



## Digital interventions for sexual health promotion— opportunities and challenges

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Journal:                      | <i>BMJ</i>  |
| Manuscript ID:                | Draft   |
| Article Type:                 | Editorial   |
| BMJ Journal:                  | BMJ   |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | n/a   |
| Complete List of Authors:     | Bailey, Julia; University College London, Primary Care and Population Health<br>Mann, Sue; King's College Hospital,<br>Wayal, Sonali; University College London, Centre for Sexual Health and HIV Research<br>Hunter, Rachael; UCL, Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health<br>Abraham, Charles; University of Exeter, Medical School<br>Free, Caroline; London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Internal Medicine<br>Murray, Elizabeth; University College London, Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health |
| Keywords:                     | sexual health, digital media, website, mobile phone, innovation, sexual behavior  |
|                               |   |

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Digital interventions for sexual health promotion—opportunities and challenges

Julia Bailey, Sue Mann, Sonali Wayal, Rachael Hunter, Charles Abraham, Caroline Free, Elizabeth Murray

Bailey, J.V. <sup>1</sup> [julia.bailey@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:julia.bailey@ucl.ac.uk) 0044 7766 617 783

Mann, S. <sup>2</sup> [suemann@doctors.org.uk](mailto:suemann@doctors.org.uk)

Wayal, S. <sup>1</sup> [s.wayal@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:s.wayal@ucl.ac.uk)

Hunter, R. <sup>3</sup> [r.hunter@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:r.hunter@ucl.ac.uk)

Abraham, C. <sup>4</sup> [charles.abraham@pcmd.ac.uk](mailto:charles.abraham@pcmd.ac.uk)

Free, C. <sup>5</sup> [caroline.free@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:caroline.free@lshtm.ac.uk)

Murray, E. <sup>1</sup> [elizabeth.murray@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:elizabeth.murray@ucl.ac.uk)

<sup>1</sup>e-Health unit, Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, Royal Free Hospital, Rowland Hill Street, London NW3 2PF

<sup>2</sup> King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London SE5 9RS

<sup>3</sup> PRIMENT Clinical Trials Unit, Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, NW3 2PF

<sup>4</sup> University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup> London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

### Copyright/Licence to Publish

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non-exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BMJ editions and any other BMJ PGL products and sublicences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence

### Competing interests

All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

### Funding

This research was funded by the National Institute for Health Research Public Health Research Programme. Reference PHR - 11/3009/04 <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projects/phr/11300904> The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Public Health Research Programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health.

### Ethical permission

Ethical committee permission for the field work (consultation with young people) was from the University College London ethics committee, Reference 2948/002.

### Contribution

All authors contributed to a Scoping Review of Digital Media, Sexual health and Young people, from which this article derives. All co-authors have contributed to and approved the final version of this manuscript. The manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported, and that no important aspects of the study have been omitted.

### Sponsor

The sponsor is University College London, who oversaw the conduct of field work for the Scoping review of Digital Media, Sexual health and Young people

## Digital interventions for sexual health promotion—opportunities and challenges

Julia Bailey, Sue Mann, Sonali Wayal, Rachael Hunter, Caroline Free, Charles Abraham, Elizabeth Murray

Enhancing sexual health is a global public health priority. However, few countries provide comprehensive sex and relationships education; prevention is less of a funding priority than diagnosis and treatment; health services struggle to meet demand; and clinicians and patients can be reluctant to address sexual health issues in consultation.

Digital interventions delivered via the Internet or mobile phone may be particularly appropriate for sexual health, since it offers convenient, confidential and self-paced access.<sup>1</sup> In resource-rich countries, some populations at high risk of sexual ill-health are also heavy users of the Internet (e.g. young people, men who have sex with men, and sex workers), and mobile phone ownership is increasing globally. Digital interventions can potentially reach populations who may not otherwise engage with education or health services.

There are many opportunities for digital interventions before, during, or after clinical care, for example electronic history-taking and/or risk-assessment, triage (with the option of appropriate self-testing), and electronic decision-aids prior to an appointment. Digital interventions can exploit 'teachable moments' in clinics, for example providing tailored sexual health advice via interactive website, or health promotion videos in waiting rooms<sup>2;3</sup>.

Digital systems may also enhance the reach and convenience of clinical services: for example, online test kit ordering with results by text or email (e.g. for Chlamydia or HIV), automated recall, and online partner notification for sexually transmitted infection treatment. Sexual health outreach on social networking websites is an avenue for sexual health promotion, and there are online support groups and discussion forums for specific conditions (e.g. for HIV, hepatitis, herpes), and online self-help programmes for sexual problems.<sup>4;5</sup> Digital media (especially mobile phones) can also be used to enhance medication adherence, for example to HIV treatment or oral contraceptive pills.<sup>1</sup> However, most digital systems linked with health services are designed to enhance the treatment of health problems rather than health promotion or prevention, and sexual wellbeing in particular is not addressed in clinics or schools (e.g. skills in building good relationships, sexual pleasure). Sexual health promotion could easily be added to digital systems which are already in use.

Digital interventions can facilitate knowledge acquisition, both in and out of clinical settings,<sup>6</sup> and this could be cost-saving for health services (e.g. saving time spent providing information or explaining choices). However, information alone is unlikely to change behaviour - interventions need to be interactive to promote active learning and to make content personally relevant, and need to be underpinned by behaviour change theory including specific behaviour change techniques.<sup>7</sup> Digital interventions can produce personally relevant material in a variety of ways, for example tailored by demographic characteristics, by risk behaviour or past experiences, or by theoretical factors such as knowledge, motivation or skills.<sup>8-10</sup> Imaginative interactive multi-media features are possible, for example games, stories, scenarios, simulations, virtual characters, animations, video and audio. Interactive digital interventions are effective at improving sexual health knowledge and show promising effects on sexual behaviour.<sup>6</sup>

At the moment in the UK, there are pockets of innovation but no national programme to roll out IDI for sexual health promotion in clinics, in schools or online. There is a profusion of websites and apps for sexual health available, but most of these are not interactive or underpinned by behaviour change theory, and the efficacy and safety of most is not known. The pace of innovation is impressive, and we need to ensure that

1  
2 NHS ethics and R&D procedures can facilitate intervention development and evaluation within reasonable  
3 timescales.  
4

5 Young people want IDI for sexual health, commercial companies are keen to develop digital interventions  
6 for health, and the UK government supports the idea in principle. However, there are important obstacles  
7 to putting IDI in clinic settings (e.g. technical issues, access problems, staff and management concerns), in  
8 schools (e.g. lack of compulsory SRE, teacher and parent reservations, blocks on sexual health websites)  
9 and online (e.g. lack of funding for freely-available interventions). Stronger evidence is needed on the best  
10 designs for interventions (e.g. choice of behaviour change techniques and interactive features), the best  
11 models of delivery (e.g. settings, modes of delivery, support for engagement), on barriers to  
12 implementation, and on cost-effectiveness.  
13  
14

15  
16 Sexual health promotion via the Internet and mobile phone is a highly appropriate way to reach many at-  
17 risk populations, and digital interventions could be used to promote better quality, cost-effective sexual  
18 health care, either in conjunction with clinical services, or accessed directly by users. There is policy  
19 support in principle for deploying digital media interventions for health in the UK<sup>11</sup> and pockets of local  
20 innovation, but coordinated national efforts are needed to realise their potential for sexual health  
21 promotion.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Reference List

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 (1) Ybarra ML, Bull SS. Current trends in Internet- and cell phone-based HIV prevention and intervention  
7 programs. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports* 4(4):201-7, 2007.
- 8  
9 (2) Garbers S, Meserve A, Kottke M, Hatcher R, Ventura A, Chiasson MA. Randomized controlled trial of a  
10 computer-based module to improve contraceptive method choice. *Contraception* 2012; 86(4):383-  
11 390.
- 12  
13 (3) Sweat M, O'Donnell C, O'Donnell L. Cost-effectiveness of a brief video-based HIV intervention for African  
14 American and Latino sexually transmitted disease clinic clients. *AIDS* 15(6):781-7, 2001.
- 15  
16 (4) Jones K, Baldwin KA, Lewis PR. The potential influence of a social media intervention on risky sexual  
17 behavior and Chlamydia incidence. *J COMMUNITY HEALTH NURS* 2012; 29(2):106-120.
- 18  
19 (5) Schover LR, Canada AL, Yuan Y, Sui D, Neese L, Jenkins R et al. A randomized trial of internet-based  
20 versus traditional sexual counseling for couples after localized prostate cancer treatment. *Cancer*  
21 *(0008543X)* 2012; 118(2):500-509.
- 22  
23 (6) Bailey JV, Murray E, Rait G, Mercer CH, Morris RW, Peacock R et al. Interactive computer-based  
24 interventions for sexual health promotion. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews (Online)* 2010;  
25 9(pp CD006483):2010.
- 26  
27 (7) Michie S, Richardson M, Johnston M, Abraham C, Francis J, Hardeman W et al. The Behavior Change  
28 Technique Taxonomy (v1) of 93 Hierarchically Clustered Techniques: Building an International  
29 Consensus for the Reporting of Behavior Change Interventions. *Ann Beh Med* 2013; 46:81-95.
- 30  
31 (8) Bowen AM, Williams ML, Daniel CM, Clayton S. Internet based HIV prevention research targeting rural  
32 MSM: feasibility, acceptability, and preliminary efficacy. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 2008;  
33 31(6):463-477.
- 34  
35 (9) Klein CH, Card JJ. Preliminary efficacy of a computer-delivered HIV prevention intervention for African  
36 American teenage females. *AIDS EDUC PREV* 2011; 23(6):564-576.
- 37  
38 (10) Bannink R, Broeren S, Joosten van Zwanenburg E, van As E, van de Looij-Jansen P, Raat H. Effectiveness  
39 of a Web-Based Tailored Intervention (E-health4Uth) and Consultation to Promote Adolescents'  
40 Health: Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Med Internet Res* 2014; 16(5):e143.
- 41  
42 (11) Department of Health. Increasing research and innovation in health and social care. 2014. Online  
43 Source Accessed 20-10-2014. [https://www.gov.uk/government/policies/increasing-research-and-  
44 innovation-in-health-and-social-care](https://www.gov.uk/government/policies/increasing-research-and-innovation-in-health-and-social-care)
- 45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60