

# Dynamic Behaviour in Nicotinate-Bridged Binuclear Ruthenium(IV) Complexes

Jonathan W. Steed<sup>a\*</sup> and Derek A. Tocher<sup>b</sup>

a) *Department of Chemistry, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK. E-mail: jon.steed@durham.ac.uk*

b) *Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon St., London WC1H 0AJ, UK*

## Abstract

The isonicotinic acid adduct  $[\text{Ru}(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}_2(p\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2\text{H})]$  **1** and nicotinate and isonicotinate bridged binuclear complexes  $[\text{Ru}_2(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})_2\text{Cl}_3(\mu\text{-}\kappa\text{N},O,O'\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2)]$  **2** and **3** are reported. Complexes **2** and **3** exist as mixtures of diastereoisomers and are dynamic in water saturated chloroform solution with complexes involving bidentate chelating carboxylate ligands in equilibrium with unidentate carboxylate aquo complexes  $[\text{Ru}_2(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})_2\text{Cl}_3(\text{OH}_2)(\mu\text{-}\kappa\text{N},O\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2)]$

KEYWORDS ruthenium(IV), bis(allyl), carboxylate, bridged, nicotinic acid

## Introduction


Bis(allyl) ruthenium(IV) complexes are of ongoing interest as catalysts and catalyst precursors in a range of processes such as carbonyl reduction,[1] alkene oligomerization and polymerization,[2-5] transfer hydrogenation,[6] photo-initiated ring-opening metathesis polymerization,[7] S–S and C–S bond cleavage[8] and as guanidinate precursors.[9] Of particular interest are reactions either involving water or occurring in aqueous solution such as the hydrolysis of nitriles to amides[10-12] and redox isomerization of allylic alcohols[13, 14]. Bis(allyl) ruthenium(IV) complexes are also of interest in catalysis related chemistry such as transmetallation,[15] and as precursors to novel ruthenium(II) systems via reductive elimination.[16] Carboxylate complexes of bis(allyl) ruthenium(IV) species in particular are active catalysts and catalyst precursors[17-19] and the field has been reviewed.[20] The simple acetate chelate complex[21] of 2,7-dimethylocta-2,6-diene-1,8-diyl ruthenium(IV)  $[\text{Ru}(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})]$  possesses significant catalytic activity and surprisingly is soluble in both water and in ionic liquids despite its neutral, relatively hydrophobic structure.[17, 19] Previous work has

shown that complexes of this organometallic fragment with more electron withdrawing carboxylates (acid  $pK_a$  of 2.9 or below) are in equilibrium with aquo species bearing a unidentate carboxylate ligand of type  $[\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}(\text{OH}_2)(\text{O}_2\text{CR})]$  where  $\text{R} = \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}, \text{CH}_2\text{F}$ . [21] Such a process may promote both catalytic activity (by creation of a vacant site) and water solubility. In this work we report the formation of bis(allyl) ruthenium(IV) complexes of nicotinic and isonicotinic acids. Both species have comparable  $pK_a$  to acetic acid (4.75, 4.96 and 4.75, respectively [22]) but offer the possibility of bridged coordination via the pyridyl nitrogen atom as well as the carboxylate group. In addition, nicotinato analogues of the pyrazine-bridged ruthenium-containing Creutz-Taubé ion display an extensive electrochemistry. [23] The unsymmetrical nature of nicotinic acids suggests that it may be possible to generate mononuclear compounds bound *via* the pyridyl nitrogen atom before deprotonation of the carboxylate functionality in the presence of a second metal complex to give an unsymmetrically bridged compound. Such an unsymmetrical system would complement previous work on more symmetrical binuclear ruthenium(IV) complexes. [24-29]

## Results and Discussion

In contrast to the case of the mononuclear pyrazene adduct  $[\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}_2(\text{N}_2\text{C}_4\text{H}_4)]$ , [26] reaction of the chloro-bridged precursor  $[\{\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}(\mu\text{-Cl})\}_2]$  [30] with two molar equivalents of isonicotinic acid ( $p\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ ) results in the clean formation of the adduct  $[\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}_2(p\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2\text{H})]$  **1** in 80% yield. This is consistent with recent results reported by Creutz [23] in which isonicotinate and isonicotinamate bridged analogues of the Creutz-Taubé ion are readily prepared from mononuclear precursors. The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of **1** was consistent with its formulation as an equatorial adduct, with the only noteworthy feature being the surprisingly similar chemical shifts of the two terminal allyl signals ( $\delta$  4.62 and 4.46 ppm). The infrared spectrum of the complex showed a strong  $\nu_{\text{asymm}}(\text{OCO})$  at  $1732\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $\nu_{\text{symm}}(\text{OCO})$  1414 and  $1369\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , similar to the values for free carboxylic acids and implying the complex to be N-bound. [31] If the reaction is carried out with a single mole equivalent of nicotinic ( $m\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ ) or isonicotinic acid in the presence of excess  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  an equally smooth reaction occurs to give the unsymmetrical binuclear complexes  $[\text{Ru}_2(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})_2\text{Cl}_3(\mu\text{-}m\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2)]$  **2** and  $[\text{Ru}_2(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})_2\text{Cl}_3(\mu\text{-}p\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2)]$  **3** respectively in *ca.* 70% yield. Unlike **1**, the infrared spectra of **2** and **3** no longer display a band at *ca.*  $1700\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , instead two new bands at lower wavenumber, assignable to  $\nu_{\text{asymm}}(\text{OCO})$  are apparent [ $1607$  and  $1509\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in **2** and  $1509$  and  $1496\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in **3**]. Bands assignable to  $\nu_{\text{symm}}(\text{OCO})$  also occur in both complexes [ $1444$  and  $1381$  (**2**) and  $1429$  and  $1383\text{ cm}^{-1}$  (**3**)]. The difference in frequency between  $\nu_{\text{asymm}}$  and  $\nu_{\text{symm}}$ ,  $\Delta\nu$  is ambiguous, and hence from this data the coordination mode of the carboxylate functionalities is uncertain, although the chelate mode is suspected based on previous related examples


and the requirement to complete the metal coordination sphere.[32] The binuclear nature of **2** was confirmed by a FAB mass spectrum which exhibited a clear molecular ion peak centred on  $m/z$  703 with isotope distribution characteristic of two ruthenium and three chlorine atoms along with a fragmentation peak corresponding to loss of chloride  $m/z$  668.



The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of **2** and **3** strongly reflect the asymmetric, binuclear nature of the compounds. In the spectrum of **2** for example, the N-bound " $\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})$ " fragment demonstrates sharp resonances similar to the N-bound adduct **1**, the terminal allyl resonances again occurring at similar chemical shifts to one another. In common with other binuclear complexes containing the chiral " $\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})$ " moiety, **2** is expected to exist as two diastereoisomers.[21, 26, 27] The linkage between the two metal atoms is long however (six atoms), and thus only small chemical shift differences are anticipated in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR resonances for the two forms. In reality the diastereoisomers are resolved on only one of the terminal allyl signals for the N-bound side of the molecule:  $\delta$  4.60 and 4.59 ppm. The other two terminal allyl signals are coincident,  $\delta$  4.41 ppm. In contrast to the N-bound side of the molecule, the O-bound " $\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})$ " fragment exhibits four terminal allyl signals at room temperature,  $\delta$  5.61, 4.73, 4.70 and 3.75 ppm, all of which are broad implying a fluxional process that apparently has most effect on the O-bound end of the molecule. The remainder of the spectrum of the O-bound fragment resembles strongly that of carboxylato complexes such as the chelate acetate  $[\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}(\text{O}_2\text{CMe})]$ . [21]


At  $-20^\circ\text{C}$  all the resonances in the spectrum of **2** are sharp (Fig. 1) and consistent with the proposed formulation with signals for individual diastereoisomers resolved on some of the resonances due to the terminal allylic protons on the O-bound end of the molecule. Interestingly, a number of additional resonances of very low intensity (*ca.* 5% of the total sample) are observed, including a broad signal at  $\delta$  6.46 ppm. It seems likely that this second species contains a unidentate carboxylato group with the vacant coordination site at the carboxylate bound metal centre occupied by a water molecule. An  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum exhibiting similar features is observed for **3** and it would thus appear that the carboxylatopyridines exhibit behaviour related to that of the fluoro- and chloroacetato complexes  $[\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}(\text{OH}_2)(\text{O}_2\text{CR})]$ , [21] with chelating compounds in equilibrium with aqua species containing unidentate carboxylato ligands (Scheme 1). This behaviour is surprising given the chelate nature of the analogous acetate complex and the higher  $\text{pK}_a$  values of nicotinic and

isonicotinic acid compared to chloro- and fluoroacetic acid. While the coordination of the second ruthenium(IV) fragment may enhance the electron withdrawing nature of the nicotinate and isonicotinate pyridyl substituent, it implies that exchange between bidentate carboxylates and unidentate aqua species may be widespread and may contribute to the water solubility of the parent acetate complex.



**Scheme 1:** Fluxionality in the nicotinato bridged compound  $[\text{Ru}_2(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})_2\text{Cl}_3(\mu\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2)]$  **2**.

Attempts were made to use **1** to synthesise mixed valence Ru(IV)/Ru(II) compounds such as  $[(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}_2\text{Ru}(\mu\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2)\text{RuCl}(\eta^6\text{-}p\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CHMe}_2)]$ , related to the pyrazine-bridged analogue,[26] by reaction of **1** with the arene ruthenium(II) precursor  $[\{\text{Ru}(\eta^6\text{-}p\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CHMe}_2)\text{Cl}(\mu\text{-Cl})\}_2]$ . [33] On carrying out the reaction the mixed-valence compound represented *ca.* 50% of the isolated yield (by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy) but was contaminated by significant amounts of **3** and the analogous Ru(II)-Ru(II) species. Similar results were obtained from the reaction of  $[\text{Ru}(\eta^6\text{-}p\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CHMe}_2)\text{Cl}_2(m\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2\text{H})]$  with  $[\{\text{Ru}(\eta^3:\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}(\mu\text{-Cl})\}_2]$  while an extremely complicated mixture of products was obtained from the reaction of **1** with  $[\text{PdCl}_2(\text{PhCN})_2]$ .



**Fig. 1:** partial <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the binuclear compound

[Ru<sub>2</sub>(η<sup>3</sup>:η<sup>3</sup>-C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>(μ-*m*-NC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>)] **2** a) recorded at +20°C; b) -20°C in water-saturated CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution. The arrow indicates the resonance at δ 6.46 ppm assigned to coordinated water.

## Conclusion

Isonicotinic acid forms *N*-bound mononuclear adducts with 2,7-dimethylocta-2,6-diene-1,8-diyl ruthenium(IV) dichloride. In the presence of base both nicotinic and isonicotinic acid give unsymmetric binuclear bridged complexes with 2,7-dimethylocta-2,6-diene-1,8-diyl ruthenium(IV) involving a bidentate chelate carboxylate group. These complexes are dynamic and exist as a mixture of diastereoisomers and are in equilibrium with analogous aquo complexes bearing a unidentate carboxylate group. The facile coordination of water with carboxylate complexes of relatively high p*K*<sub>a</sub> is likely to contribute to the water solubility of the catalytically active acetate parent compound.

## Experimental

### *Instrumental.*

Infrared spectra were recorded on a PE983 grating spectrometer between 4000 and 180 cm<sup>-1</sup> as either KBr disks or nujol mulls on CsI plates. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR400

spectrometer at University College London. NMR data are given in Table 1. Microanalyses were carried out by the departmental service and mass spectra were recorded by the University of London Intercollegiate Research Service at the School of Pharmacy. All manipulations were carried out under nitrogen with degassed solvents using conventional Schlenk line techniques except where otherwise stated. In general isolated products were found to be air stable or to decompose only slowly in solution in the presence of atmospheric oxygen.

### Preparations

$[\text{Ru}(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}_2(p\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2\text{H})]$  **1**.  $[\{\text{Ru}(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}(\mu\text{-Cl})\}_2]$  (0.24 g, 0.38 mmol) was stirred as an acetone (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) suspension with isonicotinic acid (0.09 g, 0.77 mmol) for 2 h, during which time the gradual formation of an orange colouration was observed as the starting material was taken up into solution. The solution was evaporated to an orange oil and triturated resulting in the deposition of the product as an orange precipitate which was washed with diethyl ether and air dried. Yield: 0.26 g, 0.61 mmol, 80% (Found: C, 44.15; H, 5.05; N, 2.95. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NCl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Ru: C, 44.55; H, 4.90; N, 3.25%).

$[\text{Ru}_2(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})_2\text{Cl}_3(\mu\text{-}m\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2)]$  **2**.  $[\{\text{Ru}(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}(\mu\text{-Cl})\}_2]$  (0.08 g, 0.12 mmol) was stirred with nicotinic acid (0.015 g, 0.12 mmol) in acetone (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) in the presence of Na<sub>2</sub>[CO<sub>3</sub>] (0.1 g, excess) for 2 h. The resulting orange solution was filtered to remove excess base and evaporated to an orange oil. Addition of diethyl ether (4 cm<sup>3</sup>) resulted in the formation of the product as an orange precipitate which was isolated by filtration and air dried. Evaporation of the filtrate and trituration with hexane resulted in a further crop of product. Combined yield: 0.06 g, 0.09 mmol, 71% (Found: C, 43.75; H, 5.40; N, 1.85. Calc. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NCl<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>: C, 44.40; H, 5.15; N, 2.00%).

$[\text{Ru}_2(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})_2\text{Cl}_3(\mu\text{-}p\text{-NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2)]$  **3**.  $[\{\text{Ru}(\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-C}_{10}\text{H}_{16})\text{Cl}(\mu\text{-Cl})\}_2]$  (0.06 g, 0.10 mmol) was treated with isonicotinic acid (0.012 g, 0.10 mmol) and excess anhydrous sodium carbonate as described for **2**. Combined yield: 0.05 g, 0.07 mmol, 70% (Found: C, 44.35; H, 5.40; N, 1.75. Calc. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NCl<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>: C, 44.40; H, 5.15; N, 2.00%).

### Acknowledgement

We thank the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (formerly SERC) for funding.

**Table 1:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR Data for New Complexes.<sup>a</sup>

Compound	$\delta$				
	Terminal Allyl	Internal Allyl	-CH <sub>2</sub> -	Me	Other
[Ru( $\eta^3$ : $\eta^3$ -C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> )Cl <sub>2</sub> ( <i>p</i> -NC <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H)] <b>1</b>	4.62 (s, 2H) 4.46 (s, 2H)	5.31 (m, 2H)	3.06 (m, 2H) 2.43 (m, 2H)	2.39 (s, 6H)	9.42 & 7.88 (AA'BB', 4H, <sup>3</sup> J=5.4, <sup>5</sup> J=1.5, NC <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H)
[Ru <sub>2</sub> ( $\eta^3$ : $\eta^3$ -C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ - <i>m</i> -NC <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> )] <b>2</b> i) 20°C (two diastereoisomers)	5.61 (s, br, 2H) 4.73 (s, br, 2H) 4.70 (s, br, 2H) 4.60 (s, 2H) 4.59 (s, 2H) 4.41 (s, 4H) 3.75 (s, br, 2H)	5.26 (m, 4H) 4.35 (s, br, 2H) 3.80 (s, br, 2H)	3.02 (m, 4H) 2.61 (m, 8H) 2.41 (m, 4H)	2.38 (s, 12H) 2.33 (s, 6H) 2.17 (s, 3H) 2.16 (s, 3H)	9.59 (d, 1H, <sup>4</sup> J=1.8), 9.58 (d, 1H, <sup>4</sup> J=1.8), 9.31 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup> J=5.8), 8.36 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup> J=7.4), 7.37 (dd, 2H, <sup>3</sup> J=5.8 & 7.4)
ii) -50°C (two diastereoisomers, minor aquo complex not listed)	5.59 (s, 2H) 4.69 (s, 1H) 4.67 (s, 1H) 4.64 (s, 2H) 4.62 (s, 2H) 4.61 (s, 2H) 4.38 (s, 4H) 3.72 (s, 2H)	5.22 (m, 4H) 4.30 (m, 2H) 3.76 (m, 2H)	3.06 (m, 4H) 2.69 (m, 2H) 2.62 (m, 4H) 2.50 (m, 2H) 2.38 (m, 4H)	2.34 (s, 12H) 2.31 (s, 6H) 2.16 (s, 3H) 2.15 (s, 3H)	9.51 (s, 1H), 9.49 (s, 1H), 9.26 (d, 1H, <sup>3</sup> J=5.8), 9.25 (d, 1H, <sup>3</sup> J=5.8), 8.38 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup> J=7.8), 7.39 (dd, 2H, <sup>3</sup> J=5.8 & 7.8)
[Ru <sub>2</sub> ( $\eta^3$ : $\eta^3$ -C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> ( $\mu$ - <i>p</i> -NC <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> )] <b>3</b> (two diastereoisomers)	5.63 (s, 2H) 4.72 (s, 2H) 4.69 (s, br, 2H) 4.58 (s, 2H) 4.57 (s, 2H) 4.43 (s, 2H) 4.42 (s, 2H) 3.68 (s, br, 2H)	5.27 (m, 4H) 4.37 (m, 2H) 3.79 (m, 2H)	3.04 (m, 4H) 2.63 (m, 8H) 2.41 (m, 4H)	2.38 (s, 6H) 2.37 (s, 6H) 2.34 (s, 6H) 2.18 (s, 6H)	9.32 (t, 4H, <sup>3</sup> J=6.5), 7.76 (d, 4H, <sup>3</sup> J=6.5)

a) In CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  / ppm,  $J_{H-H}$  / Hz, 400 MHz, 20°C, s = singlet, d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublets, t = triplet, se = septet, m = multiplet.

## References

- [1] V. Cadierno, P. Crochet, J. Díez, J. García-Alvarez, S.E. García-Garrido, S. García-Granda, J. Gimeno, M.A. Rodríguez, *Dalton Trans.* (16) (2003) 3240-3249.
- [2] W.A. Herrmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 27(10) (1988) 1297-1313.
- [3] J.W. Steed, D.A. Tocher, *J. Chem. Soc.-Chem. Commun.* (22) (1991) 1609-1610.
- [4] R.K. Gupta, A.K. Singh, M. Yadav, P. Kumar, S.K. Singh, P. Li, Q. Xu, D.S. Pandey, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 695(15) (2010) 1924-1931.
- [5] T. Friedberger, J.W. Ziller, Z. Guan, *Organometallics* 33(8) (2014) 1913-1916.
- [6] V. Cadierno, P. Crochet, J. Díez, J. García-Álvarez, S.E. García-Garrido, J. Gimeno, S. García-Granda, M.A. Rodríguez, *Inorg. Chem.* 42(10) (2003) 3293-3307.
- [7] D. Wang, J. Unold, M. Bubrin, W. Frey, W. Kaim, M.R. Buchmeiser, *ChemCatChem* 4(11) (2012) 1808-1812.
- [8] C. Xu, S.A. Pullarkat, L.Y. Goh, *Aust. J. Chem.* 62(11) (2009) 1537-1543.
- [9] L.a. Menéndez-Rodríguez, E. Tomás-Mendivil, J. Francos, P. Crochet, V. Cadierno, A. Antiñolo, R. Fernández-Galán, F. Carrillo-Hermosilla, *Organometallics* 34(12) (2015) 2796-2809.
- [10] R. García-Álvarez, S.E. García-Garrido, J. Díez, P. Crochet, V. Cadierno, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2012(26) (2012) 4218-4230.
- [11] V. Cadierno, J. Díez, J. Francos, J. Gimeno, *Chem Eur. J.* 16(32) (2010) 9808-9817.
- [12] E. Tomás-Mendivil, F.J. Suárez, J. Díez, V. Cadierno, *Chem. Commun.* 50(68) (2014) 9661-9664.
- [13] L. Bellarosa, J. Díez, J. Gimeno, A. Lledós, F.J. Suárez, G. Ujaque, C. Vicent, *Chem Eur. J.* 18(25) (2012) 7749-7765.
- [14] J. Díez, J. Gimeno, A. Lledós, F.J. Suárez, C. Vicent, *ACS Catalysis* 2(10) (2012) 2087-2099.
- [15] E. Tomás-Mendivil, R. García-Álvarez, S.E. García-Garrido, J. Díez, P. Crochet, V. Cadierno, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 727 (2013) 1-9.
- [16] S.Y. Ng, G.K. Tan, L.L. Koh, W.K. Leong, L.Y. Goh, *Organometallics* 26(14) (2007) 3352-3361.
- [17] J. García-Álvarez, J. Gimeno, F.J. Suárez, *Organometallics* 30(10) (2011) 2893-2896.
- [18] V. Cadierno, S.E. García-Garrido, J. Gimeno, A. Varela-Alvarez, J.A. Sordo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128(4) (2006) 1360-1370.
- [19] V. Cadierno, S.E. García-Garrido, J. Gimeno, *Chem. Commun.* (2) (2004) 232-233.
- [20] G. Jose, C. Pascale, E.G.-G. Sergio, C. Victorio, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 10(2) (2006) 165-183.
- [21] B. Kavanagh, J.W. Steed, D.A. Tocher, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (2) (1993) 327-335.
- [22] National Center for Biotechnology Information. PubChem Compound Database. <https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>. (Accessed 15th Feb 2018).
- [23] M.H. Chou, C. Creutz, N. Sutin, *Inorg. Chem.* 31(12) (1992) 2318-2327.
- [24] A. Nand Sahay, D. Shankar Pandey, M.G. Walawalkar, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 613(2) (2000) 250-256.
- [25] J.W. Steed, D.A. Tocher, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 412(3) (1991) C34-C36.
- [26] J.W. Steed, D.A. Tocher, *Polyhedron* 11(21) (1992) 2729-2737.
- [27] J.W. Steed, D.A. Tocher, *Polyhedron* 11(15) (1992) 1849-1854.
- [28] J.W. Steed, D.A. Tocher, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (3) (1992) 459-461.
- [29] M. Rowley, J.W. Steed, D.A. Tocher, *Polyhedron* 14(11) (1995) 1415-1418.
- [30] L. Porri, M.C. Gallazzi, A. Colombo, G. Allegra, *Tetrahedron Lett.* (47) (1965) 4187-4189.
- [31] C.J. Pouchert, *Aldrich Library of Infrared Spectra*, 1981.
- [32] K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Complexes*, 4th ed., Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [33] M.A. Bennett, A.K. Smith, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1974) 233-241.