified no ATF-3 positive staining in the ventral horn (data not shown). These differences cannot be attributed to MIA dose or volume injected, but could be down to precision with the injection technique. In agreement with our findings, a mouse study found few ATF-3 positive cell bodies in L3-L5 DRGs 7 days after MIA injection, as only 2.1% of cell bodies displayed positive staining and the authors concluded there was no evidence to suggest MIA induced sensory neuron damage. Overall, the lack of ATF-3 expression in this study suggests there is no MIA induced neuronal damage and that an alternative mechanism must be driving central changes in LP animals.

Glial cells, a major component of the central nervous system, can respond to peripheral tissue damage, releasing factors that interact with neurons to regulate their function. Previous studies reported an increase in microglia proliferation and activation of in SC following MIA injection. Importantly, increase in the number of microglial cells was reported to be increased no earlier than 28 days after MIA injection. Indeed, in our study we found no changes in Iba1 mRNA levels in the SC 14d after MIA injection, suggesting no increase in the number of microglial cells up to this time in this model. Beyond proliferation, when activated, microglia can modulate the function of spinal neurons by releasing pro-inflammatory cytokines. Here, we show a significant increase in IL-1β mRNA expression in the SC dorsal horn 14d after MIA injection. Notably, it has been suggested that IL-1β can...
influence synaptic transmission by enhancing AMPA and NMDA as well as suppressing GABA and glycine induced currents in the SC dorsal horn.\textsuperscript{46} It is believed therefore that microgliosis and the subsequent release of IL-1β may be contributing the development of central sensitisation,\textsuperscript{30} a feature we speculate to be occurring in the model used in our study. Further, we report no increase in the mRNA expression levels of the astrocytic marker GFAP in the MIA animals, which is in agreement with previous findings where an increase in GFAP expression can only be observed at later time points of 28d post MIA injection.\textsuperscript{30} Investigating the molecular and cellular changes in the microglia at the single cell and population levels\textsuperscript{46,47} after MIA injection, which are beyond the scope of this study, would be necessary to further characterize microglia proliferation and activation and potentially target the microgliosis observed in this model.

Many OA patients develop referred pain, and a subset are left with persistent pain following total joint replacement surgery, indicating OA associated chronic pain cannot always be explained by purely peripheral mechanisms.\textsuperscript{59} An alteration in descending controls, together with central sensitisation may be responsible for this persistent pain. Indeed, clinical studies in OA patients have shown a loss of CPM and an abnormal descending system from the PAG to the SC.\textsuperscript{21,520} This study agrees with pre-existing evidence that an imbalance in inhibitory and facilitatory descending controls develops as the MIA model progresses.\textsuperscript{24,37} Overall, the development of chronic pain as a result of OA may be attributed to both peripheral mechanisms due to joint damage, and subsequent centrally mediated mechanisms. Both mechanisms should be considered when deciding on the appropriate analgesics for patients.
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