

Whole Exome Sequencing of Patients with Steroid-Resistant Nephrotic Syndrome

Jillian K. Warejko^{1*}, Weizhen Tan^{1*}, Ankana Daga¹, David Schapiro¹, Jennifer A. Lawson¹, Shirlee Shril¹, Svjetlana Lovric¹, Shazia Ashraf¹, Jia Rao¹, Tobias Hermle¹, Tilman Jobst-Schwan¹, Eugen Widmeier¹, Amar J. Majmundar¹, Ronen Schneider¹, Heon Yung Gee^{1,2}, J. Magdalena Schmidt¹, Asaf Vivante^{1,3}, Amelie T. van der Ven¹, Hadas Ityel¹, Jing Chen¹, Carolin E. Sadowski¹, Stefan Kohl¹, Werner L. Pabst¹, Makiko Nakayama¹, Michael J.G. Somers¹, Nancy M. Rodig¹, Ghaleb Daouk¹, Michelle Baum¹, Deborah R. Stein¹, Michael A. Ferguson¹, Avram Z. Traum¹, Neveen A. Soliman⁴, Jameela A. Kari⁵, Sherif El Desoky⁵, Hanan Fathy⁶, Martin Zenker⁷, Sevcan A. Bakaloglu⁸, Dominik Müller⁹, Aytul Noyan¹⁰, Fatih Ozaltin¹¹, Melissa A. Cadnapaphornchai¹², Seema Hashmi¹³, Jeffrey Hopcian¹⁴, Jeffrey B. Kopp¹⁵, Nadine Benador¹⁶, Detlef Bockenhauer¹⁷, Radovan Bogdanovic¹⁸, Nataša Stajić¹⁸, Gil Chernin¹⁹, Robert Ettenger²⁰, Henry Fehrenbach²¹, Markus Kemper²², Reyner Loza Munarriz²³, Ludmila Podracka²⁴, Rainer Büscher²⁵, Erkin Serdaroglu²⁶, Velibor Tasic²⁷, Shrikant Mane²⁸, Richard P. Lifton²⁹, Daniela A. Braun¹, and Friedhelm Hildebrandt¹

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

¹Department of Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

²Department of Pharmacology, Brain Korea 21 PLUS Project for Medical Sciences, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

³Talpiot Medical Leadership Program, Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Israel

⁴Department of Pediatrics, Cairo University Center of Pediatric Nephrology & Transplantation, Kasr Al Ainy Medical School, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

⁵Department of Pediatrics, King AbdulAziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

⁶Pediatric Nephrology Unit, University of Alexandria, Alexandria, Egypt

⁷Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital Magdeburg, Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany

⁸Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey

⁹Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Charité, Berlin, Germany

¹⁰Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Adana Teaching and Research Center, Baskent University, Adana, Turkey

¹¹Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Nephrogenetics Laboratory, Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

¹²Division of Renal Disease and Hypertension, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital Colorado, University of Colorado, Aurora, Colorado

¹³Department of Pediatric Nephrology and Histopathology, Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation, Karachi, Pakistan

¹⁴Department of Pediatrics, C.S. Mott Children's Hospital, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

¹⁵Kidney Disease Section, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

¹⁶Department of Pediatrics, Rady Children's Hospital, University of California San Diego, San Diego, California

¹⁷Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Great Ormond Street Hospital, NHS Foundation Trust, Great Ormond Street, London, United Kingdom

¹⁸Department of Nephrology, Institute for Mother and Child Health Care of Serbia, "Dr Vukan Čupić" Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

¹⁹Departments of Nephrology and Hypertension, Kaplan Medical Center, Hebrew University School of Medicine, Rehovot, Israel.

²⁰Department of Pediatrics, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California

²¹Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Hospital Memmingen, Memmingen, Germany

²²Department of Pediatrics, Asklepios Medical School, AK Nord Heidberg, Hamburg, Germany

²³Department of Pediatrics, Cayetano Heredia Hospital, Lima, Peru

²⁴Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine and University Children's Hospital, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia

²⁵Department of Pediatrics, Universitäts-Kinderklinik Essen, Essen, Germany

²⁶Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Dr. Behçet Uz Children's Hospital, Izmir, Turkey

²⁷University Children's Hospital, Medical Faculty Skopje, Skopje, Macedonia

²⁸Department of Genetics, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut

²⁹ Department of Genetics, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and Yale Center for Mendelian Genomics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut

Correspondence should be addressed to:

Friedhelm Hildebrandt, M.D.

Division of Nephrology

Boston Children's Hospital

300 Longwood Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02115

Phone: +1 617-355-6129

Fax: +1 617-730-0365

Email: friedhelm.hildebrandt@childrens.harvard.edu

ABSTRACT (295/300 words)

Introduction: Steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome overwhelmingly progresses to end-stage renal disease. More than 30 monogenic genes have been identified to cause steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. We previously detected causative mutations using targeted panel sequencing in 30% of patients with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. Panel sequencing has a number of limitations when compared to whole exome sequencing. We employed whole exome sequencing to detect monogenic causes of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome in a large international cohort of 300 families.

Methods: 335 individuals with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome from 300 families were recruited from 4/1998 to 6/2016. Age of onset was restricted to under 25 years of age. Exome data were evaluated for 33 known monogenic steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes.

Results: In 74/300 families (25%), we identified a causative mutation in one of 20 genes known to cause steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. In 11 families (3.7%), we detected a mutation in a gene that causes a phenocopy of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. This is consistent with our previously published identification of mutations using a panel approach. We detected a causative mutation in a known steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome gene in 38% of consanguineous families and in 13% of non-consanguineous families, and 48% of children with congenital nephrotic syndrome.

A total of 68 different mutations were detected in 20 of 33 steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes. Fifteen of these mutations were novel. *NPHS1*, *PLCE1*, *NPHS2* and *SMARCAL1* were the most common genes in which we detected a mutation. In another 28% of families, we detected mutations in one or more candidate genes for steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome.

Conclusions: Whole exome sequencing is a sensitive approach towards diagnosis of monogenic causes of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. A molecular genetic diagnosis of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome may have important consequences for the management of treatment and kidney transplantation in steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome.

2993/3000 words

INTRODUCTION

Nephrotic syndrome in childhood is characterized by proteinuria (>40 mg/m²/hour), hypoalbuminemia, edema and hyperlipidemia. It can cause hypertension, severe infections and thrombotic events. Patients are classified by their response to steroid therapy. In children and young adults, about 80% of patients respond to standard steroid therapy and are termed steroid sensitive (1). In contrast, individuals with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome overwhelmingly progress to chronic kidney disease (CKD) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD). At this time, there is no effective therapy to curtail the relentless progression to ESRD.

The most frequent kidney histologic feature of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome is focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS). In patients with FSGS, the risk of recurrence after kidney transplantation is estimated to be ~33% (2-4). FSGS constitutes the third most prevalent cause of ESRD in the first 2 decades of life (5). To date, more than 30 monogenic causes of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome have been identified (6), many of which implicate the glomerular podocyte and slit membrane as the primary sites where the pathogenesis of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome unfolds (7). The majority of genes known to cause steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome are recessively inherited. Patients with mutations in these genes manifest with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome in childhood and adolescence, whereas dominant steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes often manifest later in life.

We showed previously by targeted panel sequencing of 27 known steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes that in 30% of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome cases with onset before 25 years, a causative mutation can be detected (8). However, panel sequencing by multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is limited by requiring large numbers of Sanger sequencing to

confirm individual genetic variants (8). Additionally, evaluation of genes by panel sequencing is limited to approximately 30 genes. With the growing number of genes available, we sought a mechanism by which we could evaluate a patient for a high number of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes, as well as detect novel causes of nephrosis should no known gene be identified.

In a cohort of patients with CKD and the phenotype of increased kidney echogenicity, we identified a causative mutation in 63% using whole exome sequencing (9). We evaluated here the utility of whole exome sequencing in an international cohort with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. To date, this cohort is the largest to undergo whole exome sequencing (10). Given the very high rate of establishing an etiologic diagnosis and the significant implications for clinical management, pre-transplant and post-transplant care, whole exome sequencing should be considered in all individuals with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome diagnosed before age 25 years.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human subjects. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Michigan and Boston Children's Hospital. From April 1998 to June 2016, patients were enrolled after obtaining informed consent. Inclusion criteria were: onset of symptoms before 25 years AND a clinical diagnosis of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome (e.g. proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, edema) OR nephrotic range proteinuria with kidney histology of FSGS or diffuse mesangial sclerosis (Supplementary Table 1). 335 individuals from 300 families were enrolled. Prior to December 2013, enrolled individuals were screened for mutations in *WT1* and *NPHS2*. Those that screened positive were not included in this study.

Whole exome sequencing and variant calling. Whole exome sequencing and variant burden analysis were performed as previously described (11-13). Genomic DNA was isolated from blood lymphocyte or saliva samples and subjected to exome capture using Agilent SureSelect™ human exome capture arrays (Life technologies™) followed by next generation sequencing on the Illumina HighSeq™ sequencing platform. Sequence reads were mapped to the human reference genome assembly (NCBI build 37/hg19) using CLC Genomics Workbench™ (version 6.5.2) software (CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark). Following alignment to the human reference genome (GRCh37/hg19), variants were filtered for most likely non-deleterious variants as previously described (8, 11). Variants with minor allele frequencies >1% in the dbSNP (version 142) or in the 1,000 Genomes Project (1,094 subjects of various ethnicities; May, 2011 data release) databases were excluded as they were unlikely to be deleterious. We used manual inspection for the p.Arg229Gln mutation in the *NPHS2* gene which is reported to be deleterious with other variants, which would be filtered out using this method (14). Synonymous variants and intronic variants that were not located within splice site regions were excluded. Remaining variants included non-synonymous variants and splice site variants.

Mutation calling in known SRNS genes. We evaluated whole exome sequencing data for causative mutations in 33 steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes known at the time (Supplementary Table 2). Mutation calling was applied as stated above, followed by filtering remaining variants for changes in the regions of the 33 genes. Remaining variants were ranked based on their probable impact on the function of the encoded protein considering evolutionary conservation among orthologues using ENSEMBL Genome Browser and assembled using Clustal Omega, as well as PolyPhen-2 (15), SIFT (16), and MutationTaster (17). Mutations were designated as likely pathogenic based on criteria given by Supplementary Table 3. Mutation calling was performed by clinician scientists/geneticists, with knowledge of the clinical

phenotypes and pedigree structure, as well as experience with homozygosity mapping and whole exome sequencing evaluation. Remaining variants were confirmed in patient DNA by Sanger sequencing as previously described (8). Whenever parental DNA was available, segregation analysis was performed.

If no causative mutation was identified, we evaluated for mutations in genes that may represent phenocopies of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome (Supplementary Table 2). Variants were evaluated as above. Correlation of genotype and phenotype was examined and, if matching, the genetic variant was deemed a causative mutation.

Mutation calling to identify novel causes of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome.

If no causative mutation was found in a known steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome gene and a family had homozygosity (>100Mbp) detected following homozygosity mapping, we then evaluated whole exome sequencing data for homozygous variants. Single heterozygous variants were excluded. We applied homozygosity mapping in consanguineous families or linkage analysis in sibling cases to filter variants (18). Remaining variants were ranked as described above. Variants were confirmed as described above.

Homozygosity mapping and linkage analysis. Prior to 2014, for genome-wide homozygosity mapping, the GeneChip® Human Mapping 250k *d* Array (Affymetrix) was used. Alternatively, homozygosity mapping data was generated from whole exome sequencing data. Non-parametric logarithm (base 10) of odds (LOD) scores were calculated using a modified version of the program GENEHUNTER2.1 (19, 20) through stepwise use of a sliding window with sets of 110 SNPs and the program ALLEGRO (21) in order to identify regions of homozygosity as described (18, 22) using a disease allele frequency of 0.0001 and Caucasian marker allele frequencies. To generate homozygosity mapping after 2014, downstream processing of aligned

BAM files was done using Picard and SAMtools4 (23). Single nucleotide variants calling was performed using Genome Analysis Tool Kit (GATK) (24) and the generated VCF file was subsequently used in Homozygosity Mapper (25).

Web Resources

UCSC Genome Browser, <http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway>;

1000 Genomes Browser <http://browser.1000genomes.org>;

Clustal Omega, <http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustal>;

Ensembl Genome Browser, <http://www.ensembl.org>;

Exome Variant Server, <http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS>;

Exome Aggregation Consortium, exac.broadinstitute.org;

HGMD Professional 2016.3, <https://portal.biobase-international.com/hgmd>;

Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM), <http://www.omim.org>;

Polyphen2, <http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2>;

Sorting Intolerant From Tolerant (SIFT), <http://sift.jcvi.org>;

MutationTaster <http://www.mutationtaster.org>

1 RESULTS

2 ***Identification of causative mutations in one of 20 steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes*** 3 ***in 25% of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome cases***

4 Whole exome sequencing was performed in 335 individuals from 300 families and evaluated for
5 mutations in the 33 steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes known at the time (Table 1). In 74
6 families (25%), a causative mutation in one of 20 known steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes
7 was detected (Figure 1, Table 1). *NPHS1* (13 families), *PLCE1* (11 families), *NPHS2* (8 families),
8 and *SMARCAL1* (8 families) were the most common genes in which mutations were identified,
9 comprising 51% of all mutations identified (Figure 1, Table 1).

10
11 94 of the 300 families studied by whole exome sequencing have been previously studied using
12 Fluidigm panel sequencing. The overlap between cohorts is given in Supplementary Table 4. We
13 found that whereas in 20/74 (27%) families the causative mutation was previously detected using
14 panel sequencing, 9/74 (12%) had a diagnosis made by whole exome sequencing and not by panel
15 sequencing. In an additional 28% of families, we detected a likely causative mutation in one or more
16 potential novel SRNS genes (Figure 1), given in Supplementary Table 5.

17 18 ***Novel mutations detected in known steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes***

19 We detected 68 different mutations in 20 of 33 known steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes, 53
20 of which had previously been reported in the literature (Table 1). 15 novel mutations have not been
21 reported previously (Table 1). Individual families in whom a causative mutation was detected are
22 described in Supplementary Table 9.

23 24 ***Whole exome sequencing identifies phenocopies in 11 of 90 families with a causative*** 25 ***mutation detected***

26 We detected a causative mutation in 11 of 300 families with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome
27 (3.7%) (Figure 1, Table 1). Mutations were found in 8 phenocopy genes, specifically *COL4A5*,
28 *COL4A3*, *CLCN5*, *GLA*, *AGXT*, *CTNS*, *FN1* and *WDR19*. A total of 10 different mutations were
29 detected, 5 of which are novel (Table 1).

31 ***Novel candidate genes are identified using whole exome sequencing***

32 In 61/146 (42%) consanguineous families with no causative mutation found in a known steroid-
33 resistant nephrotic syndrome gene, one or more candidate genes were detected using homozygosity
34 mapping (Figure 2, Supplementary Table 5). In non-consanguineous families >1 individual affected,
35 linkage analysis was used to identify a potentially causative mutation in 18 of 135 families (13%).

37 ***Description of cohort***

38 Onset of illness ranged from birth to 24 years of age (Figure 3A and Supplementary Table 6). The
39 median age in individuals in whom a causative mutation was detected in a steroid-resistant nephrotic
40 syndrome gene was 1.7 years versus 4 years in those without a causative mutation identified, which
41 was statistically significant (Figure 3B).

42
43 146/300 (49%) of families were consanguineous, 56 (38%) of whom we detected a causative
44 mutation in a steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome gene (Figure 4, Supplementary Table 7). In
45 56/147 of families with >100 Mbp of homozygosity on mapping (38%), a causative mutation was
46 detected in a steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome gene. In contrast, in 17/135 (13%) of non-
47 consanguineous families and 18/153 (12%) of families with <100 Mbp of homozygosity (non-
48 homozygous) on mapping, a causative mutation was detected in a steroid-resistant nephrotic
49 syndrome gene (Figure 4). The difference in mutation detection between consanguineous and non-
50 consanguineous families and between homozygous and non-homozygous families was statistically

51 significant using a chi-squared test ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 4). There was no significant difference in the
52 rate of mutation detection when comparing families with 1 affected individual versus 2 affected
53 individuals or ≥ 3 affected individuals (Figure 4).

54
55 In 24% of those with additional systemic manifestations in addition to kidney disease, a causative
56 mutation was detected in an steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome gene, compared to 27% of those
57 with no extra-renal manifestations with a causative mutation detected in a steroid-resistant nephrotic
58 syndrome gene (Supplemental Figure 2, Supplemental Table 8). This difference was not statistically
59 significant.

60
61 The most common clinical diagnosis was steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome in 205/300 (68%)
62 (Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Table 8). It was the most common clinical diagnosis in
63 those families with a causative mutation identified (48/74 families, 65%) (Supplementary Figure 3,
64 Supplementary Table 8).

65
66 In 24% of individuals with FSGS on biopsy and in 14% of individuals with diffuse mesangial sclerosis
67 on biopsy, a causative mutation was detected in in steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome gene
68 (Supplementary Figure 4, Supplementary Table 8). 223/335 (66.6%) of individuals had kidney
69 histology data available.

71 **DISCUSSION**

72 **Summary and impact of this work**

73 To date, this is the largest international cohort in which molecular causes of steroid-resistant
74 nephrotic syndrome were evaluated using whole exome sequencing. Our rate of mutation detection

75 is 25%, consistent with our previous work (8). Recently, in 187 individuals, a causative mutation was
76 detected in one of 53 steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome genes in 26% of individuals (10).
77 We detected causative mutations in 20 of 33 known causes of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome,
78 with a total of 68 different mutations, 15 of which have not been reported in the literature. To
79 determine the pathogenicity of novel mutations in genes previously described to cause steroid-
80 resistant nephrotic syndrome, we used a strict set of criteria separately for recessive or dominant.
81 Criteria were based on evolutionary conservation, bioinformatic prediction programs of pathogenicity,
82 and allele frequency in healthy control populations (Supplementary Table 3 and 9).

83
84 Prior to 2014, our lab screened for mutations in *NPHS2* and *WT1*, which may account for lower
85 prevalence in our cohort. *NPHS2* and *WT1*, two of the three most commonly mutated genes in early-
86 onset steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome are underrepresented in the presented work, being
87 together responsible for only 3.3% (Table 1) of 300 cases, while they were previously reported to be
88 responsible for 15% of cases in 1783 cases (8). When all 1989 families studied in Sadowski, et al and
89 in this study, are combined together, mutation rates for *NPHS2* and *WT1* become more
90 representative of what has been previously published. *NPHS2* has a detection rate of 9.3%
91 (185/1989) and *WT1* has a detection rate of 4.4% (87/1989). Mutation rates for *NPHS2* were
92 previously 9.9% and for *WT1* were 4.8%.

93
94 We detected mutations in 8 genes that are phenocopies for steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome, with
95 5 novel mutations and 5 mutations previously reported in the literature. As these genes may be
96 excluded from panels that target steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome specifically, these families may
97 have been left without a molecular diagnosis.

99 Whole exome sequencing allows for the identification of novel genes using homozygosity mapping in
100 consanguineous families and linkage analysis in related individuals. Panels are limited as to how
101 many genes could be evaluated in a given experiment. However, whole exome sequencing allows
102 for the evaluation of all genes, including those that may phenocopy steroid-resistant nephrotic
103 syndrome and provide the opportunity for novel gene discovery.

104 105 **Therapeutic implications**

106 Identification of a monogenic cause of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome has significant
107 therapeutic implications. i) In children, treatment often requires prolonged steroid exposure and
108 potentially exposure to multiple immunosuppressant medications. All of these medications carry
109 significant side effect profiles, including growth failure (steroids), bone marrow suppression
110 (mycophenolate mofetil, tacrolimus, azathioprine), kidney dysfunction (tacrolimus), and unacceptable
111 cosmetic effects (cyclosporine), amongst other side effects. This generates an indication for fast,
112 efficient exome sequencing in order to avoid unfavorable side effects may be experienced while
113 taking medications that may not provide clinical benefit. ii) Identification of a causative mutation may
114 reveal that a potential therapy is available for some rare single-gene causes of nephrosis. For
115 example, if a mutation in a gene of coenzyme Q₁₀ biosynthesis (*COQ2*, *COQ6*, *ADCK4*, or *PDSS2*) is
116 detected, treatment with coenzyme Q₁₀ may be indicated (26-28). In the case of the individual with
117 *COQ2* mutation, this individual was placed on COQ10 therapy and experienced a sustained
118 remission of nephrosis. iii) Identification of causative mutations in *WT1* can also lead to surgical
119 evaluation and intervention to remove streak gonads with potential for malignant transformation (29).
120 iv) Genotype and phenotype correlations in the future may lead to stratification in clinical trials for
121 novel therapeutics. In our study, we identify 5 families with the p.R1160* mutation in *NPHS1*. This
122 mutation has been shown to cause congenital nephrotic syndrome; however, in some patients with
123 this mutation, a milder phenotype has been reported (30). v) Furthermore, identification of mutations

124 in genes that may represent phenocopies of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome, such as cystinosis,
125 hyperoxaluria, or Fabry's disease can direct therapy. Mutations in these genes would be missed in
126 panel sequencing, as they are not canonical nephrosis genes, but may present with proteinuria,
127 edema, and chronic kidney disease. The ability to detect mutations in genes that represent
128 phenocopies of nephrosis is a benefit of whole exome sequencing over panel sequencing.

129 130 **Implications for kidney transplant**

131 Many patients progress to ESRD, requiring transplantation and dialysis. Given that ~30% of all cases
132 of idiopathic FSGS can recur post-transplant, many centers employ increased immunosuppression
133 prior to and after transplant to prevent recurrence (31, 32). Since monogenic forms of FSGS are
134 unlikely to recur, young children could be spared exposure to aggressive immunosuppression and
135 avoid many of the infectious complications seen in transplantation (10, 33). Patients with a
136 monogenic cause of nephrosis identified are younger than those that do not have a causative
137 mutation identified (Figure 3A and 3B, Supplementary Table 3), which puts them at greater risk for
138 infections post-transplant, including Epstein-Barr virus and cytomegalovirus. A monogenic diagnosis
139 provides the opportunity to reduce immunosuppression and reduce risk of infectious complication.

140
141 Furthermore, many pediatric patients receive a living donor kidney transplant from a close relative,
142 such as a parent, and having a monogenic cause identified, such as *COL4A5*, may have implications
143 on donor selection. Additionally, in families with *INF2* mutations, the parents and family members
144 should be screened for *INF2* mutations, as this dominant disease has variable expressivity within
145 families. Should a family member be positive for mutation, this would disqualify them from donation
146 of a kidney for transplantation as they are at risk for developing proteinuria and kidney disease in the
147 future.

149 **Limitations**

150 One limitation to our study is that ~70% of families remain undiagnosed. Our lab is currently
151 performing trio analysis, in which both parents and the proband have sequencing performed, which
152 allows for evaluation of non-consanguineous individuals. We anticipate that this may increase the
153 number of candidate genes identified and lead to future molecular genetic diagnosis.

155 **Cost of WES**

156 Whole exome sequencing has several advantages over panel sequencing. It has the theoretical
157 likelihood of 86% of detecting recessive disease mutations. Whole exome sequencing examines all
158 exons in a genome, whereas panel sequencing typically examine only ~30. With falling costs of
159 sequencing, a research exome is ~ \$650, which ultimately is more cost effective than panel
160 sequencing since hundreds of panels would be required to cover the whole exome and would not
161 provide the opportunity to identify for novel causes of disease. Once an exome is performed, the
162 data can be revisited as more genes are discovered. With the introduction of trio analysis, non-
163 consanguineous families can be evaluated for novel genes potentially allowing for a conclusive
164 monogenic diagnosis in the future.

166 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

167 We thank the physicians and the participating families for their contribution.

168 F. Alkandary, Hawally (Kuwait), M. Al Shebadi and B. Alhermi, Manama (Bahrain), A. Al Swaid,
169 Riyadh (Saudi Arabia), D. Aviles, New Orleans, LA (USA), A. Bakkaloglu, Ankara (Turkey), A. Bagga,
170 New Delhi (India), M. Banks, Denver, CO (USA), B. Beck, Cologne (Germany), J. Behunova, Kosice
171 (Slovakia), E. Ben Shalom, Jerusalem (Israel), A. Berdeli, Izmir (Turkey), C. Brix, Hamburg
172 (Germany), J. Camacho, Irvine, CA (USA), B. Cavan, Cebu City (Philippines), R. Cohn, Chicago, IL,
173 (USA), G.S. Ch'ng, Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), R. Cohen, Jerusalem (Israel), E. Comak, Antalya
174 (Turkey), I. Dursun, Kayseri (Turkey), E. Epstein, Oakland, CA (USA), E. Evani and D. Milford,
175 Birmingham (UK), R. Fine, Los Angeles, CA (USA), J. Flynn, Seattle, WA (USA), G. Giannico,
176 Nashville, TN (USA), K. Gunther, St. Gallen (Switzerland), K. Haffner, Freiburg (Germany), M. Halty,
177 Montevideo (Uruguay), C. Hanna, Minneapolis, MN (USA), M. Hashim, Chennai (India), J.
178 Hernandez, Spokane, WA (USA), J. Hogan, Philadelphia, PA (USA), R. Jenkins, Portland, OR (USA),
179 R. Johnson, Denver, CO (USA), C. Kempf, Berlin (Germany), WT Keng, Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), S.
180 Kalman, Ankara (Turkey), E. Kamil, Los Angeles, CA (USA), D. Katalin, Leipzig (Germany), S. Kerr,
181 Cord Springs, FL (USA), M. Khemchand, Karachi (Pakistan), K. Kranmiller, Salzburg (Austria), J.
182 Kwinta-Rybicka, Krakow (Poland), E. Lahav, Tel Hashomer (Israel), D. Lewis, Sydney (Australia), K.

183 Lhotta, Feldulrich (Austria), C. Licht and J. Dotsch, Koln (Germany), V. Logan and P. Conlon, Dublin
184 (Ireland), C. Mache, Graz (Austria), M. Mayr, Basel (Switzerland), J. Misselwitz, Jena (Germany), T.
185 Mueller, Vienna (Austria), J. Muhleder and M. Prenninger, Wels (Austria), C. Nailescu, Indianapolis,
186 IN (USA), S. Nampoothiri, Kerala (India), S. Narayan, Orange, CA (USA), A. Nayir, Istanbul (Turkey),
187 S. Nef, Zurich (Switzerland), T. Neuhaus, Zurich (Switzerland), W. Pietee, Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia),
188 M. Pradhan, Philadelphia, PA (USA), A. Ramanathan, Chennai (India), C. Rinat and Y. Frishberg
189 Jerusalem (Israel), I. Roberti, West Orange, NJ (USA), R. Roszkowska, Białystok (Poland), J. Saland,
190 New York City, NY (USA), M. Schaeffer, Hannover (Germany), R. Schild, Hamburg (Germany), A.
191 Schulze Everding, Muenster (Germany), W. Seeherunvong, Miami, FL (USA), P. Senguttuvan and A.
192 Kumar, Chennai (India), J. Siegel-Bartel, Phoenix, AZ (USA), M. Soran, Adana (Turkey), A. Soylu,
193 Izmir (Turkey), M. Stephan, Munchen (Germany), C. Strehlau, Hannover (Germany), T. Sulakova,
194 Ostrava (Czech Republic), K. Supe-Markovina, Stony Brook, NY (USA), A. Szuminska, Białystok
195 (Poland), R. Tapia, Willmington, DE (USA), K. Taranta-Janusz, Białystok (Poland), M. Theault,
196 Minneapolis, MN (USA), Y. Tse, Newcastle Upon Tyne (UK), L. Tranebjaerg, Copenhagen
197 (Denmark), S. Twichell, Burlington, VT (USA), M. Waszkiewicz-Stojda, Białystok (Poland), R. Weiss,
198 Valhalla, NY (USA), J. Zeig, Prague (Czech Republic)

199
200 F. H. is the William E. Harmon Professor of Pediatrics. This research was supported by grants from
201 the National Institutes of Health to F.H. (DK076683) and J.K.W. (DK007726-31A1). The Yale Center
202 for Mendelian Genomics is funded by U54 HG006504 granted to R.P.L. and by the NIDDK Intramural
203 Research Program to J. K. Funding to W.T through the American Society of Nephrology Benjamin J.
204 Lipps Research Fellowship Award (FP01014311) and DK007726-31A1.

205 H.Y.G. was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research
206 Foundation of Korea (2015R1D1A1A01056685). T. H. is supported by a Research Fellowship from
207 the DFG (HE 7456/1-1). T. J. S. is supported by the DFG (Jo 1324/1-1). A.J.M. is supported by NIH
208 T32-AR053461-04 Post-doctoral Fellow Training Grant. M. N. is supported by the linuma-Tsuchiya
209 Foundation for Overseas Research. F.O. is supported by the European Community's Seventh
210 Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) (EUREnOmics; grant 2012-305608). The Nephrogenetics
211 Laboratory at Hacettepe University was established by the Hacettepe University Infrastructure Project
212 (grant 06A101008). A.V. is supported by the Manton Center for Orphan Diseases Research grant.
213 A.V.V. is supported by the Postdoctoral Research Fellowship (VE 916/1-1) from the Deutsche
214 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). E.W. is supported by the German National Academy of Sciences
215 Leopoldina (LPDS-2015-07).

216
217 **Disclosures:** Friedhelm Hildebrandt has intellectual property licensed to Claritas and is a cofounder
218 of Goldfinch. J. K. W. – None. W. T. – None.

219 **References**

- 222 1. Children. ISoKDi: Primary nephrotic syndrome in children: Clinical significance of istopathologic
223 variants of minimal change and of diffuse mesangial hypercellularity: A Report of the International
224 Study of Kidney Disease in Children. *Kidney Internat*, 20: 765-771, 1981
- 225 2. Smith JM, Stablein DM, Munoz R, Hebert D, McDonald RA: Contributions of the Transplant
226 Registry: The 2006 Annual Report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and
227 Collaborative Studies (NAPRTCS). *Pediatr Transplant*, 11: 366-373, 2007
- 228 3. Cheong HI, Han HW, Park HW, Ha IS, Han KS, Lee HS, Kim SJ, Choi Y: Early recurrent nephrotic
229 syndrome after renal transplantation in children with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis.
230 *Nephrology, dialysis, transplantation : official publication of the European Dialysis and*
231 *Transplant Association - European Renal Association*, 15: 78-81, 2000

- 232 4. Senggutuvan P, Cameron JS, Hartley RB, Rigden S, Chantler C, Haycock G, Williams DG, Ogg C,
233 Koffman G: Recurrence of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in transplanted kidneys:
234 analysis of incidence and risk factors in 59 allografts. *Pediatric nephrology*, 4: 21-28, 1990
- 235 5. NAPRTCS 2014 Annual Transplant Report. 2014
- 236 6. Lovric S, Ashraf S, Tan W, Hildebrandt F: Genetic testing in steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome:
237 when and how? *Nephrol Dial Transplant*, 31: 1802-1813, 2016
- 238 7. Wiggins RC: The spectrum of podocytopathies: a unifying view of glomerular diseases. *Kidney Int*,
239 71: 1205-1214, 2007
- 240 8. Sadowski CE, Lovric S, Ashraf S, Pabst WL, Gee HY, Kohl S, Engelmann S, Vega-Warner V, Fang
241 H, Halbritter J, Somers MJ, Tan W, Shril S, Fessi I, Lifton RP, Bockenhauer D, El-Desoky S,
242 Kari JA, Zenker M, Kemper MJ, Mueller D, Fathy HM, Soliman NA, Group SS, Hildebrandt F: A
243 single-gene cause in 29.5% of cases of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. *J Am Soc*
244 *Nephrol*, 26: 1279-1289, 2015
- 245 9. Braun DA, Schueler M, Halbritter J, Gee HY, Porath JD, Lawson JA, Airik R, Shril S, Allen SJ,
246 Stein D, Al Kindy A, Beck BB, Cengiz N, Moorani KN, Ozaltin F, Hashmi S, Sayer JA,
247 Bockenhauer D, Soliman NA, Otto EA, Lifton RP, Hildebrandt F: Whole exome sequencing
248 identifies causative mutations in the majority of consanguineous or familial cases with
249 childhood-onset increased renal echogenicity. *Kidney Int*, 89: 468-475, 2016
- 250 10. Bierzynska A, McCarthy HJ, Soderquest K, Sen ES, Colby E, Ding WY, Nabhan MM, Kerecuk L,
251 Hegde S, Hughes D, Marks S, Feather S, Jones C, Webb NJ, Ognjanovic M, Christian M,
252 Gilbert RD, Sinha MD, Lord GM, Simpson M, Koziell AB, Welsh GI, Saleem MA: Genomic and
253 clinical profiling of a national nephrotic syndrome cohort advocates a precision medicine
254 approach to disease management. *Kidney Int*, 91: 937-947, 2017
- 255 11. Gee HY, Otto EA, Hurd TW, Ashraf S, Chaki M, Cluckey A, Vega-Warner V, Saisawat P, Diaz KA,
256 Fang H, Kohl S, Allen SJ, Airik R, Zhou W, Ramaswami G, Janssen S, Fu C, Innis JL, Weber
257 S, Vester U, Davis EE, Katsanis N, Fathy HM, Jeck N, Klaus G, Nayir A, Rahim KA, Al Attrach
258 I, Al Hassoun I, Ozturk S, Drozd D, Helmchen U, O'Toole JF, Attanasio M, Lewis RA,
259 Nurnberg G, Nurnberg P, Washburn J, MacDonald J, Innis JW, Levy S, Hildebrandt F: Whole-
260 exome resequencing distinguishes cystic kidney diseases from phenocopies in renal
261 ciliopathies. *Kidney Int*, 85: 880-887, 2014
- 262 12. Boyden LM, Choi M, Choate KA, Nelson-Williams CJ, Farhi A, Toka HR, Tikhonova IR, Bjornson
263 R, Mane SM, Colussi G, Lebel M, Gordon RD, Semmekrot BA, Poujol A, Valimaki MJ, De
264 Ferrari ME, Sanjad SA, Gutkin M, Karet FE, Tucci JR, Stockigt JR, Keppler-Noreuil KM, Porter
265 CC, Anand SK, Whiteford ML, Davis ID, Dewar SB, Bettinelli A, Fadrowski JJ, Belsha CW,
266 Hunley TE, Nelson RD, Trachtman H, Cole TR, Pinsk M, Bockenhauer D, Shenoy M,
267 Vaidyanathan P, Foreman JW, Rasoulpour M, Thameem F, Al-Shahrouri HZ, Radhakrishnan
268 J, Gharavi AG, Goilav B, Lifton RP: Mutations in kelch-like 3 and cullin 3 cause hypertension
269 and electrolyte abnormalities. *Nature*, 482: 98-102, 2012
- 270 13. Chaki M, Airik R, Ghosh Amiya K, Giles Rachel H, Chen R, Slaats Gisela G, Wang H, Hurd
271 Toby W, Zhou W, Cluckey A, Gee HY, Ramaswami G, Hong C-J, Hamilton Bruce A, Červenka
272 I, Ganji Ranjani S, Bryja V, Arts Heleen H, van Reeuwijk J, Oud Machteld M, Letteboer
273 Stef JF, Roepman R, Husson H, Ibraghimov-Beskrovnyaya O, Yasunaga T, Walz G, Eley L,
274 Sayer John A, Schermer B, Liebau Max C, Benzing T, Le Corre S, Drummond I, Janssen S,
275 Allen Susan J, Natarajan S, O'Toole John F, Attanasio M, Saunier S, Antignac C, Koenekoop
276 Robert K, Ren H, Lopez I, Nayir A, Stoetzel C, Dollfus H, Massoudi R, Gleeson Joseph G,
277 Andreoli Sharon P, Doherty Dan G, Lindstrad A, Golzio C, Katsanis N, Pape L, Abboud
278 Emad B, Al-Rajhi Ali A, Lewis Richard A, Omran H, Lee EYHP, Wang S, Sekiguchi JoAnn M,
279 Saunders R, Johnson Colin A, Garner E, Vanselow K, Andersen Jens S, Shlomain J, Nurnberg
280 G, Nurnberg P, Levy S, Smogorzewska A, Otto Edgar A, Hildebrandt F: Exome Capture

- 281 Reveals ZNF423 and CEP164 Mutations, Linking Renal Ciliopathies to DNA Damage
282 Response Signaling. *Cell*, 150: 533-548, 2012
- 283 14. Machuca E, Hummel A, Nevo F, Dantal J, Martinez F, Al-Sabban E, Baudouin V, Abel L, Grunfeld
284 JP, Antignac C: Clinical and epidemiological assessment of steroid-resistant nephrotic
285 syndrome associated with the NPHS2 R229Q variant. *Kidney Int*, 75: 727-735, 2009
- 286 15. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, Kondrashov AS,
287 Sunyaev SR: A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat Methods*,
288 7: 248-249, 2010
- 289 16. Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC: Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein
290 function using the SIFT algorithm. *Nat Protoc*, 4: 1073-1081, 2009
- 291 17. Schwarz JM, Cooper DN, Schuelke M, Seelow D: MutationTaster2: mutation prediction for the
292 deep-sequencing age. *Nat Methods*, 11: 361-362, 2014
- 293 18. Hildebrandt F, Heeringa SF, Ruschendorf F, Attanasio M, Nurnberg G, Becker C, Seelow D,
294 Huebner N, Chernin G, Vlangos CN, Zhou W, O'Toole JF, Hoskins BE, Wolf MT, Hinkes BG,
295 Chaib H, Ashraf S, Schoeb DS, Ovunc B, Allen SJ, Vega-Warner V, Wise E, Harville HM,
296 Lyons RH, Washburn J, Macdonald J, Nurnberg P, Otto EA: A systematic approach to
297 mapping recessive disease genes in individuals from outbred populations. *PLoS Genet*, 5:
298 e1000353, 2009
- 299 19. Kruglyak L, Daly MJ, Reeve-Daly MP, Lander ES: Parametric and nonparametric linkage
300 analysis: a unified multipoint approach. *Am J Hum Genet*, 58: 1347-1363, 1996
- 301 20. Strauch K, Fimmers R, Kurz T, Deichmann KA, Wienker TF, Baur MP: Parametric and
302 nonparametric multipoint linkage analysis with imprinting and two-locus-trait models:
303 application to mite sensitization. *Am J Hum Genet*, 66: 1945-1957, 2000
- 304 21. Gudbjartsson DF, Jonasson K, Frigge ML, Kong A: Allegro, a new computer program for
305 multipoint linkage analysis. *Nat Genet*, 25: 12-13, 2000
- 306 22. Sayer JA, Otto EA, O'Toole JF, Nurnberg G, Kennedy MA, Becker C, Hennies HC, Helou J,
307 Attanasio M, Fausett BV, Utsch B, Khanna H, Liu Y, Drummond I, Kawakami I, Kusakabe T,
308 Tsuda M, Ma L, Lee H, Larson RG, Allen SJ, Wilkinson CJ, Nigg EA, Shou C, Lillo C, Williams
309 DS, Hoppe B, Kemper MJ, Neuhaus T, Parisi MA, Glass IA, Petry M, Kispert A, Gloy J,
310 Ganner A, Walz G, Zhu X, Goldman D, Nurnberg P, Swaroop A, Leroux MR, Hildebrandt F:
311 The centrosomal protein nephrocystin-6 is mutated in Joubert syndrome and activates
312 transcription factor ATF4. *Nat Genet*, 38: 674-681, 2006
- 313 23. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R,
314 Genome Project Data Processing S: The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
315 *Bioinformatics*, 25: 2078-2079, 2009
- 316 24. Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, Poplin R, Del Angel G, Levy-Moonshine A, Jordan T,
317 Shakir K, Roazen D, Thibault J, Banks E, Garimella KV, Altshuler D, Gabriel S, DePristo MA:
318 From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices
319 pipeline. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics*, 43: 11 10 11-33, 2013
- 320 25. Seelow D, Schuelke M, Hildebrandt F, Nurnberg P: HomozygosityMapper--an interactive
321 approach to homozygosity mapping. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 37: W593-599, 2009
- 322 26. Montini G, Malaventura C, Salviati L: Early coenzyme Q10 supplementation in primary coenzyme
323 Q10 deficiency. *N Engl J Med*, 358: 2849-2850, 2008
- 324 27. Heeringa SF, Chernin G, Chaki M, Zhou W, Sloan AJ, Ji Z, Xie LX, Salviati L, Hurd TW, Vega-
325 Warner V, Killen PD, Raphael Y, Ashraf S, Ovunc B, Schoeb DS, McLaughlin HM, Airik R,
326 Vlangos CN, Gbadegesin R, Hinkes B, Saisawat P, Trevisson E, Doimo M, Casarin A,
327 Pertegato V, Giorgi G, Prokisch H, Rotig A, Nurnberg G, Becker C, Wang S, Ozaltin F,
328 Topaloglu R, Bakkaloglu A, Bakkaloglu SA, Muller D, Beissert A, Mir S, Berdeli A, Varpizen S,
329 Zenker M, Matejas V, Santos-Ocana C, Navas P, Kusakabe T, Kispert A, Akman S, Soliman
330 NA, Krick S, Mundel P, Reiser J, Nurnberg P, Clarke CF, Wiggins RC, Faul C, Hildebrandt F:

- 331 COQ6 mutations in human patients produce nephrotic syndrome with sensorineural deafness.
332 *J Clin Invest*, 121: 2013-2024, 2011
- 333 28. Atmaca M, Gulhan B, Korkmaz E, Inozu M, Soylemezoglu O, Candan C, Bayazit AK, Elmaci AM,
334 Parmaksiz G, Duzova A, Besbas N, Topaloglu R, Ozaltin F: Follow-up results of patients with
335 ADCK4 mutations and the efficacy of CoQ10 treatment. *Pediatr Nephrol*, 2017
- 336 29. Chernin G, Vega-Warner V, Schoeb DS, Heeringa SF, Ovunc B, Saisawat P, Cleper R, Ozaltin F,
337 Hildebrandt F: Genotype/phenotype correlation in nephrotic syndrome caused by WT1
338 mutations. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*, 5: 1655-1662, 2010
- 339 30. Koziell A, Grech V, Hussain S, Lee G, Lenkkeri U, Tryggvason K, Scambler P:
340 Genotype/phenotype correlations of NPHS1 and NPHS2 mutations in nephrotic syndrome
341 advocate a functional inter-relationship in glomerular filtration. *Hum Mol Genet*, 11: 379-388,
342 2002
- 343 31. Sener A, Bella AJ, Nguan C, Luke PP, House AA: Focal segmental glomerular sclerosis in renal
344 transplant recipients: predicting early disease recurrence may prolong allograft function. *Clin*
345 *Transplant*, 23: 96-100, 2009
- 346 32. Gonzalez E, Ettenger R, Rianthavorn P, Tsai E, Malekzadeh M: Preemptive plasmapheresis and
347 recurrence of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in pediatric renal transplantation. *Pediatr*
348 *Transplant*, 15: 495-501, 2011
- 349 33. Fornoni A, Sageshima J, Wei C, Merscher-Gomez S, Aguillon-Prada R, Jauregui AN, Li J,
350 Mattiazzi A, Ciancio G, Chen L, Zilleruelo G, Abitbol C, Chandar J, Seeherunvong W, Ricordi
351 C, Ikehata M, Rastaldi MP, Reiser J, Burke GW, 3rd: Rituximab targets podocytes in recurrent
352 focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Sci Transl Med*, 3: 85ra46, 2011
353

Table 1: Number and proportion of 300 families with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome, in whom causative mutations in one of 23 known monogenic causes of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome were detected. 54 of the mutations detected have previously been reported in BioBase, and 20 are novel (respective genes shown on a blue background). The most common genes to have a mutation detected in **steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome** families were *NPHS1*, *PLCE1*, *NPHS2*, and *SMARCAL1* (51% of all **steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome** gene mutations detected). In an additional 11 families, mutations were detected in 8 genes that cause a kidney disease that is a phenocopy of **steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome** (respective genes shown on an orange background). Five of the mutations identified have previously been reported in BioBase, and 5 are novel.

SRNS Gene	Number of families with causative mutation	Percent of families with gene	Number of mutations known from Biobase*	Number of novel mutations
<i>NPHS1</i>	13	18%	10	1
<i>PLCE1</i>	11	15%	8	2
<i>NPHS2</i>	8	11%	9	1
<i>SMARCAL1</i>	8	11%	5	1
<i>LAMB2</i>	6	8%	3	3
<i>NUP93</i>	4	5%	2	1
<i>MYO1E</i>	2	3%	1	1
<i>SGPL1</i>	3	4%	-	2
<i>WDR73</i>	3	4%	3	-
<i>ITGA3</i>	2	3%	2	-
<i>LMX1B</i>	2	3%	1	-
<i>NUP205</i>	2	3%	2	-
<i>TTC21B</i>	2	3%	1	1
<i>WT1</i>	2	3%	1	-
<i>COQ2</i>	1	1%	1	1
<i>DGKE</i>	1	1%	1	-
<i>INF2</i>	1	1%	-	1
<i>KANK4</i>	1	1%	1	-
<i>PDSS2</i>	1	1%	1	-
<i>TRPC6</i>	1	1%	1	-
TOTAL	74	100%	53	15
Phenocopy genes				
<i>COL4A5</i>	3	27%	2	-
<i>AGXT</i>	2	18%	0	2
<i>CLCN5</i>	1	9.1%	-	1
<i>COL4A3</i>	1	9.1%	-	1
<i>CTNS</i>	1	9.1%	1	-
<i>FN1</i>	1	9.1%	-	1
<i>GLA</i>	1	9.1%	1	-
<i>WDR19</i>	1	9.1%	1	-
Total	11	100.0%	5	5

*Biobase: <https://portal.biobase-international.com/hgmd/pro>; SRNS, steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome