Transport and health expertise can help meet the World Health Organization’s goals for global climate change and noncommunicable disease prevention
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At the opening of the conference of the International Society for Physical Activity and Health (http://www.ispah.org/london-2018/) in October, we were reminded that the science of physical activity and health began with Morris’s study of bus drivers and bus conductors (Morris & Raffles, 1954). Both incidence of and mortality from ischaemic heart disease were lower among conductors than drivers, two groups of men from similar demographic and socio-economic groups and with the same working environment - at first glance. Morris hypothesised that this difference in heart disease was due to a beneficial effect of physical activity: drivers were sedentary while conductors walked and climbed stairs all day (as they worked on double-decker buses, collecting fares). Physical inactivity is not the only hazard for professional drivers: Senthanar and colleagues (2018, this issue) examining musculoskeletal problems among truck drivers find a high proportion of modifiable factors associated with the drivers’ high prevalence of musculoskeletal problems.

Having begun with occupational activity, the physical activity research field then largely moved focus to leisure-time activity. In the past couple of decades, researchers as well as policy-makers and practitioners have given greater consideration to physical activity occurring during travel, i.e. “active travel”. Walking and bicycling are the most obvious modes of active travel, but some researchers include public transport given that use of buses for travel is associated with greater travel-related physical activity among passengers (Coronini-Cronberg, 2012). In this issue, Patterson et al (2018) report that uptake of the free bus pass was greater among study participants from minority ethnic groups. Given that members of minority ethnic groups in England have lower average levels of leisure-time physical activity, free bus travel may be of particular importance to this group. Work by Reinhard and her colleagues (2018) suggests that the free bus pass has increased actual use of public transport. They also showed that use of the free bus travel also improves memory and reduces loneliness and depression. This fits with McCarthy and Habib’s finding, reported in this issue, that daily travel is associated with life satisfaction (McCarthy and Habib, 2018).

Travelling on foot or by bicycle instead of by car avoids imposing risk on others through air pollution (WHO, 2017), noise (Babisch, 2008), injury (Scholes et al, 2018), or community severance (Bradbury...
2014), in addition to the personal health benefits from physical activity. Riiser and colleagues (2018, in this issue) show cross-sectional associations between cycling for travel and lower adjusted odds of obesity, self-reported diabetes, and low levels of high density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, even after taking into account walking, leisure physical activity, and socio-demographic factors in a multi-ethnic sample of people in Oslo, Norway living in low socio-economic areas. Walking for travel in this cross-sectional sample was inversely associated with raised systolic blood pressure. In France, as reported by Menai et al. (2018), objective measures of obesity, including abdominal obesity and percentage body fat, were inversely related to self-reported walking >2.5 h/week in women, while cycling >1.5 h/week was associated with the same health measures in men and women, with larger effect sizes in men. The greatest difference was among those who used both travel modes; walking had a smaller association than cycling.

Recognition of the importance of air pollution and its contribution to disease and inequalities has been rising recently. The World Health Organization has now included air pollution as a major health risk contributing to noncommunicable diseases (NCDs). A study conducted in Barcelona in 2011 found that although travel accounted for only 6% of people’s time, it contributed 11% of their exposure to NO\textsubscript{2}, and 24% of the NO\textsubscript{2} they inhaled (de Nazelle et al, 2013). Helping individuals select a route to reduce their exposure to air pollution might therefore be welcomed. However, Haddad and de Nazelle (2018) report in this issue that an app to do so did not influence travel-related behaviour despite participants’ prior expectations. Knowledge is only one of the factors influencing people’s behaviour – a fact that is often forgotten in policy-making.

The 2018 resolution of the World Health Assembly includes a goal to reduce physical inactivity by 10% by 2025 and 15% by 2030 (WHO, 2018a). The World Health Organization (WHO) has now launched a technical package to help countries achieve these goals (WHO, 2018b). Ambient air pollution causes almost 8% of noncommunicable diseases globally, particularly in middle income countries (WHO, 2017). Both air pollution and physical inactivity can be addressed by a shift from private motor cars to active travel, particularly through fostering a popular culture of valuing physical activity, creating active environments, and taking a whole systems approach, helping to meet Sustainable Development Goals and improving health in every country worldwide, regardless of their national income or Human Development Index. Effective approaches include improved urban planning and compact cities, where journeys are shorter and less car-dependent, combined with improvements in public transport (WHO, 2017) but it is disappointing that the focus is on replacement of older diesel vehicles alone rather than changing infrastructure and promoting a culture of minimising car use. Even with electric cars powered by green energy sources, the problems of particulate air pollution from brakes and tyres persist (Padoan and Amato, 2018). Researchers, policy-makers and practitioners in the field of transport and health can play a major role in generating, disseminating and implementing evidence-based policies and interventions to help achieve the WHO goals for activity and for outdoor air pollution. Together we can push for effective changes to the environments in which people make their choices. I hope that the Journal of Transport and Health - and many articles in this issue - are making their contribution.
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