

## **'Educational Technology' - Revolutionizing Surgical Education**

As defined by Richey [1], educational technology is “the study and ethical practice of facilitating learning and improving performance by creating, using and managing appropriate technological processes and resources”. Modern-day surgical practice demands excellence; excellence in clinical outcomes but equivalent excellence in training opportunities. Technological advances have not only helped improve patient care by potentially offering more efficient treatment modalities but also improved how training is delivered by employing more novel and innovative methods [2, 3]. Yet there remains an inequity in access to appropriate resources in order to train to the highest standards thus depriving many surgeons of the ‘excellence’ they seek. Surgeons must have a level playing field regardless of geography if they are to become highly-trained individuals. This inequity is not only geographically based but also within national training programmes in developed countries. For example, some surgical procedures have become so specialised that only a handful of surgical units/surgeons will be practising meaning that trainees will not be able to gain sufficient experience – transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME) or robotic surgery are examples in the UK. Web-based and mobile knowledge sources have emerged as the perfect solution to meet these challenges by providing on-demand educational resources which are immediately accessible. And surgery, in particular, is the perfect recipient in this respect as it is a discipline that requires an understanding of theoretical concepts underpinned by evidence-based knowledge in addition to procedural skill.

The development of medical educational technology is related to the evolution of cell phone communication. Smartphones evolved until the iPhone boom in 2007. Enterprisers were able to work efficiently away from the desk and consumers were attracted by on-demand Internet from all over the world, while enjoying the most advanced multimedia functions. Educational institutions have started to incorporate smartphones and tablets, as they provide students

innovative ways to enhance the learning experience. In the last few years we have seen a surge in online medical resources, mobile applications and social media (SoMe), and a departure from more traditional approaches to medical education [4]. The impact of online platforms and SoMe in the medical and surgical community has expanded, mostly based on instant and unlimited dissemination of innovative scientific content. Current trainees are as well versed in the use of technology to obtain information as they are with textbooks [5]. These more innovative approaches to training and promotion of high-quality education have been most recently seen through platforms such as the Advances in Surgery (AIS) Channel and the mobile technology Touch Surgery™ (<https://aischannel.com> and <https://www.touchsurgery.com>, respectively). These are two of the most revered examples from a vast list of surgical smartphone applications [6] and websites (e.g. British Journal of Surgery Youtube channel ([https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC37zz6tHYqz7twTEr3\\_e1pg](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC37zz6tHYqz7twTEr3_e1pg))).

## **AIS Channel**

AIS Channel has become one of the leading platforms for online surgical education. Registered users have unrestricted and free access to high-quality surgical learning materials including live surgery performed by expert surgeons from around the world, international conferences as well as dedicated teaching masterclasses. Initially, centred around specific surgical specialties such as colorectal, bariatric and endocrine surgery, increasing demand has led to expansion into other areas. The premise of the channel is to promote optimal education as the standard, with the goal of democratizing surgical knowledge and overcoming the inequality in access. A further innovation has been delivery of dedicated live broadcasts as ‘television-style’ events to encompass entire topics within surgery. For example, the most recent events attracted record viewing figures for an online audience – in excess of 10,000 viewers from 99 countries ‘virtually’ attended the summer event. This figure is far greater than a traditional conference where travel and geography is an important consideration to attendance. The most recent event took place in London: The AIS

International Symposium on the Future of Rectal Cancer Surgery recorded even greater numbers of viewers (more than 25,000 live viewers from 102 countries over 5 continents). These numbers tend to further increase once the content is archived as those who missed the events live are able to watch at their leisure. Such events provide the viewers with expert discussion, masterclass surgery and a series of up-to-date lectures on the cutting edge of surgery. Furthermore, as medical associations begin to realize the importance of online conferences and are able to offer CPD/CME points, we expect to see even greater uptake in 'virtual' attendance and perhaps even the decline of the more traditional conference.

## **Touch Surgery**

Touch Surgery offers a different yet complimentary remit. This innovative platform allows the user to understand the anatomical and technical aspects of surgical procedures reinforcing knowledge acquisition from sources such as AIS Channel [7]. The validity of Touch Surgery as a cognitive rehearsal and simulation tool has been evaluated. For example, studies involving different surgical procedures have shown Touch Surgery has key measures including content, face and construct validity to accurately represent surgical content, look realistic and differentiate between expert and novice [8, 9, 10]. Furthermore, there is a role in improving performance - Sugand *et al* reported that novices utilizing Touch Surgery demonstrated significant training effect with practice and concluded that it is an effective adjunct to traditional learning methods [11].

## **The hi-tech future of surgical training**

Beyond didactic resources, mixed reality technologies including Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) are starting to gain traction in the world of surgery [12, 13]. AR and VR technologies are designed to enable the real world to be either supplemented or completely replaced with computer generated data, respectively. Zhu *et al* [4] reviewed the application of AR in healthcare and found that 96% of publications claim it is useful for improving healthcare

education. VR systems give users an opportunity to experience full immersion in a 3D environment, which enables better understanding of anatomical relationships or clinical set-ups. The emergence of entertainment and gaming VR/ AR headsets such as Oculus Rift, HTC Vive and Microsoft HoloLens have made such innovations in surgical education significantly affordable and accessible. As this technology is further refined platforms such as AIS and Touch Surgery will be able to harness this innovative tool and adapt it to allow users to be completely immersed in the learning environment – whether this be in theatre during live surgery or deconstructing the technical aspects of a specific procedure. It is time to exploit these technologies thus breaking down inequities that have historically resided in training and embrace the concept of ‘educational technology’. However to gain universal acceptance, studies will have to determine the validity of content and construct; performance; and the ability to differentiate between experts and novices. More detailed investigations will need to evaluate the transferability of knowledge/ skills gained from such platforms into clinical settings and compare cost-adjusted benefits to existing gold standards. Nevertheless, the rapid momentum brought about by this evolving field should continue to drive standards of training even higher.

## References

1. Richey RC (2008) Reflections on the 2008 AECT Definitions of the Field. *TechTrends*. 52 (1): 24–25. doi:10.1007/s11528-008-0108-2
2. Martin RC 2nd, Kehdy FJ, Allen JW (2005) Formal training in advanced surgical technologies enhances the surgical residency. *Am J Surg* 2005. 190(2): 244-248
3. Satava MR (2008) Advanced Technologies and the Future of Medicine and Surgery. *Yonsei Med J*. 49(6): 873-878
4. Wexner SD, Petrucci AM, Brady RR, Ennis-O’Connor M, Fitzgerald JE, Mayol J (2017) Social Media in Colorectal surgery. *Colorectal Dis*. 19(2):105-114. doi: 10.1111/codi.13572
5. Shaikh FM, Hseino H, Hill AD, Kavanagh E, Traynor O (2011) Mobile surgical skills education unit: a new concept in surgical training. *Simul Healthc*. 6(4):226-30. doi: 10.1097/SIH.0b013e318215da5e

6. Kulendran M, Lim M, Laws G, Chow A, Nehme J, Darzi A, Purkayastha S (2014) Surgical smartphone applications across different platforms: their evolution, uses, and users. *Surg Innov.* 21(4):427-40
7. Nehme J, Bahsoun AN, Chow A (2016) Development and Evaluation of a Novel Pan-Specialty Virtual Reality Surgical Simulator for Smartphones. *Stud Health Technol Inform.* 220:251-5
8. Sugand K, Mawkin M, Gupte C (2015) Validating Touch Surgery™: a Cognitive Task simulation and rehearsal app for intramedullary femoral nailing. *Injury.* 46(11):2212-6. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2015.05.013
9. Khelemsky R, Hill B, Buchbinder D (2017) Validation of a Novel Cognitive Simulator for Orbital Floor Reconstruction. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*;75:775-85. Epub 2016 Dec 11. pii: S0278 2391(16)31208 3. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2016.11.027
10. Brewer ZE, Ogden WD, Fann JI, Burdon TA, Sheikh AY (2016) Creation and Global Deployment of a Mobile, Application-Based Cognitive Simulator for Cardiac Surgical Procedures. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 28(1):1-9
11. Sugand K, Mawkin M, Gupte C (2016) Training effect of using Touch Surgery for intramedullary femoral nailing. *Injury.* 47(2):448-52. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2015.09.036
12. Vosburgh KG, Golby A, Pieper SD (2014) Surgery, Virtual Reality, and the Future. *Stud Health Technol Inform.* 184: vii-xiii
13. Khor WS, Baker B, Amin K, Chan A, Patel K, Wong J (2016) Augmented and virtual reality in surgery – the digital surgical environment: applications, limitations and legal pitfalls. *Ann Transl Med.* 4(23): 454
14. Zhu E, Hadadgar A, Masiello I, Zary N (2014) Augmented Reality in healthcare education: an integrative review. *PeerJ.* 2: e469. doi: 10.7717/peerj.469

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in the British Journal of Hospital Medicine copyright © MA Healthcare, after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see [<https://www.magonlinelibrary.com/doi/10.12968/hmed.2017.78.8.426>].