CardioClassifier – demonstrating the power of disease- and gene-specific computational decision support for clinical genome interpretation

CardioClassifier - a decision-support tool for clinical variant interpretation

Nicola Whiffin, PhD^{1,2,3,†}, Roddy Walsh, MSc^{1,2}, Risha Govind, MSc^{1,2}, Matthew Edwards, MSc⁴, Mian Ahmad, PhD^{1,2}, Xiaolei Zhang, MSc^{1,2}, Upasana Tayal, BMBCh, MRCP^{1,2}, Rachel Buchan, MSc^{1,2}, William Midwinter, BSc^{1,2}, Alicja E Wilk, BSc^{1,2}, Hanna Najgebauer, PhD^{1,2}, Catherine Francis, MA, MRCP^{1,2}, Sam Wilkinson, BSc⁴, Thomas Monk, MSc⁴, Laura Brett, MPhil⁴, Declan P O'Regan, PhD, FRCR³, Sanjay K Prasad, MD, FRCP^{1,2}, Deborah J Morris-Rosendahl, PhD^{1,4}, Paul JR Barton, PhD^{1,2}, Elizabeth Edwards, PhD^{1,2}, James S Ware, PhD, MRCP^{1,2,3,*} and Stuart A Cook, PhD, MRCP^{1,2,5,6,*}

Correspondence should be addressed to: Dr Nicola Whiffin, Cardiovascular Genetics and Genomics, National Heart and Lung Institute, Royal Brompton Hospital Campus, Imperial College London, London, SW3 6NP; Tel: +44 20 7352 8121; Fax: +44 20 7351 8146; Email: n.whiffin@imperial.ac.uk

¹National Heart & Lung Institute, Imperial College London

²Cardiovascular Research Centre at Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

³MRC London Institute of Medical Sciences, Imperial College London

⁴Clinical Genetics and Genomics Laboratory, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

⁵National Heart Centre Singapore, Singapore

⁶Duke-National University of Singapore, Singapore

^{*}These authors jointly supervised this work

[†]Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Internationally-adopted variant interpretation guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) are generic and require disease-specific refinement. Here we developed CardioClassifier (www.cardioclassifier.org), a semi-automated decision-support tool for inherited cardiac conditions (ICCs).

Methods: CardioClassifier integrates data retrieved from multiple sources with user-input case-specific information, through an interactive interface, to support variant interpretation. Combining disease- and gene-specific knowledge with variant observations in large cohorts of cases and controls, we refined 14 computational ACMG criteria and created three ICC-specific rules.

Results: We benchmarked CardioClassifier on 57 expertly-curated variants and show full retrieval of all computational data, concordantly activating 87.3% of rules. A generic annotation tool identified fewer than half as many clinically-actionable variants (64/219 vs 156/219, Fisher's P=1.1x10-18), with important false positives; illustrating the critical importance of disease and gene-specific annotations.

CardioClassifier identified putatively disease-causing variants in 33.7% of 327 cardiomyopathy cases, comparable with leading ICC laboratories. Through addition of manually-curated data, variants found in over 40% of cardiomyopathy cases are fully annotated, without requiring additional user-input data.

Conclusion: CardioClassifier is an ICC-specific decision-support tool that integrates expertly curated computational annotations with case-specific data to generate fast, reproducible and interactive variant pathogenicity reports, according to best practice guidelines.

Keywords: variant interpretation, inherited cardiac conditions, clinical genomics

INTRODUCTION

Inherited cardiac conditions (ICCs) represent a major health burden with a combined prevalence of ~1%¹. Genetic testing is recommended to support the management of many ICCs, with roles in diagnosis (particularly valuable for identification of at-risk relatives), prognostication, and therapeutic stratification.

The principle challenge in genetic testing across all diseases is the interpretation of identified sequence variants. This requires evaluation of data from diverse sources, including clinical observations, computational data and data derived from the literature. Although existing tools aid collection of some of these data types, scientists and clinicians must often access multiple data sources whilst interpreting a single genetic variant.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Association for Molecular Pathology (AMP) recently released guidelines that aim to standardise variant interpretation². These guidelines outline a set of evidence criteria to assess each variant against, along with how these might be weighted and combined to reach a classification. Studies have, however, shown that even when following the ACMG/AMP guidelines, interpretation can differ between different laboratories, with discordance in excess of 10%³. One key reason for this discordance is the structure of the ACMG/AMP guidelines, which are deliberately broad and lack specific thresholds, to allow adoption across the full spectrum of genetic disorders. As a result, the challenge to individual disease domains is to incorporate expert gene and disease-specific knowledge, to optimise variant interpretation and introduce consensus. Initiatives such as the Clinical Genome Resource (ClinGen)⁴, are working to define such disease- and gene-specific thresholds, although these are currently limited to pilot phases for specific gene-disease pairs.

The introduction of guidelines, including the logic behind reaching each classification, opens the way for new computational solutions to facilitate their adoption and increase consistency. Indeed, publication of the guidelines has led to the emergence of interactive tools^{5,6}, however, to date only one of these builds in automation⁷, and none incorporate expert disease-specific knowledge.

Here, we describe CardioClassifier, a powerful new tool that utilises the framework outlined by the ACMG/AMP guidelines, to automatically annotate variants across 17 computational criteria. Each criterion has been individually parametrised for each genedisease pair using expert disease-specific knowledge. Automated data are integrated with interactively added case-specific information to calculate variant pathogenicity in a fully interactive web-interface that represents a comprehensive variant interpretation platform for ICCs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We have initially implemented CardioClassifier for a set of 40 genes with definitive links to 11 ICCs. The full list of genes and corresponding disease phenotypes can be found in Supplementary Table 1. The following section describes the development and optimisation of CardioClassifier and is split into three main sections:

- 1. Rule selection and optimisation describes how the individual ACMG/AMP criteria were adapted and parameterised for ICCs
- 2. Code and implementation outlines the technical details of the tool
- 3. Benchmarking describes the data and process used to fully test CardioClassifier

Rule selection and optimisation

For each rule in the ACMG/AMP framework, we first evaluated whether the rule was applicable to the ICC under investigation and, where appropriate, defined more precisely the circumstances under which the rule would be activated. For example, we defined disease-specific allele frequency thresholds taking into account the genetic architecture of each disease⁸, to dictate activation of BS1 (minor allele frequency is too common for the disorder), and used genetic burden data⁹ along with extensive literature curation to determine for which genes and diseases loss-of-function mutations are a disease mechanism (activation of PVS1). As part of this development process, we compared rule activation in CardioClassifier to a set of variants manually curated as part of routine clinical service at the Royal Brompton Hospital (see Supplement). Full details of how each rule is parameterised can be found in the Supplement to this manuscript.

In addition to the 14 rules outlined in the ACMG/AMP guidelines, we have created three modified rules that enhance the interpretation of ICC variants. These rules are fully described in the results section and in the Supplement.

Code and implementation

CardioClassifier is implemented server-side in perl and PHP. Users upload variant data in the form of a single sample variant call file (VCF) or individual variant details, which are then annotated by the Ensembl variant effect predictor (VEP)¹⁰ and converted to a table using the tableize_vcf.py script within LOFTEE (https://github.com/konradjk/loftee). Protein altering and splice site variants (coding ±8bps) are analysed for a set of 40 genes associated with inherited cardiac conditions (Table 1). We look to continuously expand this list, focusing on curated genes robustly implicated in disease, emerging from community efforts such as ClinGen⁴.

The classifier automatically assesses each variant for 17 rules across three distinct data categories, as defined by the ACMG/AMP guidelines². It also consults an internal knowledge base of additional evidence, grouped by ACMG rule, either derived from community curation efforts or manually curated internally. The output is displayed on a PHP webpage that allows the user to interact and add (or remove) additional levels of evidence.

Benchmarking

Datasets

In order to test CardioClassifier extensively we used data from the following sources:

- 1. ClinVar all variants identified as 'Pathogenic' or 'Likely Pathogenic' by multiple submitters with no conflicting data (i.e. reports of 'Benign' or 'Likely Benign' or 'Uncertain Significance') for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM; n=158), dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM; n=16), long QT syndrome (LQTS; n=18), catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT; n=1), Brugada syndrome (Brs; n=4) or arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC; n=22) were extracted from the 20161201 release of ClinVar¹¹ using publically available scripts¹².
- 2. ClinVar a wider set of missense variants clinically curated (i.e. not literature only or research) as 'Pathogenic' or 'Likely Pathogenic' for LQTS from one or more submitter with at least one review status star (n=48).
- 3. 57 protein-altering variants that have been expertly curated as part of the recent ClinGen pilot for MYH7.
- 4. A prospective dataset of 327 HCM cases and 625 healthy volunteers recruited to the NIHR Royal Brompton cardiovascular BRU, all phenotypically characterised using cardiac MRI. Samples were sequenced using the IlluminaTruSight Cardio Sequencing Kit¹ on the Illumina NextSeq platform. This study had ethical approval (REC: 09/H0504/104+5) and informed consent was obtained for all subjects.

Comparison with existing resources

Although no similar tools currently exist that are both automated and disease-specific, in February 2017 Li and Wang released InterVar⁷ as the first tool to build in a level of automation. We compared the performance of CardioClassifier against InterVar to assess the importance of our disease-specific annotations. We used the ClinVar dataset of 219 variants described above as a test dataset.

InterVar scripts were downloaded from GitHub (https://github.com/WGLab/InterVar) and individually run for each disease using an engineered VCF file. To ensure a fair comparison, we edited the 'disorder_cutoff' to be equivalent to the thresholds used to activate BS1 in CardioClassifier. All other settings were left as default and no additional evidence was uploaded. We compared both the final classifications and the individual rules that were activated by each tool.

Coverage comparison between ExAC and healthy volunteers

Variants that are common in the general population are unlikely to be disease-causing. CardioClassifier therefore filters variants relative to the exome aggregation consortium (ExAC) dataset of 60,706 indivduals¹³. As this dataset is derived from exome sequencing data, some genomic regions, especially those that are repetitive or with high GC content, are not fully covered in these data. To overcome this limitation, we have included an additional cohort of 1383 healthy volunteers (625 UK and 758 from Singapore) sequenced on the TruSight Cardio sequencing panel, which has been optimised to achieve near complete coverage across 174 genes involved in ICCs¹. In

addition, this dataset identifies Illumina platform-specific technical artefacts, allowing us to distinguish true differences in case and control frequencies from sequencing errors.

To assess the contribution of our healthy volunteer cohort to variant frequency filtering we compared the coverage in these samples to the ExAC dataset (release 0.3.1) across the coding regions of the 40 genes currently parameterised within CardioClassifier (total 0.25 Mb). We report metrics as 'sample bases' where each base in each sample is individually assessed for coverage >20x, and the proportion of these meeting this threshold are reported.

RESULTS

Semi-automation leads to high quality and reproducible variant interpretation

CardioClassifier provides a simple-to-use web interface that takes as input either individual variant details or a single sample VCF (Supplementary Figure 1). Where a diagnosis is known, users select one of 11 cardiac disorders for which to perform analysis that pre-specifies disease gene selection by CardioClassifier. Where a diagnosis is more uncertain (e.g. sudden cardiac death or complex cardiomyopathy), analysis can be performed over all 40 genes currently parameterised within the tool, or a subset associated with related disorders (e.g. all cardiomyopathies, or all arrhythmia syndromes; Table 1). Details of the key features of CardioClassifier can be found in Table 2.

Each inputted variant is annotated for up to 17 computational criteria, with results outputted to a pre-populated grid representing the ACMG/AMP framework (Figure 1). Each criterion has been parameterised using expert disease and gene specific knowledge (see supplement for full details), leading to high quality and reproducible annotation. The variant report is interactive, allowing a user to add additional case-level evidence to generate and refine a final classification (Supplementary Figure 2). The report is transparent, with all evidence used to calculate the classification displayed, along with links out to eight external resources that are commonly used for interpretation of ICC variants: the ExAC browser¹⁴, Ensembl, the UCSC genome browser, ClinVar, PubMed, Google, the Beacon Network (https://beacon-network.org) and the Atlas of Cardiac Genetic Variation (ACGV)⁹.

Disease-specific optimisation of ACMG/AMP rules

For seven computational criteria (PS1, PM4, PM5, PP3, BA1, BP3 and BP4), parameterisation is consistent across all gene-disease pairs, however, for the remaining criteria, we have incorporated expert disease, gene and variant-type specific knowledge and data to define thresholds for activation. This includes determination of robust disease-specific maximum frequency thresholds⁸ (BS1 and PM2; Supplementary Table 1), and using large disease cohorts to define both 'mutational hotspots' (PM1; Figure 2a) and variants observed more frequently in cases when compared with population controls (PS4).

As most large reference datasets, such as ExAC, have not been specifically screened for ICCs, it is expected that low frequency disease-associated alleles will be observed. This is particularly the cases for ICCs, which often manifest later in life and exhibit incomplete penetrance. We have therefore modified PM2 so as not to inappropriately discard variants seen at very low frequencies in these reference datasets.

In addition, we have created extensions to three ACMG/AMP rules, to enhance interpretation of ICC variants. Firstly, we have modified PVS1 for the titin (TTN) gene, which has a role in up to 20% of dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) cases¹⁵. We have previously shown that only TTN truncating variants (TTNtv) in exons constitutively expressed in the heart are robustly associated with DCM¹⁵. Additionally, it is unclear

that the mechanism of action for these variants is truly loss of function (LoF). Instead of scoring all TTNtv equally and assuming an underlying LoF mechanism, we only score TTNtv highly if they are in constitutive exons (proportion spliced in (PSI) > 0.9), and we reduce the strength of evidence by one level from very strong to strong (coded as PVS1_strong).

We also included modifications to PS1 and PM5 that utilise known disease-causing variants in related genes/proteins (paralogues), which likely identify residues intolerant to variation (Figure 2c). Where nothing is known about variants at the equivalent residue of the same gene, we use high confidence variants as evidence if they affect the equivalent residue in a paralogue (with the same reference allele), either with the same substitution (rule PS1_moderate - Equivalent amino acid change as an established pathogenic variant in a paralogous gene), or a different substitution (rule PM5_supporting - Missense change at an amino acid residue where a pathogenic missense change has been seen in the equivalent residue of a paralogous gene). This analysis is currently restricted to the families of predominantly ion channel proteins associated with inherited arrhythmia syndromes for which this method has been previously validated 16,17.

We have previously shown paralogue annotation to be informative for over one third of novel SNVs¹⁷, and independent validation has shown a high specificity and PPV compared with other sources of evidence^{18,19}. To determine the effect of these criteria on variant classification (before inclusion of any case-level or functional data that cannot be computationally predicted) we used 48 clinically curated missense variants from ClinVar identified as 'Pathogenic' or 'Likely Pathogenic' for LQTS. Paralogue annotation rules were activated for 11/48 (22.9%) variants and resulted in a change of class from variant of uncertain significance (VUS) to Likely Pathogenic for 63.6% of these (7/11).

Highly curated datasets of disease cases and healthy controls aid annotation and filtering

As well as publically available data for both cases and population controls, CardioClassifier incorporates data from three highly-curated in-house datasets sequenced with the Illumina TruSight Cardio sequencing panel¹. Counts from 877 DCM, 327 HCM cases, and 1383 healthy volunteers, all rigorously phenotyped using cardiac MRI, are used to annotate variants in genes associated with these disorders.

Whilst the ExAC dataset, with data from 60,706 population samples, represents a powerful resource for variant frequency filtering, some genomic regions, especially those that are repetitive or with high GC content are not fully covered. Specifically, 12.5% of sample bases across the 40 genes within CardioClassifier are covered at <20x (Supplementary Figure 3). In contrast, in our high-quality set of healthy volunteers 99.9% of sample bases are covered at >20x allowing us to accurately identify common and low-frequency variants or platform specific errors across, all regions of interest (rule BS1).

In addition to these in-house data, we also display counts from published clinical cohorts for HCM^{9,20}, DCM^{9,21}, LQTS²² and Brugada syndrome²³.

Results show high concordance with manually curated and gold-standard data

We compared CardioClassifier to 57 gold-standard, manually curated protein-altering variants from the recent ClinGen pilot for MYH7 (manuscript in submission, citation will be added). Of 222 rules activated by ClinGen for these 57 variants, 157 represented computationally accessible data (from 9 ACMG/AMP rules) that were fully retrieved by CardioClassifier. CardioClassifier concordantly activated 137/157 rules (87.3%; Figure 3). The discrepancies fall across 3 rules; PP3 (*in silico* prediction algorithms; n=12), PS4 (prevalence in affected individuals statistically increased over controls; n=7) and PM5 (same amino acid residue as known Pathogenic variant; n=1). CardioClassifier imposes a more stringent threshold on PP3 (allowing only one of eight *in silico* prediction algorithms to be discordant), and differences in PS4 and PM5 are due to the increased availability of proband data to the ClinGen team (not available from public repositories). In both cases, CardioClassifier successfully returned all available data.

We then tested the ability of the links within the CardioClassifier report to inform activation of the 61 case-level data points activated by the ClinGen team. These links allowed us to manually collate 50/61 (82.0%) individual data points with differences again in the availability of proband data (6 PS4_supporting, 1 PS4_moderate, 1 PS2, 1 BS4 and 2 PP1_moderate). After addition of this clinical data, we reached an identical classification to the ClinGen team for 50/57 (87.7%) variants (Figure 3a).

CardioClassifier has higher sensitivity and specificity than non-specific interpretation tools

In February 2017 Li and Wang released InterVar, and its companion web-server winterVar, as the first tools that add automation into populating criteria from the ACMG/AMP guidelines⁷. Whilst these tools were crucial steps forward in application of the framework, they aim to support interpretation across the full spectrum of human genes and disorders.

To show the added value of the highly disease- and gene-specific annotations included in CardioClassifier, we compared CardioClassifier to InterVar using a set of 219 variants identified as 'Pathogenic' or 'Likely Pathogenic' on ClinVar, with high confidence, across six ICCs. Based on automatically-retrieved data only, InterVar identified 64/219 (29.2%) variants as Likely Pathogenic or Pathogenic, while CardioClassifier identified over double this number as clinically actionable (156/219) with a sensitivity of 71.2% (Supplementary Table 2). For both tools, sensitivity would be increased further through user addition of clinical and functional data.

Despite the lower sensitivity of InterVar, there are occasions where the tool activates rules inappropriately in the absence of gene-specific knowledge. Firstly, InterVar activates PVS1 in the TTN gene, regardless of protein location, when it is recognised that truncating variants in exons not constitutively expressed in the heart are not associated with DCM, and are commonly found in demonstrably healthy controls¹⁵. Consequently, InterVar will categorise rare variants in these regions as 'Likely Pathogenic' when in fact they are unlikely to be disease causing.

Secondly, InterVar activates rule PP5 (reputable source identifies the variant as Pathogenic) for 89.5% of the variants as they are reported as 'Pathogenic' in ClinVar.

The ACMG guidelines state that this rule should only be activated when the evidence supporting the classification is unavailable, yet this evidence is often contained within the appropriate ClinVar submission. Full details of the rules activated by both tools are shown in Figure 3b.

To ensure the higher sensitivity of CardioClassifier was not due to over-activating rules, we also tested a set of 67 'Benign' and 'Likely Benign' variants from ClinVar across the same six ICCs. CardioClassifier identified 61/67 (91.0%) of these as Benign and the remaining 6 as VUS. Conversely, InterVar identified 41/67 (61.2%) as Benign with 22 as Likely Benign and 4 as VUS. Here InterVar activates BS2 when a variant is seen in the 1000 genomes dataset, which we believe is inappropriate for ICCs which do not fit the important caveat of 'full penetrance expected at an early age'. We do acknowledge, however, that InterVar was developed for severe congenital and very-early onset developmental disorders with nearly 100% penetrance.

Diagnostic yield in HCM cases matches previous reports

To investigate the clinical utility of CardioClassifier we used a dataset of 327 HCM cases. In 66 cases (20.2%) we immediately identified a Pathogenic (n=11) or Likely Pathogenic (n=55) variant, with a further 76 cases (23.2%) harbouring a VUS. To determine the proportion of these VUSs likely to become clinically actionable after the addition of case-level data, we calculated the excess of VUSs in cases over the background level of rare and presumably benign VUSs in 625 healthy volunteers (HVOLs). Based on a background level of 9.7%, we calculate a case excess of VUSs of 13.5%. Combining this with the 20.2% of cases with a Pathogenic or Likely Pathogenic variant, overall, 33.7% of cases have a potentially clinically relevant variant (Supplementary Figure 4a), comparable to previous reports²⁰.

Manual curation of known variants

In addition to automatic retrieval of computational data, CardioClassifier will store curated case-level data entered by users, or pre-populated from community curation efforts. We have primed this 'knowledge base' with data from 120 cardiomyopathy variants. We set out to create a 'knowledge base' of fully curated variants to include in CardioClassifier. This dataset comprised the 57 variants curated in the ClinGen MYH7 pilot study and the most commonly observed variants for the major cardiomyopathies; HCM, DCM and ARVC, defined as those that occurred six or more times in the ACGV resource (reflecting a HCM case frequency of ~1/1000)⁹. Collectively, the 84 variants in ACGV that were above this threshold are observed 1,258 times in 7,855 cardiomyopathy cases, representing 39.5% (1,258/3,186) of identified variants.

Of the 84 ACGV variants, 63 had not been curated by the MYH7 pilot. For these variants, we searched the literature and ClinVar for reports of segregation, de novo occurrence and functional characterisation. After this manual curation step, this dataset comprised 34 Pathogenic, 13 Likely Pathogenic and 7 variants that remain VUS (Supplementary Table 3; Supplementary Figure 4b). Addition of case-specific data did not alter the overall number of clinically actionable variants but increased the proportion of Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic and downgraded a subset of variants to Likely Benign

and Benign (3.9%). The annotations for these 120 variants have been stored in CardioClassifier to be retrieved and automatically included in classifications. Consequently, for at least 40% of variants identified in cardiomyopathy cases, CardioClassifier will immediately return the correct classification, with the remaining requiring some manual addition of case-level data where this has not been pre-loaded into the knowledge base.

DISCUSSION

We describe CardioClassifier, an automated and interactive web-tool to aid clinical variant interpretation across a wide range of ICCs. To the best of our knowledge our tool represents a unique disease-specific solution that automates data retrieval, incorporates gene- and disease-specific knowledge to refine rule application, is preloaded with curated data on prior observations (in health or disease), and integrates evidence according to the widely-adopted framework from ACMG/AMP. The tool is transparent, with all the information incorporated into interpreting each variant displayed along with the final classification. It is also flexible, and designed to be fully interactive, with the user able to add and remove evidence specific to the patient/family of interest.

The strength of CardioClassifier is its disease-specificity. The ACMG/AMP rules are intentionally non-specific to allow adoption in any disease domain. To harness the full power of this framework, the rules need to be applied in a disease- and gene-specific manner²⁴. We have defined criteria and thresholds for each ACMG/AMP rule that are specific to the disorder of interest. We demonstrate the power and effectiveness of this approach through comparison with InterVar, a recently released genome-wide interpretation interface, which CardioClassifier significantly outperforms. Incorporation of disease-specific knowledge is, however, limited by our current understanding and the availability of disease datasets on which to perform analyses. Consequently, the power of this tool will continue to increase over time as new and informative data emerge across the full complement of ICCs. Furthermore, on-going community initiatives, such as the Clinical Genome Resource (ClinGen), are defining consensus disease and gene-specific standards for modifications to the ACMG/AMP guidelines. It is our intention to continue to develop CardioClassifier to utilise these standards as they become available.

We believe the main limitation to the effectiveness of any computational solution is the limited ability to retrieve all clinical or patient-specific data computationally. This is in part due to current databases, such as ClinVar, storing clinical assertions in free text fields, rather than as structured data that can be easily mined. The combination of CardioClassifier pre-populating computational data linked with the ability to interactively add additional levels of case and variant specific evidence in a structured format, will help to overcome this hurdle. Furthermore, we have manually curated and included case-level data pertaining to 120 variants in a variant specific 'knowledge base' and will continue to add to this as knowledge accumulates in the community. Future development of CardioClassifier will streamline data-sharing, to expand our knowledge base, and share this with the community via submission to the ClinVar database. This increasing knowledge base relies on researchers and clinicians in the field supporting data-sharing initiatives, and facilitating direct ClinVar submission from CardioClassifier for the benefit of the ICC community is a development priority. A further limitation to CardioClassifier in its current form is the restricted prediction of impact on splicing. This arises for two main reasons; firstly, CardioClassifier uses the Ensembl variant effect predictor to annotate variants, which annotates bases within 8 base-pairs of the exon/intron boundary as splice-site, but will miss more distal bone-fide splice site

variants. Secondly, we currently have not incorporated any in silico splice-site prediction algorithms, due to limitations around availability, licensing and accuracy. These issues will be addressed in a future release.

CardioClassifier is designed to work seamlessly, but not exclusively, with the Illumina TruSight Cardio sequencing kit¹, and takes as input the final output VCF from a standard bioinformatics analysis pipeline. We believe the combination of these two powerful tools is a crucial step in broadening the availability of genetic testing for ICCs, and standardising variant interpretation in this field. Furthermore, we hope that in demonstrating the clinical utility of our disease-specific approach, we will encourage others to develop similar tools across other disease specialties.

TOOL AVAILABILITY

CardioClassifier is available at www.cardioclassifier.org, with a free license for non-commercial use. The code and data used to produce this manuscript will be available at: https://github.com/ImperialCardioGenetics/CardioClassifierManuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust (107469/Z/15/Z), the Medical Research Council (UK), the Cardiovascular Research Centre Biobank at Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust (NRES ethics number 09/H0504/104+5), the Fondation Leducq (11 CVD-01) and a Health Innovation Challenge Fund award from the Wellcome Trust and Department of Health, UK (HICF-R6-373).

This publication includes independent research commissioned by the Health Innovation Challenge Fund (HICF), a parallel funding partnership between the Department of Health and Wellcome Trust. The views expressed in this work are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Health or Wellcome Trust.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

- SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 1 Parameterisation of ACMG/AMP rules
- SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 2 Benchmarking against manually curated variants
- Supplementary Table S1
- Supplementary Table S2
- Supplementary Table S3
- Supplementary Figure S1
- Supplementary Figure S2
- Supplementary Figure S3
- Supplementary Figure S4

REFERENCES

- 1. Pua CJ, Bhalshankar J, Miao K, et al. Development of a comprehensive sequencing assay for inherited cardiac condition genes. *Journal of Cardiovascular Translational Research*. 2016;9(1):3-11. doi:10.1007/s12265-016-9673-5.
- 2. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: A joint consensus recommendation of the american college of medical genetics and genomics and the association for molecular pathology. *Genetics in Medicine*. 2015;17(5):405-423. doi:10.1038/gim.2015.30.
- 3. Harrison SM, Dolinsky JS, Johnson AEK, et al. Clinical laboratories collaborate to resolve differences in variant interpretations submitted to ClinVar. *Genetics in Medicine*. March 2017. doi:10.1038/gim.2017.14.
- 4. Rehm HL, Berg JS, Brooks LD, et al. ClinGen the clinical genome resource. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2015;372(23):2235-2242. doi:10.1056/nejmsr1406261.
- 5. Patel RY, and Neethu Shah, Jackson AR, et al. ClinGen pathogenicity calculator: A configurable system for assessing pathogenicity of genetic variants. *Genome Medicine*. 2017;9(1). doi:10.1186/s13073-016-0391-z.
- 6. Kleinberger J, Maloney KA, Pollin TI, Jeng LJB. An openly available online tool for implementing the ACMG/AMP standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants. *Genetics in Medicine*. 2016;18(11):1165-1165. doi:10.1038/gim.2016.13.
- 7. Li Q, Wang K. InterVar: Clinical interpretation of genetic variants by the 2015 ACMG-AMP guidelines. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*. 2017;100(2):267-280. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.01.004.
- 8. Whiffin N, Minikel E, Walsh R, et al. Using high-resolution variant frequencies to empower clinical genome interpretation. *GENETICS in MEDICINE*. May 2017. doi:10.1038/gim.2017.26.
- 9. Walsh R, Thomson KL, Ware JS, et al. Reassessment of mendelian gene pathogenicity using 7,855 cardiomyopathy cases and 60,706 reference samples. *Genetics in Medicine*. 2016;19(2):192-203. doi:10.1038/gim.2016.90.
- **10.** McLaren W, Gil L, Hunt SE, et al. The ensembl variant effect predictor. *Genome Biology*. **2016**;17(1). doi:10.1186/s13059-016-0974-4.
- 11. Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Riley GR, et al. ClinVar: Public archive of relationships among sequence variation and human phenotype. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2013;42(D1):D980-D985. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1113.
- 12. Zhang X, Minikel EV, O-Luria AH, MacArthur DG, Ware JS, Weisburd B. ClinVar data parsing. *Wellcome Open Research*. 2017;2:33. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.11640.1.

- 13. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. *Nature*. 2016;536(7616):285-291. doi:10.1038/nature19057.
- 14. Karczewski KJ, Weisburd B, Thomas B, et al. The ExAC browser: Displaying reference data information from over 60 000 exomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2016;45(D1):D840-D845. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw971.
- 15. Roberts AM, Ware JS, Herman DS, et al. Integrated allelic, transcriptional, and phenomic dissection of the cardiac effects of titin truncations in health and disease. *Science Translational Medicine*. 2015;7(270):270ra6-270ra6. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3010134.
- 16. Ware JS, Walsh R, Cunningham F, Birney E, Cook SA. Paralogous annotation of disease-causing variants in long QT syndrome genes. *Human Mutation*. 2012;33(8):1188-1191. doi:10.1002/humu.22114.
- 17. Walsh R, Peters NS, Cook SA, Ware JS. Paralogue annotation identifies novel pathogenic variants in patients with brugada syndrome and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Journal of Medical Genetics*. 2013;51(1):35-44. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2013-101917.
- 18. Kapplinger JD, Tseng AS, Salisbury BA, et al. Enhancing the predictive power of mutations in the c-terminus of the KCNQ1-encoded kv7.1 voltage-gated potassium channel. *Journal of Cardiovascular Translational Research*. 2015;8(3):187-197. doi:10.1007/s12265-015-9622-8.
- 19. Kapplinger JD, Giudicessi JR, Ye D, et al. Enhanced classification of brugada syndromeAssociated and long-QT syndromeAssociated genetic variants in theSCN5A-encoded nav1.5 cardiac sodium ChannelCLINICAL PERSPECTIVE. *Circulation:* Cardiovascular Genetics. 2015;8(4):582-595. doi:10.1161/circgenetics.114.000831.
- 20. Alfares AA, Kelly MA, McDermott G, et al. Results of clinical genetic testing of 2,912 probands with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: Expanded panels offer limited additional sensitivity. *Genetics in Medicine*. 2015;17(11):880-888. doi:10.1038/gim.2014.205.
- 21. Pugh TJ, Kelly MA, Gowrisankar S, et al. The landscape of genetic variation in dilated cardiomyopathy as surveyed by clinical DNA sequencing. *Genetics in Medicine*. 2014;16(8):601-608. doi:10.1038/gim.2013.204.
- 22. Kapplinger JD, Tester DJ, Salisbury BA, et al. Spectrum and prevalence of mutations from the first 2,500 consecutive unrelated patients referred for the FAMILION long QT syndrome genetic test. *Heart Rhythm*. 2009;6(9):1297-1303. doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2009.05.021.
- 23. Kapplinger JD, Tester DJ, Alders M, et al. An international compendium of mutations in the SCN5A-encoded cardiac sodium channel in patients referred for brugada syndrome genetic testing. *Heart Rhythm*. 2010;7(1):33-46. doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2009.09.069.

24. Amendola LM, Jarvik GP, Leo MC, et al. Performance of ACMG-AMP variant-interpretation guidelines among nine laboratories in the clinical sequencing exploratory research consortium. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*. 2016;99(1):247. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.06.001.

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Example variant report output by CardioClassifier. A grid is output for each individual variant. Rules highlighted in colour are activated for the variant and rules in grey on a white background are assessed but not activated. A user can click on a rule to manually add or remove a piece of evidence. All evidence used to assess the variant is displayed under the grid along with links out to external resources. An overall classification for the variant using the ACMG/AMP logic is displayed in the top left corner. *EF - etiological fraction; the prior probability that a variant, identified in a case, is Pathogenic⁹.

Figure 2: Examples of disease-specific optimisation of ACMG/AMP rules. (a) Missense variants within a sub-portion of MYH7, when identified in a HCM patient, have a 97% prior probability of being Pathogenic (etiological fraction; EF=0.97). We activate PM1 for missense variants in this region. Here we use MYH7:c.2221G>T as an example (labelled with a black bar). (b) Truncating variants in TTN are only known to cause DCM when found in exons constitutively expressed in the heart (proportion spliced in (PSI) > 0.9). We activate PVS1_strong for these variants. Here we use TTN:c.86641delC as an example (labelled with a black bar). (c) Variants that have been identified as Pathogenic in paralogous genes may identify residues that are intolerant to variation. We have created two modified rules, PS1_moderate and PM5_supporting to incorporate this evidence. Here we use KCNQ1:p.T311I as an example. KCNQ2:p.T276I is associated with Ohtahara syndrome. We activate PS1_moderate for KCNQ1:p.T311I which is the equivalent missense change (i.e. same reference and alternate amino acids) in a different member of the same protein family.

Figure 3: Validation of CardioClassifier (a) Comparing CardioClassifier to 57 variants expertly curated by the ClinGen pilot for *MYH7*. Rules were split into those that can be computationally annotated and those that are 'case-level' and require manual input. CardioClassifier was run using an 'All Cardiomyopathy' test to reflect the spectrum of phenotypes caused by variants in *MYH7*. *Of the computational rules, 3 were removed from the comparison as they represent draft modifications to the ACMG framework by the ClinGen Cardiovascular domain working group that are not yet published, and not yet implemented in CardioClassifier. Specifically, truncating variants in *MYH7* activate a new rule PVS1_moderate. Additionally, for variants classified as Benign by frequency alone (BA1) CardioClassifier does not assess any further rules, leading us to remove an additional data point from the comparison as we would not expect it to be retrieved. (b) Counts of individual rules activated by CardioClassifier and InterVar for 219 variants identified as Pathogenic or Likely Pathogenic in ClinVar. Only pathogenic evidence rules and rules activated by one of the tools at least once are shown.

Table 1: Details of gene-disease pairs currently analysed by CardioClassifier. The disease class column details the larger sub-panels relating to broad disorder types that each disease and gene set are within.

Table 2: Key features of CardioClassifier. Included are details of each key feature and which of three currently available tools (Alamut, InterVar and the ClinGen pathogenicity calculator) also includes each feature.

TABLES

Table 1

Disease	Disease Class	Genes	Total Genes
DCM	Cardiomyopathy	LMNA, TNNT2, SCN5A, TTN, TCAP, MYH7, VCL, TPM1, TNNC1, RBM20, DSP, BAG3	12
HCM	Cardiomyopathy	MYH7, TNNT2, TPM1, MYBPC3, PRKAG2, TNNI3, MYL3, MYL2, ACTC1, CSRP3, PLN, TNNC1, GLA, FHL1, LAMP2, GAA	16
ARVD/C	Cardiomyopathy	DSP, PKP2, DSG2, DSC2, JUP	5
RCM	Cardiomyopathy	TNNI3	1
ncCM	Cardiomyopathy	MYBPC3, MYH7	2
Noonan syndrome	Cardiomyopathy	RAF1, SOS1, PTPN11, KRAS	4
Long QT syndrome	Arrhythmia	KCNQ1, KCNH2, SCN5A, KCNE1, KCNE2	5
Brugada syndrome	Arrhythmia	SCN5A	1
CPVT	Arrhythmia	RYR2	1
Marfan syndrome	Aortopathy	FBN1	1
FH	-	LDLR	1

Table 2

Feature	Description	CardioClassifier	Alamut	InterVar	ClinGen Pathogenicity Calculator
Collates data from multiple sources	CardioClassifier retrieves data from multiple databases/resources including ExAC, ClinVar, ACGV and dbNSFP as well as internally derived data	1	1	1	-
Takes a standard VCF or variant details as input and annotates with effect on sequence and protein	The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor is used to annotate all variants according to protein consequence	1	1	1	-
ACMG/AMP rules parameterised through expert curation according to specific gene and disease	We have developed expertly-curated gene and disease specific thresholds for 14 computational ACMG/AMP criteria in addition to 3 specifically created ICC specific rules.	1	-	-	-
Computational data used to activate ACMG/AMP rules	Each variant is automatically assessed against 17 computational criteria	1	-	1	-
Interactive refinement of rules and addition of case-level data	Users can interactively add or remove evidence pertaining to any of the ACMG/AMP rules	1	-	1	✓
Integration of automated annotations and case-level interactive additions to calculate a classification according to the ACMG logic	The logic from the ACMG/AMP guidelines is used to provide a final classification	√	-	1	√

Evidence used to generate classification displayed	The thresholds and data used in CardioClassifier is transparent and printed on the report	1	-	-	-
Knowledge base of case-level annotations	We have created a 'knowledge base' whereby manually curated case-level evidence is stored and used to populate variant reports	✓	-	-	-

FIGURES

Figure 1

Classification	Gene	Vari	ant Type	Coding HGVS		Protein HGVS	Genomic position	Zygosity
PATHOGENIC	MYH7	mi	ssense	c.2302G>A		p.G768R	chr14:23894612	het
his variant report inclu	udes manual	curations	from ClinVar and th	literature				
		BEN	IIGN			PATH	OGENIC	
	Stron	ng .	Supporting	Supporting		Moderate	Strong	Very strong
Population data	BS1 - MAF is t disorder OR of in controls is in with penetrano	consistent				PM2 - Low frequency in population databases	PS4 - Prevalence in affecteds statistically increased over controls	
			BP4 - Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on geneigene product	PP3 - Multiple line computational evi support a deleteri effect on geneiger product	idence ious	PM5 - Novel missense at an amino acid residue where a different pathogenic missense seen before	PS1 - Same amino acid change as established pathogenic variant	PVS1 - Predicted rull variant in a gene where LOF is a known mechanism of disease
Computational and predictive data			BP1 - Missense in a ger where only truncating cause disease	10		PM4 - Protein length changing variant	PVS1_strong - TTNtv in constitutively expressed exon (PSI>0.9)	
			BP3 - In-frame InDel in repeat w'out known function					
Functional data	BS3 - Well est functional stud no deleterious	ies show		PP2 - Missense in with low rate of ber missense and path missenses commo	nign 1	PM1 - Mutational hotspot or well-studied functional domain without benign variation	PS3 - Well established functional studies show a deleterious effect	
Segregation data	BS4 - Non-seg with disease	regation				PP1 - Co-segregation with disease in multiple affected family members		
De novo/other data			BP5 - Found in case will alternate cause	PP4 - Patient's phe or FH highly specifi gene	enotype lic for	PM6 - De novo (without paternity and maternity confirmed)	PS2 - De novo (paternity and maternity confirmed)	
Allelic data			BP2 - Observed in cis/trans with a dominar variant	t		PM3 - For recessive disorders, detected in trans with a pathogenic variant		
Other database	click here to s	ee the reci	BP6 - Reputable source w/out shared data = benign	PP5 - Reputable so wout shared data pathogenic				
uis variant is in ClinVar, UPPORTING EVIDE opulation data: M2 - Variant frequency	NCE in ExAC is < 0	.000040.	wrout shared data = benign	whout shared data a pathogenic (P=5.417e-8).	=			
is variant is in ClinVar, UPPORTING EVIDE pulation data: 42 - Variant frequency	in ExAC is < 0	.000040.	wrout shared data = benign	w/out shared data : pathogenic	=	Healthy volunteers - Singapore (758)	HCM CGG (327)	HCM ACGV (6112)
uis variant is in ClinVar, UPPORTING EVIDE spulation data: 42 - Variant frequency 43 - Variant is statistica ExAC (~129000)	in ExAC is < 0	.000040. n cases (n: ~5000)	w/out shared data = benign and -7) over controls (n=0 ESP (~13000)	w/out shared data pathogenic path	rs - UK	Singapore (758)	1	7
upporting EVIDE pulation data: 2 - Variant frequency (4 - Variant is statistical EXAC (-129000) - Population at data: 23 - 7 cut of 7 algorithm SUFT	in ExAC is < 0 If in ExAC is < 0 If increased in IKG (controls (light gi ns predict this Mutation 7as	.000040. n cases (n: -5000) reevi, allele variant will	erout shared data = benign eround er	w/out shared data pathogenic ; P=5.417e-8). Healthy volunteer (625) Is (dark green, sample ein function: olyphen-VAR	rs - UK	Singapore (758) - i), Disease cases (red, se	1 Imple counts). See Append Grantham	7 iix for details. CADD
his variant is in ClinVar, SUPPORTING EVIDE opulation data: M2 - Variant frequency is S4 - Variant is statistica ExAC (~120000) - Population of computational data: P3 - 7 out of 7 algorithm SIFT Damaging	in ExAC is < 0 Illy increased if 1KG (control's (light gi	.000040. n cases (n: -5000) reevi, allele variant will	erious shared data = benign erious shared da	w/out shared data : pathogenic ; P=5.417e-8). Healthy voluntee: (625) - (s (dark green, sampli	rs - UK	Singapore (758) - s), Disease cases (red, sa	1 Imple counts). See Append Grantham	7 fix for details.
Dis variant is in ClinVar, SUPPORTING EVIDE opulation data: M2 - Variant frequency is 4 - Variant is statistical ExAC (~120000) Population of population of 7 algorithm SIFT Damaging inctional data:	in ExAC is < 0 Illy increased in 1KG (controls (light gi ns predict this Mutation Tas Disease-cause		erious shared data = benign erious shared da	w/out shared data pathogenic ; P=5.417e-8). Healthy voluntee: (625) - (s (dark green, sampli sin function: alyphen-WAR ably Damaging found in a case, are	rs - UK	Singapore (758) - i), Disease cases (red, se	1 ample counts). See Append Grantham Moderately radical	7 Tix for details. GADD
is variant is in ClinVar, UPPORTING EVIDE pulation data: 12 - Variant frequency is 4 - Variant is statistical ExAC (~120000) Population of the surface of 7 algorithm SJFT Damaging Inctional data: 11 - Variant is within the	in ExAC is < 0 illy increased in 1KG (controls (light gi ns predict this MutationTas Disease-cause e HCM cluster		erous shared data = benign er	w/out shared data pathogenic ; P=5.417e-8). Healthy voluntee: (625) - (s (dark green, sampli sin function: alyphen-WAR ably Damaging found in a case, are	rs - UK	Singapore (758) i), Disease cases (red, se FATHMM Deleterious likely to be pathogenic	1 ample counts). See Append Grantham Moderately radical	7 fix for details. CADD
is variant is in ClinVar, UPPORTING EVIDE spulation data: A2 - Variant frequency is A4 - Variant is statistical ExAC (-12000) Population of algorithm SIFT Damaging Inctional data: A1 - Variant is within the	in ExAC is < 0 Illy increased in 1KG (controls (light gi ns predict this MutationTas Disease-cause e HCM cluster	.000040. n cases (n: -5000) - reen, alfele variant will ter	erious shared data = benign erd erd erd erd erd erd erd er	whout shared data pathogenic path	rs - UK LRT - be highly	Singapore (758) - s), Disease cases (red, sa FATHIMM Deleterious likely to be pathogenic	1 ample counts). See Append Grantham Moderately radical	7 fix for details. CADD
UPPORTING EVIDE pulation data: M2 - Variant frequency is 4 - Variant is statistica ExAC (~12000) - Population data: P3 - 7 out of 7 algorithm SIFT Damaging Inctional data: M1 - Variant is within the	in ExAC is < 0 Illy increased in 1KG (controls (light gi ns predict this Mutation/Tas Disease-cause HCM cluster isease in 5 affet	.000040. n cases (n: -5000) - reen, alfele variant will ter	erious shared data = benign erd erd erd erd erd erd erd er	whout shared data pathogenic path	rs - UK LRT - be highly	Singapore (758) - s), Disease cases (red, sa FATHIMM Deleterious likely to be pathogenic	1 ample counts). See Append Grantham Moderately radical	7 Tix for details. GADD
UPPORTING EVIDE pulation data: M2 - Variant frequency (S4 - Variant is statistical ExAC (~120000) Population of the pulation	in ExAC is < 0 Illy increased in 1KG (controls (light gi ns predict this Mutation/Tas Disease-cause HCM cluster isease in 5 affet	.000040. n cases (n: -5000) - reen, alfele variant will ter	erious shared data = benign erd erd erd erd erd erd erd er	whout shared data pathogenic path	rs - UK LRT - be highly	Singapore (758) - s), Disease cases (red, sa FATHIMM Deleterious likely to be pathogenic	1 ample counts). See Append Grantham Moderately radical	7 Tix for details. GADD
UPPORTING EVIDE pulation data: M2 - Variant frequency is 4 - Variant is statistica ExAC (-120000) - Population of 7 algorithm SIFT Damaging Inctional data: M1 - Variant is within the anually curated data: P1 - Segregated with di IDDITIONAL EVIDEN inferences:	in ExAC is < 0 Illy increased in IKG (controls (light gi ns predict this MutationTas Disease-cause HCM cluster isease in 5 affet ICE are derived fro	.000040 n cases (n: -5000) reen, allele variant will ter	erout shared data = benign eround er	is (dark green, sample sin function: abyphen-VAR ably Damaging 0.75 < Ei	rs - UK LRT - be highly F < 0.95	Singapore (758) Si), Disease cases (red, see FATHMM Deleterious likely to be pathogenic EF > 0.95	1 ample counts). See Append Grantham Moderately radical	7 Tix for details. CADD 35

Figure 2



