

STAC3 variants cause a congenital myopathy with distinctive dysmorphic features and malignant hyperthermia susceptibility

Irina Zaharieva¹, Anna Sarkozy^{1,2}, Pinki Munot^{1,2}, Adnan Manzur^{1,2}, Gina O'Grady^{3,4}, John Rendu⁵, Eduardo Malfatti⁶, Helge Amthor^{7,8}, Laurent Servais⁹, J. Andoni Urtizberea¹⁰, Osorio Abath Neto^{11,12}, Edmar Zanoteli¹¹, Sandra Donkervoort¹², Juliet Taylor¹³, Joanne Dixon¹⁴, Gemma Poke¹⁵, A. Reghan Foley¹², Chris Holmes², Glyn Williams², Muriel Holder¹⁶, Sabrina Yum¹⁷, Livija Medne¹⁸, Susana Quijano-Roy^{8, 19}, Norma B. Romero⁶, Julien Fauré⁵, Lucy Feng¹, Laila Bastaki²⁰, Mark R Davis²¹, Rahul Phadke^{1,2}, Caroline A. Sewry^{1,22}, Carsten G. Bönnemann¹², Heinz Jungbluth^{16,23,24}, Christoph Bachmann²⁵, Susan Treves^{25,26}, Francesco Muntoni^{1,2,27}

1 Dubowitz Neuromuscular Centre, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK;

2 Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, UK

3 Children's Hospital at Westmead, Institute of Neuroscience and Muscle Research, Locked Bag 4001, Westmead, Sydney, NSW, Australia

4 University of Sydney, Discipline of Paediatrics and Child Health Clinical School, Children's Hospital at Westmead, Locked Bag 4001, Westmead, Sydney, NSW, Australia

5 Université Grenoble Alpes, UFR de Médecine, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Grenoble Alpes, UM Biochimie Génétique et Moléculaire, Inserm, U1216, F-38000 Grenoble, France

6 Neuromuscular Morphology Unit and Neuromuscular Pathology Reference Center Paris-Est, Center for Research in Myology, Groupe Hospitalier Universitaire La Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

7 Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines University, INSERM U1179, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France

8 Pediatric Department, University Hospital Raymond Poincaré, Garches, France

9 Institute I-Motion, Hôpital Armand Trousseau, Paris, France

10 Centre de Compétence Neuromusculaire, FILNEMUS, Hôpital Marin, Hendaye, France

11 Departamento de Neurologia, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo (FMUSP), São Paulo, Brazil

12 Neuromuscular and Neurogenetic Disorders of Childhood Section, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

13 Genetic Health Service New Zealand, Auckland, New Zealand

14 Genetic Health Service New Zealand, Christchurch, New Zealand

15 Genetic Health Service New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand

16 Department of Clinical Genetics, Guy's Hospital, London, UK

17 Division of Neurology, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, USA

18 Division of Human Genetics, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, USA

19 Université de Versailles, Centre de Référence Neuromusculaire GNMH, FILNEMUS, France

20 Kuwait Medical Genetics Centre, Maternity Hospital, Safat, Kuwait

21 Department of Diagnostic Genomics, Pathwest Laboratory Medicine, QEII Medical Centre, Nedlands, Western Australia, Australia

22 Wolfson Centre for Inherited Neuromuscular Diseases, RJAH Orthopaedic Hospital, Oswestry, UK

23 Randall Division for Cell and Molecular Biophysics, Muscle Signaling Section, King's College, London, UK

24 Department of Basic and Clinical Neuroscience, IoPPN, King's College London, London, UK

25 Department of Anesthesia and Biomedicine, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

26 Department of Life Sciences, University of Ferrara, 44100 Ferrara, Italy

27 NIHR Great Ormond Street Hospital Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK

Correspondence to:

Dr Irina Zaharieva

Institute of Child Health

University College London

30 Guilford Street

London WC1N 1EH

E-mail: i.zaharieva@ucl.ac.uk

Running title: STAC3 variants in a congenital myopathy

Grant sponsors: European Commission Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 2012-305121 “Integrated European –omics research project for diagnosis and therapy in rare neuromuscular and neurodegenerative diseases (NEUROMICS)”.

SNF (Grant number 31003A-169316)

European Commission Horizon 2020 under grant agreement 779257 “Solving the unsolved Rare Diseases (Solve-RD)”.

Muscular Dystrophy Association under grant agreement MDA577346 “Novel CMD and CMY genes: Discovery and functional analysis.”

Abstract

STAC3 is an essential component of the skeletal muscle excitation-contraction coupling (ECC) machinery, though its role and function are not yet completely understood.

Here, we report 18 patients carrying a homozygous p.(Trp284Ser) STAC3 variant in addition to a patient compound heterozygous for the p.(Trp284Ser) and a novel splice site change (c.997-1G>T). Clinical severity ranged from prenatal onset with severe features at birth, to a milder and slowly progressive congenital myopathy phenotype. A Malignant Hyperthermia (MH) -like reaction had occurred in several patients.

The functional analysis demonstrated impaired ECC. In particular, KCl induced membrane depolarisation resulted in significantly reduced sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ release. Co-immunoprecipitation of STAC3 with Cav1.1 in patients and control muscle samples showed that the protein interaction between STAC3 and Cav1.1 was not significantly affected by the *STAC3* variants.

This study demonstrates that *STAC3* gene analysis should be included in the diagnostic work up of patients of any ethnicity presenting with congenital myopathy, in particular if a history of MH-like episodes is reported. Whilst the precise pathomechanism remains to be elucidated, our functional characterisation of *STAC3* variants revealed that defective ECC is not a result of Cav1.1 sarcolemma mislocalization or impaired STAC3-Cav1.1 interaction.

Keywords: STAC3; congenital myopathy; malignant hyperthermia; excitation-contraction coupling

Introduction

ECC is a process in which consecutive events lead from the depolarisation of the muscle fibre membrane to increased cytosolic Ca^{2+} release and initiation of muscle contraction. ECC occurs at specialised structures, termed triads, composed of a T-tubule and two lateral sacs of sarcoplasmic reticulum. The two major components of the ECC protein complex are the L-type voltage-sensitive Ca^{2+} channel (DHPR) and the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} release channel RyR1 (Rios & Pizarro, 1991). Although the role of DHPR and RyR1 in ECC has been extensively investigated, the precise regulatory mechanism underlying the mechanical coupling in skeletal muscle ECC and whether additional protein components are required is currently not entirely understood.

SH3 and cysteine-rich domain-containing protein 3 (STAC3) (MIM# 615521) is essential for muscle contraction by facilitating ECC through a not completely understood mechanism (Horstick et al., 2013; Nelson et al., 2013). STAC3 (Uniprot# Q96MF2) is specifically expressed in skeletal muscle (Bower et al., 2012; Horstick et al., 2013; Reinholt, Ge, Cong, Gerrard, & Jiang, 2013), it is localised to the triads (Horstick et al., 2013; Nelson et al., 2013) and interacts with RyR1 and the DHPR complex (Horstick et al., 2013). More recently it was shown that STAC3 interacts with the $\text{Ca}_v1.1$ II-III loop (Polster, Nelson, Papadopoulos, Olson, & Beam, 2018; Wong King Yuen, Campiglio, Tung, Flucher, & Van Petegem, 2017), a region previously identified as critical for the conformational coupling with RyR1 and for skeletal muscle ECC (Grabner, Dirksen, Suda, & Beam, 1999; Nakai, Tanabe, Konno, Adams, & Beam, 1998).

The relevance of STAC3 in coupling the membrane depolarisation to Ca^{2+} release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum has been demonstrated using mouse and zebrafish models.

Homozygous *Stac3* knockout mice (KO) are paralysed and die shortly after birth due to hypoxia as a result of paralysed respiratory muscles (Nelson et al., 2013), a phenotype similar to other animal models with disrupted ECC (Flucher, Phillips, & Powell, 1991; Nishi, 1995). The complete lack of *Stac3* in the spontaneous zebrafish mutant *mi34* led to an aberrant locomotor phenotype and lethality of fish at the larval stage (Horstick et al., 2013). Notably, the skeletal muscles from zebrafish and mouse *Stac3*-null animals displayed null (Nelson et al., 2013; Reinholt et al., 2013) or significantly reduced (Cong, Doering, Mazala, et al., 2016; Horstick et al., 2013) ability to contract following membrane depolarisation. In the mutant animals neither the contractile apparatus nor RyR1 function appeared directly affected as addition of RyR1 agonists such as 4-CMC or caffeine were able to induce muscle contraction with similar force magnitude compared to the wild-type animals (Horstick et al., 2013; Nelson et al., 2013). Defects in the nervous system were also unlikely since tactile stimulation induced synaptic responses in the mutant *mi34* zebrafish (Horstick et al., 2013). These data indicated that the abolished ability of *stac3*-null muscles to contract in response to depolarization was a result of defective ECC caused by the lack of *Stac3*.

The role of *STAC3* in ECC has further been investigated in several recent studies. In heterologous cells (tsA201) *Stac3* has been shown to be important for the trafficking of Cav1.1 (the pore forming subunit of DHPR) to the plasma membrane (Polster, Perni, Bichraoui, & Beam, 2015). Subsequent studies from the same group and others, however reported that in *Stac3* KO myotubes, the failure of ECC was not a result of a trafficking defect of Cav1.1. Albeit at reduced levels, Cav1.1 was present on the plasma membrane but was not able to generate ECC Ca²⁺ currents (Linsley, Hsu, Wang, & Kuwada, 2017; Polster, Nelson, Olson, & Beam, 2016). The ECC was only restored after expression of the WT *Stac3*, demonstrating that *Stac3* is important for the functional link between Cav1.1 and RyR1 (Linsley, Hsu, Wang, et al., 2017; Polster et al., 2016).

The first report linking variants in *STAC3* to a human disorder described a homozygous missense *STAC3* variant p.(Trp284Ser) as causative for Native American Myopathy (NAM; MIM# 255995) (Horstick et al., 2013). NAM is an autosomal recessive disorder found in the Lumbee Indian population of North Carolina and characterized by congenital muscle weakness, delayed motor development, susceptibility to MH, multiple joint contractures, short stature, scoliosis, and distinctive facial features such as ptosis, palate abnormalities and long and narrow face (Stamm et al., 2008). Recently, recessive variants in *STAC3* have been reported in patients with features overlapping Carey-Fineman-Ziter and Moebius syndrome (Grzybowski et al., 2017; Telegrafi et al., 2017).

Here, we describe 18 patients carrying *STAC3* pathogenic variants, the largest cohort of patients with *STAC3*-related congenital myopathy studied up to now. Importantly, the patients investigated here were not of Native American origin. Seventeen patients, of African, Middle Eastern, Afro-Caribbean, Comorian and South American descent, carried the previously described homozygous NAM p.Trp284Ser *STAC3* variant and one patient, of African/Afro-Caribbean descent, was compound heterozygous for the NAM variant in one allele and a novel c.997-1G>T splice site variant in the other allele.

Materials and methods

Ethical approval

Informed consent was obtained from all patients or from their parents or legal guardians. The study was approved by the Health Research Authority, NRES Committee East of England – Hatfield (REC 13/EE/0398) and National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health (12-N-0095).

Whole exome and Sanger sequencing

Whole exome sequencing (WES) was carried out in the probands from families PN1, PN3, PN9, PN10 and PN12. Targeted panel sequencing of known congenital myopathy genes was performed in the probands from families PN4, PN5, PN6, PN7, PN8 and PN11. Sanger sequencing was used to identify the *STAC3* c.851G>C p.(Trp284Ser) variant in the affected cases from PN2.

Validation of the *STAC3* variants and segregation analysis in family members were carried out using Sanger sequencing.

The novel *STAC3* c.997-1G>T variant identified in PN5 has been submitted to Leiden Open Variation Database (LOVD)

https://databases.lovd.nl/shared/variants/STAC3?search_position_c_start=997&search_position_c_start_intron=-1&search_position_c_end=997&search_position_c_end_intron=-1&search_vot_clean_dna_change=%3D%22997-1G%3ET%22&search_transcriptid={{transcriptid}}

Muscle Histology

Muscle biopsies taken from cases PN2D, PN3A, PN4, PN5, PN7, PN8 and PN12 were processed using standard histochemical stains previously described (V. S. Dubowitz, Caroline A; Oldfors, Anders, 2013). Electron microscopic analyses were done in samples from cases PN5, PN7 and PN8.

Muscle magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

Muscle MRI of the lower limbs by conventional T1 weighted sequences was performed in patients PN1, PN2A, PN2B and PN4.

Immunofluorescent analysis

The primary antibodies used for the immunofluorescent analysis in cryosections from muscle biopsies were anti-RyR1 (ab2868, Abcam plc.) and anti-Cav1.1 (SC-8160, Santa Cruz, USA).

Confocal immunohistochemistry on myotubes was performed as previously described (Bachmann et al., 2017).

Western Blotting

Protein extracts (30 µg) from muscle biopsies were separated on precast NuPAGE protein gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The primary antibodies used were anti-Cav1.1 (sc-514685, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc), anti-STAC3 (20392-1-AP, Proteintech Group, Inc), anti-DHPR-β_{1a} (ab28502, Abcam plc.) and anti α-actinin (D6F6, CST).

Molecular modelling

The molecular modelling of the p.(Trp284Ser) STAC3 was based on the NMR structure of the first SH3 domain of Stac protein (Protein Data Bank accession code 2DL4). For the analysis of the effect of c.997-1G>T variant we used homology modelling of the STAC3 tandem SH3 domains carrying the deleted IVVQ amino acids and the crystal structure of human STAC1 tandem SH3 domains (6B25). The modelling was performed using Chimera visualization system (Pettersen et al., 2004) and Modeller (Sali & Blundell, 1993).

Calcium measurements

Primary muscle cells were cultured as previously described (Bachmann et al., 2017; Censier, Urwyler, Zorzato, & Treves, 1998) Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's *t* test for paired samples or using ANOVA when more than two groups were compared. Origin computer program (Microcal Software, Inc., Northampton, MA, USA) was used for statistical analysis and dose response curve generation. The EC₅₀ and R_{max} values were calculated using the Origin program from sigmoidal curve fitting of all the data points.

Co-immunoprecipitation

Protein extracts from muscle biopsies were solubilised and clarified. Antibodies against Cav1.1 (sc-8160, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or mouse IgG1 (GTX35014, GeneTex) were used. The solubilized muscle homogenates were incubated with Protein G-Sepharose and centrifuged. The bead pellets were loaded on an acrylamide gel, transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane and incubated with anti-STAC3 antibody (20392-1-AP, Proteintech Group).

Results

Identification of patients carrying *STAC3* variants

WES analysis in patient PN1 (Figure 1) revealed a homozygous c.851G>C, p.(Trp284Ser) variant in exon 10 of the *STAC3* gene (NM_145064). Sanger sequencing of *STAC3* exon 10 or panel sequencing of known neuromuscular genes was carried out in cases PN2D, PN4, PN5 and PN6A, presenting with distinctive clinical features similar to those noted in PN1. All patients, apart from PN5, carried the same homozygous missense c.851G>C, p.(Trp284Ser) *STAC3* variant. Compound heterozygous *STAC3* c.851G>C, p.(Trp284Ser) and an acceptor splice site c.997-1G>T variants were detected in PN5. The c.997-1G>T variant abolishes the acceptor splice site of the last exon of *STAC3* (exon 12), leading to activation of a cryptic acceptor site within exon 12 (Figure 1B). cDNA sequencing confirmed a deletion of 12 nucleotides from the mature *STAC3* RNA. This in-frame deletion eliminates four amino acids from the second *STAC3* SH3 domain.

We identified 7 further families (Figure 1) with 9 affected members carrying the homozygous *STAC3* c.851G>C p.(Trp284Ser) variant.

Sanger sequencing confirmed the segregation of the *STAC3* variants with the disease following an autosomal recessive inheritance pattern. The families are apparently unrelated and of African, Afro-Caribbean, Comorian, Middle Eastern or South American origin (Table 1).

Clinical features of cohort members

Detailed clinical information was available for 18 patients (12 families) with confirmed *STAC3* variants (Table 1). A sister and two maternal cousins in family PN2 were affected by

a similar condition but more rapidly progressive that led to early death in two of them. These patients were not available for assessment and were therefore not included in the study.

Onset was at birth in all cases. Clinical severity ranged from a more severe prenatal/neonatal onset, to a milder slowly progressive, congenital myopathy phenotype, as documented in at least three children. Polyhydramnios was recorded in three pregnancies and reduced foetal movements were noted in three additional pregnancies. The majority of the patients presented with hypotonia at birth and talipes (uni- or bilateral). Neck, elbows, wrists, fingers or toes contractures were noted in six infants. Ten patients had cleft or high palate, one infant had a bifid uvula and an elevated right hemidiaphragm (PN5). Four infants presented with low birth weight. Feeding difficulties at birth and/or in infancy were documented in 15 patients. Eight infants had a variable degree of respiratory difficulties at birth and in the first days of life, with one (PN5) suffering severe perinatal asphyxia and cardiac arrest.

At last assessment, all patients were able to walk at least for short distances. All patients show mild to moderate, proximal more than distal upper and lower limb weakness, with axial weakness in 11 of them. Lower leg muscle atrophy was observed in 11 patients. Ptosis and facial weakness was present in all patients, while none had ophthalmoplegia. Contractures, in particular of fingers and ankles, were reported in 14 patients. Joint laxity was documented in seven patients, three of whom without associated contractures (PN6A-B-C). Scoliosis, kyphosis and spinal rigidity were reported in 11 patients. Eight individuals showed dental malocclusion, malar hypoplasia and jaw prominence (Figure 2). Respiratory compromise was reported in eight patients. At the time of last assessment, four children received non-invasive ventilator support (NIV). During infancy, 13 children had failure to thrive, and six needed nasogastric tube or gastrostomy feeding. Recurrent bradycardia and ventricular hypertrophy (resolved after age 1 year) were reported in two patients. Six children had speech delay or

dysarthria and seven had documented hearing loss. Five of the eleven male patients had cryptorchidism.

Malignant hyperthermia

Adverse reaction to anaesthetics was reported in 10 patients after general anaesthetics (GA). Detailed description of the full course of MH is available for patient PN5. Following five uneventful GAs, at a GA at age 6 months, because of difficult intravenous (IV) access, inhalational induction of anaesthesia (with sevoflurane 8%, nitrous oxide 50% and oxygen 50%) was carried out until successfully securing IV access approximately 45 minutes later. During this period, upper limb tone increased, end-tidal carbon dioxide increased and attempts were made to increase minute ventilation manually. Heart rate had initially dropped from 164 bpm to 139 bpm at induction, but 50 minutes after induction was 208 bpm with a mean blood pressure of 53mmHg. One hour after induction, core temperature was 39.6 C°, and both upper and lower limb tone was markedly increased. Dantrolene was given and vital signs immediately improved with steady decrease in heart rate, temperature and reduction of muscle tone. Post-event biochemistry failed to detect myoglobinuria, raise in serum creatine kinase (CK) or potassium. The highest CK levels were 69 IU/L at 14 hours post event.

PN6A had CK levels of about 600 IU/L and normal myoglobin levels after the MH-like event. Normal post-event CK and myoglobin levels were documented in two further patients.

Muscle MRI findings

Muscle MRI analysis demonstrated slightly asymmetric, distal more than proximal, posterior more than anterior involvement, with semimembranosus muscles being the most affected.

Asymmetric involvement of biceps femoris and semitendinosus muscle was noted in patient PN2D only (Figure 2Ciii). In the lower legs, tibialis anterior (TA) and posterior compartment muscles were relatively preserved in patient PN1 (Figure 2Ci), while patient PN2D showed a mild asymmetric involvement of the TA muscle (Figure 2Ciii, bottom). Asymmetric lower leg involvement, in particular of the soleus muscle, was also observed in patient PN2A (Figure 2Cii, bottom).

Histopathological features

Muscle biopsies from quadriceps from patients PN2D (taken at 9 years), PN3A (at 8 years), PN4 (at 1 year), PN5 (at 1 month), PN7 (at 2 months) and PN8 (at 3 months) showed myopathic changes (Figure 3). All biopsies showed variation in fiber size, no excess fat or connective tissue (Figure 3A-B) with predominance of type I/slow myosin fibers in most (Figure 3C-F). Although both fibre types showed variation in size, the smaller fibres were frequently type I/slow myosin fibres. Some biopsies also showed occasional fibers with internal nuclei, whorled and split fibres. Some very small fibres expressed foetal myosin, but not developmental myosin, suggesting that they were not regenerating fibres. These very small fibres with foetal myosin occur in a number of myopathic conditions and are currently of unknown origin (V. Dubowitz, Sewry, Oldfors, & Lane, 2013).

Electron microscopy analysis showed myofibrillar loss, irregular and broken Z-lines with mini-core areas with disrupted sarcomeres (Figure 3G-H). Mitochondria were of variable size, shape and distribution. Swollen sarcoplasmic reticulum was present in some samples. The structure of triads appeared preserved but the T tubule component was often prominent and a little swollen.

***STAC3* variants affect ECC and RyR1 mediated calcium release**

To investigate the functional consequences of the detected *STAC3* variants we studied Ca^{2+} homeostasis in myotubes from *STAC3* patients. Primary myoblasts were available from patients PN2D and PN5, however, cells from patient PN2D failed to differentiate and form multinucleated myotubes so that the functional assessment of the *STAC3* variants could only be carried out on cells from patient PN5. The resting cytosolic $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ levels, measured with the ratiometric fluorescent Ca^{2+} indicator fura-2, did not show significant differences between PN5 and control myotubes (Figure 4A), nor there were differences in the size of the intracellular Ca^{2+} stores (Figure 4B).

Next, we assessed whether myotubes from PN5 displayed differences in their dose response curves to 4-CMC and KCl induced Ca^{2+} release, a typical feature associated with the MH susceptible phenotype due to dominant *RYR1* variants. The presence of the mutated *STAC3* did not significantly affect the EC_{50} for 4-CMC in control ($363.7 \pm 44 \mu\text{M}$) and PN5 myotubes ($384.2 \pm 30 \mu\text{M}$) or for KCl in control ($10.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ mM}$) and PN5 myotubes ($12.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ mM}$). However, the peak Ca^{2+} in response to 4-CMC was significantly reduced (figure 4C) as was the KCl induced Ca^{2+} release which was reduced to approximately 10% of that observed in control myotubes (Figure 4D).

Cellular localisation of RyR1 and $\text{Ca}_v1.1$ in myotubes from *STAC3* patients

Confocal microscopy analysis in differentiated myotubes derived from patient PN5 and controls demonstrated no changes in the localisation of RyR1 and $\text{Ca}_v1.1$ (Figure 5A).

Similar patterns of Cav1.1 and RyR1 distribution in patients' muscle samples compared to controls was also detected by immunohistochemical analysis showing that in *STAC3* patients Cav1.1 and RyR1 co-localised in the muscle fibers (Figure 5B). In patient muscle samples, intense Cav1.1 and RyR1 staining was noted in some small fibers which are possibly extremely atrophic fibres or fibres with foetal MHC expression.

Effect of p.(Trp284Ser) and c.997-1G>T variants on STAC3 protein structure

The guanine to cytosine transversion at position c.851 (c.851G>C) observed in the patients, results in a substitution of the highly conserved tryptophan (Trp) with serine (Ser). Trp284 is located in the first SH3 domain of *STAC3*. The SH3 domains have been found in signal transducing adaptor proteins (Mayer & Baltimore, 1993) and likely serve as anchoring sites for recruitment of substrates or mediate the formation of large protein complexes (Morton & Campbell, 1994). The ligand binding site of the SH3 domains is a hydrophobic surface with three shallow grooves formed by highly conserved aromatic residues, one of which is Trp284. These conserved aromatic residues are important for peptide binding as they establish hydrophobic interactions with key residues in the SH3 binding ligands (Lim & Richards, 1994; Zafra-Ruano & Luque, 2012).

To predict the effect of the substitution of Trp284 with Ser, we used the NMR structure of the first SH3 domain of *STAC* protein (PDB ID: 2DL4). The change of Trp to Ser side chain did not appear to lead to clashes that could disrupt packing of the *STAC3* protein (Figure 6Aii). However, the Trp to Ser change at 284 removes the conserved aromatic residue at the surface of the ligand binding site (Figure 6Aiii, 6Aiv), indicating that the mutant *STAC3* could have impaired protein-binding properties.

The c.997-1G>T acceptor splice site variant leads to in-frame deletion of four amino acids (Ile333-Val334-Val335-Gln336) from the second SH3 domain in *STAC3*. To predict the

consequence of the deletion we carried out homology modelling based on the structure of the tandem SH3 domains of human STAC1 (6B25). The data showed that the in-frame deletion affects the structure of the second SH3 domain by eliminating several β -strands and disturbing the five-stranded β -sheet (Figure 6C).

STAC3 and Cav1.1 interaction

We next assessed the protein expression of STAC3, Cav1.1 and the β_{1a} auxiliary subunit of DHPR (DHPR- β_{1a}) in protein extracted from the available patient muscle tissue samples (PN2D, PN5, PN6A) and in control samples. Western blot analysis demonstrated no significant change in the content of any of the tested proteins in patient muscle samples (Figure 6B)

To determine if the p.(Trp284Ser) variant disrupts the stable interaction between STAC3 and Cav1.1, we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments using an anti-Cav1.1 antibody in clarified muscle homogenates from patients PN2D, PN5, PN6A and control individuals. No difference in the apparent interaction between Cav1.1 and the p.(Trp284Ser) STAC3 was observed as the anti-Cav1.1 antibody pulled down STAC3 in both, patient and control muscle samples (Figure 6D).

Discussion

In this study we report 18 patients of non Native American ethnicity affected by congenital myopathy of variable severity due to recessive *STAC3* variants. The clinical phenotype ranged from more severe neonatal presentations, to a milder course with classic congenital myopathy. In childhood, the patients at the severe end of the clinical spectrum developed progressive contractures, scoliosis and significant feeding and respiratory difficulties. Patients with the milder form often showed improvement of respiratory and feeding difficulties with age.

A recognisable facial “gestalt”, with weakness, ptosis, downslanting palpebral fissures, downturned corners of the mouth, high-arched and/or cleft palate, specifically guided diagnosis in four families. Weakness was predominantly axial and proximal, static or slowly progressive. Cryptorchidism was reported in almost half of the male patients. Interestingly, a high number of patients had hearing loss (mostly conductive) and speech delay. As *STAC3* is not expressed in the heart the observation of cardiac problems in two individuals is likely to be an unrelated. Long term follow up and description of further patients with *STAC3* variants will clarify this issue.

Adverse reaction to anaesthetics was common. Where detailed documentation was available, myoglobinuria, high increase in CK or potassium levels were absent and the onset of symptoms was more gradual. “Classic” MH often occurs rapidly with subsequent cardiovascular collapse and almost invariably result in post-event laboratory findings indicating significant muscle breakdown (raised CK, urine myoglobin and serum potassium). The responsiveness to intravenous dantrolene and the other clinical parameters observed in our patients were suggestive of an MH-like reaction. Larger cohort of patients will be

required to determine if there are consistent clinical differences between *STAC3*-related and “classical” MH and to elucidate the molecular basis of these differences.

The phenotype of this cohort overlaps with that previously reported in *STAC3* patients but also expands the clinical spectrum. We observed patients with rather severe congenital presentations, with pterygium, feeding and respiratory complications. One patient with severe presentation (PN5) was compound heterozygous for the recurrent NAM and a novel splice site variant. Similarly, three other reported previously patients with a more severe phenotype carried variants other than the recurrent NAM variant (frame shift or splice site variants) (Grzybowski et al., 2017; Telegrafi et al., 2017). However, it is worth noting that a degree of clinical variability is also observed among patients with homozygous NAM variant and among members of the same family, suggesting that factors other than the type of variants could contribute to the phenotypic variability.

The majority of the families included in this study were of known African, Afro-Caribbean or Middle Eastern descent. The descent of the Lumbee Native American tribe is controversial, but has been speculated to include an admixture of Native Americans, European settlers and African Americans (Zhang et al., 2015). The observation of the NAM variant in various populations often of African descent could point toward a common ancestor of possible African origin. Interestingly, Telegrafi et al. found that the NAM variant was not in linkage with the same haplotype in their two families (Telegrafi et al., 2017), implying that these variants originated from unrelated mutational events. Further studies and haplotype analysis might help to further clarify this point.

The functional analysis of the consequence of endogenously expressed *STAC3* variants were performed in patient PN5, carrying the compound heterozygous p.(Trp284Ser) and c.977-1G>T variants, inducing in-frame deletion of four amino acids from the second SH3 domain.

The two SH3 domains in STAC3 have a unique organisation compared to other SH3-containing proteins; the two domains are connected through a short linker thus forming rigid interaction between the domains and an extensive interdomain interface (Wong King Yuen et al., 2017). Modelling of the in-frame deletion demonstrated that it disturbs the structure of the second STAC3 SH3 domain, affects the hydrogen bonds between the residues and thus likely destabilise the structure of STAC3 SH3 domains.

The functional data strongly support the notion that the *STAC3* variants lead to impaired ECC. Indeed, application of KCl caused a small release of sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} , a result consistent with previous observations in animal models (Cong, Doering, Grange, & Jiang, 2016; Linsley, Hsu, Groom, et al., 2017; Nelson et al., 2013; Polster et al., 2016). (Linsley, Hsu, Groom, et al., 2017). Our results, however, are not fully supportive of previously published data on Ca^{2+} release mediated by direct RyR1 activators. In the PN5 myotubes application of the direct RyR1 agonist 4-CMC (Zorzato, Scutari, Tegazzin, Clementi, & Treves, 1993) induced lower Ca^{2+} release compared to that observed in control myotubes. This is in contrast to results of Cong *et al.* showing that in *Stac3*^{-/-} mouse myotubes the peak calcium transient induced by 5 mM 4-CMC and 25 mM caffeine were similar to controls (Cong, Doering, Grange, et al., 2016). It should be pointed out however, that 5 mM 4-CMC is a supramaximal concentration that may activate calcium release by RyR3 and/or other intracellular channels which are also expressed in mouse myotubes (Ward et al., 2000). The calcium peak in response to caffeine, was reportedly similar in myotubes from *stac3*^{-/-} zebrafish and controls (Linsley, Hsu, Groom, et al., 2017)(of note in the supplementary Fig. 5, the peak calcium induced by caffeine is reduced by about 25%) but in *stac3*^{NAM} fibers, the peak calcium response was significantly enhanced, with no change in the EC₅₀ for caffeine. Our results in patient myotubes also showed no shift in sensitivity to either KCl or 4-CMC mediated Ca^{2+} release in cells harbouring mutant STAC3. These findings

suggest that the cellular events underlying the MH-like reactions appear to be different from those underlying “classical” MH, in that (i) there is no shift in sensitivity to activating stimuli and (ii) no significant increase in the resting $[Ca^{2+}]$. Nevertheless the *STAC3* variants affect both depolarization induced Ca^{2+} release as well as Ca^{2+} release triggered by direct activation of RyR1. Interestingly, a recent study on myotubes from patients with *CACNA1S* variants, reported a decreased KCl-induced Ca^{2+} release, without altered resting $[Ca^{2+}]$ or size of intracellular sarcoplasmic reticulum stores (Schartner et al., 2017). In this case, the decreased response was caused by decreased content of Cav1.1.

A role of *STAC3* in regulating Cav1.1 trafficking and localization to the sarcolemma has been shown (Polster et al., 2015). However, our data in *STAC3* patient myotubes demonstrated that both Cav1.1 and RyR1 were present and co-localized correctly in the patient’s cells indicating that the pathomechanism of *STAC3* variants is not through impaired Cav1.1 trafficking. The data is in agreement with studies on mouse *Stac3^{NAM}* myotubes in which ECC was impaired without the membrane targeting of Cav1.1 being affected (Polster et al., 2016).

As *STAC3* has been shown to be a part of the DHPR complex and to stably interact with Cav1.1 (Campiglio & Flucher, 2017; Horstick et al., 2013; Linsley, Hsu, Groom, et al., 2017), we hypothesized that the defective ECC in *STAC3* patients was a result of impaired binding to Cav1.1. Nevertheless, co-immunoprecipitation of *STAC3* with Cav1.1 in patient and control muscle samples pulled down *STAC3* to equal extent and demonstrated that the protein interaction between *STAC3* and Cav1.1 is not significantly affected by the variants in the first *STAC3* SH3 domain. In agreement, Campiglio and Flucher identified that the interaction between *STAC3* and Cav1.1 is through a protein binding pocket in the C1 domain of *STAC3* and the p.(Trp284Ser) variant does not impair the stable *STAC3*-Cav1.1 interaction (Campiglio & Flucher, 2017). An additional *STAC3*-Cav1.1 binding site has been

identified in a recent study which demonstrated that STAC3 interacts with the Cav1.1 linker connecting transmembrane domains II-III (Wong King Yuen et al., 2017). While the p.(Trp284Ser) variant did not cause misfolding of the STAC3 protein, it abolished the interaction with the Cav1.1 II-III loop which was previously identified as essential for the Cav1.1-RyR1 functional interaction (Grabner et al., 1999). This additional interaction could lead to loss of the functional interaction with RyR1 and possibly could explain the impaired ECC in *STAC3* patients.

In conclusion, in view of our results, the recently published data describing *STAC3* variants in patients of non Native American ethnicity, and the rather homogenous clinical presentations in patients of different ethnicities, we propose to name this condition as *STAC3*-related congenital myopathy, to highlight its wider distribution. *STAC3* gene analysis should be included in the diagnostic work up of patients of any ethnicity presenting with a congenital myopathy, in particular if a history of MH is reported. Whilst the precise underlying pathomechanism remains to be elucidated, functional characterisation of p.(Trp284Ser) in myotubes and muscle biopsy samples from *STAC3* patients demonstrated that the defective ECC is not a result of Cav1.1 sarcolemma mislocalization or impaired STAC3-Cav1.1 interaction.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the support from Rachael Mein and Darren Chambers from the Dubowitz Neuromuscular Centre, UCL Institute of Child Health, London. The support of MRC Centre for Neuromuscular Diseases Biobank is acknowledged for providing patients' samples.

The research leading to these results has received funding from: the European Commission Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 2012-305121 “Integrated European –omics research project for diagnosis and therapy in rare neuromuscular and neurodegenerative diseases (NEUROMICS)”, the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 779257 “Solving the unsolved Rare Diseases (Solve-RD)”, the Muscular Dystrophy Association under grant agreement MDA577346 “Novel CMD and CMY genes: Discovery and functional analysis.”, the SNF (Grant number 31003A-169316), from the OPO Stiftung and NeRAB Association (to Susan Treves). The study was also supported by the NIHR Great Ormond Street Hospital Biomedical Research Centre. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. Work in C.G. Bönnemann's laboratory is supported by intramural funds by the National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Stroke/NIH.

References

- Bachmann, C., Jungbluth, H., Muntoni, F., Manzur, A. Y., Zorzato, F., & Treves, S. (2017). Cellular, biochemical and molecular changes in muscles from patients with X-linked myotubular myopathy due to MTM1 mutations. *Hum Mol Genet*, 26(2), 320-332. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddw388
- Bower, N. I., de la Serrana, D. G., Cole, N. J., Hollway, G. E., Lee, H. T., Assinder, S., & Johnston, I. A. (2012). Stac3 is required for myotube formation and myogenic differentiation in vertebrate skeletal muscle. *J Biol Chem*, 287(52), 43936-43949. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.361311
- Campiglio, M., & Flucher, B. E. (2017). STAC3 stably interacts through its C1 domain with CaV1.1 in skeletal muscle triads. *Sci Rep*, 7, 41003. doi:10.1038/srep41003
- Censier, K., Urwyler, A., Zorzato, F., & Treves, S. (1998). Intracellular calcium homeostasis in human primary muscle cells from malignant hyperthermia-susceptible and normal individuals. Effect Of overexpression of recombinant wild-type and Arg163Cys mutated ryanodine receptors. *J Clin Invest*, 101(6), 1233-1242. doi:10.1172/jci993
- Cong, X., Doering, J., Grange, R. W., & Jiang, H. (2016). Defective excitation-contraction coupling is partially responsible for impaired contractility in hindlimb muscles of Stac3 knockout mice. *Sci Rep*, 6, 26194. doi:10.1038/srep26194
- Cong, X., Doering, J., Mazala, D. A., Chin, E. R., Grange, R. W., & Jiang, H. (2016). The SH3 and cysteine-rich domain 3 (Stac3) gene is important to growth, fiber composition, and calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum in postnatal skeletal muscle. *Skelet Muscle*, 6, 17. doi:10.1186/s13395-016-0088-4
- Dubowitz, V., Sewry, C. A., Oldfors, A., & Lane, R. J. (2013). *Muscle Biopsy: A Practical Approach, Expert Consult; Online and Print, 4: Muscle Biopsy: A Practical Approach*: Elsevier Health Sciences.
- Dubowitz, V. S., Caroline A; Oldfors, Anders. (2013). *Muscle biopsy : a practical approach* (Fourth edition ed.): Saunders Elsevier.
- Flucher, B. E., Phillips, J. L., & Powell, J. A. (1991). Dihydropyridine receptor alpha subunits in normal and dysgenic muscle in vitro: expression of alpha 1 is required for proper targeting and distribution of alpha 2. *J Cell Biol*, 115(5), 1345-1356.
- Grabner, M., Dirksen, R. T., Suda, N., & Beam, K. G. (1999). The II-III loop of the skeletal muscle dihydropyridine receptor is responsible for the Bi-directional coupling with the ryanodine receptor. *J Biol Chem*, 274(31), 21913-21919.
- Grzybowski, M., Schanzer, A., Pepler, A., Heller, C., Neubauer, B. A., & Hahn, A. (2017). Novel STAC3 Mutations in the First Non-Amerindian Patient with Native American Myopathy. *Neuropediatrics*. doi:10.1055/s-0037-1601868
- Horstick, E. J., Linsley, J. W., Dowling, J. J., Hauser, M. A., McDonald, K. K., Ashley-Koch, A., . . . Kuwada, J. Y. (2013). Stac3 is a component of the excitation-contraction coupling machinery and mutated in Native American myopathy. *Nat Commun*, 4, 1952. doi:10.1038/ncomms2952
- Lim, W. A., & Richards, F. M. (1994). Critical residues in an SH3 domain from Sem-5 suggest a mechanism for proline-rich peptide recognition. *Nat Struct Biol*, 1(4), 221-225.
- Linsley, J. W., Hsu, I. U., Groom, L., Yarotsky, V., Lavorato, M., Horstick, E. J., . . . Kuwada, J. Y. (2017). Congenital myopathy results from misregulation of a muscle Ca²⁺ channel by mutant Stac3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 114(2), E228-e236. doi:10.1073/pnas.1619238114
- Linsley, J. W., Hsu, I. U., Wang, W., & Kuwada, J. Y. (2017). Transport of the alpha subunit of the voltage gated L-type calcium channel through the sarcoplasmic reticulum occurs prior to localization to triads and requires the beta subunit but not Stac3 in skeletal muscles. *Traffic*, 18(9), 622-632. doi:10.1111/tra.12502
- Mayer, B. J., & Baltimore, D. (1993). Signalling through SH2 and SH3 domains. *Trends Cell Biol*, 3(1), 8-13.

- Morton, C. J., & Campbell, I. D. (1994). SH3 domains. Molecular 'Velcro'. *Curr Biol*, *4*(7), 615-617.
- Nakai, J., Tanabe, T., Konno, T., Adams, B., & Beam, K. G. (1998). Localization in the II-III loop of the dihydropyridine receptor of a sequence critical for excitation-contraction coupling. *J Biol Chem*, *273*(39), 24983-24986.
- Nelson, B. R., Wu, F., Liu, Y., Anderson, D. M., McAnally, J., Lin, W., . . . Olson, E. N. (2013). Skeletal muscle-specific T-tubule protein STAC3 mediates voltage-induced Ca²⁺ release and contractility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *110*(29), 11881-11886. doi:10.1073/pnas.1310571110
- Nishi, M. (1995). [Excitation-contraction uncoupling and muscular degeneration lacking functional skeletal muscle ryanodine-receptor gene]. *Tanpakushitsu Kakusan Koso*, *40*(14), 2181-2187.
- Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., & Ferrin, T. E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem*, *25*(13), 1605-1612. doi:10.1002/jcc.20084
- Polster, A., Nelson, B. R., Olson, E. N., & Beam, K. G. (2016). Stac3 has a direct role in skeletal muscle-type excitation-contraction coupling that is disrupted by a myopathy-causing mutation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *113*(39), 10986-10991. doi:10.1073/pnas.1612441113
- Polster, A., Nelson, B. R., Papadopoulos, S., Olson, E. N., & Beam, K. G. (2018). Stac proteins associate with the critical domain for excitation-contraction coupling in the II-III loop of CaV1.1. *J Gen Physiol*. doi:10.1085/jgp.201711917
- Polster, A., Perni, S., Bichraoui, H., & Beam, K. G. (2015). Stac adaptor proteins regulate trafficking and function of muscle and neuronal L-type Ca²⁺ channels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *112*(2), 602-606. doi:10.1073/pnas.1423113112
- Reinholt, B. M., Ge, X., Cong, X., Gerrard, D. E., & Jiang, H. (2013). Stac3 is a novel regulator of skeletal muscle development in mice. *PLoS One*, *8*(4), e62760. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062760
- Rios, E., & Pizarro, G. (1991). Voltage sensor of excitation-contraction coupling in skeletal muscle. *Physiol Rev*, *71*(3), 849-908. doi:10.1152/physrev.1991.71.3.849
- Sali, A., & Blundell, T. L. (1993). Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. *J Mol Biol*, *234*(3), 779-815. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1993.1626
- Schartner, V., Romero, N. B., Donkervoort, S., Treves, S., Munot, P., Pierson, T. M., . . . Laporte, J. (2017). Dihydropyridine receptor (DHPR, CACNA1S) congenital myopathy. *Acta Neuropathol*, *133*(4), 517-533. doi:10.1007/s00401-016-1656-8
- Stamm, D. S., Aylsworth, A. S., Stajich, J. M., Kahler, S. G., Thorne, L. B., Speer, M. C., & Powell, C. M. (2008). Native American myopathy: congenital myopathy with cleft palate, skeletal anomalies, and susceptibility to malignant hyperthermia. *Am J Med Genet A*, *146a*(14), 1832-1841. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.32370
- Telegrafi, A., Webb, B. D., Robbins, S. M., Speck-Martins, C. E., FitzPatrick, D., Fleming, L., . . . Sobreira, N. L. M. (2017). Identification of STAC3 variants in non-Native American families with overlapping features of Carey-Fineman-Ziter syndrome and Moebius syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A*. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.38375
- Ward, C. W., Schneider, M. F., Castillo, D., Protasi, F., Wang, Y., Chen, S. R., & Allen, P. D. (2000). Expression of ryanodine receptor RyR3 produces Ca²⁺ sparks in dyspedic myotubes. *J Physiol*, *525 Pt 1*, 91-103.
- Wong King Yuen, S. M., Campiglio, M., Tung, C. C., Flucher, B. E., & Van Petegem, F. (2017). Structural insights into binding of STAC proteins to voltage-gated calcium channels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *114*(45), E9520-e9528. doi:10.1073/pnas.1708852114
- Zafra-Ruano, A., & Luque, I. (2012). Interfacial water molecules in SH3 interactions: Getting the full picture on polyproline recognition by protein-protein interaction domains. *FEBS Lett*, *586*(17), 2619-2630. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2012.04.057
- Zhang, C., Roque, D., Ehrisman, J. A., DiSanto, N., Broadwater, G., Doll, K. M., . . . Havrilesky, L. J. (2015). Lumbee Native American ancestry and the incidence of aggressive histologic

subtypes of endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol Rep*, 13, 49-52.
doi:10.1016/j.gore.2015.06.004

Zorzato, F., Scutari, E., Tegazzin, V., Clementi, E., & Treves, S. (1993). Chlorocresol: an activator of ryanodine receptor-mediated Ca²⁺ release. *Mol Pharmacol*, 44(6), 1192-1201.

Figure 1 Family trees of the *STAC3* patients described in the study and consequence of the *STAC3* c.997-1G>T variant. (A) Pedigrees of the *STAC3* families. Affected individuals are presented with shaded symbols. SB = stillbirth. (B) Schematic presentation of the consequence of the c.997-1G>T mutation. The aberrant splicing event is presented in bold and with a dotted line.

Figure 2 Clinical, X-ray and muscle MRI images of patients with *STAC3* variants. (A) Clinical images of patients with *STAC3* variants. (B) Spine and orthopantomogram X-ray images of patients PN1 (age 13 years) (i-iii) and PN2D (age 9 years) (iv). (C) Lower limb muscle MRI of patients PN1 (age 14 years) (i), PN2A (age 12 years) (ii), PN2D (age 4 years) (iii) and PN4 (age 21) (iv).

Figure 3 Histological features in the *STAC3* patients showing myopathic changes with variation in fiber size without excess fat or connective tissue (Hematoxylin and Eosin staining: A, B) and predominance of type I fibers (NADH-tetrazolium reductase: C, D; slow myosin: E; ATPase pH4.6: F). Scale bar 100 μm (A, B, C, D, F). Electron microscopy revealed myofibrillar loss, swollen sarcoplasmic reticulum, irregular and broken Z-lines and sarcomere disruption, mitochondria of variable size and distribution (G,H). Scale bar 5 μm (G, H).

Figure 4 Functional analyses of the *STAC3* variants on Ca^{2+} homeostasis and EC coupling. (A) Resting $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ concentration, (B) size of intracellular Ca^{2+} stores, (C) dose response to 4-CMC and (D) dose response to KCl (peak Ca^{2+}) in myotubes from patient PN5 (open squares) and a control (dark squares). Each symbol is the mean (\pm SEM) value of 5-10 cells. * corresponds to $P < 0.01$.

Figure 5 Localization of Cav1.1 and RyR1 in differentiated myotubes and in muscle sections in *STAC3* patients. (A) Localization of Cav1.1 and RyR1 in myotubes from a control (top

panels) and *STAC3* patient PN5 (bottom panels). Image of differentiated myotubes (panel i), anti-Cav1.1 (panel ii), anti-RyR1 (panel iii), merged image of anti-Cav1.1 (green), anti-RyR1 (red) and DAPI (blue) (panel iv). Scale bar = 50 μ m. **(B)** Expression of Cav1.1 and RyR1 in muscle biopsy samples from *STAC3* patients. Cav1.1 (red) and RyR1 (green) labelling in control and in PN4, PN6A, PN5, PN2D patients showing no significant abnormality. Fibre typing with the RyR1 antibody was visible in the control but not the *STAC3* patients likely due of type 1 fibre predominance. The small very brightly labelled fibres with RyR1 probably correspond to the occasional small fibres present that express foetal myosin.

Figure 6 *STAC3* p.(Trp284Ser) does not affect the stable interaction with Cav1.1. **(A)** Protein model of STAC SH3 domain. Location of Trp284 (purple) (i) and mutant Ser284 (red) (ii) on the β -barrel structure of SH3 domain. Surface presentation of the wild type (iii) and mutant (iv) SH3 domain. Trp284 is presented in purple. **(B)** Western blot analysis of the expression of *STAC3*, Cav1.1 and DHPR- β_{1a} in skeletal muscle samples from *STAC3* patients and controls. Loading control is α -actinin. **(C)** Homology modelling of the tandem *STAC3* SH3 domain sequence carrying the in-frame deletion of four amino acids from the second SH3 domain (ii) is based on the *STAC1* SH3 domains (i). The second SH3 domain is indicated with white arrows, Trp284 located in the first SH3 domain is presented with magenta and hydrogen bonds are presented as blue lines **(D)** Co-immunoprecipitation of *STAC3* with anti-Cav1.1 antibody in muscle samples from *STAC3* patients and control.