## 4 Conclusions

The presented research has investigated the capabilities and advantage of a bistatic approach for landmine recognition. In particular, three different representative landmines have been investigated, each of them with a different external and internal design. The outcomes demonstrated that acquiring the signature changing the transmitter and receiver separation could yield additional information on the eventual presence of internal components, feature which is unlikely to be present in commonly encountered clutter objects. Hence, the possibility of detecting this feature, which can be considered as a discriminant characteristic, could significantly improve the performance of GPR and enhance its deployment as a landmine detection sensor. These results should be compared to the equivalent signatures of clutter targets, to further demonstrate the efficacy of this acquisition approach.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Find A Better Way charity for their support of this research under the DETERMINE programme (grant number 2015/001D). We also thank the Defence Academy Ammunition Hall for providing the real landmines used for the experiments.

## References

- [1] D. Daniels, Ground Penetrating Radar, IEE ed., 2004.
- [2] D. W. Paglieroni, D. H. Chambers, J. E. Mas, S. A. Bond and N. Reginald Beer, "Imaging Mode for Ground Penetrating Radar and Their Selaction Detection Performance," IEEE J. on Selection Selection Performance, and Remote Scas., 8(3),pp. 1132-1144, (2015).
- [3] M. Lualdi, F. Lombardi, "Effect of alcomol orientation on 3-D ground penetra ng radar surveys: an archaeological perspective cooples. J. Int., 196(2), pp. 818-827,(2014).
- [4] L.B. Conyers, "cround-enetrating radar for archaeology". A andra 2002 2013).
- [5] M. Lualdi, F. Ilambard, "Utilities detection through the sum of orthogonal poly ization in 3D georadar surveys," *Near Sun*, Scophys., 13(1), pp. 73-81, (2015).
- [6] L.B. Cia poli, A. Benedetto, L. Pajewski, A.M. Alani, F. Tosti. 'A comparison between different central frequencies of investigation in buried utility detection through GPR: A study case". 16th Int. Conf. on GPR, (2016).
- [7] J.L. Davis, A.P. Annan "Ground Penetrating Radar for high resolution mapping of soil and rock stratigraphy", Geophys. Prosp, 37(5), pp. 531-551 (1989).
- [8] C. Dorn, N. Linde, J. Doetsch, T. Le Borgne, O. Bour, "Fracture imaging within a granitic rock aquifer using multiple-offset single-hole and cross-hole GPR

- reflection data", J. of Appl. Geophys. 78, pp. 123-132, (2012).
- [9] D. J. Daniels, "A review of GPR for landmine detection", Sensing and Imaging: An international journal, 7(3), 90-123, (2006).
- [10] G. Hamann, J. Tronicke, C.M. Steelman, A.L. Endres, "Spectral velocity analysis for the determination of ground-wave velocities and their uncertainties in multioffset GPR data". Near Surf. Geophys.,11(2), pp. 167-176, (2013).
- [11] E. Forte, M. Pipan, "Review of multi-offset GPR applications: Data acquisition, processic and analysis", Sig. Proc., 132, pp. 210-220, (2016).
- [12] T. Counts, A.C. Gurbuz, W.R. Scott J.H. McClellan, K.Kim, "Multistatic greant predicting radar experiments". IEEE trass. on geosci. and remote sens., 45(8), pp. 2544-2553, (2003).
- [13] Lualdi, M., Lombardi F. "Ormogonal polarization approach for three comencional georadar surveys". NDT & E Int, 60, 87-80 (2005).
- [14] Yilmaz, Ö. eismic ata analysis', Society of exploration geophysicists, (2001).
- [15] Collabor five ORL nance data repository, James Madison V vers ty, http://ordata.info/.