- 1 Title: Remodeling of the Cardiovascular Circulation in Fetuses of Diabetic
- 2 Mothers: A Fetal Computational Model Analysis

- 4 Aparna Kulkarni^{1*} M.B,B.S MD, Patricia Garcia Cañadilla^{2,3*} PhD, Abdullah
- 5 Khan¹ MD, Jose Miguel Lorenzo¹ RDCS, Karen Beckerman⁴ MD, Brenda
- 6 Valenzuela-Alcaraz³ MD, Monica Cruz-Lemini³ MD, Olga Gomez³ MD, Eduard
- 7 Gratacos³ MD, Fatima Crispi³ MD, Bart Bijnens^{2,5} PhD
- 8 ¹ Division of Pediatric Cardiology, Bronx Lebanon Hospital Center, Bronx, New
- 9 York, USA; ²Physense, DTIC, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain;
- 10 ³BCNatal Barcelona Center for Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine (Hospital
- 11 Clínic and Hospital Sant Joan de Deu), Fetal i+D Fetal Medicine Research
- 12 Center, Institut Clinic de Ginecologia, Obstetricia i Neonatologia, IDIBAPS,
- 13 CIBER-ER, University of Barcelona, Spain; ⁴ Department of Obstetrics, Bronx
- 14 Lebanon Hospital Center, Bronx, New York, USA; ⁵ICREA, Barcelona, Spain
- 15 *AK and PC contributed equally to this study and thus share first authorship.
- 16 Address for correspondence: Aparna Kulkarni, M.B,B.S M.D. Bronx Lebanon
- 17 Hospital Center, 1650 Grand Concourse, Bronx, New York, USA 100457. Email:
- 18 <u>aparnapat@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract

19

40

20 Aims: Myocardial structural and functional abnormalities are known to occur in 21 fetuses of maternal diabetes mellitus (FMDM) and in their offspring. The main 22 aim of this investigation was to explore the cardiovascular circulatory patterns in 23 FMDM using a validated lumped computational model of the cardiovascular 24 system. 25 **Methods:** This was a multi-institutional study involving FMDM compared to 26 fetuses of maternal controls (FC). Fetal echocardiographic Doppler data from left 27 and right ventricular outflow tracts, aortic isthmus, middle cerebral and umbilical 28 arteries were fitted into a validated fetal circulation computational model to 29 estimate patient-specific placental and vascular properties. Non-parametric 30 comparisons were made between resistances, compliances and flows in the 31 brain and placenta in FMDM and FC. Results: Data from 23 FMDM and 31 FC were fitted into the model. In FMDM, 32 33 compared to FC, placental relative resistance was lower (0.59±0.50 versus 34 0.91+0.41; p<0.05) with higher brain relative resistance (2.36+1.65 versus 35 1.60+0.85; p<0.05). Middle cerebral artery flow was lower in FMDM than FC (0.12+0.14 vs. 0.27+0.21 ml/min; p 0.04) with a lower cerebral-placental flow 36 ratio. Combined stroke volume was lower in FMDM (3.65+2.05 ml) than FC 37 38 (4.97+2.45 ml) (p 0.04). 39 Conclusions: Blood flow is redistributed in FMDM to the placenta, away from the

brain. This alteration may play a role in the postnatal health of these fetuses.

- 41 **Key words:** Fetus; Maternal Diabetes Mellitus; Computational model;
- 42 Resistance; Compliance
- 43 **Abbreviations:** MDM Maternal diabetes mellitus; FMDM Fetuses of mothers
- with diabetes mellitus; FC Fetuses of control mothers; EFW Estimated fetal
- weight; UA Umbilical artery; MCA Middle cerebral artery; LVOT Left
- ventricular outflow tract; RVOT Right ventricular outflow tract; CCO- Combined
- 47 cardiac output; VTI Velocity time integral; vol Volume; SV stroke volume

Introduction

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

Significant short and long-term morbidities have been known to occur in the offspring of mothers with diabetes mellitus. There is a five-fold increase in the risk of congenital heart disease in fetuses of mothers with diabetes mellitus (FMDM); they also have a higher incidence of a reversible hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and subclinical myocardial dysfunction.[1-3] Maternal diabetes mellitus (MDM) has been linked to fetal macrosomia, fetal growth restriction (FGR), and fetal and neonatal demise.[4] There also may be other lasting effects in these offspring including a propensity for neurological deficits, obesity, diabetes, hypertension and cardiovascular events later in life.[4-6] Current knowledge of the underlying mechanism of disease in FMDM suggests a combination of chemical, molecular and epigenetic influences on the fetus and placenta.[4, 7-9] Animal studies have shown that fetuses of hyperglycemic dams have reduced pancreatic β cell mass and reduced expression of insulin like growth factor.[10] Increased villous stromal capillarization and concentration of endogenous nucleoside adenosine (a potent vasodilator and anti-inflammatory agent) are seen in the placentae of FMDM.[11, 12] Rodent experiments have noted lower number of nephrons in the neonatal kidneys of MDM.[4] It is likely that these alterations in the fetal organ systems are associated with circulatory adaptations in FMDM. Computational modeling of the fetal circulation allows assessment of the relevant parameters non-invasively and in their natural environment in human fetuses.

70	A lumped model of the fetal circulation was created, validated and explored in
71	FGR fetuses (implemented in Simulink, MATLAB 2013b, The MathWorks Inc.,
72	Natick, MA).[13, 14] This model provides a good non-invasive approximation of
73	the fetal circulation to study hemodynamic changes induced by abnormal growth
74	conditions. Alterations in fetal hemodynamics (predominantly flows) can be
75	assessed non-invasively in clinical practice by localized Doppler measurements.
76	However, computational models have the advantage of providing a more global
77	view on hemodynamics as well as allowing the quantification of circulatory
78	parameters that are currently not measurable non-invasively, such as pressures
79	and vascular or organ properties like resistance and compliance. We applied this
80	model to FMDM and compared them to normal fetal controls to understand if
81	there were circulatory remodeling patterns in FMDM. This is a pilot study with an
82	exploratory hypothesis that the circulatory systemshemodynamics/blood flow
83	circulation in FMDM and FC may be different.
84	Methods
85	Study Population
86	This was a cross-sectional multi-institutional case control study of 54 fetuses, 23
87	FMDM) and 31 fetuses of control mothers (FC). The cases were enrolled from
88	2013 to 2016; these were compared to normal fetal controls (FC) recruited from
89	2012 to 2016. Of the 23 FMDM, 18 were recruited at Bronx Lebanon
90	Hospital Center, Bronx, New York (Center 1), the remaining 5 were enrolled at
91	Barcelona Center for Fetal and Neonatal Medicine (Center 2). Of the 31 FC, 9
92	were enrolled at Center 1 and the remaining at Center 2. All mothers were

referred for standard of care clinical indications.[15] Fetuses with arrhythmias, congenital heart disease, known genetic and chromosomal abnormalities, and multiple gestations were excluded. Singleton fetuses of mothers with DM and with structurally normal hearts without hypertrophy were included as cases, if the mothers agreed to participate and signed an informed consent. Cardiac hypertrophy was assessed based on gestational age and previously published nomograms.[16] Singleton fetuses of mothers without DM, with structurally and functionally normal hearts, and with the following additional inclusion criteria were included as FC: a) Estimated fetal weight within the 10th and 90th percentiles. b) No history of medical, surgical or obstetric complications. Gestational age was based on the beginning of the last menstrual period and verified by sonographic measurement of the crown-rump length in early pregnancy. The Institutional Review Boards at both institutions approved the study protocols. Estimated fetal weight (EFW) was calculated from the biparietal diameter, head and abdominal circumference, and femur length using the Hadlock formula.[17] Umbilical artery (UA) Doppler was evaluated in a free loop of the umbilical cord. Middle cerebral artery (MCA) Doppler was measured in a transverse view of the fetal skull at the level of its origin from the circle of Willis.[18] Aortic isthmus (AoI) flow velocity was recorded either in a sagittal view of the fetal thorax with a clear visualization of the aortic arch or in a cross section of the fetal thorax at the level of the 3-vessel and trachea view. Pulse wave Doppler velocity waveforms of the

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) were obtained in the 5-chamber view and of the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) were obtained from the short axis of the fetal heart in sagittal section. Doppler tracings were recorded with the sample volume positioned just proximal to the valve in the center of the vessel. The angle of insonation between the vessel and the Doppler beam was kept as close as possible to 0° and always below 30°. Diameters of the aortic and pulmonary artery valves were measured in frozen real-time magnified images during systole by the leading edge-to-edge method.[19]

Lumped Model of Fetal Circulation

Details of the fetal lumped computational model and its validation have been published previously.[13, 14] A brief description is provided here. The electrical equivalent model of the different compartments of the fetal circulation was constructed using two main building blocks of the arterial segments and peripheral vascular beds. The arterial segments were configured to include the local resistance of blood due to blood viscosity that was modeled with a resistor, the arterial compliance was modeled with a capacitor and the blood inertia was modeled with an inductor. The peripheral vascular bed was constructed based on a three-element Windkessel model. The simplified fetal circulation was modeled as a set of 19 arterial segments and 12 vascular beds as described previously.[13] The amount of blood flow that was distributed towards different fetal areas, including the brain, the placenta and the coronary arteries, was calculated as the percentage of combined cardiac output (CCO). For the purposes of this study, both FMDM and FC Doppler data were fitted to the

validated model. Physical dimensions of all arterial segments were calculated relative to the expected value from gestational age of the fetus using previously published equations.[13, 14] Changes in length and diameter of the fetal arterial segments, vascular bed resistances and compliances were scaled as a function of the EFW too, as described in previous publications from this group and reference data.[14, 20] The patient-specific model fitting was done by means of an optimization algorithm in which a set of 13 parameters were estimated automatically by minimizing the difference of model-based and measured flow waveforms in the study cohort. Statistical comparisons were made from the simulation outputs between FMDM and FC to assess differences.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test were conducted in all variables to test for normality. Two-tailed t-test comparisons were made for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney U test was used for non-parametric data comparisons. All tests of statistical significance were two-sided and a p value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant. Linear regression analysis was performed in FMDM and FC groups for some key parameters to determine the effects of gestation age on the variables (Table 4).

Also, liner regression including all the data (FMDM and FC) and an interaction term between GA and case group was performed to evaluate the relationship between gestational age and some key model parameters. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 9.4.

Results

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

Data from 23 FMDM and 31 FC were used to create a personalized fetal circulation computational model. The baseline characteristics in the two groups are detailed in table 1. The median gestational age (weeks) was similar in FMDM and FC. Overall, in the FMDM, 17 mothers were controlled on insulin (10 mothers had type 2 DM, 4 had type 1 DM, 3 had gestational DM), 4 on oral medications (all mothers had gestational diabetes) and 2 were controlled on diet alone (2 had gestational DM). Mean maternal BMI in FMDM group was significantly higher than the FC group. Two mothers in the FMDM group had additional comorbidities of chronic hypertension, three mothers had pre-pregnancy hypothyroidism and one mother had genetic prothrombin deficiency. None of the mothers in the FC group had additional co-morbidities. The estimated fetal weights (grams) were similar between the two groups. All fetuses were born full term (>37 weeks gestation), except for one born premature at 31 weeks gestation in the FMDM group and one in the FC group at 30 weeks 6 days. Birth weights were also similar in both groups. Table 2 shows the results of the hemodynamic parameters that were measured and modeled from the echocardiographic data. There were significant differences in the baseline parameters for the velocity time integrals (VTI) of the left and right ventricular outflow tracts (LV_VTI: VTI of left ventricular outflow tract Doppler, RV VTI: VTI of right ventricular outflow tract Doppler) measured from the recorded fetal Doppler echocardiograms between the two groups. Right ventricular stroke volume (RVSV) and total stroke volume were lower in FMDM;

the differences in left ventricular stroke volume (LVSV), RVSV and SV between 185 186 FMDM and FC became more apparent beyond 22 weeks (Figure 1A, 1B and 187 1C). The results of the fitted organ and vessel parameters from the fetal 188 189 cardiovascular lumped model have been presented in Table 3. There was 190 redistribution of blood flow away from the brain toward the placenta in FMDM. 191 There was an increase in model-based brain resistance (Rbrain/Rbrain0) (FMDM 192 2.36 + 1.66, FC 1.60 + 0.85, p 0.03) (Table 3) with associated lower MCA blood 193 volume (MCA_vol/SV) (Figure 2A, Table 2) in FMDM compared to FC. Aortic 194 isthmus blood volume (Aol_vol/SV) (Figure 2B) was higher in FMDM (Table 2). 195 Model-based placental resistance (Rplac/Rplac0) was significantly lower in 196 FMDM compared to FC (0.59±0.5 vs. 0.91±0.41; p<0.05) (Table 3) with 197 associated increased UA blood volume (UA_vol/SV) (Table 2). Thus, compositely 198 there the cerebral placental blood volume ratio (MCA_vol/UA_vol) was lower in 199 FMDM group (Table 2) (0.23±0.20 vs. 0.46±0.34; p 0.05). 200 Model-based diameters of the cerebral arteries were significantly smaller 201 compared to controls whereas aortic diameters were higher and umbilical arteries 202 remained unchanged (Table 3, figure 3). No vessels or organ compliances were 203 significantly altered. Pressures estimates by the model were not different at any 204 location. No significant differences in the variables were noted when data was 205 reevaluated after exclusion of FDM with maternal DM control on diet alone or after excluding FDM with maternal hypertension. No significant correlation was 206 207 found between Rplac/Rplac0 (R² = 1.3, p= 0.95) or Rbrain/Rbrain0 (R² = 0.0234,

208 p= 0.49) to HgbA1c levels. When evaluating the relationship between GA and some of the model parameters, Rplac/Rplac0 was noted to slightly increase with 209 increasing GA as is seen in all pregnancies ($R^2 = 0.221$, p = 0.006) and no 210 significant change was noted in Rbrain/Rbrain0 with GA ($R^2 = 0.125$, p = 0.08). 211 However, when considering the non-normalized values of both placenta and 212 213 brain resistances (Rplac, Rbrain) the same results were observed (see 214 supplementary figure). Moreover, when performing the linear regression analysis 215 in their normal values (Rplac0, Rbrain0), no differences between control and FDMD groups were found (see supplementary figure), which suggest that 216 217 differences in model-based parameters were not due to differences in GA 218 between groups. 219

Commented [**PGC1**]: I think you should add the statistical analysis also in the methods parts.

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Discussion

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

The present investigation assessed circulatory remodeling in FMDM as compared to FC using a validated lumped model of the fetal circulation. Our key findings from the model are that placental resistance decreases in FMDM, (while compliance remains similar), and that cerebral resistance increases concomitantly. Consequently, there is a redistribution of blood flow predominantly towards the placenta, and diminished blood flow to the brain with concurrently with decreased SV.

Morphological changes such as vascular anomalies, increased placental thickness and weight have commonly been seen in placentae of women with

DM.[7, 21] A higher release of cytokines, such Tumor Necrosis Factor-α, an upregulation of inflammation related genes, increased concentration of vasodilator endogenous nucleoside adenosine and increased vascular endothelial growth factor involvement have been noted in these placentae.[7, 11, 12] Increased size, vascularization and vasodilatation in FMDM placentae support the decrease in placental resistance noted in our study and the resulting alterations in uterine artery flow. Interestingly, no change in placental compliance was found suggesting the absence of fibrosis of tissue damage altering vessel and tissue elasticity. In this study, we have shown that blood flow to the brain in FMDM is altered with higher brain resistance, lower MCA flow and lower relative cerebral placental blood volume. It is likely that these changes contribute to the functional and developmental neurological abnormalities in FMDM that are seen in postnatal life. Electroencephalograms performed on neonates of MDM have been described to have features suggestive of abnormal development of brain function that correlate to maternal diabetes control.[22] Abnormal visual evoked potentials, lower cognitive scores and lower gross and fine motor achievements as well as higher attention deficits are seen in children born to MDM.[6, 23] We noted decreased SV in FMDM compared to FC. In a previous publication, we have noted a subclinical decrease in myocardial deformation in FMDM that further supports this finding.[3] There have been limited publications that evaluate CO in MDM. Previous fetal MCA and UA Doppler studies have not been able to

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

demonstrate any changes in FMDM likely due to their limited and focused evaluations.[24, 25] The EFW in FMDM were comparable to FC. It is speculative if other circulatory abnormalities may be seen in FMDM who are large for gestational age or have evidence of intra-uterine growth retardation. The circulatory abnormalities in IUGR have been well characterized. A fascinating observation in this report was that, from 22 weeks GA, there seemed to a different trend in the change in circulatory parameters with GA between FMDM and FC (Figures 2-4). It is unknown if these alterations are a continuum of ongoing processes from the first trimester or if this GA represents a critical tipping point when the changes become irreversible. The observed decrease in stroke volume (and to a lesser extent cardiac output) is either related to myocardial dysfunction or to decreased demand from the peripheral organs. Given that there is no evidence of pressure overload and that the enlarged placenta, with increased flow, likely increases oxygenation and nutrition, a decreased demand is most likely. Interestingly, this seems to go together with a trend of a blunted decrease in organ resistances/diameters (in our model, the brain and coronaries, from literature, possibly the kidneys as well), ultimately resulting in the decreased organ flow as clearly illustrated in the brain in FMDM and potentially predisposing them to post-natal problems when oxygenation and nutrition normalizes. The present fetal circulation model does not account for changes that may occur because of other alterations in fetal milieu such as chemical and inflammatory

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

markers and genetic influences in FMDM. However, since we used patient specific data to build the model and its boundary conditions (GA, EFW, heart rate, Doppler velocities and valve radius) to estimate the specific hemodynamic parameters variation for each individual fetus, we believe this provides a reasonable estimate of the circulatory adaptations in FMDM. Limitations of the model have been discussed in a previous publication.[14] The changes described in this study may not be applicable to all trimesters of pregnancy in FMDM.

Additionally, most mothers in the FDM group were well controlled. It is likely that some changes in the FDM were blunted because of the adequate glucose control in the mothers; it is speculative that the results may vary in the setting of inadequate maternal diabetes control. Despite these significant limitations, the novel application of these emerging methods suggests the potential for future applications in prospective studies.

This study provides a comprehensive evaluation of the circulatory remodeling in FMDM using patient specific computational modeling. Increased cerebral resistance and decreased placental resistance contribute to the reversal of CPR that is unique to FMDM. The prognostic impact of these findings is unclear at the present time, however, we believe this study is utilitarian to future investigations.

295 Acknowledgements: None 296 A.K designed the study, collected data and wrote the manuscript. PGC designed 297 the study, analyzed the data and edited the manuscript. ABK, JML, KB, BVL, 298 MCL, OG researched data and aided in data collection. EG contributed to 299 discussion. FC collected data and reviewed/edited manuscript. BB reviewed 300 data, reviewed/edited manuscript. 301 Funding: The funders had no role in study design; in the collection, analysis and 302 interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the 303 article for publication. This study was partly supported by Ministerio de Economia 304 y Competitividad (TIN2014-52923-R); Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI11/01709, 305 PI12/00801, PI14/00226, PI15/00263; PI15/00130) integrados en el Plan 306 Nacional de I+D+I y cofinanciados por el ISCIII-Subdirección General de 307 Evaluación y el Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) "Otra manera 308 de hacer Europa"; the EU-FP7 for research, technological development and 309 demonstration under grant agreement VP2HF (no611823); The Cerebra 310 Foundation for the Brain Injured Child (Carmarthen, UK); AGAUR 2014 SGR 311 grant no 928; additionally the research leading to these results has received funding from "la Caixa" Foundation. P.G.C. was supported by the Programa de 312 Ayudas Predoctorales de Formación en investigación en Salud (FI12/00362) 313 314 from the Instituto Carlos III, Spain. B.V.A. was supported by Programa de Ayudas 315 Postdoctorales from Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca [grant number: 2013FI_B 00667]. 316

317 References

- 318 [1] M.T. Donofrio, A.J. Moon-Grady, L.K. Hornberger, J.A. Copel, M.S. Sklansky,
- 319 A. Abuhamad, B.F. Cuneo, J.C. Huhta, R.A. Jonas, A. Krishnan, S. Lacey, W. Lee,
- 320 E.C. Michelfelder, Sr., G.R. Rempel, N.H. Silverman, T.L. Spray, J.F. Strasburger,
- W. Tworetzky, J. Rychik, Y. American Heart Association Adults With Congenital
- 322 Heart Disease Joint Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the,
- 323 C.o.C.S. Council on Clinical Cardiology, Anesthesia, C. Council on, N. Stroke,
- 324 Diagnosis and treatment of fetal cardiac disease: a scientific statement from the
- 325 American Heart Association, Circulation 129(21) (2014) 2183-242.
- 326 [2] H.P. Gutgesell, M.E. Speer, H.S. Rosenberg, Characterization of the
- cardiomyopathy in infants of diabetic mothers, Circulation 61(2) (1980) 441-50.
- 328 [3] A. Kulkarni, L. Li, M. Craft, M. Nanda, J.M. Lorenzo, D. Danford, S. Kutty, Fetal
- 329 Myocardial Deformation in Maternal Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity, Ultrasound in
- 330 obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International Society of
- 331 Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology (2016).
- 332 [4] U. Simeoni, D.J. Barker, Offspring of diabetic pregnancy: long-term outcomes,
- 333 Seminars in fetal & neonatal medicine 14(2) (2009) 119-24.
- 334 [5] J.C. Bunt, P.A. Tataranni, A.D. Salbe, Intrauterine exposure to diabetes is a
- determinant of hemoglobin A(1)c and systolic blood pressure in pima Indian
- children, The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 90(6) (2005) 3225-
- 337

- 338 [6] A. Ornoy, A. Wolf, N. Ratzon, C. Greenbaum, M. Dulitzky, Neurodevelopmental
- 339 outcome at early school age of children born to mothers with gestational diabetes,
- 340 Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 81(1) (1999) F10-4.
- 341 [7] L. Wedekind, L. Belkacemi, Altered cytokine network in gestational diabetes
- 342 mellitus affects maternal insulin and placental-fetal development, J Diabetes
- 343 Complications 30(7) (2016) 1393-400.
- 344 [8] S. Perrone, A. Santacroce, A. Picardi, G. Buonocore, Fetal programming and
- early identification of newborns at high risk of free radical-mediated diseases,
- 346 World J Clin Pediatr 5(2) (2016) 172-81.
- 347 [9] C. Salomon, K. Scholz-Romero, S. Sarker, E. Sweeney, M. Kobayashi, P.
- 348 Correa, S. Longo, G. Duncombe, M.D. Mitchell, G.E. Rice, S.E. Illanes, Gestational
- 349 Diabetes Mellitus Is Associated With Changes in the Concentration and Bioactivity
- 350 of Placenta-Derived Exosomes in Maternal Circulation Across Gestation, Diabetes
- 351 65(3) (2016) 598-609.
- 352 [10] P. Serradas, L. Goya, M. Lacorne, M.N. Gangnerau, S. Ramos, C. Alvarez,
- 353 A.M. Pascual-Leone, B. Portha, Fetal insulin-like growth factor-2 production is
- impaired in the GK rat model of type 2 diabetes, Diabetes 51(2) (2002) 392-7.
- [11] S. Akarsu, M. Bagirzade, S. Omeroglu, B. Buke, Placental vascularization and
- apoptosis in Type-1 and gestational DM, The journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal
- medicine: the official journal of the European Association of Perinatal Medicine,
- 358 the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the International Society
- 359 of Perinatal Obstet (2016) 1-6.

- 360 [12] L. Sobrevia, R. Salsoso, T. Saez, C. Sanhueza, F. Pardo, A. Leiva, Insulin
- 361 therapy and fetoplacental vascular function in gestational diabetes mellitus, Exp.
- 362 Physiol 100(3) (2015) 231-8.
- 363 [13] P. Garcia-Canadilla, F. Crispi, M. Cruz-Lemini, S. Triunfo, A. Nadal, B.
- Valenzuela-Alcaraz, P.A. Rudenick, E. Gratacos, B.H. Bijnens, Patient-specific
- 365 estimates of vascular and placental properties in growth-restricted fetuses based
- on a model of the fetal circulation, Placenta 36(9) (2015) 981-9.
- 367 [14] P. Garcia-Canadilla, P.A. Rudenick, F. Crispi, M. Cruz-Lemini, G. Palau, O.
- Camara, E. Gratacos, B.H. Bijnens, A computational model of the fetal circulation
- to quantify blood redistribution in intrauterine growth restriction, PLoS Comput Biol
- 370 10(6) (2014) e1003667.
- 371 [15] M. American Institute of Ultrasound in, AIUM practice guideline for the
- performance of fetal echocardiography, Journal of ultrasound in medicine: official
- journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine 32(6) (2013) 1067-82.
- 374 [16] C. Firpo, J.I. Hoffman, N.H. Silverman, Evaluation of fetal heart dimensions
- from 12 weeks to term, The American journal of cardiology 87(5) (2001) 594-600.
- 376 [17] F.P. Hadlock, R.B. Harrist, Y.P. Shah, D.E. King, S.K. Park, R.S. Sharman,
- 377 Estimating fetal age using multiple parameters: a prospective evaluation in a
- 378 racially mixed population, American journal of obstetrics and gynecology 156(4)
- 379 (1987) 955-7.
- 380 [18] D. Arduini, G. Rizzo, Normal values of Pulsatility Index from fetal vessels: a
- cross-sectional study on 1556 healthy fetuses, Journal of perinatal medicine 18(3)
- 382 (1990) 165-72.

- 383 [19] C. Schneider, B.W. McCrindle, J.S. Carvalho, L.K. Hornberger, K.P.
- 384 McCarthy, P.E. Daubeney, Development of Z-scores for fetal cardiac dimensions
- from echocardiography, Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology: the official journal
- of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 26(6)
- 387 (2005) 599-605.
- 388 [20] G. Pennati, R. Fumero, Scaling approach to study the changes through the
- 389 gestation of human fetal cardiac and circulatory behaviors, Annals of biomedical
- 390 engineering 28(4) (2000) 442-52.
- 391 [21] A. Ramos, F. Caimari, I.M. Pujol, A. Garcia-Patterson, G. Ginovart, J.M.
- 392 Adelantado, R. Corcoy, In women with gestational diabetes mellitus factors
- influencing growth have a larger effect on placental weight than on birth weight,
- European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology 202 (2016)
- 395 60-5.
- 396 [22] J.R. Castro Conde, N.L. Gonzalez Gonzalez, D. Gonzalez Barrios, C.
- 397 Gonzalez Campo, Y. Suarez Hernandez, E. Sosa Comino, Video-EEG recordings
- in full-term neonates of diabetic mothers: observational study, Arch Dis Child Fetal
- 399 Neonatal Ed 98(6) (2013) F493-8.
- 400 [23] M. Brinciotti, A. Napoli, A. Mittica, O. Bitterman, M. Matricardi, Cortical evoked
- potentials in children of diabetic mothers, Exp Diabetes Res 2011 (2011) 640535.
- 402 [24] W.C. Leung, H. Lam, C.P. Lee, T.T. Lao, Doppler study of the umbilical and
- 403 fetal middle cerebral arteries in women with gestational diabetes mellitus,
- 404 Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International
- Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 24(5) (2004) 534-7.

[25] M. Shabani Zanjani, R. Nasirzadeh, S.M. Fereshtehnejad, L. Yoonesi Asl, S.A. Alemzadeh, S. Askari, Fetal cerebral hemodynamic in gestational diabetic versus normal pregnancies: a Doppler velocimetry of middle cerebral and umbilical arteries, Acta Neurol Belg 114(1) (2014) 15-23.

412	Figure legends:
413	Figure 1: Regression plots illustrating left ventricular stroke volume (LVSV)(1A),
414	right ventricular stroke volume (RVSV) (1B) and total stroke volume (SV) (1C) as
415	a function of gestation age (GA)
416	
417	Figure 2: Regression plots illustrating middle cerebral artery blood volume
418	(MCA_vol)(2A) and aortic isthmus blood volume (AoI_vol) (2B) as a function of
419	gestation age (GA)
420	
421	Figure 3: Regression plots illustrating the modelled vessel diameters (relative to
422	the expected value for gestational age (GA) from literature) and their changes
423	with GA: A: aortic diameter (Ao_diam); B: cerebral artery diameter (cA_diam); C:
424	umbilical artery diameter (uA_diam)

Table 1: Baseline maternal, fetal and neonatal characteristics in diabetic and

426 control groups

425

427 428

	Diabetic group	Control group	P value
	(n=23)	(n=31)	
Gestational age at time	26.8 <u>+</u> 3.8	28.1 <u>+</u> 4.1	0.24
of fetal echocardiogram			
(weeks)			
Hemoglobin A1c	6.06 <u>+</u> 0.8%	-	-
Maternal BMI	32.5 <u>+</u> 7.4	23.8+4.02	<0.001
Estimated fetal weights	1164 <u>+</u> 683	1371 <u>+</u> 688	0.58
(grams)			
Birth weights (grams)	3411 <u>+</u> 554	3240 <u>+</u> 556	0.27

Formatted: Highlight

Table 2: Comparisons of (modeled and measured) flow related parameters between fetuses of mothers with diabetic mellitus (FMDM) and fetal controls (FC)

	Variable	FMDM (n=23)	FC (n=31)	p value
	LV_VTI (cm)	1.57 <u>+</u> 0.96	2.19 <u>+</u> 1.23	0.058§
	RV_VTI (cm)	2.08 <u>+</u> 1.14	2.78 <u>+</u> 1.35	0.069§
	LV_SV (ml)	1.57 <u>+</u> 0.96	2.19 <u>+</u> 1.23	0.058§
	RV_SV (ml)	2.08 <u>+</u> 1.14	2.78 <u>+</u> 1.35	0.05*
Heart	SV (ml)	3.65 <u>+</u> 2.05	4.97 <u>+</u> 2.45	0.04*
	RCO (ml/min)	303 <u>+</u> 165	391 <u>+</u> 183	0.07
	LCO (ml/min)	228 <u>+</u> 138	307 <u>+</u> 160	0.076§
	CCO (ml/min)	531 <u>+</u> 295	698 <u>+</u> 326	0.06
	HR	147 <u>+</u> 9	142 <u>+</u> 10	0.05*
	MCA_VTI (cm)	6.44 <u>+</u> 2.04	7.09 <u>+</u> 2.17	0.48
Brain	MCA_vol (ml) [‡]	0.12 <u>+</u> 0.14	0.27 <u>+</u> 0.21	0.01*§
	MCA_vol/SV (%)‡	5.79 <u>+</u> 3.94	9.08 <u>+</u> 5.06	0.01*§
	Aol_VTI (cm)	11.6 <u>+</u> 2.07	11.29 <u>+</u> 2.62	0.82
Aorta	Aol_vol (ml) [‡]	0.81 <u>+</u> 0.48	0.78 <u>+</u> 0.44	0.82
Ă	Aol_vol/SV (%)‡	23.07 <u>+</u> 8.93	16.8 <u>+</u> 5.83	< 0.01*§
nta	UA_VTI (cm)	10.57 <u>+</u> 2.53	11.38 <u>+</u> 3.71	0.86
	UA_vol (ml)‡	0.64 <u>+</u> 0.56	0.56 <u>+</u> 0.27	0.54
Placenta	UA_vol/SV (%)‡	34.1 <u>+</u> 15.94	25.32 <u>+</u> 11.7	0.01*§
_	MCA_vol/UA_vol‡	0.23 <u>+</u> 0.20	0.46 <u>+</u> 0.34	0.01*§

431	LV_VTI: Velocity time integral (VTI) of left ventricular outflow tract Doppler,
432	RV_VTI: VTI of right ventricular outflow tract Doppler, LV_SV: Left ventricular
433	stroke volume, RV_SV: Right ventricular stroke volume, SV: Stroke volume,
434	RCO: Right ventricular cardiac output, LCO: Left Ventricular cardiac output,
435	CCO: Combined left and right ventricular cardiac output, HR: Heart rate
436	MCA_VTI: VTI of middle cerebral artery Doppler, MCA_vol: Middle cerebral
437	artery blood volume/heartbeat, AoI_VTI: VTI of aortic isthmus Doppler, AoI_vol:
438	Aortic isthmus blood volume/heartbeat, UA_VTI: VTI of umbilical arterial Doppler,
439	UA_vol: Umbilical Artery blood volume/heartbeat , * Modeled variables,
440	*Significant p \leq 0.05, \S not-normally distributed.

Table 3: Comparisons of the modeled vessel diameters, organ resistances and
 compliances between fetuses of mothers with diabetic mellitus (FMDM) and fetal
 controls (FC)

Variable	FMDM (n=23)	FC (n=31)	p value
Rplac/Rplac0	0.59 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.91 <u>+</u> 0.41	<0.01*§
Cplac/Cplac0	1.54 <u>+</u> 0.78	2.12 <u>+</u> 1.35	0.07
Rbrain/Rbrain0	2.36 <u>+</u> 1.66	1.60 <u>+</u> 0.85	0.03*
Cbrain/Cbrain0	0.43 <u>+</u> 0.46	0.40 <u>+</u> 0.25	0.74
RcorA/RcorA0	1.64 <u>+</u> 0.60	1.65 <u>+</u> 1.10	0.09§
D_Aorta/D_Aorta0	1.19 <u>+</u> 0.25	1.07 <u>+</u> 0.14	0.0 <u>5*2*</u> §
C_Aort <u>a</u> /C_Aorta0	2.49 <u>+</u> 0.90	2.69 <u>+</u> 1.12	0.48
D_cerA/D_cerA0	0.73 <u>+</u> 0.25	1.00 <u>+</u> 0.33	< 0.01*§
C_cerA/C_cerA0	1.04 <u>+</u> 1.26	0.75 <u>+</u> 0.67	0.28
D_UA/D_UA0	1.18 <u>+</u> 0.32	1.10 <u>+</u> 0.19	0.35
C_UA/CUA0	1.87 <u>+</u> 1.14	1.80 <u>+</u> 0.84	0.80

Rplac: Placental resistance, Cplac: Placental compliance, Rbrain: Brain resistance, Cbrain: Brain compliance, RcorA: Coronary arteries resistance, D_Aorta: Aorta diameter, C_Aorta: Aorta compliance, D_cerA: Cerebral arteries diameter, C_cerA: Cerebral arteries compliance, D_UA: Umbilical arteries diameter, C_UA: Umbilical arteries compliance, * Significant p ≤ 0.05, § notnormally distributed.

Table 4: Linear Regression Analysis of Fetuses of Mothers with Diabetes

452 Mellitus (FMDM) and Fetal Controls (FC)

451

Variable	R ² FMDM (n=23)	R ² FC (n=31)
Rplac/Rplac0	0.055	0.188
Rbrain/Rbrain0	0.024	0.095
RcorA/RcorA0	0.099	0.528
LV_SV	0.615	0.753
RV_SV	0.707	0.783
MCA_vol	0.395	0.596
Aol_vol	0.412	0.467
UA_vol	0.314	0.422
ССО	0.685	0.849

Rplac: Placental resistance, Rbrain: Brain resistance, RcorA: Coronary arteries

resistance, LV_SV: Left ventricular stroke volume cardiac output, RV_SV: Right

ventricular stroke volume, MCA_vol: Middle cerebral artery blood

volume/heartbeat, Aol_vol: Aortic isthmus blood volume/heartbeat, UA_vol:

Uterine Artery blood volume/heartbeat, CCO: Combined left and right ventricular

458 cardiac output.

454

457

Commented [PGC2]: Why here is not included the p-value??? Before we have it...