







 $\bigcirc$  best

**ISSN: 2332-8940 (Print) 2332-8959 (Online) Journal homepage:<https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ktmp20>**

# **Drivers of diversity in human thermal perception – A review for holistic comfort models**

**Marcel Schweiker, Gesche M. Huebner, Boris R. M. Kingma, Rick Kramer & Hannah Pallubinsky**

**To cite this article:** Marcel Schweiker, Gesche M. Huebner, Boris R. M. Kingma, Rick Kramer & Hannah Pallubinsky (2018) Drivers of diversity in human thermal perception – A review for holistic comfort models, Temperature, 5:4, 308-342, DOI: [10.1080/23328940.2018.1534490](https://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/23328940.2018.1534490)

**To link to this article:** <https://doi.org/10.1080/23328940.2018.1534490>

<u>ල්</u> © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



[View supplementary material](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/suppl/10.1080/23328940.2018.1534490)  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

**Temperature**



Published online: 27 Oct 2018.



 $\overrightarrow{S}$  [Submit your article to this journal](https://www.tandfonline.com/action/authorSubmission?journalCode=ktmp20&show=instructions)  $\overrightarrow{S}$ 

**III** Article views: 682



[View Crossmark data](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1080/23328940.2018.1534490&domain=pdf&date_stamp=2018-10-27)



[Citing articles: 1 View citing articles](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/citedby/10.1080/23328940.2018.1534490#tabModule)  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

#### COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW



**a** OPEN ACCESS **a** Check for updates

# Drivers of diversity in human thermal perception – A review for holistic comfort models

Mar[c](#page-1-1)el Schweike[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0982-8416)  $\mathbf{O}^{a,b}$  $\mathbf{O}^{a,b}$  $\mathbf{O}^{a,b}$ , Gesche M. Huebner  $\mathbf{O}^c$  $\mathbf{O}^c$  $\mathbf{O}^c$ , Boris R. M. Kingma  $\mathbf{O}^{d,e}$  $\mathbf{O}^{d,e}$  $\mathbf{O}^{d,e}$ , Rick Kramer  $\mathbf{O}^{f,g}$  $\mathbf{O}^{f,g}$  $\mathbf{O}^{f,g}$ , and Hannah Pallubinsky **o**[f](#page-1-3)

<span id="page-1-4"></span><span id="page-1-3"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>a</sup>Building Science Group, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany; <sup>b</sup>Heidelberg Academy of Sciences and Humanities, Heidelberg, Germany; <sup>c</sup>Energy Institute, University College London, London, UK; <sup>a</sup>Training and Performance Innovations, TNO Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research, Soesterberg, The Netherlands; <sup>e</sup>Department of Energy Technology, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands; <sup>r</sup>Department of Nutrition and Movement Sciences, NUTRIM School of Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands; <sup>g</sup>Department of the Built Environment, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

#### ABSTRACT

Understanding the drivers leading to individual differences in human thermal perception has become increasingly important, amongst other things due to challenges such as climate change and an ageing society. This review summarizes existing knowledge related to physiological, psychological, and context-related drivers of diversity in thermal perception. Furthermore, the current state of knowledge is discussed in terms of its applicability in thermal comfort models, by combining modelling approaches of the thermoneutral zone (TNZ) and adaptive thermal heat balance model (ATHB). In conclusion, the results of this review show the clear contribution of some physiological and psychological factors, such as body composition, metabolic rate, adaptation to certain thermal environments and perceived control, to differences in thermal perception. However, the role of other potential diversity-causing parameters, such as age and sex, remain uncertain. Further research is suggested, especially regarding the interaction of different diversitydriving factors with each other, both physiological and psychological, to help establishing a holistic picture.

## ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 3 August 2018 Revised 4 October 2018 Accepted 5 October 2018

#### **KEYWORDS**

Thermal comfort; age; sex; body composition; metabolic rate; perceived control; psychology; nonuniform environments; transient effects; comfort model

## Introduction

More than 100 years of research on human thermal perception by a variety of disciplines has led to broad knowledge on general and specific aspects of this topic. Still, the interest in this field of research has been growing again over the last years due to the increasing awareness of individual differences, new approaches in modelling thermal perception for predictive purposes, and global and local challenges with respect to thermal perception, as outlined in the following.

#### Individual differences

<span id="page-1-5"></span>Nicol et al. [\[1](#page-30-0)] comment their Figure 10.10 [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)) showing obtained comfort votes against indoor operative temperature: "One of the most instructive things

about this for those who are unfamiliar with field survey data will be how scattered the data are." The data presented uncovers the diversity of thermal perception: on the one hand, people are feeling comfortable (4 on y-axis) between 20°C and 28°C. On the other hand, in the range between 22°C and 28°C votes between 'much too warm' (7 on y-axis) and 'much too cool' (1 on y-axis) can be found for any given temperature.

<span id="page-1-6"></span>Not surprisingly, the regression of comfort votes against operative temperature explains only 16% of the observed variance in the data. We can expect numerous factors influencing individual thermal perception, which were grouped by Shipworth et al. [\[2](#page-30-1)] into physiological, contextual, and psychological properties and states of an individual within a given context, which is partly reflected also in the definition of behavioral, physiological, and psychological

CONTACT Boris R. M. Kingma a oris.kingma@tno.nl Department of Energy Technology, Eindhoven University of Technology, Den Dolech 2, Eindhoven, 5612 AZ, The Netherlands

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncnd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

This article has been republished with minor changes. These changes do not impact the academic content of the article. Supplemental data can be accessed [here](https://doi.org/10.1080/23328940.2018.1534490)



Scatter of comfort vote and indoor temperature with linear regression line and error lines: UK free-running offices

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Figure 1. Figure 10.10 from Nicol et al [[1](#page-30-0),p.138] showing the comfort votes measured against operative temperature together with the regression line for comfort votes on operative temperature. Descriptors for the thermal comfort votes are 1 "much too cool", 2 "too cool", 3 "comfortably cool", 4 "comfortable", 5 "comfortably warm", 6 "too warm", and 7 "much too warm".

<span id="page-2-1"></span>adaptive mechanisms by de Dear et al. [\[3\]](#page-30-2). Understanding the influencing factors explaining the remaining 84% of residual diversity in thermal perception (or at least a large share of it), is important for scientific and practical reasons [\[2\]](#page-30-1). From the scientific viewpoint, model construction is a key element supporting the process of knowledge generation. However, as outlined in the next section of this introduction, existing thermal comfort models do not (fully) capture the diversity observed.

Practical reasons mentioned by Shipworth et al. [\[2](#page-30-1)] include the arguments that population-based delivery of thermal conditions will leave those occupants in discomfort, whose comfort perception deviates from the population mean and that there is a shift from the need to provide comfort under constraints of energy towards constraints of power. Such arguments need to be emphasized in light of the challenges outlined in the third section of this introduction, which can be expected not to affect all humans equally, but to be more serious for some and less for other individuals.

#### Modelling approaches

One possible approach, aimed at the quantification of how exactly the same thermal environment influences one individual differently than another, would be to create a mathematical model that incorporates all physical, but also physiological, psychological, and contextual factors, which play a role in thermal perception. Such a model could then be of great use for developers and designers of the next generation buildings that aim to deliver both healthy environments and comfort at near zero energy cost.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>Based on recent reviews of thermal comfort models [[4](#page-30-3)–[7\]](#page-30-4), the existing modelling approaches can be classified as follows:

- <span id="page-2-3"></span>● Classic heat balance models (Fangers' predicted mean vote (PMV) [\[8\]](#page-30-5), PMV adjusted for elevated air speeds [\[9\]](#page-30-6))
- <span id="page-2-5"></span><span id="page-2-4"></span>● Adjusted heat balance models (e.g. adaptive PMV (aPMV) [[10\]](#page-30-7), extended PMV (ePMV)

<span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>[\[11](#page-30-8)], newPMV (nPMV) [\[12](#page-30-9)], adaptive thermal heat balance (ATHB) model [[13](#page-30-10)[,14](#page-30-11)]), thermoneutral zone (TNZ) and distance to TNZ (dTNZ) [\[15](#page-30-12),[16\]](#page-30-13))

- <span id="page-3-4"></span><span id="page-3-3"></span>● Adaptive comfort models based on regression analysis (e.g. [[3](#page-30-2),[17](#page-30-14)–[19\]](#page-30-15))
- <span id="page-3-7"></span><span id="page-3-6"></span><span id="page-3-5"></span>● Thermophysiological models (e.g. Gagge's 2 node model [\[20\]](#page-30-16), the Fiala model [[21](#page-30-17)[,22](#page-30-18)], the UC Berkeley model [\[23](#page-30-19),[24\]](#page-30-20), Tanabe's model [\[25](#page-30-21)], or ThermoSEM [\[26](#page-30-22)]).

The general heat balance model and adaptive models have been successfully applied to explain thermal perception on a population level for steady state conditions. Thermophysiological models have expanded them for dynamic situations that simulate the autonomic mechanisms the body uses to achieve heat balance (skin blood flow regulation, sweating, shivering, etc.).

Despite their variety and in parts complexity, neither of these approaches is able to capture the observed individual differences. That is, while the existing thermophysiological models offer the potential to look at differences due to physiological characteristics (either metabolic heat production, body composition or thermoregulatory function) [[27,](#page-30-23)[28\]](#page-30-24); they do not incorporate physiological or psychological adaptive mechanisms.

<span id="page-3-8"></span>In contrast, the adjusted heat balance models try to capture effects of thermal adaptation. However, the majority is based on the PMV approach, which – due to its partly empirical nature – does not offer a straightforward solution to include individual differences in physiological characteristics. In addition, findings from social sciences, engineering, and health studies related to these aspects are not integrated.

Not surprisingly, recent works evaluating the predictive performance of several of these models showed that only between 30% and 50% of individual thermal sensation votes were predicted correctly [\[13\]](#page-30-10).

## <span id="page-3-1"></span>Global and local challenges related to thermal perception

Concurrent with current models not capturing individual differences sufficiently, human life in residential and office buildings faces multiple challenges demanding a further understanding of individual thermal perception.

<span id="page-3-9"></span>Climate change predicts increased average and maximum temperatures in summer and a higher frequency and severity of heat waves [\[29](#page-31-0)[,30](#page-31-1)], but also higher precipitation rates, rising sea levels due to melting snow and ice, and warmer oceans. By the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, countries in Central Europe are expected to experience as many hot days as are currently encountered in Southern Europe.

<span id="page-3-11"></span><span id="page-3-10"></span>On the one hand, these climatic changes affect the energy use within buildings for providing comfortable indoor conditions, i.e. for heating, cooling, lighting, and ventilation, which accounts for 40% of the energy used within the European Union [[31\]](#page-31-2). Predictions forecast that climate change will increase the need for cooling in summer and decrease the heating demand in winter, with total energy use predicted to rise if no countermeasures are implemented [\[32](#page-31-3)]. At the same time, the majority of buildings to be inhabited in 2050 within Europe already exists today. Despite many countries setting renovation rate targets, the actual renovation rate is low. For example, the German government introduced several programs to reach a 3% target, but the achieved renovation rate remains around 1% [[33\]](#page-31-4). Many reports show that predicted savings of renovation measures were not met in reality, because the occupants' expectations towards thermal indoor conditions increased alongside the renovation and led to a higher heating demand in winter [\[34](#page-31-5),[35\]](#page-31-6). The first challenge here is to understand and model individuals' thermal expectations, for the provision of thermally comfortable conditions at minimum energy use despite a changing global and local climatic context.

<span id="page-3-15"></span><span id="page-3-14"></span><span id="page-3-13"></span><span id="page-3-12"></span>On the other hand, climate change can be set in relation to other challenges that are often conjointly mentioned with thermal perception: health and productivity. It is broadly established that heat waves and cold spells are associated with thermal discomfort, decreased physical and cognitive performance [[36](#page-31-7)], and increased mortality [\[37](#page-31-8)]. While this does not make thermal comfort synonymous to health or productivity per se [\[38](#page-31-9)], the constructs are aligned in the way that thermally comfortable conditions are associated with minimal thermoregulatory effort for the body. However, as mentioned in the discussion section of this paper, it may be questionable whether thermally comfortable conditions are always the best conditions one should aim for. Nonetheless, for both perspectives, it is important to develop a better understanding of the drivers of our inter- and intraindividual diversity in thermal perception.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>The effect of climate change on human thermal perception, health, and productivity needs further attention due to predicted demographic changes. Firstly, the population in many European countries is ageing; predictions for the EU-28 indicate that the share of the elderly in the total population will increase from 19.2% at the start of 2016 to 29.1% by 2080, corresponding to more than 50 million people [\[39\]](#page-31-10). Secondly, in the EU-28, the proportion of adults (aged 18 years and over) who were considered to be overweight is steadily rising and was estimated in 2014 to be approximately 51.6%, whereof 15.9% were obese (BMI >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) [[40\]](#page-31-11). Given the expected changes in population demographics, there is an increased importance in understanding and modelling thermal perception of these growing subpopulations in comparison to each other and to other subpopulations.

#### <span id="page-4-1"></span>Objectives, scope and definitions

#### **Objectives**

Summarizing the three key points from the introduction: (1) it is now understood and accepted that people's thermal perception is diverse (either due to physiological differences or through their lifestyle/behavior). However (2), up until now, existing models predicting thermal perception do not capture such adaptive processes and individual or subpopulation differences holistically. Together with (3), the global and local challenges of climate change, ageing and an increased obesity prevalence, there is an urgent need to understand these individual differences, adaptive processes and potentials better, so that related findings can be implemented in predictive models.

Therefore, this manuscript (1) reviews the existing knowledge related to drivers of diversity in thermal perception and (2) discusses existing gaps for holistic mathematical models of thermal perception by exemplifying possible implementations of drivers of diversity into one existing model.

The research questions are as follows:

- What are the main drivers of diversity in human thermal perception?
- What knowledge is available (and what is missing) in order to implement these drivers into mathematical models for the prediction of human thermal perception?

#### Scope and definitions

#### Thermal comfort

Thermal comfort is defined by the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) as "the condition of mind that expresses satisfaction with the thermal environment and is assessed by subjective evaluation" [\[41\]](#page-31-12).

<span id="page-4-2"></span>In the literature on human thermal perception, many terms are used to describe one or another aspect: thermal sensation, thermal preference, thermal dissatisfaction, thermal comfort, neutral temperature, etc. The authors acknowledge that thermal sensation and thermal comfort are not the same, e.g. a warm sensation can be perceived as either comfortable or not comfortable depending on a person's context or preferences. At the same time, the term thermal comfort is used in different ways: (1) when referring to the answers obtained on a question asking specifically for a judgment, between comfortable and not comfortable, (2) when referring to other aspects of people's thermal perception – often the middle three categories of the sensation scale (slightly cool, neutral, slightly warm) –, and (3) when mentioning the general concept of thermal comfort. In this review, we will use thermal comfort solely in case subjects were asked specifically about it or when referring to the general concept of thermally comfortable condition. Otherwise, the term thermal perception or the construct observed, e.g. sensation, will be used.

The scope of the section discussing the integration of drivers of diversity into models is on the effect of drivers of diversity on people's satisfaction with the thermal environment. Therefore, the term predicted percentage of satisfied (PPS) is used, which can be regarded as the inverse function of the predicted percentage of dissatisfied (PPD) introduced by Fanger [\[8](#page-30-5)].

## Drivers of diversity

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Thermally comfortable conditions are defined in international and European standards [[41](#page-31-12)– [43\]](#page-31-13) through a set of equations. These equations have as independent variables solely physical variables: either four parameters of the indoor environment (room air temperature, radiant temperature of surfaces, air velocity, and relative humidity) together with the activity and clothing insulation level (CLO) of the individual [[8\]](#page-30-5) or, for the adaptive comfort model(s), the running mean of the daily mean outdoor temperature [[44](#page-31-14)[,45\]](#page-31-15). While these seven variables are able to explain part of the variance seen e.g. in [Figure 1](#page-2-0) by Nicol et al. [[1\]](#page-30-0), which is based on operative temperature only, there remains a high variance even when all six variables are considered. Given the definition of thermal comfort by ASHRAE cited above [[41](#page-31-12)], psychological factors can be expected to play another role. As pointed out by de Dear [[46](#page-31-16)], environmental psychology is a discipline that should have made a larger contribution to research on thermal perception.

<span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>With respect to adaptability, several sources provide different comfort ranges. Around the comfort temperature determined at a particular day, for 80% occupancy acceptability, a comfort zone width of  $\pm 3.5$  K [[41](#page-31-12)], or  $\pm 3.0$  K is allowed [\[43\]](#page-31-13). The extended comfort ranges (seasonal variation) of adaptive comfort models rely on the assumption that occupants can freely adjust, and acclimatize to several environmental parameters such as air speed, humidity levels and clothing.

At the same time, research has shown that

- (1) people accept much broader thermal conditions than anticipated by above standards [\[1](#page-30-0)[,3](#page-30-2),[14](#page-30-11)[,47](#page-31-17)], and
- <span id="page-5-5"></span><span id="page-5-4"></span>(2) their perception of thermal conditions is much more dynamic [[48](#page-31-18)–[51\]](#page-31-19).



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 2. Influences on the relationship between physical conditions and the percentage of people satisfied. Inter- or intrapersonal differences in physiological, psychological, and/or context related drivers can lead to (b) a shift of the PPS-curve to the left or right and/or (c) a wider/narrower curve.

Variables explaining such variance will be called drivers of diversity and grouped according to Shipworth et al. [\[2](#page-30-1)] into physiological, psychological, $<sup>1</sup>$  $<sup>1</sup>$  $<sup>1</sup>$  as well as context related vari-</sup> ables. The individual drivers include variables, which can lead to within-subject diversity (e.g. different adaptation levels between winter and summer, or emotions) and/or between-subject diversity (e.g. different age, sex, ethnicity, or adaptation level due to spending different amounts of time in air-conditioned (AC) or

naturally ventilated (NV) buildings and outdoors).

[Figure 2](#page-5-0) summarizes the potential effect of distinct drivers of diversity on the relationship between physical conditions and the percentage of people being satisfied (PPS).

## **Methods**

The following subsections describe the methods used for reviewing the existing knowledge of drivers of diversity and the modelling approach applied for the discussion of such findings.

## Review methods

The review for this paper was structured in two stages. In the first stage, existing review papers were analyzed with respect to physiological, psychological, and context-related drivers of diversity.

## Review of reviews

Search method. Within the bibliographic databases Science Direct and Scopus, the initial search used the search term "review' AND 'thermal comfort". Bibliographical information and the abstracts of all identified papers were imported into the review software Parsifal [\[52](#page-31-20)]. Duplicated records were removed.

Criteria. The titles and abstracts of the remaining records were screened based on the following inclusion and exclusion criteria. The single inclusion criterion was that the type of record was a review paper. Exclusion criteria were

- not dealing with thermal perception
- no diversity aspect mentioned in abstract
- reviews of outdoor thermal comfort studies
- reviews of simulation/mannequin studies

Data extraction. In this first screening, the type of diversity factor reviewed, and the number of studies referred to according to each diversity factor were extracted.

The initial search in Science Direct and Scopus, using the broad search terms "thermal comfort" AND review, revealed several comprehensive review papers, which have earlier sought to aggregate the influence of individual physiological factors on thermal perception from original papers. These earlier review papers focused mainly on one or a few demographic or physiological variables each; however, in their entirety they were judged sufficient as revealing the state of knowledge regarding physiological drivers. Therefore, for potential physiological drivers of diversity, a review of existing review papers has been conducted as part of the present review, to avoid repeating work that has already been done but to provide a broad overview of diversity factors identified by those already available, earlier publications.

For both psychological and contextual factors, existing review literature identified by the first stage of search was scarce and not sufficiently covering potential drivers of diversity, which is why in a second stage, original literature was reviewed for both factors.

## Review of original papers

In the second stage, additional rapid evidence assessments (REA) were conducted based on original papers for individual factors. Again, the utilized databases were Science Direct and Scopus. The search terms described in each individual section were searched for in title, keywords and abstract of papers. The searches were carried out in June and July 2018. Additionally, papers known to the authors were added. Bibliographical information and the abstract of all identified papers were imported into the review software Parsifal [[52\]](#page-31-20). Initial screening was done based on the abstract. For papers remaining after this step, the full text was then assessed and if relevant, information extracted. The same exclusion criteria as for the review of reviews were used.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>The following strategies were applied for the following concepts:

Specific review strategies for psychological drivers As there were no relevant review articles identified for psychological drivers in stage one, a rapid evidence assessment on original empirical works (as opposed to review papers) was conducted. [Table 1](#page-7-0) shows the keywords and their combinations used in the search for this part. Keywords were chosen to be relatively generic to increase the chances of capturing relevant research, e.g. psychology and

<span id="page-7-0"></span>



cognition. In addition, more specific keywords were chosen where we knew that research existed, e.g. personal control and personality. An additional inclusion criterion was that the paper needed to address a psychological diversity factor.

# Specific review strategies for context-related drivers

Several relevant review papers for context-related drivers were identified in stage one, but additional search terms were used to focus on specific aspects of the built environment that impact thermal perception. The keywords used were "review" and "comfort" and one out of "thermal mass", "ventilation strategy", "radiant", "asymmetry", or "air speed". These keywords were chosen since these aspects are considered to be the most relevant context-related drivers according to the review papers found in stage one. Although the search terms include the criterion "review", many of the results involved original research papers, which, if found relevant, have been included. Moreover, relevant original papers that were cited in these papers have been consulted.

#### **Modelling**

The discussion on ways forward towards a holistic mathematical model uses a combination of the TNZ model by Kingma et al. [\[15](#page-30-12)] and the ATHB framework by Schweiker and Wagner [\[14](#page-30-11)].

The TNZ model is a steady state heat balance model, which explicitly combines internal (within body), and external (from body to environment) heat balances. The intended use of the TNZ model is to find the combinations of body core temperature, skin temperature and operative temperature that 1) support both internal and external heat balance, and 2) are physiologically feasible. The internal heat balance is dependent on heat production, body tissue insulation, body core, and skin temperatures. The external heat balance is dependent on heat production, clothing insulation, air speed, skin wettedness, relative humidity, skin and operative temperatures. Physiologically feasible solutions are defined where body core temperature is within a specific range (e.g. 36.5°C to 37.5°C), and body tissue insulation is constrained to what is within thermoregulatory bounds (e.g. highest body tissue insulation for maximal vasoconstriction and lowest body tissue insulation for maximal vasodilation). The TNZ model can be used to calculate the traditional physiological thermoneutral zone; that is, "the range of ambient temperature at which temperature regulation is achieved only by control of sensible (dry) heat loss, i.e. without regulatory changes in metabolic heat production or evaporative heat loss". Previous research suggests that the distance  $(dTNZ_{op})$  of the actual (measured) operative temperature  $(T_{op})$  to the operative temperature in the center of the TNZ  $(T_{op \text{ centroid}})$ (see [Figure 3\)](#page-8-0) is related to the thermal sensation vote [[16](#page-30-13)[,53\]](#page-31-21).

<span id="page-7-1"></span>With the introduction of the TNZ model it was also shown that the "thermal comfort zone" lies within the physiological thermoneutral zone, and that they share the same center [\[15](#page-30-12)]. Such results imply that the TNZ model can be applied also in the context of thermal satisfaction or thermal comfort. The validity of such rationale can be assessed preliminary by looking at the probability density function (pdf) of all combinations of operative temperature and skin temperature leading to a core temperature within the thermoneutral zone (pdf<sub>TNZ</sub>), i.e. between e.g.  $36.5^{\circ}$ C and  $37.5^{\circ}$ C. For the same environmental conditions, [Figure 3](#page-8-0) shows a close relationship between  $pdf_{TNZ}$  and the PPS curve – the latter based on Fangers' PMV. Therefore, the applicability of the pdf $_{TNZ}$  to look at the effect of a specific driver of diversity on thermal satisfaction appears a valid approach for the discussion. However, the PMV-PPD relationship by Fanger is solely based on thermal sensation votes together with the assumption that dissatisfaction is expressed



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure 3. Relationship between probability density function (pdf) of solutions for TNZ, Top centroid and dTNZop, pdf of TNZ and PPS curve. The pdf (black line) is for a standard female person. The red line shows the predicted percentage of satisfied (PPS), which is the inverse of the predicted percentage of dissatisfied (PPD), as defined by Fanger [\[8\]](#page-30-5). The PPS line is based on the same environmental conditions as the pdf-lines. The gray area shows the range of Top, which is related to a PPD by Fanger below 10%.

by thermal sensation votes outside the three middle categories [\[8\]](#page-30-5). Therefore, the close relationship between  $pdf_{TNZ}$  and PPS cannot be regarded as a sufficient argument for the applicability of TNZ model for questions regarding thermal satisfaction or thermal comfort. Further comparisons need to be done with obtained comfort votes as described below.

The ATHB approach [\[13](#page-30-10),[14\]](#page-30-11) offers a framework to include individual processes of thermal adaptation (behavioral, physiological, psychological) into the heat balance by Fanger [\[14](#page-30-11)] and the SET model by Gagge [\[13,](#page-30-10)[14](#page-30-11)]. In short, Schweiker and Wagner [[14\]](#page-30-11) modeled

a. behavioral adaptation by adjusting the clothing insulation level based on the running mean outdoor temperature  $(T_{rm}$ ) according to

$$
CLO_{adapt} = 1.252594 - 0.03 * T_{rm}
$$
  
with 0.46  $<$  CLO<sub>adapt</sub>  $<$  1 (1)

b. physiological adaptation by adjusting the metabolic rate related to the given activity ( $MET_0$ ) based on T<sub>rm</sub> through

$$
MET_{adapt} = MET_0 - 0.017756*(T_{rm} - 18)
$$
  
with MET<sub>adapt</sub>  $\geq$  MET<sub>0</sub> (2)

c. psychological adaptation by adjusting the metabolic rate either based on a fixed value related to a specific and fixed psychological effect such as the number of persons in a room or a variable value depending e.g. on indoor operative temperature.

The TNZ model offers similar opportunities to include individual processes for adaptive mechanisms as the PMV and SET model. In contrast to PMV and SET, the TNZ model also offers the potential to vary additional input parameters such as age, sex, and body tissue insulation, relevant for the discussion of findings based on the literature review. At the same time, the TNZ model is still a rather simple model compared to more complex thermoregulative models, so that the implementation of adaptive mechanisms could be done straightforward. Therefore, these two approaches offer the potential to look at individual differences and adaptive processes in thermal sensation and thermal satisfaction. The validity to combine the ATHB approach with the TNZ model will be discussed alongside with the results from the review and existing gaps in the literature.

#### Review results

A summary of the number of studies processed in each step outlined below is shown in [Table 2](#page-9-0).

## Physiological drivers of diversity in thermal perception

<span id="page-8-1"></span>The physiological basis of thermal reception lies for a great part in the integration of body temperature via temperature sensitive neurons [[54\]](#page-31-22). It has been proposed that the relative contribution of core and skin temperatures to thermal comfort is approximately 50%:50% [[55\]](#page-31-23). This makes physiological factors that affect body temperature distribution such as body composition and acclimatization state important to consider.

<span id="page-8-2"></span>Nonetheless, thermal perception is not a product of neural thermal reception alone. It has been

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Table 2. Number of records processed in each step of the reviews.

	Review of reviews	Review οf	
	Review of (covering		context
	physiological	psychological	related
Step	drivers)	drivers	drivers
Records identified with initial search	408	332	408
Records remaining after de-duplication	290	207	290
Records included based on title/abstract	19	59	6
Records included after full screening	9	12	4

well-established that an individual's thermal perception and perception of comfort is, amongst other things, influenced by that specific individual's physical condition. This physical condition of an individual's body is determined by demographic factors, which are a given, such as sex and age, and a number of physiological variables such as body composition, adaptation state, and level of fitness, which might change over time or according to the situation.

A great body of original research has sought to better understand the interplay and impact of these factors on thermal perception, by evaluating individual subjective responses in a wide range of ambient conditions, from neutral to the extremes, and in all possible set-ups such as artificial laboratory environments, but also in homes, commercial buildings, offices, schools, universities etc., in many different parts of the world.

In the following, we will present an overall summary of the available literature reviews regarding demographic and physiological parameters and the role of these parameters as "drivers of diversity in thermal perception".

<span id="page-9-4"></span>Nine records were retained for the review. The potentially diversity-driving factors that have been identified amongst these review papers include age, sex, body composition and fitness, metabolic rate, and physiological adaptation due to temperature acclimation and habituation of different climatic zones, seasonal adaptation, and circadian/ diurnal rhythm.

#### Age

From a physiological perspective, thermoregulatory capabilities change substantially from childhood to

old age. These physiological changes include, for example, a lower body temperature in both older males and females as well as structural changes of the skin and metabolic alterations. They are a consequence of changes of thermal effectors such as the abilities to sweat, shiver and control skin blood flow, and also changes in sensory function, which is also likely to cause discrepancies in thermal perception [\[56](#page-31-24)]. With increasing age, thermoregulatory capacities of the human body have been shown to decline, especially if also the level of fitness recedes. For a broad overview of age-dependent changes in thermoregulation, the reader is referred to a recent review by Blatteis [\[57\]](#page-31-25).

<span id="page-9-6"></span><span id="page-9-5"></span><span id="page-9-3"></span><span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span>Five review papers have been identified that discuss the influence of age on preferred temperature or synonymous concepts such as neutral temperature or thermal comfort ([Table 3](#page-10-0)) [\[58](#page-31-26)–[62](#page-32-0)]. Overall, the results were ambiguous. Already in his review from 1973 [\[58](#page-31-26)], Fanger appreciated the fact that aging of the body brings along changes, amongst other things a slower metabolism and thus less heat production. In theory, this might lead to a higher preferred temperature of the elderly, however, from his analysis he concluded that age did not have an influence on preferred ambient temperature. Fanger explains this with a lower evaporative heat loss in the elderly, which would compensate for the lower metabolism. In contrast, a later review by van Hoof [[61](#page-32-1)] established that differences in preferred ambient temperatures were evident in several studies, stating that older people preferred higher temperatures, which the author ascribed to lower activity levels and lower basal metabolic rate. Importantly, Rupp, Vasquez and Lamberts [[60\]](#page-32-2) report that field studies amongst young children showed they preferred lower temperatures than those predicted by the PMV. In part, the lower preferred temperatures could be explained by increasing the metabolic rate input for children by 20%. Moreover, one of the factors mentioned is that children seem to have a greater sensitivity to changes in their metabolism. This can be explained with a smaller absolute mass in children, as equal changes in metabolic rate  $(W/m^2)$  should therefore result in a larger change in body temperature in children compared to adults, and therefore may explain a greater sensitivity to changes in metabolic rate.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

TEMPERATURE  $\circledast$  317

<span id="page-10-1"></span>relationship between thermal comfort and age.

In general, the evidence for age-related differences of preferred temperature/comfort temperature is unclear and it is therefore difficult to draw definite conclusions from the available data. Only few of the studies mentioned in the respective reviews presented in [Table 3](#page-10-0) describe a distinct, significant difference of neutral/preferred/comfort temperature amongst different age groups. If a difference is reported, in all but one case, higher preferred temperatures in elderly were evident. In contrast, young children and infants preferred lower temperatures, when compared with collegeaged/middle-aged populations.

#### Sex

Seven reviews have been identified that evaluate the body of original evidence regarding sex-based differences of thermal perception [\(Table 4](#page-12-0)). Physiological grounds for thermoregulatory differences between men and women are manifold. For example, women have a higher surface-to-volume ratio (which allows for greater heat loss via the skin), different body composition (higher fat mass and less muscle mass) and lower metabolic rate (less [metabolically-active] fat-free mass in women and thus up to 20% less heat production [\[63](#page-32-4)–[65](#page-32-5)]). This lower heat production in women is partly compensated for by their lower body surface area, but not completely  $[66]$  $[66]$ <sup>2</sup>

<span id="page-11-2"></span><span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>In summary, most original studies identified by the review papers presented in [Table 4](#page-12-0) report women to be more sensitive to temperature fluctuations, women to be more often dissatisfied than men, women to have smaller comfort zones and to be more often uncomfortably hot or cold than men [[59](#page-32-3)–[61,](#page-32-1)[67](#page-32-7)]. Also, different clothing patterns of women and men are regularly reported in field studies, with women usually exhibiting lower CLO values than men [[59,](#page-32-3)[67](#page-32-7)]. The latter might at least for some part explain the differences in thermal sensation and thermal preference between women and men. For neutral temperature, however, a systematic review by Wang et al. [[62\]](#page-32-0), presenting results from eleven laboratory and 25 field studies, found no significant differences between men and women in nine out of eleven laboratory studies and in 18 out of 25 field studies.

#### Body composition and fitness

Body composition is an important parameter in thermoregulation of the human body. For example, a thick subcutaneous fat layer increases insulation and attenuates heat exchange via the skin, which helps maintaining body core temperature in a cold environment [[15,](#page-30-12)[68](#page-32-8)]. Heat loss, on the other hand, might theoretically be impaired in higher temperatures when the subcutaneous fat layer is thicker. Several earlier physiological researches report higher body temperatures in obese individuals when compared with lean counterparts upon heat exposure and exercise [\[69](#page-32-9)–[71](#page-32-10)]. However, other studies have shown no effect of body fat percentage on heat loss and/or body temperature, which the authors have attributed to high skin blood flow in heat and exercise [\[72](#page-32-11)–[74\]](#page-32-12). The latter might overrule the conductive resistance of peripheral adipose tissue.

<span id="page-11-6"></span><span id="page-11-4"></span>The amount of fat-free mass, which for a major part consist of muscle tissue, inversely relates to body tissue insulation in resting conditions. That is, having more of well perfused muscle lowers insulation by the body. For resting conditions, muscle mass can explain up to 90% of body tissue insulation, whereas in more active conditions (when muscle gets even more perfused), the subcutaneous fat layer is the only layer of insulation that we have left [[68](#page-32-8)]. Next to insulation, lean mass strongly determines heat production (metabolic rate) of the body: the greater the muscle mass, the more heat is produced by the body, even in a resting state [[75](#page-32-13),[76](#page-32-14)]. Therefore, it can be assumed that thermal perception and preferred temperature are affected by body composition.

<span id="page-11-8"></span><span id="page-11-7"></span><span id="page-11-5"></span><span id="page-11-3"></span>One review was identified, which assesses the effect of BMI on thermal perception [[62](#page-32-0)] ([Table 5](#page-13-0)). Out of four laboratory studies in normal-weight and overweight children, three show significant differences in thermal sensation between the two groups [\[70,](#page-32-15)[74,](#page-32-12)[77\]](#page-32-16). Overweight children perceived the thermal environment as being hotter than the non-overweight group, with corresponding higher body temperatures in the overweight children. Similar results were obtained by office studies in adults, showing that comfort temperature decreased with increasing BMI [[78](#page-32-17)–[80](#page-32-18)].

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Reference, year	No. of papers reviewed by reference (for the specific diversity factor)	Methodological quality of the literature review	General conclusion(s)	Effect of diversity factor on thermal comfort established by review
Wang et al. 2018 [62]	8	Systematic, 4 laboratory and 4 field studies	Laboratory studies: Three out of four exercise studies: significant relationship body size and thermal sensation/thermal comfort in children, overweight children perceiving the temperature to be higher during/after exercise than children with normal weight. Overweight children also exhibited significantly higher rectal temperatures (+0.21°C to $+0.30^{\circ}$ C) during/post exercise and tended to feel less cold in cool environments than non-overweight children. Field studies: In three field studies, higher BMI $($ >25kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) was associated with lower comfort temperature (-0.4°C in Southern Brazil office buildings and $-0.7$ °C in India). Also, people with higher BMI wore less clothing than people with a lower BMI (>25kg/m <sup>2</sup> vs. <25kg/m <sup>2</sup> ). In a hospital field study, preferred temperature of self-reported frail/less healthy population was higher than preferred temperature of self-reported vigorous/ healthier individuals (1.5°C higher in winter and 0.8°C higher in summer).	$^{+}$

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Table 5. Summary of literature review related to body composition and fitness as physiological driver of diversity.

Regarding the effect of physical fitness on temperature perception, a field study in hospitals showed that higher perceived fitness was associated with significantly lower preferred temperatures, and the other way round, people who reported feeling frail and less vigorous preferred warmer environments [[81\]](#page-32-19).

#### <span id="page-13-2"></span>Metabolic rate

Of all physiological parameters, metabolic rate is the only one incorporated in the PMV model as input parameter. The metabolic equivalent of task (MET) is one of the standard values in the PMV model (next to clothing insulation, air temperature, radiant temperature, air speed and humidity). MET is a commonly used physiological concept considered a simple procedure for expressing the energy cost of physical activities as a multiple of the basic metabolic rate. $3$ 

Importantly, absolute metabolic rate is not only determined by activity, but also by other physiological parameters and environmental conditions, such as body composition, diet, adaptation state and temperature. Strikingly, although it is not exactly clear where it is originally derived from, the definition of 1 MET (3.5 ml oxygen/kg/min or 4.184 kJ/kg/h, which approximately equals to 58  $W/m^2$  where body surface area is  $1.8 \text{ m}^2$ ) is based on measurement of only "one single 'average' white male person aged 40 years with a

<span id="page-13-1"></span>bodyweight of 70 kg" [\[64\]](#page-32-20). Recently, it has been indicated that MET might systematically overestimate the metabolic rate in females, as mentioned earlier under diversity factor "sex" [\[64](#page-32-20)]. Moreover, also thermal perception of other subpopulations like the elderly and children might thus be inaccurately predicted by the PMV model (also see "age"). The need for a recalibration of thermal comfort models regarding metabolic rate has therefore been stressed earlier [\[63\]](#page-32-4).

In order to estimate metabolic rate in laboratory and field studies, most studies on thermal perception have applied easy-to-use and low-cost methods, such as activity diaries and heart rate monitors. One review has been identified regarding explicitly the effect of metabolic rate on thermal perception. In their review, Luo et al. [[82](#page-32-21)] underpin the need for more accurate measures of metabolic rate in thermal comfort research, to better understand this relationship [\(Table 6](#page-14-0)). Regarding the effect of metabolic rate on subjective perception, Luo et al. [\[82](#page-32-21)] report two studies showing that level of activity influences preferred ambient temperature: the more active an individual is, the lower is the preferred temperature.

## <span id="page-13-3"></span>Physiological adaptation to the thermal environment

It is well established that humans can adapt to a wide range of ambient temperatures. Evidently,

Reference, year	No. of papers reviewed by reference (for the specific diversity factor)	Methodological quality of the literature review	General conclusion(s)	Effect of diversity factor on thermal comfort established by review
Luo et al, 2018 [82]		Systematic	One study showed that an increased activity level (increased metabolic rate) goes along with lower preferred ambient temperature, e.g. 26.1°C for sedentary subjects, 21.8°C for 25%VO2max exercise intensity, 20.7℃ for 40%VO2max exercise intensity. Another study reported that metabolic rate had a more pronounced effect on thermal comfort than the environmental conditions.	÷

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Table 6. Summary of literature review related to metabolic rate as physiological driver of diversity.

<span id="page-14-2"></span><span id="page-14-1"></span>indigenous people have specialized over the course of thousands of generations to cope even with the most extreme climatic conditions, for example, the Inuits in the Arctic and the Bedouins in the desert [[83,](#page-32-22)[84\]](#page-32-23). A review by Taylor comprehensively discusses the current knowledge regarding genotypical and phenotypical adaptation and thermoregulatory capacities of different ethnicities to specific conditions of the local climate [[83\]](#page-32-22). However, the human body, even without any specific genetic predisposition, can adapt to a broad range of thermal conditions, acutely and in the longer term. When the natural environment fluctuates over different seasons but also over the course of a day and night, physiological adjustments are needed to maintain a stable core temperature and to simultaneously save energy and water resources. Repeated cold exposure, for example, leads to enhanced heat production of the body, whereas repeated exposure to warm environments facilitates more efficient heat loss. Thus, an individual can physiologically become cold or respectively heat adapted.

<span id="page-14-3"></span>In order to clarify the use of the word adaptation in the field of thermophysiology and thermoregulation, which might be different to its utilization in the field of thermal perception, a short explanation is given in the following. According to the Glossary of Terms for Thermal Physiology [\[85\]](#page-32-24), the word adaptation is defined as"changes that reduce the physiological strain produced by stressful components of the total environment". Adaptation can be split up in two subcategories, namely genotypic (genetic selection) or phenotypic adaptation. The latter refers to changes of, for example, the thermoregulatory system, and may occur within the lifetime of an organism. Phenotypic adaptation again can be split in two subcategories: 1) acclimation, which is the experimentally induced change within an organism, and 2) acclimatization, which denotes the adaptive changes within an organism occurring in response to its natural climate. Adaptation processes occur within three broad stages: 1) acute adjustments and physiological accommodation (within seconds to approximately two days), 2) short-term acclimation/acclimatization processes (approximately two days up to two weeks), and 3) full long-term acclimation/acclimatization to the specific thermal environment (as off approximately two weeks, long-term habituation). The reader is referred to a number of publications describing and reviewing acute and longer-term physiological responses to heat and cold exposure, as this is not the focus of this review [\[86](#page-33-0)–[90](#page-33-1)].

<span id="page-14-4"></span>Habituation of different climatic zones. Regarding the habituation of different climatic zones and its effect on thermal perception, two review papers have been identified. Considering the fact that repeated exposure to a thermal challenge results in physiological adaptation, it seems a logical consequence that also the subjective perception of the thermal environment changes accordingly. Interestingly, in his review from 1973 [[58](#page-31-26)], Fanger claims that "man cannot become adapted to prefer warmer or colder environments". He concludes that "it is therefore likely that the same comfort conditions can be applied throughout the world". However, this conclusion is not unanimously supported by evidence from others. For instance, a later review by Rupp et al. [[60](#page-32-2)] clearly establishes that subjective perception of a thermal environment changes upon adaptation, which is based on the analysis of sixteen original researches [\(Table 7\)](#page-15-0). Surveys among university students in hot and humid climates (China, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Brazil, Pakistan) generally indicate that thermal perception is adjusted

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Table 7. Summary of literature review related to adaption as physiological driver of diversity. Table 7. Summary of literature review related to adaption as physiological driver of diversity.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>to the local climate [\[60\]](#page-32-2). For example, one study performed at a university in the subtropical region of Pakistan [[91](#page-33-2)] showed that when the actual sensation vote of survey participants was neutral (thus around 0 on the ASHRAE 55 thermal sensation scale [\[41](#page-31-12)]), the PMV model predicted a thermal sensation vote of +1.34. In the same study, a thermal comfort temperature of 29.9°C was reported, which is evidently higher than comfort temperatures reported in studies that were performed in more mild, temperate climates.

<span id="page-16-2"></span>Importantly, several papers report that the adaptation to the local climate is more pronounced in occupants of naturally-ventilated buildings than in people usually staying in air-conditioned buildings [[92](#page-33-3)–[94\]](#page-33-4). For example, a study performed in the hot and humid climate of Brazil demonstrated that people who frequently occupy air-conditioned spaces with tightly controlled environmental temperature were less tolerant to dynamic conditions of naturally-ventilated environments and less able to adapt to the local climate [\[80\]](#page-32-18). Also, people used to air-condition preferred this type of thermal environment, while people accustomed to naturally-ventilated, free-running buildings preferred not to have air conditioning [\[92\]](#page-33-3).

<span id="page-16-1"></span>Seasonal adaptation. From the perspective of physiological adaptation presented in the above, also a shift of thermal sensation and comfort temperatures over the year may be assumed, at least for those climatic regions, which have distinct temperature differences over the different seasons. In [Table 7,](#page-15-0) information from three review papers is summarized. Strong indications for an influence of season on thermal perception are evident from recent literature, especially from a publication of Mishra and Ramgopal from 2013 [[59\]](#page-32-3), who reviewed results from 18 original field studies. Importantly, results from field studies should be interpreted carefully, as part of the variation might be explained by variable clothing.

<span id="page-16-3"></span>Diurnal rhythm. Human body temperatures change over the course of day and night in a diurnal (24h) rhythm, with core temperature being at its minimum early in the morning (~05:00 AM) and at its highest in the late afternoon/early evening [[95](#page-33-5)]. It has been hypothesized <span id="page-16-4"></span>that also thermal perception is affected by these rhythmic fluctuations of core temperature. Two review papers evaluated the effect of diurnal rhythm on comfort temperature ([Table 7](#page-15-0) [\[96](#page-33-6),[97](#page-33-7)]). At least half of the studies show significant differences of neutral temperature, with higher preferred ambient temperatures in the afternoon when body core temperature is at its maximum. In one study, this relationship was even more pronounced in males (+2.4 K) than in females (+0.6 K) [[62](#page-32-0)].

## Psychological drivers of diversity

<span id="page-16-5"></span>Given the definition of thermal comfort as "that condition of mind that expresses satisfaction with the thermal environment" [\[98](#page-33-8)], psychological factors can be expected to play an important role in thermal perception. Twelve relevant studies were identified in total. Of all psychological aspects, personal control has received greatest attention in comfort research, as evidenced by nine relevant papers. Other work studied the effect of personality, self-efficacy, and anticipated costs of an action.

#### Effects of personal control

<span id="page-16-6"></span>Paciuk [\[99](#page-33-9)] pointed out that personal control can be one of three things (1) available control, (2) exercised control, and (3) perceived control. The effect of available but not exercised control can be considered a psychological aspect, as can perceived control. However, exercised control will change environmental conditions and will be discussed in the section on contextual drivers. Hence, only studies were included that allowed assessment of solely the psychological component of personal control, i.e. studies where environmental conditions were identical in the control and no control condition, or where the outcome variable was the neutral temperature calculated based on thermal sensation votes at different operative temperatures.

[Table 8](#page-17-0) shows key characteristics and findings of the identified studies. Only outcomes related to thermal perception are considered, and for studies on personal control just those that reflected a purely psychological effect.

Seven out of nine studies found a significant effect of personal control, three of which took place in the field as opposed to a lab setting.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

able 8. Summary of literature

<span id="page-17-5"></span>Boerstra et al. 2015 [[162](#page-35-0)]

Boerstra et al. 2015

Authors

experimente High vs low

Personal fan Control mar

> <span id="page-17-6"></span>Brager, Paliaga, & de Dear 2004 [[163](#page-35-1)]

<span id="page-17-7"></span>Dear 2004 [163]

Brager, Paliaga, & de

control

<span id="page-17-9"></span><span id="page-17-8"></span>Luo et al. 2016 [165] Luo et al. 2014 [166] control

Schweiker &Wagner 2016 [48]

Schweiker &Wagner

Schweiker et al. 2012 [[167](#page-35-5)]

<span id="page-17-10"></span>Schweiker et al. Zhai et al. 2017 2012 [167]

Control over

Zhai et al. 2017 [[168](#page-35-6)] <span id="page-17-4"></span>Zhou et al. 2014 [[101](#page-33-11)]

<span id="page-17-11"></span><span id="page-17-3"></span>Zhou et al. 2014

fans<sup>e</sup>

No control (but signaling of discomfort) vs. control (activated through same signal) $\sqrt{7}$  $\sqrt{7}$  $\sqrt{7}$ 

No control (but signaling of discomfort) vs. control (activated through same signal)

음

Lab  $N = 15$  Significant effect on TSV (control vs. none: decrease by 0.4–0.5) and on TCV (control vs. none: increase by 0.3–0.4)

 $\overline{5}$ .<br>II  $\overline{z}$ 

When considering those five studies that reported an effect of personal control on the thermal neutral temperature, the effect was between 0  $K^4$  $K^4$  and 3.4 K. The mean effect was 2.16 K (SD = 1.28).

#### Other psychological factors

Three papers considered other psychological factors than personal control: thermo-specific selfefficacy [[100](#page-33-10)], personality [[49\]](#page-31-27), and the anticipated cost of cooling [\[101\]](#page-33-11). They are each in turn described below.

<span id="page-17-2"></span>Hawighorst et al. [\[100\]](#page-33-10) tested the impact of thermo-specific self-efficacy (specSE), defined as the expectation to be able to execute desired actions with respect to the control of indoor thermal conditions, on the perception of thermal comfort, assumed temperature, perceived control and physiological parameters. A median split on survey responses was used to separate participants into a group with high specSE and low specSE. Data obtained both from a field and a lab study showed that people feel less warm and their thermal comfort is higher if they have a high specSE than a low specSE at comparable temperatures. The lab data also showed that the high specSE group estimated temperature as lower compared to those with a low specSE.

<span id="page-17-1"></span>Personality is considered as an individual's pattern of thoughts, feelings, and behaviors that are relatively stable over time and situations. Schweiker et al. [\[49](#page-31-27)] analyzed how three of the Big Five personality traits – neuroticism, extraversion, and openness to new experiences – influenced four types of behavioral patterns – clothing adjustments, window opening, blind closing, and interactions with a ceiling fan – and two dimensions of thermal perception – sensation and preference. They also looked at general and specSE. Data obtained from laboratory studies showed that thermal sensation was affected significantly by the trait extraversion, and thermal preference was affected by neuroticism, openness and specSE. Whilst the addition of personality traits to regression models aimed at identifying which factors impacted on the four behavioral actions did not increase the amount of variability explained, it improved the model fit. Auliciems and Parlow [\[102](#page-33-12)] also looked at links between personality and thermal perception, and found significant correlations between personality constructs and thermal sensation

at work, university and private place of study. However, they used a different measure of personality, the Personality Research Form, which assessed 16 facets on personality; hence, comparing results to Schweiker et al. is difficult.

Zhou et al. [\[101\]](#page-33-11) tested whether the chosen cooling settings differed when participants had to pay for increased cooling, i.e. they were told their remuneration would decrease. At the same environmental conditions, having to pay the cost of cooling had no significant influence on the occupants' thermal sensation and thermal comfort.

#### Contextual drivers of diversity

Besides physiological aspects of the human body and psychological aspects, thermal perception indoors is very much determined by contextual factors of the built environment. Stage one of the literature search yielded four relevant review papers dealing with contextual factors and stage two of the review process yielded 20 original papers.

The heat balance approach, such as incorporated in the PMV model, relies on steady state and deterministic effects. However, it is well known that in real-life situations the environmental conditions that humans are experiencing are often varying, sometimes mildly and gradually, such as increasing the heating set-point, but sometimes more extreme and abrupt, for example when entering an air-conditioned building during a hot summer day. Moreover, a building zone's functionality also determines its temperature requirements. For example, Peeters et al. [[103](#page-33-13)] proposed a variant with specified recommendations for each type of room in residential buildings. For example, bedrooms can have a comfort range from 16°C to 26°C. In this part, environmental aspects affecting thermal sensation and comfort are discussed, including local effects and non-uniformities, the influence of control, adaptability, and transient effects.

## <span id="page-18-0"></span>Local effects and non-uniform environments

Local effects and non-uniform environments affect thermal sensation and comfort and are another source for diversity between persons even when they are staying or working in the same room.

From a building contextual perspective, this relates to temperature stratification of the air and radiant asymmetry: two aspects that are highly determined by the type of HVAC systems, the level of thermal insulation of the building envelope, and the control strategy.

<span id="page-18-1"></span>A general overview of literature on thermal perception under heterogeneous (non-uniform) and dynamic indoor conditions is provided by Mishra et al. [\[104\]](#page-33-14) showing that in general, the indoor environment can be variable and acceptable at the same time. At the same time, they summarize that under non-uniform conditions, individual body parts affect whole-body sensation depending on their closeness to the core – body parts closer to the core are associated with a stronger influence.

<span id="page-18-2"></span>Halawa et al. [\[105\]](#page-33-15) discuss the impact of the thermal radiation field on thermal comfort and stress the lack of research on this topic. Their literature review reveals that sample sizes are small, studies are predominantly confined to climate chamber experiments, and results are not consistent. It is important to note that the cited studies often exchange the terms thermal comfort and thermal sensation and, repeatedly, treat them as synonyms. They show that the use of operative temperature as a measure of the combined effect of mean radiant and air temperatures is highly questionable for many cases, especially with respect to radiation asymmetry. Moreover, the radiant field is mostly perceived in the combined form of radiant asymmetry and mean radiant temperature (MRT), which is a result of the asymmetry. However, the PMV's double heat balance equation only accounts for the MRT. Schellen et al. [\[106\]](#page-33-16) also showed that operative temperature alone is not enough to assess thermal sensation under non-uniform conditions. Thermal sensation of subjects could be well predicted by the PMV model in an experiment with active cooling through convection by mixing ventilation (uniform conditions), but not for the case in which active cooling through radiation by the floor was combined with displacement ventilation.

<span id="page-18-3"></span>In addition to these general observations, local effects and non-uniform environments were found to have diverse effects on groups differing by physiological factors. For example, Schellen <span id="page-19-0"></span>et al. [[107\]](#page-33-17) showed that physiological responses under non-uniform conditions (cooling by radiation) not only differed from responses under uniform conditions (cooling by convection), but also between males and females: Skin temperatures of the males were significantly lower during radiative cooling compared to convective cooling, whereas skin temperatures of the females were significantly higher during radiative cooling compared to convective cooling. Moreover, core body temperature of the females was lower during radiative cooling compared to convective cooling. Whole body thermal sensation did not differ significantly between radiant cooling and convective cooling. At the same time, females' whole body thermal sensation was affected by local thermal sensation of the extremities. Overall, the results indicated that thermal sensation is more affected by radiant asymmetry than by temperature stratification.

#### Transient effects

Mapping environmental conditions to thermal sensation and comfort requires considering transient effects such as drifts (passive, monotonic, and steady changes), ramps (the same, but actively controlled), cyclical variations (triangular or sinusoidal variations), and step changes.

<span id="page-19-6"></span><span id="page-19-5"></span><span id="page-19-4"></span><span id="page-19-3"></span><span id="page-19-2"></span><span id="page-19-1"></span>Several studies have focused on temperature ramps and their effect on thermal comfort including both the effects of the rate of change as the effects of the magnitude (peak to peak) [[108](#page-33-18)–[114](#page-33-19)]. A small steady rate of change (0.5 K/h) is in general not significantly noticeable [[108](#page-33-18)–[111](#page-33-20)], but its effect increases after some time and significantly affects sensation after 3 – 4 hours (depending on clothing) [[113](#page-33-21)]. Interestingly, fast cyclical variations with amplitudes in the order of 1.0 K/h and 1.5 K/h are suggested to allow larger deviations from thermoneutrality compared to slower changes (0.5 K/h) [\[109](#page-33-22)], however, this simply may be contributed to a time lag in skin temperature [\[115\]](#page-33-23). Hensen [[112\]](#page-33-24) concluded from several studies that if the amplitude of the cyclical variation is larger than 1 K, the acceptable temperature range decreases with increasing variation frequency, i.e. larger rate of changes, and the largest acceptable temperature range is under steady state conditions. More recently, Schellen et al. [\[114](#page-33-19)] studied the effects of drifting

temperatures on young and elderly subjects analyzing both thermal sensation and thermal comfort. They showed that a temperature drift of 2 K/h resulted in slightly decreased thermal comfort compared to constant temperatures, but drifting conditions were still perceived as acceptable.

<span id="page-19-8"></span><span id="page-19-7"></span>Regarding step changes, Mishra et al. [\[116\]](#page-33-25) studied people entering from warm outdoor conditions into a museum conditioned at approximately 21°C. For visitors who had been inside for 20 min or less, the thermal sensation vote had a significant relation with the outdoor temperature but not the indoor temperature. After entering the museum, most people felt warm due to the warm outdoor conditions and perceived the cool indoor conditions as comfortable, but as visitors were longer in the museum, they gradually started feeling cooler and more uncomfortable. In an evidence of alliesthesia, which is a concept that states that the feeling of (thermal) pleasure is highest when a stimulus counteracts (thermal) stress [[51](#page-31-19),[117\]](#page-33-26), the visitors that were inside for twenty minutes or less accepted the thermal conditions, but eventually women started to feel cooler than men. After one hour, the perception of the environment reached a steady state. Parkinson et al. [\[118](#page-33-27)] concluded that it is even possible to evoke alliesthesia within the TNZ, which is most representative for the physiological state of building occupants in most indoor environments. Moreover, the thermal stimulus may be cutaneous under mild conditions, and hence, a disturbance in BCT is not required.

#### <span id="page-19-9"></span>**Control**

<span id="page-19-12"></span><span id="page-19-11"></span><span id="page-19-10"></span>Besides the psychological effect on thermal perception as discussed in the previous section on personal control, building control can significantly influence the course of indoor environmental parameters, and hence, occupants' thermal comfort. Recent developments recognize the need to address individual differences by personal conditioning systems that allow to control the microenvironment directly surrounding the occupant [[119\]](#page-34-0). With respect to control, three concepts receive much attention: (1) the human-in-theloop control, e.g. [[120](#page-34-1)], which gathers occupant' feedback to enhance control performance, and (2) using personal comfort models to tailor the indoor environment to individual needs, e.g. [\[121\]](#page-34-2), and personal comfort systems [[119](#page-34-0)].

<span id="page-20-1"></span>Personal conditioning typically targets local discomfort, and hence, results in non-uniform environments [\[122\]](#page-34-3): the hands and arms are critical body parts in cool environments, whereas the head and neck are critical body parts in warm environments. Therefore, the most effective and efficient mechanisms for providing a personalized micro-environment, differ between heating and cooling. Personal ventilation is most effective for cooling while maintaining comfort at room air temperature up to 30°C and relative humidity of 60 – 70% [\[123\]](#page-34-4). Also Zhang and Zhao [\[124](#page-34-5)] showed that face cooling by elevated airspeed is very effective and maintains comfort up to 30°C air temperature [\[124\]](#page-34-5). A study by Pallubinsky et al. [\[125](#page-34-6)] supports these findings, showing that increased ventilation in the face region by means of a fan, either alone or in combination with conductive cooling of the underarms, improved thermal sensation and thermal comfort in an ambient temperature of 32°C.

<span id="page-20-4"></span><span id="page-20-3"></span><span id="page-20-2"></span><span id="page-20-0"></span>Personalized heating has received less attention in literature [[122\]](#page-34-3). Radiant and convective heating are most effective with respect to personal heating. Comfort can be maintained by chair heating at air temperatures down to 15°C [\[126](#page-34-7)] and by radiant floor heating at air temperatures down to 18°C [\[127\]](#page-34-8).

#### <span id="page-20-5"></span>Clothing adaptability

<span id="page-20-8"></span><span id="page-20-7"></span><span id="page-20-6"></span>In addition to physiological adaptive processes described above, the adaptation of clothing is a common and effective strategy by occupants. For example, occupants can tolerate operative temperatures up to 29°C just by adjusting their clothing [[11\]](#page-30-8). Therefore, ASHRAE Standard 55 [[41](#page-31-12)] applies to buildings with operable windows, no or limited operation of mechanical systems for cooling and heating, and in which occupants are allowed to adjust their clothing by at least 0.5 Clo. In addition, research has shown that the clothing insulation level of individuals is adapted to the outdoor and indoor conditions [[14,](#page-30-11)[128](#page-34-9)–[130](#page-34-10)]. Evidence regarding clothing level differences between males and females differs; while Schiavon & Lee [\[130\]](#page-34-10) report similar clothing insulation levels, de Vecchi et al. [[131](#page-34-11)] state that males' clothing insulation level is less variable than that of females. In addition, the latter show that clothing insulation levels also differ between age groups, with higher age groups wearing clothing ensembles with higher insulation levels.

## Discussion of diversity drivers with respect to the prediction of thermal perception

The review results showed several drivers of diversity in the areas of physiology, psychology and context. In this section, we will discuss the extent to which the body of knowledge is sufficient to include these drivers into a model for the prediction of thermal perception.

#### Physiological differences

# Age, sex, body composition and fitness, and metabolic rate

<span id="page-20-10"></span>The literature review has shown ambiguous results for age- and sex-related differences, but a clear trend for body composition (weight and BMI) and metabolic rate. Interestingly, resting metabolic rate varies between groups of different age, sex, and body composition [[132](#page-34-12)] and using adjusted metabolic rates for subpopulations were found to improve the prediction of thermal comfort [[133](#page-34-13)]. In addition, body composition leads to differences in body tissue insulation or subcutaneous fat and skin thickness [\[134](#page-34-14),[135](#page-34-15)]. Therefore, one can question, whether it is possible to replicate the diversity and ambiguity found in the literature related to differences in age, sex, and body composition by means of physiological variability in metabolic rate and body tissue insulation.

<span id="page-20-13"></span><span id="page-20-12"></span><span id="page-20-11"></span><span id="page-20-9"></span>This question can be assessed through the TNZ model because resting metabolic rate, body tissue insulation, and body surface area can all be adjusted. The resting metabolic rate can be calculated based on research findings incorporating differences due to the physiological drivers of diversity such as age, sex, weight, and height of a person [\[132](#page-34-12)]. The maximum tissue insulation can be adjusted for subcutaneous fat and skin thickness [[134](#page-34-14)[,135\]](#page-34-15) to discriminate between lean and obese (but not adults vs. elderly) [\[136,](#page-34-16)[137](#page-34-17)]. The body surface area can be calculated based on weight and height of a person [[138](#page-34-18)]. The reader is referred to the supplementary materials for detailed equations and exemplary results.



<span id="page-21-0"></span>Figure 4. Comparison between findings by Rupp et al. [[80\]](#page-32-18) (bold black lines and shaded areas) and the results of the pdfTNZ approach (gray dashed lines are pdfTNZ's from left side of figure to ease comparison).

[Figure 4](#page-21-0) shows the comparison between the curves for the percentage of satisfied (PPS) presented by Rupp et al. [[80\]](#page-32-18) based on a large dataset from field studies in Florianopolis and the  $pdf_{TNZ}$ using the mean conditions and physiological characteristics of the dataset by Rupp et al., kindly provided by the authors (see supplement materials for details). It is important to note that the curves presented by Rupp et al. are based on thermal comfort votes and not, as done by Fanger, on transformed thermal sensation votes.

As seen in [Figure 4](#page-21-0), the pdf $_{\text{TNZ}}$  aligns beneath or close to the peaks of the PPS curves from the full dataset of Rupp et al. [\[80](#page-32-18)]. For instance, the  $pdf<sub>TNZ</sub>$  for males is shifted to the cold side compared to that for females in a similar way like the PPS curve shifts and the  $pdf_{TNZ}$  for males has a wider plateau while the shaded area of 80% acceptance from Rupp et al. is also wider for males. In addition, the significant shift towards cooler temperatures for obese persons is well replicated. It should be noted, that the  $pdf_{TNZ}$ 

represents solely the mean female and male for each subgroup, while the PPS curve is based on several hundred persons, which differ in their individual characteristics. Therefore, it should not surprise that the range of acceptable temperatures is much wider for the PPS than the range of the pdf $_{\text{TNZ}}$ . In addition, the strong overlap between PPS of Rupp et al. and  $pdf_{TNZ}$ further suggests the applicability of the TNZ model for aspects related to thermal comfort.

These observations suggest that the diversity due to the factors sex, age and body composition observed in the field can be (partly) replicated by taking into account the diversity in resting metabolic rate and tissue insulation due to physiological characteristics of a person. This could also explain why studies looking at only one of these drivers of diversity, e.g. age, found differences, while others did not find any differences, when these studies do not control for the other two drivers of diversity (in this example sex and body composition). With this respect, the review results by Wang et al. [\[62](#page-32-0)] finding around 75% of studies reporting no sex differences can be explained by the overlap of the pdf $_{TNZ}$  for males and females [\(Figure 4\(a,b\)](#page-21-0)). At the same time, the slight shift of the  $pdf_{TNZ}$  towards warmer operative temperatures for females might explain the observed sensitivity of females towards cooler conditions.

#### Physiological adaptation

In addition to physiological characteristics of diversity in thermal perception, differences in the level of physiological adaptation and their effect on thermal perception were summarized above and grouped into adaptation due to habituation of different climatic zones, seasonal adaptation, and diurnal rhythm. It has been established based on the existing literature that habituation of different climatic zones, as well as seasonal changes of the thermal environment, induce physiological adaptation, which is also accompanied by subjective adaptation of thermal perception. Moreover, preferred temperature has been suggested to change over the course of a day, which is likely due to circadian changes of body temperatures.

The exact physiological processes are still under investigation and by the time of submitting this manuscript, the authors of this review did not find any research intending to implement true physiological adaptive processes into models for the prediction of thermal perception. A first intent can be

seen in the approach by Schweiker et al., which adjusts the metabolic rate as input to either PMV [\[13,](#page-30-10)[14\]](#page-30-11) and/or SET [\[13](#page-30-10)] calculation to model effects of physiological adaptation as described in section "Methodology", Equation (2). As a result, the output of the PMV model aligns with the results of the adaptive comfort model [\[13](#page-30-10),[14\]](#page-30-11). It should be noted that Schweiker et al. do not claim that a decrease in metabolic rate is the true process underlying physiological adaptation but use it as a proxy available to adjust the inputs for PMV/SET calculation.

<span id="page-22-2"></span><span id="page-22-1"></span>Based on the results of a comprehensive literature review on the physiological aspects of heat adaptation by Taylor et al. [\[90\]](#page-33-1) and other physiological studies [\[89](#page-33-28)[,139\]](#page-34-19), it can be assumed that a decrease of metabolic rate in hot environments, which has earlier been suggested to be a physiological consequence of heat adaptation [[140](#page-34-20)], is not due to physiological changes but is rather attributable to behavioral adjustments [[90\]](#page-33-1). However, an enhanced metabolic response to cold exposure has consistently been reported as a result of cold adaptation [\[141\]](#page-34-21).

<span id="page-22-3"></span>The approach by Schweiker et al. can also be applied to the TNZ model by adjusting clothing insulation level and metabolic rate based on the running mean outdoor temperature  $(T_{rm})$ . Consequently, the pdf $_{\text{TNZ}}$  is shifted towards higher operative temperatures [\(Figure 5a](#page-22-0) green line) and



<span id="page-22-0"></span>Figure 5. Comparison between adaptive comfort model [[43\]](#page-31-13), ATHB [[14\]](#page-30-11), and pdfTNZ approach; a) changes in pdfTNZ b) changes in neutral temperature/ Top centroid due to variations in CLO, body tissue insulation, and metabolic rate (see text for details).



<span id="page-23-0"></span>Figure 6. Effect of circadian rhythm of core temperature [\[144\]](#page-34-24) on pdfTNZ and diurnal pattern of Top centroid.

the  $T_{op}$  centroid ([Figure 5b](#page-22-0) green line) shows the same trend as the neutral temperature calculated according to EN 15251 (red line).

<span id="page-23-1"></span>The TNZ model offers the possibility to adjust other parameters related to heat/cold adaptation than the metabolic rate. For instance, one mechanism of physiological adaptation resulting from heat acclimation/acclimatization is the body's enhanced capacity to dissipate heat [\[142](#page-34-22)[,143](#page-34-23)]. To our knowledge, it is not explicitly reported that body tissue insulation is decreased with adaptation to heat, however, from the increased peripheral blood flow resulting from heat adaptation, it is plausible that body tissue insulation decreases. Therefore, to test the effect of this assumption, four cases were calculated using the  $pdf_{TNZ}$ approach (see Supplement Materials for details).

- Case 1: adaptation of clothing level with  $T_{rm}$ according to the ATHB approach [[14\]](#page-30-11);
- Case 2: adaptation of clothing level as in Case 1 and using 10% lower value for the maximum body tissue insulation (0.11) at  $T_{rm} = 29^{\circ}C$ (compared to 0.124 at  $T_{rm} = 24$ °C);
- Case 3: adaptation of clothing level as in Case 1 and applying equation by Hori [\[140](#page-34-20)] to adjust metabolic rate due to behavioral adaptation
- Case 4: combination of Cases 1 to 3.

[Figure 5](#page-22-0) shows the effect of such implementation on the pdf $_{\rm{TNZ}}$  and  ${\rm T_{op\; centroid}}.$  The effects on thermal perception are comparable to those postulated by the adaptive comfort model and the ATHB approach. The numbers for tissue insulation used in case of a heat-adapted person could not be extracted from the literature but are assumed values. More research is necessary to establish the relationship between (seasonal) heat adaptation and changes in body tissue insulation as well as core temperature set points for individual sub-populations in order to extract trustable values for the prediction of thermal perception.

In addition to seasonal physiological adaptation, this review listed diurnal differences in thermal perception together with the well documented circadian rhythm of body core temperature [[144\]](#page-34-24). [Figure 6](#page-23-0) shows the effect of changes in body core temperature on the position of the pdf $_{TNZ}$  and the diurnal pattern of  $T_{\text{op centroid}}$ . About 1K difference in thermal perception between the lowest value at nighttime and the highest value in the afternoon can be attributed to such standardized pattern of core temperature variation. In reality, diet-induced thermogenesis as well as different activities can lead to further variations increasing the diversity between individuals.

## Differences due to psychological drivers of diversity

The review on psychological drivers describes personal control as one othe most investigated



<span id="page-24-0"></span>Figure 7. Effect of hypothesized changes on metabolic rate due to diversity in stress levels.

psychological driver with clear effects on thermal perception. However, these studies do not discuss potential pathways or mechanisms how perceived control may affect thermal perception. Therefore, the following section discusses two potential pathways and their ability to replicate the findings related to the effect of psychological drivers.

<span id="page-24-1"></span>The ATHB approach adjusts metabolic rate to model psychological adaptation, following the assumption of a relationship between stress level (potentially increased by a lack of perceived control) and metabolic rate [\[14](#page-30-11)]. This modelling approach is based on existing literature stating a relationship between the emotional state of a person and its metabolic rate [\[145](#page-34-25)–[147\]](#page-34-26). Following such approach, [Figure 7](#page-24-0) shows the effect of variations in metabolic rate on  $pdf_{TNZ}$  and  $Top_{centroid}$ . The observed effect of increased perceived control leading to differences in thermal perception between 1.5 K and 2.0 K would require a metabolic rate reduction between 0.1 MET and 0.2 MET.

However, a review of the relationship between perceived control, stress, and metabolic rate was beyond the scope of this review and initial searches did not reveal any paper measuring the metabolic rate under different thermal and psychological stressors. Therefore, it remains a hypothesis that perceived control affects thermal perception via a physiological pathway, e.g. by an increased/decreased metabolic rate.

A second potential pathway, which could also explain differences due to a person's personality, is a shift in the interpretation of one's thermal physiological state. Depending on the context (e.g. workplace vs. beach) or personality, a person is satisfied or dissatisfied with the current thermal state. As shown in [Figure 8,](#page-25-0) this leads to a position of actual conditions being at the edge or even outside the area represented by the  $pdf<sub>TNZ</sub>$ .

As shown above, both pathways can replicate the effects of psychological drivers found in the literature. However, further research, combining physiological and psychological measures, is necessary in order to examine the validity of one or both of the pathways described above.

#### Context-related differences

The review on contextual drivers showed that local effects and radiant asymmetries do affect thermal perception of individual body parts and can affect the overall sensation. No examples by use of the TNZ/ATHB approach is given here, as local effects can be replicated in case the conditions surrounding the human body are known. To look at radiant asymmetries multi-node models, as mentioned in



<span id="page-25-0"></span>Figure 8. Potential second pathway to explain differences due to psychological aspects. Depending on context or personality, a person is satisfied with conditions at the edge or outside the region marked by the pdfTNZ.

the introduction, should be considered, as those are able to replicate these effects.

The effect of transient environments, such as temperature ramps, is ambiguous. In addition, those ramps found to be perceived and not explainable by a steady-state approach, i.e. ramps with a change  $>2$  K/h, are beyond temperature ramps found in buildings in reality, which are below 1 K/h due to existing thermal mass. Step changes, on the other hand, can inert additional effects such as described by the concept of alliesthesia, which at this point of time cannot be replicated by heat-balance based or thermophysiological models. Models based on neuronal perception are more likely to be able to replicate these effects in the future [[26](#page-30-22)[,148](#page-34-27)]. Alliesthesia should not be dismissed because it is a phenomenon that is probably encountered by most people on a daily basis. To improve our understanding of thermal comfort, which is needed to improve the way we achieve energyefficient climate control in our buildings, alliesthesia should be rigorously studied, and efforts should be directed towards developing models that are able to include effects such as alliesthesia.

<span id="page-25-1"></span>The topic of control, here the effect of control on indoor environmental conditions, and clothing

adaptation, which are both drivers of diversity between individuals, are well known and replicable by means of existing thermal comfort models. However, in terms of control, literature looking at psychological effects of perceived control, highlight that the changes in thermal perception due to an exercised control cannot be explained solely by the changes in physical conditions. The modelling approach would therefore be in line with that presented in the previous section looking at psychological differences.

#### General discussion and conclusions

This review confirms that many different variables have been taken into consideration to explain the vast diversity of thermal perception among humans, as exemplarily demonstrated in [Figure 1.](#page-2-0) In our review, we show that body composition, metabolic rate, adaptation to certain thermal environments, and personal control can be considered as important previouslyestablished drivers of diversity. At the same time, our review shows that literature regarding thermal perception of different age- and sexgroups is inconclusive.

The REA on psychological drivers confirmed that there are only relatively few publications on psychological drivers of diversity. The best researched concept among potential psychological drivers of diversity is personal control. In the present review, only studies were considered with the same environmental conditions or which calculated the neutral temperature. The latter differs by about 2 K between high and low personal control.

The review of contextual drivers summarized evidence regarding the effect of differences in local conditions and radiant asymmetries. The effect of temperature gradients is ambiguous and not related to gradients naturally occurring in buildings.

At the same time, it is clear that the field of research is still far away from understanding many of the underlying mechanisms of individual drivers of diversity, and that there remains a significant amount of unanswered research questions before being able to model those drivers.

# Demographic and physiological drivers of diversity

Evaluation of demographic and physiological differences showed that differences between age- and sex-groups, as well as the ambiguity of results related to these drivers, may be explained by differences in resting metabolic rate and body composition. The latter can be replicated by adjusting the corresponding input values for thermal comfort models. Another possible explanation might lie in the evaluation techniques applied in the respective original studies. In the existing literature, it has frequently been stated that although there seems to be no significant difference of neutral/preferred ambient temperature between males and females, and older and younger people, respectively [[62](#page-32-0)], women as well as the elderly are generally more susceptible to changes of temperature, and prone to prefer warmer environ-ments ([Table 4\)](#page-12-0) [[59](#page-32-3),[60](#page-32-2)[,67\]](#page-32-7). Using the  $pdf_{\text{TNZ}}$ approach, we show that theoretically, there is an overlap of neutral temperatures for males and females, but towards the fringes of the probability density function, this overlap gradually disappears. Hence, there are likely to be temperatures (temperature ranges) at which men are still comfortable, but women are not, and the other way around. The overlap shifts to different temperatures and/or decreases in magnitude with a change of body composition and also with age. This might imply that, for example, an overweight male individual has a distinctly different comfort zone than an elderly female person with a healthy BMI. These differences are, however, difficult to pick up, if a survey is conducted without collecting all relevant variables and at stable thermal conditions.

Therefore, more research in controlled environments and with controlled samples is necessary to confirm these preliminary observations. In addition, there is a necessity for additional studies which collect a variety of drivers of diversity from a large sample in field environments, like the one presented by Rupp et al. [\[80](#page-32-18)]. These studies can then be used to challenge these preliminary findings and continue the discussion towards a validated model for the prediction of thermal perception considering the diversity in physiological drivers.

With respect to physiological adaptation, modelling the adaptation of metabolic rate and body tissue insulation can replicate the observations of field studies. However, these adaptive processes are highly speculative and more complex mechanisms are most likely in place. For example, one of the yet unanswered questions is if physiological adaptation occurs simultaneously with subjective adaptation, or if there is a time lag between the two.

Especially for metabolic rate, further studies need to make a clear distinction between behavioral effects on metabolic rate and physiological ones, given the ambiguous findings of field and laboratory studies, especially regarding heat adaptation. In addition, it has been shown previously that the process of physiological adaptation to both heat and cold is highly individual, even in relatively homogenous study populations [\[88](#page-33-29),[89,](#page-33-28)[149](#page-34-28)]. It is likely that physiological adaptation differs even more, for example, between groups with different age and/or body composition. These complex interactions need to be addressed in future studies.

## <span id="page-26-0"></span>Psychological drivers of diversity

While psychological variability can be replicated by adjusting the metabolic rate or by a shift in the interpretation of the physiological signal, much more research is needed to fully understand what the drivers of psychological variability are and how they exert an influence. Emotion-related variables are likely candidates to be other drivers of psychological variability. For example, a conference presentation by Huebner [\[150](#page-34-29)] showed that manipulation of a person's emotional state through recall of happy or sad life events affected thermal sensation in two online surveys, though results were ambiguous for TCV.

## <span id="page-26-1"></span>Context-related drivers of diversity

The effect of context-related differences on thermal perception, such as radiant asymmetries or step changes, requires more complex modelling approaches than heat balance models. At the

<span id="page-27-1"></span>same time, effects of building control need to be looked at in more detail to differentiate better between physiological and psychological effects. In this respect, Liu et al. [\[151](#page-35-7)] present a grey-box approach to quantify the share of the psychological aspects on differences in thermal perception, by defining all differences of thermal perception not explainable by physical influences as psychological influences. However, this approach requires a clear understanding of all physical and physiological influences on thermal perception, which – according to this review – does not exist yet.

#### Holistic perspectives

While research on individual drivers is necessary, a bigger task is to look at combined effects of individual drivers, such as physiological and psychological ones together with their interactions. In addition, the global context cannot be ignored. This review has shown that body composition co-determines people's perception of the thermal environment; people with overweight have been shown to prefer cooler environments. In the context of global warming, this leads to a further rise in the cooling demand of buildings, in order to achieve thermal comfort of building occupants, given the fact that obesity prevalence is expected to increase even more in future.

<span id="page-27-3"></span><span id="page-27-2"></span>Decreased physical performance in thermally challenging environments is attributed to the increased cardiovascular and metabolic demand for thermoregulation [\[152\]](#page-35-8), and decreased cognitive performance is for a major part attributed to the distraction and sleep deprivation that can be caused by thermal discomfort [[153](#page-35-9)]. Therefore, for optimal productivity over economic sectors such as manufacturing, construction, transportation, tourism and agriculture, the challenge is to maintain thermal comfortable conditions despite thermal challenges and climatic changes.

<span id="page-27-4"></span>Next, the increased mortality associated with thermal extremes occurs primarily in the elderly, who are less able to compensate temperature rises and fluctuations [[56\]](#page-31-24), and are (therefore) more vulnerable [[154](#page-35-10)], meaning that negative health consequences due to climatic changes might be exacerbated in this population. Hence, the conservative challenge is to maintain thermal comfort for the elderly, as to minimize their cardiovascular burden that is associated with thermoregulation in hot and cold environments. Noteworthy, in contrast to the conservative challenge, current progressive studies are examining whether "thermal training", by repeatedly exposing people to thermally both uncomfortable warm and cold conditions, can actually make people more resilient to thermal challenges, especially those who are at risk for negative health consequences.

<span id="page-27-0"></span>It is of great importance to mention that a solid body of latest evidence has already established that there are health benefits from experiencing dynamic thermal conditions, reaching towards the limits and beyond those temperature ranges regarded as comfortable [[87](#page-33-30),[89](#page-33-28),[155](#page-35-11)–[157](#page-35-12)]. Frequent excursions towards warmth and cold, even those of mild nature, have been shown to enhance thermoregulatory capabilities due to acclimation [\[158](#page-35-13)]. Moreover, these excursions can evoke positive health effects such as improved glucose metabolism by increased insulin sensitivity [\[159](#page-35-14)], and hence, may play a role in mitigating the widespread metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes [[38](#page-31-9)].

<span id="page-27-7"></span><span id="page-27-6"></span><span id="page-27-5"></span>These findings related to health impacts makes the interactions between people and their thermal indoor environment particularly interesting for studies on human thermal adaptive capacity. Within climate change research, the adaptive capacity is described as the capacity of a society or individual to adapt to future climatic changes [[160\]](#page-35-15). In view of climate change, the above mentioned "temperature training" may provide a viable way to increase our adaptive capacity, or, in other words, our resilience towards more extreme temperatures. Temperature training could be achieved outside of laboratories e.g. by increased/decreased clothing levels in moderate conditions [\[161\]](#page-35-16). Being able to model the effect of important individual drivers on thermal perception and related knowledge regarding the boundaries of thermal comfort can serve as a basis to look at variables affecting diversity in the adaptive capacity.

#### <span id="page-27-8"></span>Limitations

In the process of conducting and writing this manuscript, a number of limitations have been

identified. Firstly, as the present review is not of a fully systematic nature, relevant publications might have been missed. The latter should be taken into consideration especially regarding demographic and physiological drivers of diversity, as this part of the review only included already existing literature reviews. For example, the existing review publications regarding physiological adaptation and thermal perception was predominantly focused on (sub)tropical conditions but lacked examples for cold environments. Moreover, although original research with respect to thermal perception and comfort in different ethnicities are encompassed in the current review, no study was identified stating explicit information regarding the actual differences of thermal perception and comfort between ethnicities.

Secondly, the comparison of review results with implications for modelling is based solely on the combination of TNZ and ATHB approach. The required physiological input parameter "metabolic rate" was estimated by scaling the predicted resting metabolic rate (measured in reclined position: 0.8 MET) to the ASHRAE MET equivalent corresponding to resting/seated activity. It is not known how the scaling influences the error of the metabolic rate production. Furthermore, the second physiological input parameter, "body tissue insulation", lacks dedicated papers that identify how the characteristics of this variable varies over subpopulations. Therefore, the influence of changes in body tissue insulation on the modelling outcome has to be interpreted with care. In addition, using the height and weight of a person to estimate resting metabolic rate lacks the possibility to distinguish between persons with a higher weight due to a high degree of muscular tissue from others. Assessing variables related to the fitness level of persons in future studies is therefore recommended.

## **Conclusions**

In conclusion, there is a huge variety of potential drivers of diversity and much more research is required to understand better the underlying mechanism of diversity. This information is required in order to rule out irrelevant factors and in particular to reveal the important and significant drivers of diversity. Knowing the true drivers of diversity will be helpful in preparation for global challenges because the indoor thermal conditions chosen by an individual do not only affect the energy use – in itself a driver of climate change – but also affect health, wellbeing, and productivity.

#### Nomenclature



#### <span id="page-28-0"></span>**Notes**

- 1. In this review and in contrast to the theory behind the adaptive comfort model [\[3\]](#page-30-2), psychological variables included are not split up into cognitive-emotional and behavioral ones as we consider them as intertwined, e.g. our change in our cognitive state might change our behavior but a change in our behavior can also impact on our cognitive-emotional state.
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>2. The reader is invited to test this by using the empirical equation for resting metabolic rate from Roza and Shizgal [[132](#page-34-12)], and body surface area from Dubois and Dubois [\[138](#page-34-18)]. For example, comparing a

20-year old female and male with height of 167 cm (female) vs. 186 cm (male) and a weight of 60 kg and 82 kg, respectively, the resting metabolic rate (scaled from 0.8Met to 1.0Met) is 26% lower for the female without considering body surface area (83 W vs. 113 W), and still 17% (50 W/m² vs. 60 W/m²) when considering the difference in body surface area  $(1.67 \text{ m}^2 \text{ vs. } 1.87 \text{ m}^2).$ 

- <span id="page-29-5"></span><span id="page-29-0"></span>3. Note that the association of how activities relate to MET differs in the primary sources for the physiology and built environment research communities (respectively: Compendium of physical activities [\[169](#page-35-17),[170\]](#page-35-18) and ASHRAE standard 55). For example, sleeping is defined as 0.9 MET by the Compendium of physical activities, but as 0.7 MET by the ASHRAE metabolic rates for typical tasks and light office work as 1.2 MET for the former and 1.0–1.2 MET for the latter (Table 5.2.1.2 – ANSI ASHRAE addendum to ANSI/ASHRAE standard 55–2010 [[41](#page-31-12)]). This review will use the definition according to ASHRAE.
- <span id="page-29-1"></span>4. This includes the study by Zhai et al. which did not report the thermal neutral temperature, but reported that no effect of effective temperature on TSV were found, which was here counted as a 0°C difference in neutral temperature.
- <span id="page-29-2"></span>5. The analysis did not consider the repeated measurement design but employed independent t-tests for comparison which carries an increased risk of false positive results.
- <span id="page-29-3"></span>6. At 24 and 26°C settings the subject chosen and experimenter provided conditions were basically identical. In addition, regression of thermal sensation votes against temperatures provided.
- <span id="page-29-4"></span>7. Only answers before an actual change in conditions occurred were analysed.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was partly performed within the framework of the International Energy Agency Energy in Buildings and Communities program (IEA-EBC) Annex 69 "Strategy and Practice of Adaptive Thermal Comfort in Low Energy Buildings". The authors are thankful to Ricardo Rupp for providing mean values from his field study in Florianopolis.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## Funding

MS was supported by the Heidelberg Academy of Sciences and Humanities [WIN-Kolleg Thermal comfort and pain];

BK was funded by the EU Horizon 2020 [668786 HeatShield]; GH was funded by the Research Councils UK Centre for Energy Epidemiology [EP/K011839/1].

## Notes on contributors



Marcel Schweiker is a Senior Researcher and Lecturer at the Building Science Group at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology. Within the context of comfortable and energy efficient work places and living environments, he is interested in interdisciplinary approaches focusing on adaptive human thermal comfort and occupant behavior.



Gesche Huebner is a Senior Research Associate at the Energy Institute at University College London. With a background in psychology, she is particularly interested in psychological aspects around thermal comfort and adaptive behaviors.



Boris Kingma is a research scientist at The Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research and guest researcher at Eindhoven University of Technology. His research focus is on biophysical modelling of human thermal balance in order to explain physical, cognitive and behavioral interactions with the thermal environment



Rick Kramer is a Postdoctoral researcher at Maastricht University, Department of Nutrition and Movement Sciences and guest researcher at Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of the Built Environment. His research focuses on understanding humans' thermoregulation and comfort mechanisms in view of building healthier and more energy-efficient buildings in the future.



Hannah Pallubinsky is a Postdoctoral researcher at Maastricht University, Department of Nutrition and Movement Sciences. Her research focuses on human thermoregulation and thermophysiology, with special interest in heat adaptation and the interaction between environmental parameters, health and thermal comfort.

#### **ORCID**

Marcel Schweiker D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3906-4688 Gesche M. Huebner **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1304-4366 Boris R. M. Kingma **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5961-0215 Rick Kramer Dhttp://orcid.org/0000-0002-0982-8416 Hannah Pallubinsky **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1181-1277

## References

- <span id="page-30-0"></span>[1] Nicol F, Humphreys MA, Roaf S. Adaptive thermal comfort: principles and practice. London: Routledge; [2012](#page-1-5).
- <span id="page-30-1"></span>[2] Shipworth D, Huebner GM, Schweiker M, et al. Diversity in thermal sensation: drivers of variance and methodological artefacts. Proc. 9th Wind. Conf. Mak. Comf. Relev. [2016](#page-1-6). p. 1–17
- <span id="page-30-2"></span>[3] de Dear RJ, Brager GS, Cooper D Developing an adaptive model of thermal comfort and preference. Final Rep. ASHRAE Res. Proj. 884. Macquarie Univ. Sydney. [1997](#page-2-1).
- <span id="page-30-3"></span>[4] Enescu D. A review of thermal comfort models and indicators for indoor environments. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. [2017;](#page-2-2)79:1353–1379. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032117308109) [S1364032117308109](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032117308109)
- [5] Fu M, Weng W, Chen W, et al. Review on modeling heat transfer and thermoregulatory responses in human body. J Therm Biol. 2016;62:189–200. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030645651630016X) [S030645651630016X](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030645651630016X)
- [6] Katić K, Li R, Zeiler W. Thermophysiological models and their applications: A review. Build Environ. 2016;106:286–300. Available from: [http://www.science](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132316302384) [direct.com/science/article/pii/S0360132316302384.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132316302384)
- <span id="page-30-4"></span>[7] Cheng Y, Niu J, Gao N. Thermal comfort models: A review and numerical investigation. Build Environ. [2012](#page-2-2);47:13–22. Available from: [http://www.sciencedir](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132311001508) [ect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132311001508](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132311001508)
- <span id="page-30-5"></span>[8] Fanger PO. Thermal comfort analysis and applications in environmental engineering. New York: McGraw-Hill; [1970](#page-2-3).
- <span id="page-30-6"></span>[9] Schiavon S, Hoyt T, Piccioli A. Web application for thermal comfort visualization and calculation according to ASHRAE Standard 55. Build Simul. [2014;](#page-2-4)7:312– 334. Available from: [http://escholarship.org/uc/item/](http://escholarship.org/uc/item/4db4q37h) [4db4q37h](http://escholarship.org/uc/item/4db4q37h).
- <span id="page-30-7"></span>[10] Yao R, Li B, Liu J. A theoretical adaptive model of thermal comfort – Adaptive Predicted Mean Vote (aPMV). Build Environ. [2009;](#page-2-5)44:2089–2096.
- <span id="page-30-8"></span>[11] Fanger PO, Toftum J. Extension of the PMV model to non-air-conditioned buildings in warm climates. Energy Build. [2002](#page-2-5);34:533–536.
- <span id="page-30-9"></span>[12] Humphreys MA, Nicol F. The validity of ISO-PMV for predicting comfort votes in every-day thermal environments. Energy Build. [2002](#page-3-0);34:667–684.
- <span id="page-30-10"></span>[13] Schweiker M, Wagner A. Influences on the predictive performance of thermal sensation indices. Build Res Inf. [2017;](#page-3-1)45:745–758.
- <span id="page-30-11"></span>[14] Schweiker M, Wagner A. A framework for an adaptive thermal heat balance model (ATHB). Build Environ. [2015](#page-3-2);94:252–262.
- <span id="page-30-12"></span>[15] Kingma BR, Frijns AJH, Schellen L, et al. Beyond the classic thermoneutral zone. Temperature. [2014;](#page-3-3)1:142– 149. doi:[10.4161/temp.29702](https://doi.org/10.4161/temp.29702). PMID:27583296
- <span id="page-30-13"></span>[16] Schweiker M, Kingma BR, Wagner A. Evaluating the performance of thermal sensation prediction with a biophysical model. Indoor Air. [2017;](#page-3-3)27:1012–1021.
- <span id="page-30-14"></span>[17] Rijal HB, Tuohy PG, Nicol F, et al. Development of adaptive algorithms for the operation of windows, fans and doors to predict thermal comfort and energy use in Pakistani buildings. ASHRAE Trans. [2008;](#page-3-4)114:555–573.
- [18] Humphreys MA. Field studies of thermal comfort compared and applied. J Inst Heat Vent Eng. 1976;44:5–27.
- <span id="page-30-15"></span>[19] Humphreys MA. Outdoor temperatures and comfort indoors. Batim Int Build Res Pract. [1978](#page-3-4);6:92.
- <span id="page-30-16"></span>[20] Gagge AP, Fobelets AP, Berglund LG. A standard predictive index of human response to the thermal environment. ASHRAE Trans. [1986](#page-3-5);92(2B):709–731.
- <span id="page-30-17"></span>[21] Fiala D, Lomas KJ, Stohrer M. A computer model of human thermoregulation for a wide range of environmental conditions: the passive system. J Appl Physiol. [1999](#page-3-5);87:1957–1972.
- <span id="page-30-18"></span>[22] Fiala D, Lomas KJ, Stohrer M. First principles modelling of thermal sensation responses in steady-state and transient conditions. ASHRAE Trans. [2009](#page-3-5);109(I):179–186.
- <span id="page-30-19"></span>[23] Zhang H, Arens E, Huizenga C, et al. Thermal sensation and comfort models for non-uniform and transient environments, part II: local comfort of individual body parts. Build Environ. [2010](#page-3-6);45:389–398. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132309001620) [S0360132309001620](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132309001620)
- <span id="page-30-20"></span>[24] Huizenga C, Hui Z, Arens E. A model of human physiology and comfort for assessing complex thermal environments. Build Environ. [2001](#page-3-6);36:691–699. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132300000615) [article/pii/S0360132300000615](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132300000615)
- <span id="page-30-21"></span>[25] Tanabe S, Kobayashi K, Nakano J, et al. Evaluation of thermal comfort using combined multi-node thermoregulation (65MN) and radiation models and computational fluid dynamics (CFD). Energy Build. [2002](#page-3-7);34:637–646. Available from: [http://www.science](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778802000142) [direct.com/science/article/pii/S0378778802000142](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778802000142)
- <span id="page-30-22"></span>[26] Kingma BR, Vosselman MJ, Frijns AJH, et al. Incorporating neurophysiological concepts in mathematical thermoregulation models. Int J Biometeorol. [2014](#page-3-7);58:87–99.
- <span id="page-30-23"></span>[27] Havenith G. Individualized model of human thermoregulation for the simulation of heat stress response. J Appl Physiol. [2001;](#page-3-8)90:1943–1954.
- <span id="page-30-24"></span>[28] van Marken Lichtenbelt WD, Frijns AJH, Fiala D, et al. Effect of individual characteristics on a mathematical

model of human thermoregulation. J Therm Biol. [2004](#page-3-8);29:577–581. Available from: [http://www.science](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306456504001056) [direct.com/science/article/pii/S0306456504001056](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306456504001056)

- <span id="page-31-0"></span>[29] Amengual A, Homar V, Romero R, et al. Projections of heat waves with high impact on human health in Europe. Glob Planet Change. [2014;](#page-3-9)119:71–84. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921818114001015) [article/pii/S0921818114001015](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921818114001015)
- <span id="page-31-1"></span>[30] Zuo J, Pullen S, Palmer J, et al. Impacts of heat waves and corresponding measures: a review. J Clean Prod. [2015;](#page-3-9)92:1–12. Available from: [http://www.sciencedir](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652614013754) [ect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652614013754](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652614013754)
- <span id="page-31-2"></span>[31] EC. Buildings. [2016](#page-3-10) [cited 2016 Sep 28]. Available from: [https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy-effi](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy-efficiency/buildings) [ciency/buildings.](https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy-efficiency/buildings)
- <span id="page-31-3"></span>[32] Aebischer B, Catenazzi G, Jakob M. Impact of climate change on thermal comfort, heating and cooling energy demand in Europe. Proc Eceee. [2007](#page-3-11); p. 23–26.
- <span id="page-31-4"></span>[33] Diefenbach N, Enseling A, Loga T, et al. Beiträge der EnEV und des KfW-CO2-Gebäudesanierungsprogramms zum Nationalen Klimaschutzprogramm. [cited 2018 July 28] [http//www.ifeu.de/energie/pdf/KfW\\_EnEV\\_UBA\\_](http://http//www.ifeu.de/energie/pdf/KfW_EnEV_UBA_IWU_ifeu_2005.pdf) [IWU\\_ifeu\\_2005.pdf](http://http//www.ifeu.de/energie/pdf/KfW_EnEV_UBA_IWU_ifeu_2005.pdf). [2005](#page-3-12)
- <span id="page-31-5"></span>[34] Sunikka-Blank M, Galvin R. Introducing the prebound effect: the gap between performance and actual energy consumption. Build Res Inf. [2012](#page-3-13);40:260–273.
- <span id="page-31-6"></span>[35] Calì D, Osterhage T, Streblow R, et al. Energy performance gap in refurbished German dwellings: lesson learned from a field test. Energy Build. [2016](#page-3-13);127:1146–1158. Available from: [http://www.scien](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778816303814) [cedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778816303814](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778816303814)
- <span id="page-31-7"></span>[36] Cedeño Laurent JG, Williams A, Oulhote Y, et al. Reduced cognitive function during a heat wave among residents of non-air-conditioned buildings: an observational study of young adults in the summer of 2016. PLOS Med. [2018](#page-3-14);15:e1002605. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002605>
- <span id="page-31-8"></span>[37] Huynen MM, Martens P, Schram D, et al. The impact of heat waves and cold spells on mortality rates in the Dutch population. Environ Health Perspect. [2001;](#page-3-14)109:463–470. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109463>
- <span id="page-31-9"></span>[38] van Marken Lichtenbelt W, Hanssen M, Pallubinsky H, et al. Healthy excursions outside the thermal comfort zone. Build Res Inf. [2017;](#page-3-15)45:819–827. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2017.1307647>
- <span id="page-31-10"></span>[39] Eurostat. People in the EU – population projections. [2018](#page-4-0) [cited 2018 Jul 26]. Available from: [http://ec.](http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=People_in_the_EU_-_population_projections) [europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?](http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=People_in_the_EU_-_population_projections) [title=People\\_in\\_the\\_EU\\_-\\_population\\_projections.](http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=People_in_the_EU_-_population_projections)
- <span id="page-31-11"></span>[40] European Commission. European health interview survey (EHIS): overweight and obesity – BMI statistics. [2017](#page-4-1).
- <span id="page-31-12"></span>[41] ASHRAE. Standard 55-2013. Thermal environmental conditions for human occupancy. Am. Soc. Heating, Refrig. Air-Conditioning Eng. Atlanta, USA. [2013;](#page-4-2)
- [42] ISO 7730. Ergonomics of the thermal environment: analytical determination and interpretation of thermal

comfort using calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and local thermal comfort criteria. 2005.

- <span id="page-31-13"></span>[43] CEN 15251. Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting and acoustics; German version EN 15251:2012. [2012.](#page-5-1)
- <span id="page-31-14"></span>[44] McCartney KJ, Nicol F. Developing an adaptive control algorithm for Europe: results of the SCATs project. Energy Build. [2002;](#page-5-2)34(6):623–635. Available from:: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778802000130) [S0378778802000130](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778802000130)
- <span id="page-31-15"></span>[45] Nicol F, Humphreys MA. Adaptive thermal comfort and sustainable thermal standards for buildings. Energy Build. [2002](#page-5-2);34:563–572.
- <span id="page-31-16"></span>[46] de Dear RJ. Thermal comfort in practice. Indoor Air Suppl. [2004](#page-5-3);32–39.
- <span id="page-31-17"></span>[47] Humphreys MA, Hancock M. Do people like to feel "neutral"?: exploring the variation of the desired thermal sensation on the ASHRAE scale. Energy Build. [2007](#page-5-4);39:867–874.
- <span id="page-31-18"></span>[48] Schweiker M, Wagner A. The effect of occupancy on perceived control, neutral temperature, and behavioral patterns. Energy Build. [2016;](#page-5-5)117:246–259.
- <span id="page-31-27"></span>[49] Schweiker M, Hawighorst M, Wagner A. The influence of personality traits on occupant behavioural patterns. Energy Build. [2016](#page-17-1);131:63–75. Available from: [http://www.science](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778816308192) [direct.com/science/article/pii/S0378778816308192](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778816308192)
- [50] Parkinson T, de Dear RJ. Thermal pleasure in built environments: physiology of alliesthesia. Build Res Inf. 2015;43:288–301.
- <span id="page-31-19"></span>[51] de Dear RJ. Revisiting an old hypothesis of human thermal perception: alliesthesia. Build Res Inf. [2011;](#page-5-5)39:108–117.
- <span id="page-31-20"></span>[52] Parsifal Ltd. Parsifal. [2018](#page-6-0) [cited 2018 Jul 26]. Available from: <https://parsif.al/>.
- <span id="page-31-21"></span>[53] Kingma BR, Schweiker M, Wagner A, et al. Exploring internal body heat balance to understand thermal sensation. Build Res Inf. [2017;](#page-7-1)45:808–818.
- <span id="page-31-22"></span>[54] Hensel H. Thermoreception and temperature regulation. Monogr Physiol Soc. [1981](#page-8-1);38:18–184.
- <span id="page-31-23"></span>[55] Frank SM, Raja SN, Bulcao CF, et al. Relative contribution of core and cutaneous temperatures to thermal comfort and autonomic responses in humans. J Appl Physiol. [1999](#page-8-2);86:1588–1593. Available from: [https://](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1999.86.5.1588) [doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1999.86.5.1588](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1999.86.5.1588)
- <span id="page-31-24"></span>[56] Van Someren EJW. Thermoregulation and aging. Am J Physiol Integr Comp Physiol. [2007;](#page-9-1)292:R99–R102. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00557.](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00557.2006) [2006](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00557.2006)
- <span id="page-31-25"></span>[57] Blatteis CM. Age-dependent changes in temperature regulation – a mini review. Gerontology. [2012;](#page-9-2)58:289– 295.
- <span id="page-31-26"></span>[58] Fanger PO. Assessment of man's thermal comfort in practice. Br J Ind Med. [1973;](#page-9-3)30:313–324. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1069471/) [PMC1069471/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1069471/)
- <span id="page-32-3"></span>[59] Mishra AK, Ramgopal M. Field studies on human thermal comfort — an overview. Build Environ. [2013](#page-10-1);64:94–106. Available from: [http://www.sciencedir](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S036013231300070X) [ect.com/science/article/pii/S036013231300070X](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S036013231300070X)
- <span id="page-32-2"></span>[60] Rupp RF, Vásquez NG, Lamberts R. A review of human thermal comfort in the built environment. Energy Build. [2015;](#page-9-4)105:178–205. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778815301638) [S0378778815301638](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778815301638)
- <span id="page-32-1"></span>[61] Van Hoof J. Forty years of Fanger's model of thermal comfort: comfort for all? Indoor Air. [2008](#page-9-5);18:182–201. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0668.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0668.2007.00516.x) [2007.00516.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0668.2007.00516.x)
- <span id="page-32-0"></span>[62] Wang Z, de Dear R, Luo M, et al. Individual difference in thermal comfort: A literature review. Build Environ. [2018](#page-9-6);138:181–193. Available from: [http://www.science](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132318302518) [direct.com/science/article/pii/S0360132318302518](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132318302518)
- <span id="page-32-4"></span>[63] Kingma BR, van Marken Lichtenbelt WD. Energy consumption in buildings and female thermal demand. Nat Clim Chang. [2015;](#page-11-0)5:1054–1056. Available from: [http://www.nature.com/nclimate/journal/v5/n12/abs/](http://www.nature.com/nclimate/journal/v5/n12/abs/nclimate2741.html%23supplementary-information) [nclimate2741.html#supplementary-information](http://www.nature.com/nclimate/journal/v5/n12/abs/nclimate2741.html%23supplementary-information)
- <span id="page-32-20"></span>[64] Byrne NM, Hills AP, Hunter GR, et al. Metabolic equivalent: one size does not fit all. J Appl Physiol. [2005](#page-13-1);99:1112–1119.
- <span id="page-32-5"></span>[65] Schmidt-Nielsen K. Scaling: why is animal size so important? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; [1984](#page-11-0).
- <span id="page-32-6"></span>[66] Harris JA, Benedict FG, Biometric A. Study of human basal metabolism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. [1918](#page-11-1);4:370–373. Available from: [http://europepmc.](http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/16576330) [org/abstract/MED/16576330](http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/16576330)
- <span id="page-32-7"></span>[67] Karjalainen S. Thermal comfort and gender: a literature review. Indoor Air. [2012;](#page-11-2)22:96–109.
- <span id="page-32-8"></span>[68] Rennie DW. Tissue heat transfer in water: lessons from the Korean divers. Med Sci Sports Exerc. [1988;](#page-11-3)20:S177––84.
- <span id="page-32-9"></span>[69] Havenith G, van Middendorp H. The relative influence of physical fitness, acclimatization state, anthropometric measures and gender on individual reactions to heat stress. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. [1990;](#page-11-4)61:419–427. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00236062) [1007/BF00236062](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00236062)
- <span id="page-32-15"></span>[70] Dougherty KA, Chow M, Kenney WL. Responses of lean and obese boys to repeated summer exercise heat bouts. Med Sci Sports Exerc. [2009](#page-11-5);41:279–289. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/arti](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2629500/) [cles/PMC2629500/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2629500/)
- <span id="page-32-10"></span>[71] Leites GT, Sehl PL, Cunha G, et al. Responses of obese and lean girls exercising under heat and thermoneutral conditions. J Pediatr. [2013](#page-11-4);162:1054–1060. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022347612012607) [S0022347612012607](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022347612012607)
- <span id="page-32-11"></span>[72] Havenith G, Coenen JM, Kistemaker L, et al. Relevance of individual characteristics for human heat stress response is dependent on exercise intensity and climate type. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. [1998](#page-11-6);77:231–241.
- [73] Adams JD, Ganio MS, Burchfield JM, et al. Effects of obesity on body temperature in otherwise-healthy females when controlling hydration and heat production during exercise in the heat. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2015;115:167–176.
- <span id="page-32-12"></span>[74] Sehl PL, Leites GT, Martins JB, et al. Responses of obese and non-obese boys cycling in the heat. Int J Sports Med. [2012](#page-11-5);33:497–501.
- <span id="page-32-13"></span>[75] Nelson KM, Weinsier RL, Long CL, et al. Prediction of resting energy expenditure from fat-free mass and fat mass. Am J Clin Nutr. [1992;](#page-11-7)56:848–856.
- <span id="page-32-14"></span>[76] Cunningham JJ. Body composition as a determinant of energy expenditure: a synthetic review and a proposed general prediction equation. Am J Clin Nutr. [1991](#page-11-7);54:963–969.
- <span id="page-32-16"></span>[77] Dougherty KA, Chow M, Larry Kenney W. Critical environmental limits for exercising heat-acclimated lean and obese boys. Eur J Appl Physiol. [2010](#page-11-5);108:779–789. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-009-1290%20134) [1007/s00421-009-1290](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-009-1290%20134)–4
- <span id="page-32-17"></span>[78] Indraganti M, Ooka R, Rijal HB. Thermal comfort in offices in India: behavioral adaptation and the effect of age and gender. Energy Build. [2015](#page-11-8);103:284–295.
- [79] Rupp RF, Ghisi E. Predicting thermal comfort in office buildings in a Brazilian temperate and humid climate. Energy Build. 2017;144:152–166. Available from: [http://](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778816313421) [www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778816313421) [S0378778816313421](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778816313421)
- <span id="page-32-18"></span>[80] Rupp RF, Kim J, de Dear R, et al. Associations of occupant demographics, thermal history and obesity variables with their thermal comfort in air-conditioned and mixed-mode ventilation office buildings. Build Environ. [2018;](#page-11-8)135:1–9. Available from: [http://www.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132318301215) [sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132318301215) [S0360132318301215](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132318301215)
- <span id="page-32-19"></span>[81] Hwang R-L, Lin T-P, Cheng M-J, et al. Patient thermal comfort requirement for hospital environments in Taiwan. Build Environ. [2007](#page-13-2);42:2980–2987. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132306002083) [S0360132306002083](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132306002083)
- <span id="page-32-21"></span>[82] Luo M, Wang Z, Ke K, et al. Human metabolic rate and thermal comfort in buildings: the problem and challenge. Build Environ. [2018](#page-13-3);131:44–52. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132318300052) [S0360132318300052](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132318300052)
- <span id="page-32-22"></span>[83] Taylor NAS. Ethnic differences in thermoregulation: genotypic versus phenotypic heat adaptation. J Therm Biol. [2006;](#page-14-1)31:90–104. Available from: [http://www.scien](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030645650500121X) [cedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030645650500121X](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030645650500121X)
- <span id="page-32-23"></span>[84] Moran EF. Human adaptation to Arctic zones. Annu Rev Anthropol. [1981](#page-14-2);10(1):1–25.
- <span id="page-32-24"></span>[85] Tc IUPS. Glossary of terms for thermal physiology. Third edition. Revised by the commission for thermal physiology of the international union of physiological sciences (IUPS thermal commission). Jpn J Physiol. [2001](#page-14-3);51:245–280.
- <span id="page-33-0"></span>[86] Hemingway A. Shivering. Physiol Rev. [1963](#page-14-4);43:397–422.
- <span id="page-33-30"></span>[87] van Marken Lichtenbelt WD, Kingma BR, Anouk AJJ, et al. Cold exposure–an approach to increasing energy expenditure in humans. Trends Endocrinol Metab. [2014](#page-27-0);25:165–167.
- <span id="page-33-29"></span>[88] van der Lans AA, Hoeks J, Brans B, et al. Cold acclimation recruits human brown fat and iincrease nonshivering thermogenesis. J Clin Invest. [2013](#page-26-0);123(8):3395–3403.
- <span id="page-33-28"></span>[89] Pallubinsky H, Schellen L, Kingma BR, et al. Thermophysiological adaptations to passive mild heat acclimation. Temp (Austin, Tex.). [2017](#page-22-1);4:176–186.
- <span id="page-33-1"></span>[90] Taylor NAS. Human heat adaptation. Compr Physiol. [2014](#page-14-4);4:325–365.
- <span id="page-33-2"></span>[91] Memon RA, Chirarattananon S, Vangtook P. Thermal comfort assessment and application of radiant cooling: a case study. Build Environ. [2008;](#page-16-0)43:1185–1323.
- <span id="page-33-3"></span>[92] Candido C, de Dear R, Lamberts R, et al. Cooling exposure in hot humid climates: are occupants 'addicted'? Transform Mark Built Environ Routledge. [2012](#page-16-1);p. 59–64.
- [93] Daghigh R, Adam NM, Sahari BB. Ventilation parameters and thermal comfort of naturally and mechanically ventilated offices. Indoor Built Environ. 2009;18:113–122.
- <span id="page-33-4"></span>[94] Yang W, Zhang G. Thermal comfort in naturally ventilated and air-conditioned buildings in humid subtropical climate zone in China. Int J Biometeorol. [2008](#page-16-2);52:385–398.
- <span id="page-33-5"></span>[95] Krauchi K. How is the circadian rhythm of core body temperature regulated? Clin Auton Res Germany. [2002](#page-16-3);p. 147–149.
- <span id="page-33-6"></span>[96] Karyono TH. Report on thermal comfort and building energy studies in Jakarta—Indonesia. Build Environ. [2000](#page-16-4);35:77–1323.
- <span id="page-33-7"></span>[97] Wagner A, Gossauer E, Moosmann C, et al. Thermal comfort and workplace occupant satisfaction: results of field studies in German low energy office buildings. Energy Build. [2007](#page-16-4);39:758–769. Available from: [http://](http://gse.cat.org.uk/downloads/rel_pap_office_buildings.pdf) [gse.cat.org.uk/downloads/rel\\_pap\\_office\\_buildings.pdf](http://gse.cat.org.uk/downloads/rel_pap_office_buildings.pdf)
- <span id="page-33-8"></span>[98] ISO. Ergonomics of the thermal environment—assessment of the influence ofthe thermal environment using subjective judgement scales, (BS EN ISO 10551:2001). London: BSI; [2001](#page-16-5).
- <span id="page-33-9"></span>[99] Paciuk M. The role of personal control of the environment in thermal comfort and satisfaction at the workplace. Environ Des Res Assoc. [1990](#page-16-6);21:303–312.
- <span id="page-33-10"></span>[100] Hawighorst M, Schweiker M, Wagner A. Thermo-specific self-efficacy (specSE) in relation to perceived comfort and control. Build Environ. [2016](#page-17-2);102:193–206.
- <span id="page-33-11"></span>[101] Zhou X, Ouyang Q, Zhu Y, et al. Experimental study of the influence of anticipated control on human thermal sensation and thermal comfort. Indoor Air. [2014;](#page-17-3)24:171–177.
- <span id="page-33-12"></span>[102] Auliciems A, Parlow J. Thermal comfort and personality. Build Serv Eng. [1975;](#page-17-4)43:94–97.
- <span id="page-33-13"></span>[103] Peeters L, de Dear RJ, Hensen J, et al. Thermal comfort in residential buildings: comfort values and scales for building energy simulation. Appl Energy. [2009](#page-18-0);86:772–780.
- <span id="page-33-14"></span>[104] Mishra AK, Loomans MGLC, Hensen JLM. Thermal comfort of heterogeneous and dynamic indoor conditions — an overview. Build Environ. [2016](#page-18-1);109:82–100.
- <span id="page-33-15"></span>[105] Halawa E, Van Hoof J, Soebarto V. The impacts of the thermal radiation field on thermal comfort, energy consumption and control - A critical overview. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. [2014;](#page-18-2)37:907–918.
- <span id="page-33-16"></span>[106] Schellen L, Loomans MGLC, De Wit MH, et al. Effects of different cooling principles on thermal sensation and physiological responses. Energy Build. [2013;](#page-18-3)62:116–125.
- <span id="page-33-17"></span>[107] Schellen L, Loomans MGLC, de Wit MH, et al. The influence of local effects on thermal sensation under non-uniform environmental conditions – Gender differences in thermophysiology, thermal comfort and productivity during convective and radiant cooling. Physiol Behav. [2012;](#page-19-0)107:252–261.
- <span id="page-33-18"></span>[108] Griffiths ID, McIntyre DA. Sensitivity to temporal variations in thermal conditions. Ergonomics. [1974;](#page-19-1)17:499–507.
- <span id="page-33-22"></span>[109] Berglund L, Gonzales R. Human response to temperature drifts. Ashrae J. [1978;](#page-19-2)20:38–41.
- [110] Gonzalez RR, Berglund LG, Gagge AP. Indices of thermoregulatory strain for moderate exercise in the heat. J Appl Physiol. 1978;44:889–899.
- <span id="page-33-20"></span>[111] Rohles JRF, Laviana JE, Wruck R. The human response to temperature drifts in a simulated office environment. ASHRAE Trans. [1985](#page-19-1);91:116–123.
- <span id="page-33-24"></span>[112] Hensen JLM. Literature review on thermal comfort in transient conditions. Build Environ. [1990](#page-19-3);25:309–316. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/036013239090004B) [article/pii/036013239090004B](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/036013239090004B)
- <span id="page-33-21"></span>[113] Kolarik J, Olesen B, Toftum J Human thermal comfort in environments with moderately drifting operative temperatures: state of the art and current research. Proc. Energy Effic. Technol. Indoor Environ. Gliwice, Poland; [2005](#page-19-4).
- <span id="page-33-19"></span>[114] Schellen L, van Marken Lichtenbelt WD, Loomans MGLC, et al. Differences between young adults and elderly in thermal comfort, productivity, and thermal physiology in response to a moderate temperature drift and a steadystate condition. Indoor Air. [2010](#page-19-5);20:273–283.
- <span id="page-33-23"></span>[115] Wyon D, Bruun N, Olesen S. Factors affecting the subjective tolerance of ambient temperature swings. In: Salmark H, editor. Proc. 5th Int. Congr. heating, Vent. air Cond. Copenhagen. [1971](#page-19-6). p. 87–107.
- <span id="page-33-25"></span>[116] Mishra AK, Kramer RP, Loomans MGLC, et al. Development of thermal discernment among visitors: results from a field study in the Hermitage Amsterdam. Build Environ. [2016](#page-19-7);105:40–49.
- <span id="page-33-26"></span>[117] Cabanac M. Physiological role of pleasure. Science. [1971](#page-19-8);173:1103–1107.
- <span id="page-33-27"></span>[118] Parkinson T, de Dear R, Candido C. Thermal pleasure in built environments: alliesthesia in different thermoregulatory zones. Build Res Inf. [2016](#page-19-9);44:20–33. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2015.1059653) [1059653](https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2015.1059653)
- <span id="page-34-0"></span>[119] Zhang H, Arens E, Zhai Y. A review of the corrective power of personal comfort systems in non-neutral ambient environments. Build Environ. [2015](#page-19-10);91:15–41.
- <span id="page-34-1"></span>[120] Jazizadeh F, Ghahramani A, Becerik-Gerber B, et al. User-led decentralized thermal comfort driven HVAC operations for improved efficiency in office buildings. Energy Build. [2014](#page-19-11);70:398–410.
- <span id="page-34-2"></span>[121] Kim J, Zhou Y, Schiavon S, et al. Personal comfort models: predicting individuals' thermal preference using occupant heating and cooling behavior and machine learning. Build Environ. [2018](#page-19-12);129:96–106.
- <span id="page-34-3"></span>[122] Veselý M, Zeiler W. Personalized conditioning and its impact on thermal comfort and energy performance – A review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. [2014](#page-20-0);34:401–408. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032114001853) [article/pii/S1364032114001853](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032114001853)
- <span id="page-34-4"></span>[123] Zhai Y, Zhang H, Zhang Y, et al. Comfort under personally controlled air movement in warm and humid environments. Build Environ. [2013;](#page-20-1)65:109– 117. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132313001042) [science/article/pii/S0360132313001042.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132313001042)
- <span id="page-34-5"></span>[124] Zhang Y, Zhao R. Effect of local exposure on human responses. Build Environ. [2007;](#page-20-2)42:2737–2745.
- <span id="page-34-6"></span>[125] Pallubinsky H, Schellen L, Rieswijk TA, et al. Local cooling in a warm environment. Energy Build. [2016](#page-20-3);113:15–22. Available from: [http://www.sciencedir](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778815304527) [ect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778815304527](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778815304527)
- <span id="page-34-7"></span>[126] Zhang YF, Wyon DP, Fang L, et al. The influence of heated or cooled seats on the acceptable ambient temperature range. Ergonomics. [2007;](#page-20-4)50:586–600.
- <span id="page-34-8"></span>[127] Foda E, Sirén K. Design strategy for maximizing the energy-efficiency of a localized floor-heating system using a thermal manikin with human thermoregulatory control. Energy Build. [2012](#page-20-5);51:111–121.
- <span id="page-34-9"></span>[128] De Carli M, Olesen BW, Zarrella A, et al. People's clothing behaviour according to external weather and indoor environment. Build Environ. [2007](#page-20-6);42:3965–3973.
- [129] Morgan C, de Dear RJ. Weather, clothing and thermal adaptation to indoor climate. Clim Res. 2003;24:267–284.
- <span id="page-34-10"></span>[130] Schiavon S, Lee KH. Dynamic predictive clothing insulation models based on outdoor air and indoor operative temperatures. Build Environ. [2013](#page-20-7);59:250–260. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132312002260) [article/pii/S0360132312002260](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132312002260)
- <span id="page-34-11"></span>[131] De VR, Lamberts R, Candido CM. The role of clothing in thermal comfort: how people dress in a temperate and humid climate in Brazil. scielo: Ambient. Construído; [2017](#page-20-8). p. p. 69–81.
- <span id="page-34-12"></span>[132] Roza AM, Shizgal HM. The Harris Benedict equation reevaluated: resting energy requirements and the body cell mass. Am J Clin Nutr. [1984;](#page-20-9)40:168–182.
- <span id="page-34-13"></span>[133] Kramer R, Schellen L, Schellen H, et al. Improving rational thermal comfort prediction by using subpopulation characteristics: a case study at Hermitage Amsterdam. Temperature. [2017;](#page-20-10)4:187–197. doi:[10.1080/23328940.2017.1301851](https://doi.org/10.1080/23328940.2017.1301851). PMID:28680934
- <span id="page-34-14"></span>[134] Veicsteinas A, Ferretti G, Rennie DW. Superficial shell insulation in resting and exercising men in cold water. J Appl Physiol. [1982;](#page-20-11)52:1557–1564.
- <span id="page-34-15"></span>[135] Hayward MG, Keatinge WR. Roles of subcutaneous fat and thermoregulatory reflexes in determining ability to stabilize body temperature in water. J Physiol. [1981;](#page-20-11)320:229–251.
- <span id="page-34-16"></span>[136] Kingma BR, Frijns AJH, Saris WHM, et al. Increased systolic blood pressure after mild cold and rewarming: relation to cold-induced thermogenesis and age. Acta Physiol (Oxf). [2011;](#page-20-12)203:419–427.
- <span id="page-34-17"></span>[137] DeGroot DW, Kenney WL. Impaired defense of core temperature in aged humans during mild cold stress. Am J Physiol Integr Comp Physiol. [2007](#page-20-12);292:R103–R108.
- <span id="page-34-18"></span>[138] Du Bois D, Du Bois EF. A formula to estimate the approximate surface area if height and weight be known 1916. Nutrition. [1989](#page-20-13);5:303.
- <span id="page-34-19"></span>[139] Plasqui G, Westerterp KR. Seasonal variation in total energy expenditure and physical activity in Dutch young adults. Obes Res. [2004;](#page-22-1)12:688–694.
- <span id="page-34-20"></span>[140] Hori S. Adaptation to heat. Jpn J Physiol. [1995](#page-22-2);45:921–946.
- <span id="page-34-21"></span>[141] Daanen HAM. Van Marken Lichtenbelt WD. Human whole body cold adaptation. Temperature. [2016;](#page-22-3)3:104–8940. doi:[10.1080/23328940.2015.1135688](https://doi.org/10.1080/23328940.2015.1135688) PMID:27227100
- <span id="page-34-22"></span>[142] Périard JD, Racinais S, Sawka MN. Adaptations and mechanisms of human heat acclimation: applications for competitive athletes and sports. Scand J Med Sci Sports. [2015;](#page-23-1)25:20–7188.
- <span id="page-34-23"></span>[143] Daanen HAM, Racinais S, Periard JD. Heat acclimation decay and re-induction: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Sports Med. [2018;](#page-23-1)48:409–430.
- <span id="page-34-24"></span>[144] Lericollais R, Gauthier A, Bessot N, et al. Morning anaerobic performance is not altered by vigilance impairment. PLoS One. [2013;](#page-23-0)8:e58638. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058638>
- <span id="page-34-25"></span>[145] Thews G, Mutschler E, Vaupel P. Anatomie, Physiologie, Pathophysiologie des Menschen. Stuttgart: Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft mbH; [1999](#page-24-1).
- [146] Rabasa C, Dickson SL. Impact of stress on metabolism and energy balance. Curr Opin Behav Sci. 2016;9:71–77. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352154616300183) [article/pii/S2352154616300183](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352154616300183)
- <span id="page-34-26"></span>[147] Haase CG, Long AK, Gillooly JF. Energetics of stress: linking plasma cortisol levels to metabolic rate in mammals. Biol Lett. [2016](#page-24-1);12. Available from: [http://rsbl.royalso](http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/12/1/20150867.abstract) [cietypublishing.org/content/12/1/20150867.abstract](http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/12/1/20150867.abstract)
- <span id="page-34-27"></span>[148] Ring JW, de Dear R. Temperature transients: a model for heat diffusion through the skin, thermoreceptor response and thermal sensation. Indoor Air. [1991;](#page-25-1)1:448–456.
- <span id="page-34-28"></span>[149] Hanssen MJW, Aajj VDL, Brans B, et al. Short-term cold acclimation recruits brown adipose tissue in obese humans. Diabetes. [2016;](#page-26-0)65:1179–1797.
- <span id="page-34-29"></span>[150] Huebner GM, Shipworth D. Emotions and thermal comfort – feeling warmer when feeling happier. In: Int Conf Environ Psychol Coruna. [2017](#page-26-1). p. 136–137.
- <span id="page-35-7"></span>[151] Liu W, Deng Q, Ma W, et al. Feedback from human adaptive behavior to neutral temperature in naturally ventilated buildings: physical and psychological paths. Build Environ. [2013;](#page-27-1)67:240–249. Available from: [http://](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132313001753) [www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132313001753) [S0360132313001753](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360132313001753)
- <span id="page-35-8"></span>[152] Johnson JM. Exercise in a hot environment: the skin circulation. Scand J Med Sci Sports. [2010;](#page-27-2)20(Suppl 3):29–39.
- <span id="page-35-9"></span>[153] Hancock PA, Vasmatzidis I. Human occupational and performance limits under stress: the thermal environment as a prototypical example. Ergonomics. [1998](#page-27-3);41:1169–1191. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1080/001401398186469) [1080/001401398186469](https://doi.org/10.1080/001401398186469)
- <span id="page-35-10"></span>[154] Kovats RS, Hajat S. Heat stress and public health: a critical review. Annu Rev Public Health. [2008;](#page-27-4)29:41–55. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pub](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.020907.090843) [lhealth.29.020907.090843](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.020907.090843)
- <span id="page-35-11"></span>[155] Lorenzo S, Minson CT. Heat acclimation improves cutaneous vascular function and sweating in trained cyclists. J Appl Physiol. [2010](#page-27-0);109:1736–1743.
- [156] Johnson F, Mavrogianni A, Ucci M, et al. Could increased time spent in a thermal comfort zone contribute to population increases in obesity . Obes Rev. 2011;12:543–551.
- <span id="page-35-12"></span>[157] van Marken Lichtenbelt WD, Kingma BR. Building and occupant energetics: a physiological hypothesis. Archit Sci Rev. [2013;](#page-27-0)56:48–53.
- <span id="page-35-13"></span>[158] Pallubinsky H, Kingma BR, Schellen L, et al. The effect of warmth acclimation on behaviour, thermophysiology and perception. Build Res Inf. [2017;](#page-27-5)45:800–807.
- <span id="page-35-14"></span>[159] Hanssen MJW, Hoeks J, Brans B, et al. Short-term cold acclimation improves insulin sensitivity in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nat Med. [2015;](#page-27-6)21:863–865.
- <span id="page-35-15"></span>[160] Adger WN, Dessai S, Goulden M, et al. Are there social limits to adaptation to climate change? Clim Change. [2009](#page-27-7);93:335–354. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9520-z) [1007/s10584-008-9520-z](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9520-z)
- <span id="page-35-16"></span>[161] Stevens CJ, Plews DJ, Laursen PB, et al. Acute physiological and perceptual responses to wearing

additional clothing while cycling outdoors in a temperate environment:A practical method to increase the heat load. Temperature. [2017](#page-27-8);4:414–419. doi:[10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/23328940.2017.1365108) [23328940.2017.1365108](https://doi.org/10.1080/23328940.2017.1365108). PMID:29435480

- <span id="page-35-0"></span>[162] Boerstra AC, Te Kulve M, Toftum J, et al. Comfort and performance impact of personal control over thermal environment in summer: results from a laboratory study. Build Environ. [2015;](#page-17-5)87:315–326. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2014.12.022>
- <span id="page-35-1"></span>[163] Brager GS, Paliaga G, de Dear RJ. Operable windows, personal control, and occupant comfort. ASHRAE Trans. [2004](#page-17-6);110(Part 2):17–35. Available from:: https:// [escholarship.org/uc/item/](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4x57v1pf%23page%20131) [4x57v1pf#page](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4x57v1pf%23page%20131)–1
- <span id="page-35-2"></span>[164] Cao B, Zhu Y, Li M, et al. Individual and district heating: A comparison of residential heating modes with an analysis of adaptive thermal comfort. Energy Build. [2014](#page-17-7);78:17–24.
- <span id="page-35-3"></span>[165] Luo M, Cao B, Ji W, et al. The underlying linkage between personal control and thermal comfort : psychological or physical effects ? Energy Build. [2016](#page-17-8);111:56–63.
- <span id="page-35-4"></span>[166] Luo M, Cao B, Zhou X, et al. Can personal control influence human thermal comfort ? A field study in residential buildings in China in winter. Energy Build. [2014](#page-17-9);72:411–418.
- <span id="page-35-5"></span>[167] Schweiker M, Brasche S, Bischof W, et al. Development and validation of a methodology to challenge the adaptive comfort model. Build Environ. [2012;](#page-17-10)49:336–347.
- <span id="page-35-6"></span>[168] Zhai Y, Arens E, Elsworth K, et al. Selecting air speeds for cooling at sedentary and non-sedentary of fi ce activity levels. Build Environ. [2017](#page-17-11);122:247–257.
- <span id="page-35-17"></span>[169] Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS, et al. Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. Med Sci Sports Exerc. [1993](#page-29-5);25:71–80.
- <span id="page-35-18"></span>[170] Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, et al. Compendium of physical activities: a second update of codes and MET values.. Med Sci Sports Exerc. [2011](#page-29-5);2011(43):1575–1581.