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This article discusses the important role of nuclear medicine imaging and therapy in the management of neuroendocrine tumours (NETs). Somatostatin receptor scintigraphy has a high impact on patient management versus conventional imaging. Molecular radiotherapy is an important part of the management of patients with NETs. Selection of patients for molecular radiotherapy in NETs is based on uptake on their radionuclide imaging study. The imaging agent has the same mechanism of uptake as the therapeutic agent. Thus, the imaging study preselects patients that are likely to concentrate radiation within their tumours.

Introduction

Neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) represent a spectrum of tumours that can arise from various parts of the body and have variability in clinical presentation. They are often classified by embryonic origin into foregut, midgut and hindgut tumours. Foregut tumours develop in the respiratory tract, thymus, stomach, duodenum and pancreas; midgut tumours develop in the small bowel, appendix, caecum and ascending colon; hindgut tumours develop in the transverse colon, descending colon, sigmoid colon or rectum.1 NETs have a spectrum of presentations, ranging from well differentiated to poorly differentiated tumours, functioning to non-functioning and have variable proliferative activity.2 The tumour differentiation, grading (based on tumour proliferation and mitotic activity) and the site of primary tumour are important factors in making therapeutic decisions in management of NET patients.

Unlike many cancers, large proportions of NETs tend to be slow growing and are not associated with increased metabolic activity. These are therefore not visualised on fludeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT). Other functional imaging techniques have thus been employed to stage NETs and determine suitability for molecular radiotherapy. Despite variability in presentation, NETs have similar properties in that the majority of well-differentiated and some high-grade tumours can concentrate neuroamines and have a high degree of somatostatin receptor (SSR) expression. This high degree of SSR expression and neuroamine concentration can be exploited both in terms of imaging and therapy with radiolabelled somatostatin analogues and radiolabelled catecholamine analogue meta-iodobenzyl guanidine (MIBG).3,4

Selection of patients for molecular radiotherapy is based on uptake on their radionuclide imaging study. In nuclear medicine, theranostics is a combination of a diagnostic agent and a therapeutic agent where the target imaged/treated is the same. The imaging agent has the same mechanism of uptake as the therapeutic agent. Thus, the imaging study pre-selects patients that are likely to concentrate radiation within their tumours. The imaging and therapeutic radiopharmaceutical forms a theranostic pair. This article discusses the important role of radionuclide imaging and radionuclide therapy in the management of NETs based on these mechanisms. An algorithm of the management of NETs is shown in Fig 1, with the lower left box highlighting the role of radiolabelled SSR and MIBG in the management of NETs. FDG PET/CT may be useful in predicting response to peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) and in determining progression-free survival with those with FDG positive well differentiated having worse survival.

Key points

Somatostatin receptor scintigraphy plays an important role in the management of NETs, providing more accurate staging and determining whether patients are eligible for cold or radiolabelled somatostatin analogues

PRRT is an effective treatment in well-differentiated NETs with limited toxicity

MIBG imaging is a well established imaging modality for catecholamine secreting neuroectodermal tumours

131I-MIBG therapy is an effective treatment in metastatic neuroectodermal tumours, but has generally been replaced by PRRT in NETs

Access to PRRT varies across the UK
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Somatostatin receptor scintigraphy

SSR imaging has a major role to play in imaging of NETs and is now integral to their management, allowing more accurate staging and demonstrating suitability for therapies (‘cold’ or radiolabelled somatostatin analogues). SSRs are expressed in a number of normal cells, including the pituitary gland, thyroid, spleen and kidney. In addition, several tumours have been found to express SSRs. In particular, NETs have been shown to have a high incidence and density of receptors. Five SSR subtypes have been identified with subtype-2 the most overexpressed receptor in NETs.

Somatostatin receptor scintigraphy was first introduced in the late 1980s and subsequently there have been many variations in the radiopharmaceuticals used to image the SSR. There are two components to the SSR analogue: the radionuclide and the somatostatin analogue peptide, linked by a chelator.

Single photon emission computerised tomography gamma camera somatostatin receptor scintigraphy

The first somatostatin analogue used for imaging of NETs was octreotide and this remains as one of the most popular analogues for imaging NETs. Octreotide labelled with Indium-111 (111In) is commercially available as Octreoscan® and remains the most popular SSR imaging agent.111In has a half-life of 68 hours and delayed imaging (24–48 hours) is usually required to ensure reduction in background activity caused by clearance via the renal and hepatobiliary system. Labelling of somatostatin analogues with the most commonly used radionuclide in nuclear medicine 99m Technetium (99mTc) has also been achieved, allowing single day imaging.

Uptake of Octreoscan® and 99mTc-HYNIC-peptides is seen in the majority of patients (>75%) with NETs; major exceptions include poorly differentiated NETs (because of a lower expression of SSR-2) and insulinomas with a reduced sensitivity of 50–70% (probably because of small tumour size or poor expression of SSR-2 receptor).

PET somatostatin receptor scintigraphy

The development of a generator producing PET radionuclide gallium-68 (Ga-68) has been the biggest evolution in SSR imaging over the past decade, becoming increasingly more popular in centres where PET/CT imaging is available. Ga-68 has a convenient physical half-life of 68 minutes and decays by positron emission, giving the advantages of increased sensitivity and resolution that modern PET imaging allows. It is produced by a germanium-68 (Ge-68)–Ga-68 generator that...
octreotide imaging. In a study, it was shown that ⁶⁸ Ga-

do not hallucinate. 

Toxicity

to progression in reported studies ranged from 13–29 months.

Partial response or disease stabilisation has been reported

in 70 and 80%. ¹⁴–¹⁷ In addition, the majority of studies have

shown excellent symptomatic response in >70% of patients. Time

between 70 and 80%. ¹⁴–¹⁷ In addition, the majority of studies have

had extensive bi-lobar liver metastases. 

Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy

PRRT is the term commonly used to describe treatment with

β-emitting radiolabelled somatostatin analogues: the peptide

receptor being the SSR. PRRT has been performed with various

somatostatin analogues labelled with ¹¹¹In-DTPA-octreotide. ¹³

There is no doubt that ⁶⁸ Ga-DOTA-peptides represent

a significant evolution in SSR imaging over ¹¹¹In-DTPA-

octreotide imaging. In a study, it was shown that ⁶⁸ Ga-

DOTA-TATE changed the management in 36 out of the 51

(70%) patients who had either no uptake (35 patients) or

low-grade uptake (15 patients) of ¹¹¹In-octreotide. ¹² A recent

meta-analysis demonstrated a pooled sensitivity of 93% and

specificity of 96% in staging well-differentiated NETs with an

impact in management of approximately 50%. ¹³

The radionuclides involved have different physical

characteristics, which may have a bearing on both their efficacy

and toxicity, ie ⁶⁸ Y has a higher energy beta particle emission

than ¹⁷⁷ Lu, and thus may be suited to treating larger tumour

masses but has more toxicity.
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Partial response or disease stabilisation has been reported

between 70 and 80%. ¹⁴–¹⁷ In addition, the majority of studies have

shown excellent symptomatic response in >70% of patients. Time
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Toxicity
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Table 1. Studies evaluating the efficacy of ¹⁷⁷ Lu-DOTATATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>CR/PR</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>Time to progress, months</th>
<th>Median OS, months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kwekkeboom et al¹⁸</td>
<td>310 (50%)</td>
<td>Rotterdam</td>
<td>91/301 (30%)</td>
<td>61/310 (20%)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pencharz et al¹⁹</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>10/79 (13 %)</td>
<td>18 (23 %)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claringbold et al plus capacitabine²³</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Perth</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NETTER-1 study²⁰</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Multicentre: Europe and USA</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>40 months estimate</td>
<td>88 % at 24 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹⁷⁷ Lu = Lutetium-177; CR = complete response; OS = overall survival; PD = progressive disease; PR = partial response
local therapies (eg radiofrequency ablation and embolisation) and radiotargeted therapies including PRRT. The key component to patient selection for PRRT is the SSR scan. Sufficient uptake within tumours on the somatostatin receptor scintigraphy provides evidence that the tumours are likely to concentrate radioactivity in sufficient quantities to achieve tumour damage (theranostic principle). Based on SSR imaging, the liver is commonly used as the reference point (the liver having tracer uptake related to hepatic peptide metabolism). Uptake greater than that seen in the background liver in the majority of disease (ie >90%) is generally considered sufficient to proceed with PRRT.

Patient selection for PRRT in NETs includes patients who have histologically proven NET, who are SSR positive and have no surgical cure possible or patients who are unsuitable for surgery. Patients should have sufficient bone marrow reserve, ie platelets >90,000/µL for $^{90}$Y and >75,000/µL for $^{177}$Lu. Additionally, significant renal impairment is a relative contraindication, particularly if $^{90}$Y-radiopeptides are being used.

Those with extensive disease, eg extensive liver/bone metastases, tend to have worse outcomes with PRRT. Similarly, it has been shown that significantly poorer outcomes occur in patients with poor baseline functional status. Grading of the tumour also has a role in treatment with PRRT, as patients with G3 tumours should have platinum-based chemotherapy rather than PRRT as first-line therapy. FDG PET/CT may be useful in predicting response to PRRT and in determining progression-free survival, with those with

Combination PRRT therapies

Combination therapies have been used in PRRT, either combining different radionuclides (ie $^{90}$Y and $^{177}$Lu-labelled peptides) or combining PRRT with radiosensitising chemotherapy.

Combination dual radiopeptide therapies have been performed as tandem therapies (ie administering a combination of $^{90}$Y and $^{177}$Lu-radiopeptides at a single sitting) or sequential therapies with $^{90}$Y and $^{177}$Lu-radiopeptides.

Combination PRRT have been shown to prolong survival over single agent PRRT. However, these studies were non-randomised retrospective reviews and there was potential selection bias in the groups.

Treatment with radiosensitising chemotherapy has also been reported to improve the success of PRRT, with disease response/stabilisation seen in 96% of patients.

Patient selection for PRRT in NETs

The therapeutic options in NETs should be discussed in a multidisciplinary setting, with the choice of the most appropriate technique made. In the majority of patients surgical resection offers the only realistic possibility of cure and thus this should be considered the preferred option if curative surgery is feasible and the patient is fit enough.

In patients with unresectable metastatic disease there are various therapeutic options available. These include surgical debulking, chemotherapy, molecular targeted therapies (eg sunitinib and everolimus), interferon, somatostatin analogues, local therapies (eg radiofrequency ablation and embolisation) and radiotargeted therapies including PRRT.

The key component to patient selection for PRRT is the SSR scan. Sufficient uptake within tumours on the somatostatin receptor scintigraphy provides evidence that the tumours are likely to concentrate radioactivity in sufficient quantities to achieve tumour damage (theranostic principle). Based on SSR imaging, the liver is commonly used as the reference point (the liver having tracer uptake related to hepatic peptide metabolism). Uptake greater than that seen in the background liver in the majority of disease (ie >90%) is generally considered sufficient to proceed with PRRT.

Patient selection for PRRT in NETs includes patients who have histologically proven NET, who are SSR positive and have no surgical cure possible or patients who are unsuitable for surgery. Patients should have sufficient bone marrow reserve, ie platelets >90,000/µL for $^{90}$Y and >75,000/µL for $^{177}$Lu. Additionally, significant renal impairment is a relative contraindication, particularly if $^{90}$Y-radiopeptides are being used.

Those with extensive disease, eg extensive liver/bone metastases, tend to have worse outcomes with PRRT. Similarly, it has been shown that significantly poorer outcomes occur in patients with poor baseline functional status. Grading of the tumour also has a role in treatment with PRRT, as patients with G3 tumours should have platinum-based chemotherapy rather than PRRT as first-line therapy.

FDG PET/CT may be useful in predicting response to PRRT and in determining progression-free survival, with those with
FDG positive G1/G2 tumours having worse progression-free survival. 25

As NETs can be slow growing, the timing of treatment can be debatable. Patients with progressive disease or patients who are symptomatic despite cold somatostatin analogues should be the patients considered for therapy.

Practical considerations of PRRT

Approximately 50% of the radiopeptide is excreted in urine during the first 6–8 hours. Thereafter, minimal urinary excretion is seen and the main radiation protection issues are related to the low-abundance gamma rays emitted. Thus, patients are advised of standard radiation protection precautions, eg sleeping in a separate room to their partner, avoiding close contact with young children or pregnant women.

The majority of procedures are well tolerated and, in some centres in the UK, are performed as day cases. Care has to be taken in patients with functioning syndromes, eg VIPOMAs or symptomatic carcinoid syndrome, and thus it’s safer to treat these patients as inpatients.

MIBG imaging and therapy

MIBG is an alkylguanidine (catecholamine analogue); it enters the cell membrane via the norepinephrine transporter and is then transported and stored in neurosecretory granules through the vesicular monoamine transporter. 26–28 MIBG was first described for imaging of NETs in the late 1970s with 131I-MIBG. 29 Radio-iodinated MIBG is well established in the detection of catecholamine-secreting neuroectodermal tumours (phaeochromocytoma and paraganglioma), with overall sensitivity around 90%. MIBG imaging has also been used in NETs, with rates of positivity at around 70%. 4 In several studies comparing 123I-MIBG and 111In-pentetreotide, 111In-pentetreotide was found to be more sensitive for the detection of disease in NETs. 30–32 The majority of NETs are thus not imaged/staged with MIBG but with somatostatin receptor scintigraphy. Although MIBG imaging may not be useful in staging a NET patient, it may be useful in determining if the patient can be treated with 131I-MIBG radionuclide therapy – ie do all/majority of tumours have sufficient uptake to concentrate a β-emitting radiation within their tumours. 33–35 131I-MIBG is a β-emitting radionuclide with the β particle having a maximum range of 2.3
This agent has been shown to stabilise disease in patients with progressive metastatic disease. An example of a patient with good uptake on the MIBG imaging scan that went on to have MIBG therapy is demonstrated in Fig 4.

Approximately 40–50% of patients develop good symptomatic response to treatment. Not many studies evaluated progression-free survival, but most studies showed a median overall survival of over 40 months following MIBG therapy. Sywak et al compared two groups of patients with midgut NETs. The first group (n=58) was treated in a centre where ¹³¹I-MIBG was available while the second group (n=59) had no access to ¹³¹I-MIBG (or other radio-targeted treatments). The 5-year survival rate in the first group was 63% versus 47% in the second group (p=0.1).

1³¹I-MIBG toxicity
The main toxicities are bone marrow suppression and myelodysplasias. Grade 3/4 bone marrow toxicity was seen in approximately 8% of patients (range 2–25%) with some relationship between the administered activity and the degree of toxicity. The most common form of bone marrow toxicity was thrombocytopenia (11%) followed by leucopenia (10%). Myelodysplasia is another possible rare side effect, which may occur in patients heavily pre-treated with chemotherapy or radiotherapy.

Tumour and critical organ dosimetry
Dosimetry is the measurement of absorbed radiation dose imparted by ionising radiation. Dosimetry can be performed both to calculate critical organ radiation dose (to limit toxicity) and to optimise tumour dose. Critical organ activity-limiting toxicity is usually myelotoxicity and red marrow dosimetry is therefore of particular relevance. This is a challenging procedure that can be addressed by performing whole-body dosimetry as a surrogate measure, which can be derived accurately from external measurements (gamma camera whole-body imaging). Varying patient biokinetics lead to a wide range of reported absorbed whole-body doses. An even wider range of absorbed doses is delivered to tumours for all therapy procedures. Ilan et al found from three single photon emission CT scans tumour absorbed doses ranging from 10–340 Gy and found a significant correlation between the absorbed dose and tumour reduction.

As personalised medicine and image-guided treatments (theranostics) are further introduced into clinical practice, dosimetry-based treatment is a promising avenue for further exploration via multicentre clinical trials to optimise the therapeutic procedure.

Current status of PRRT in the UK
Currently PRRT is not funded by NHS England. It was removed from the cancer drugs fund in November 2015 although funding for PRRT is still available through NHS Wales and NHS Scotland. Access to PRRT in England is principally limited to clinical trials and in a small number of patients on a compassionate use basis. Based on the NETTER-1 study, it is expected that ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE will receive European marketing authorisation (EMA) later this year. Currently, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence is appraising the use of ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE, but formal guidance will only be published after EMA approval.

Conclusions
Nuclear medicine imaging and therapy plays a vital role in management of NETs. SSR imaging provides enhanced accuracy in staging with accuracies of > 90% in well differentiated tumours and allows change in management compared with conventional imaging. Radionuclide therapies with radiolabelled somatostatin analogues and radio-iodinated MIBG provide symptomatic benefit and increase survival in patients with metastatic NETs. It is in NETs that the theranostic concept first became popularised.
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