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Latest Navigation Requirements

• Meter accuracy
  – Autonomous vehicles
  – Drones
  – Visually impaired pedestrians

• Seamless/ ubiquitous positioning
  – Pedestrians and vehicles
  – Indoors and outdoors

• Resilience
  – Environments with limited signal reception
  – Jamming, interference and spoofing
  – Integrity
Technology Background

- Smaller cheaper sensors
  - IMUs in every smartphone
  - HD video cameras in every phone
  - Magnetometers, barometers, ambient light
- More processing capacity
  - But *for how long?*
- More data available
  - 3D mapping
  - Streetview
  - Signal databases
PNT Technology Developments

GNSS

• New signals and multi-frequency receivers
• Shadow matching and 3D mapping aided ranging
  – *Please come to Session E6 at 3:20 this afternoon 😊*
• Extended coherent integration and synthetic aperture beamforming

Other technologies

• Wi-Fi Round Trip Time (802.11mc)
• Magnetic anomaly matching
• 5G communications
• LEO communication satellites
• Better visual navigation
• More accurate pedestrian dead reckoning
• Better MEMS inertial sensors and quantum sensor technology
No Single Positioning Technology is Reliable

**GNSS and Other Radio Signals:**
- Jamming
- Spoofing
- Interference
- Signals not always available

**Visual Navigation:**
- Landmarks are not available everywhere

**Dead Reckoning:**
- Errors grow with time

**Things Break:**
The Quantum Sensor Myth

Performance claims include
"One meter per month" inertial navigation drift

BUT... even with perfect sensors, we have:

1. Initialisation errors
   - A 1 mm height initialisation error will grow to 1 km after 75 minutes

2. Errors due to mounting misalignment
   - Flexure effects not easily calibrated

3. Gravity modelling errors
   - EGM2008 accuracy equivalent to 20m position error after 1000s

4. Errors due to bandwidth limitation

5. Numerical rounding errors

∴ Error-free inertial navigation is not possible
We still need Integrated Navigation

Benefits:

- **Resilience:** With enough different technologies, we can always maintain a navigation solution
- **Accuracy:** More information enables greater accuracy *and* better sensor error calibration
- **Integrity:** More information makes faults easier to spot

Increased complexity brings challenges:

- **Expertise:** Bringing together knowledge of many different technologies
- **Upgrades:** How to incorporate new technology without a complete redesign
- **Integrity:** How do we ensure the navigation solution can be trusted
Many Different Navigation Technologies

≥ 13 smartphone pedestrian positioning techniques

Other platforms use other techniques

How do we select the best techniques and combine them efficiently?
Context is Important
It determines which navigation technologies work best

Environment

**Open:** Standard GNSS works well

**Urban:** Use 3D-mapping aided GNSS

**Indoor:** Wi-Fi generally best

Behaviour

**Pedestrians and Vehicles**
- Different map matching
- Different motion constraints
- Step detection only works for pedestrians
Context-Adaptive Navigation

- Detects the environmental and behavioural context.
- Selects the appropriate navigation techniques

Sensors, Radios and Databases → Context detection and determination

Context-dependent Navigation Algorithms → Navigation Solution

Please come to Han Gao’s presentation at 1:50 in Session E6 😊
Conventional Integrated Navigation (1)

Bayesian estimators include: Kalman filter, EKF, UKF, particle filter, grid filter, Gaussian mixture filter.
Conventional Integrated Navigation (2)

Challenges:

1. How do we bring together all of the subsystem and modelling expertise?
2. How do we avoid a complete redesign when we add or change a subsystem?
Plug’n’Play Integrated Navigation (1)

Fundamental Principles of navigation

“Universal” Mathematical Model

Subsystem 1
Error stats

Subsystem 2
Error stats

Subsystem 3
Error stats

Measurements

Bayesian estimation algorithm

Navigation Solution

Expertise and thinking distributed across integration algorithm and subsystems

Estimator inputs standard measurement types:
• Position and velocity
• (Pseudo-)Ranges
• Landmark directions
• Position likelihood surface
• Specific force and angular rate…
Plug’n’Play Integrated Navigation (2)

Expertise and thinking distributed across integration algorithm and subsystems

**Challenges:**

1. Including every measurement type in the “universal” model
2. Agreeing the interface
3. Ensuring the subsystem manufacturers’ error statistics are trustworthy

---


Self-Calibrating Plug’n’Play Integration (1)

Fundamental Principles of navigation → “Universal” Mathematical Model

Subsystem 1 Measurements → Bayesian estimation algorithm

Subsystem 2 Measurements

Subsystem 3 Measurements

Adaptive estimation determines error statistics from the residuals

Model can vary with:
- Signal strength
- Dynamics
- Behavioural context
- Environmental context

Navigation Solution

Only generic expertise and thinking needed

Subsystem expertise not required
Self-Calibrating Plug’n’Play Integration (2)

Challenges:
1. Including every measurement type in the “universal” model
2. Agreeing the interface (though at least it’s simpler)
3. Capturing enough data to reliably determine the error statistics

Solution: Cooperative calibration using an error statistics server

Diagram:
- Fundamental Principles of navigation
- "Universal" Mathematical Model
- Bayesian estimation algorithm
- Determine error statistics
- Error statistics server
- Subsystem 1
- Subsystem 2
- Subsystem 3
- Measurements
- Aiding
- Navigation Solution
- Other Navigation Systems
Thinking is Now Deeply Unfashionable
Rational Thought has been made a Disease

Was Albert Einstein Autistic?

The boy was an odd one, that was something his family could agree about. When he was born, the back of his head was enormous. His grandmother thought he was just fat, but his parents were worried it was a sign of some problem. But within a few weeks, he’d managed to grow into it somehow, so at least he didn’t look strange.

But then, as he grew older, he wouldn’t speak!

As others his age were learning words and then assembling them into sentences, he
The Artificial Intelligence Approach

- No thinking required
- No expertise needed
- Even the diagram is simpler

**Challenge:** Can we trust the navigation solution?

**Solution:** If you don’t employ expert engineers, no-one will ask the question
GNSS Positioning Using Machine Learning (1)

Assuming no prior GNSS expertise…

**Step 1**: Collect training data
- Distribute GNSS receivers across entire area of operation
- Space them at the required positioning resolution
GNSS Positioning Using Machine Learning (2)

**Step 2:** Train your machine learning algorithm

- Input GNSS receiver ADC outputs and true receiver positions
- 4 MB per second per receiver (2 bit sampling at 16 Msamples/s)
- 86,400 s to capture GPS ground track repeat period (longer for other constellations)
- 1m resolution
- 350 petabytes of training data per km$^2$ of service area
- This is more data than CERN has
**GNSS Positioning Using Machine Learning (3)**

**Step 3: Positioning service**

- Send GNSS receiver ADC outputs (4 kB per ms) to server
- Input to massive deep learning algorithm (too big for a mobile device)
- Return position to user

- Correlating the PRN codes, downloading the ephemeris and computing a least-squares solution is much much easier!

*Maybe we still need expertise and thinking*
Is Machine Learning Useful at all?

Physics-based mathematical modelling is more efficient for systems we understand.

Machine learning is useful for systems that are difficult to model:
- Nonlinear inertial sensor errors
- Object recognition
- Context determination

But... thinking is still needed:
- Define classes to recognise or parameters to estimate
- Select the right machine learning algorithm
- Determine the feature data to input
- Devise a suitable training process
Fault Detection (1)

Multi-sensor fault detection
• More measurements improves outlier detection sensitivity
• **But** more measurements also makes simultaneous faults more likely
• **And** simultaneous faults are not necessarily independent

Multi-epoch fault detection
• More measurements improves outlier detection sensitivity
• **But** there are more faults to detect
• **And** faults are often correlated over successive epochs
Fault Detection (2)

Recursive Estimator
- Limited processing load for unlimited epochs
- Faults must be detected immediately to avoid contaminating the state estimates

Batch Estimator
- Processing load increases with number of epochs, effectively limiting the number of epochs
- Faulty measurements can be removed at any time
Fault Detection (3)

Hybrid Batch-Recursive Estimator

- Most recent epochs considered separately with older epochs combined recursively
- Processing load is finite for unlimited epochs
- Faulty measurements can be removed at any time before they are absorbed in the recursive part of the filter

- Fault detection algorithms must consider multiple faults that can be correlated over time and across different measurements
Some Thoughts on Integrity

Formal Integrity Requirements:
• The probability that the position error exceeds $x$ must be less than $y$ otherwise an alert must be raised

This requires real-time calculation of
• *Either* integrity risk of a position error exceeding $x$
• *Or* position error corresponding to an integrity risk of $y$

Which requires:
• Statistical error distribution of all measurements under normal operation *and* for each failure mode
• Probability of each failure mode
• Temporal correlation models for all error sources
• Models of error correlation across measurements for all sources

I don’t know how to do this!
Summary and Discussion Points

Context determination allows a navigation system to adapt to different environments and user behaviours

_Do you agree?_

Plug’n’play multisensor integration helps to manage complexity

_But is it practical?_

Machine learning is not a replacement for Bayesian estimation

_But, does it still have uses in navigation?_

A hybrid batch-recursive estimator is a good approach to multi-epoch multi-sensor Fault Detection

_Are there any alternative proposals?_

Maintaining integrity in a complex multisensor system is very difficult.

_Does anyone have any suggestions?_