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Abstract: A compact chemical kinetic mechanism for autoignition and combustion of methylcyclohexane (MCH) was developed and validated for a wide range of conditions, especially for low temperatures and high pressures that are most relevant to real engines. The mechanism was constructed in steps. An improved C5-C7 submechanism (26 species and 90 reactions) was first developed to describe fuel-cracking to form smaller fragments under high temperatures. Five modules of the C5-C7 submechanism were considered separately, and the rate constants were carefully estimated. A semiglobal low-temperature submechanism was developed to improve prediction of the negative temperature coefficient behaviors, which contained 4 species and 11 reactions. Isomers of intermediate radicals and fast reactions were lumped to obtain the minimal low-temperature submechanism. Combined with a simplified C4-C6 kernel (40 species and 276 reactions), the final mechanism consists of 70 species and 377 reactions. Validations of the newly developed mechanism were performed using amounts of experimental data, including ignition delays in shock tubes and rapid compression machines, under a wide range of temperatures (650–2000 K), pressures (1–50 atm), and equivalence ratios (0.5–2.0), and OH concentration histories in high pressure shock tubes. Furthermore, experimental data of species concentrations and flame speeds in laminar premixed flames were also used for validation. The present mechanism showed good accuracy in predicting ignition and combustion properties over a range of parameters. Simulations using other detailed MCH mechanisms were also carried out for comparison.

1. INTRODUCTION

Practical fossil fuels are complex mixtures consisting of a large number of hydrocarbons including n-alkanes, iso-alkanes, cycloalkanes, and aromatics, among other species. Cycloalkanes have received increasing attention over recent years because they are important components of practical fuels such as gasoline, diesel, and jet fuels. For instance, 20% of Jet-A fuel, 40% of diesel, and 60% of PR-1 fuel are composed of cycloalkanes.\(^1\) Cycloalkanes play a significant role in combustion chemistry of practical fuels, and the kinetics of cycloalkanes is critical to representing the reactivity of gasoline, diesel, and jet fuels. In order to simulate combustion in real engines accurately, chemical kinetic mechanisms for key component classes of practical and surrogate fuels are required. Methylcyclohexane (MCH) is a representative cycloalkane with a 6 single bonded carbon ring with a methyl group, and always used as a component of surrogate fuels.\(^2\) MCH is also considered as a starting point for the development of chemical kinetic mechanisms for other cycloalkanes.\(^3\)

Recently, some experimental measurements and kinetic modeling studies have been focused on autoignition and combustion of MCH.\(^4\)–\(^13\) Ignition delays were measured behind reflected shock waves and rapid compression machines (RCMs) for MCH at various pressures, temperatures, and equivalence ratios by different authors. Among them, Vanderover et al.\(^1\) and Vasu et al.\(^6\) studied the autoignition of MCH in shock tubes under intermediate and high temperatures (800–1500 K), elevated pressures (10–50 atm), and various equivalence ratios (0.25–2.0). Mittal et al.\(^8\) and Weber et al.\(^9\) conducted autoignition experiments in heated RCMs with MCH/O\(_2\)/N\(_2\)/Ar mixtures at low-to-intermediate temperatures (680–900 K), high pressures (15.1–50 bar), and different equivalence ratios (0.5–1.5). These experimental data of ignition delays have provided a helpful database for development and validation of MCH chemical mechanisms under engine relevant conditions. Other experimental data include OH time histories during the oxidation of MCH, laminar flame speeds of MCH/air premixed flames, and species profiles in laminar premixed MCH combustion.\(^10,11\)

In the meantime, several chemical mechanisms have been developed in recent studies.\(^9,12,14–16\) Orme et al.\(^14\) developed a mechanism for high-temperature oxidation of MCH. The mechanism contains 190 species and 904 reactions. Wang et al.\(^12\) developed a combustion mechanism of MCH with 249 species and 1570 reactions. The mechanism was validated using experimental data of species distributions in premixed flames, ignition delays, and laminar flame speeds. However, the above two mechanisms only consider species and reactions of high-temperature oxidation. Pitz et al.\(^4\) developed a low-to-high temperature oxidation mechanism to predict MCH auto-ignition in RCM based on the high-temperature mechanism by Orme et al.\(^14\) This detailed mechanism consists of 1001...
species and 4436 reactions. Narayanaswamy et al.\textsuperscript{15} developed a skeletal level mechanism which consists of 253 species and 1000 reactions. Although these two mechanisms can account for a wide range of temperatures, the size prevents them from being useful in multidimensional simulations of engine combustion. A large proportion of the species in the detailed mechanisms\textsuperscript{4,15} are intermediate species involved in low-temperature reaction paths can be lumped into a simplified formation to reproduce global quantities of low-temperature ignition (e.g., ignition delays), but not to describe details of low-temperature oxidation.\textsuperscript{17} Several skeletal mechanisms with lumped low-temperature chemistry have been developed based on this assumption.\textsuperscript{17–19} However, these mechanisms are focused on \textit{n}-alkanes. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{16} developed a detailed mechanism called JetSurF 2.0 with lumped low-temperature chemistry for describing oxidation of cyclohexane and alkylcyclohexanes up to \textit{n}-butylcyclohexane including 352 species and 2083 reactions. However, this mechanism does not closely predict the ignition delays under low temperatures. Lumped low-temperature chemistry for MCH needs to be further optimized.

In this paper, a compact skeletal mechanism is developed for describing MCH autoignition and combustion using a decoupling strategy. For autoignition and combustion of large hydrocarbons, fuel decomposition to smaller fragments is always fast and can be decoupled from oxidation kinetics of the smaller fragments.\textsuperscript{20} The decoupling strategy has been employed in the development of skeletal mechanisms of \textit{n}-alkanes like \textit{n}-decane, \textit{n}-dodecane,\textsuperscript{20–22} resulting in small skeletal mechanisms that are promising for further reduction or direct use in multidimensional turbulent combustion simulations. The decoupling strategy is successfully extended to MCH in the present work. Three submechanisms are developed separately and then assembled to form the final mechanism. An improved \textit{C}_5–\textit{C}_7 submechanism consisting of 26 species and 90 reactions is first developed to describe the fuel-cracking process. An optimized semiglobal low-temperature submechanism (4 species and 11 reactions) and a simplified \textit{C}_8–\textit{C}_9 kernel (40 species and 276 reactions) are then developed and merged to the \textit{C}_5–\textit{C}_7 submechanism, leading to a compact mechanism consisting of 70 species and 2083 reactions. However, this mechanism does not closely predict the ignition delays under low temperatures. Lumped low-temperature chemistry for MCH needs to be further optimized.

There are few experimental and theoretical studies on R1, and the rate constant in the Orme et al.\textsuperscript{14} mechanism determined using the combination rate for methyl addition to an isopropyl radical from the work of Tsang.\textsuperscript{24} However, this rate constant does not consider the pressure-dependent fall-off effect. In the present work, rate constant parameters for the combination of methyl and isopropyl radicals from USC Mech II\textsuperscript{16} are used to accommodate a wide range of pressures. In Orme et al.\textsuperscript{14} and Pitz et al.\textsuperscript{4} mechanisms, reaction rates of R2 and R3 are underestimated for the consumption of MCH, leading to poor prediction of OH profiles in the MCH oxidation. Rate constants of R2 and R3 in the present mechanism are taken from JetSurF 2.0,\textsuperscript{16} which leads to a better prediction of OH profiles as will be seen in section 3.3.

### 2.1. \textit{C}_5–\textit{C}_7 Submechanism

For high-temperature chemistry of MCH, the \textit{C}_5–\textit{C}_7 submechanism consists of five modules including unimolecular decomposition of MCH, H-atom abstractions of MCH, ring-opening of cyclohexyl and cyclic \textit{C}_7\textsubscript{H}_11 radicals, decomposition of alkyl radicals, and decomposition and H-abstractions of alkynes. Construction details of each module are described below.

#### 2.1.1. Unimolecular Decomposition of MCH

Unimolecular decomposition of MCH includes \textit{CH}_3 loss to form cyclic \textit{C}_7\textsubscript{H}_12 and dissociation of C–C bonds on the ring to form biradicals \textit{C}_7\textsubscript{H}_{14}. The biradicals undergo internal H-shift and lead to the formation of heptenes and methylhexenes. Due to symmetry of the MCH molecule, three kinds of \textit{C}_7\textsubscript{H}_{14} biradicals form and turn into six different heptene and methylhexenes isomers.\textsuperscript{14}

According to previous studies,\textsuperscript{16,23} 2-heptene (2-\textit{C}_7\textsubscript{H}_{14}) and 1-heptene (1-\textit{C}_7\textsubscript{H}_{14}) account for most of the MCH isomerization products. In order to reduce the number of species, only these two heptene isomers \textit{C}_7\textsubscript{H}_{14} and 2-\textit{C}_7\textsubscript{H}_{14} are considered. The unimolecular decomposition reactions are shown in Table 1.

#### Table 1. Unimolecular Decomposition Reactions of MCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Reaction No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\text{MCH} = \text{C}<em>7\textsubscript{H}</em>{12} + \text{CH}_3</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>(R1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\text{MCH} = \text{C}<em>7\textsubscript{H}</em>{14}</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>(R2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\text{MCH} = 2-\text{C}<em>7\textsubscript{H}</em>{14}</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>(R3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.1.2. H-atom Abstraction of MCH

In the oxidation process, H-abstraction reactions by free radicals’ attack are critical for the consumption of MCH at both low and high temperatures. Due to less importance of abstractions by hydrocarbon radicals, e.g., \textit{C}_3\textsubscript{H}_7, \textit{C}_4\textsubscript{H}_{10}, etc., only reactions with radicals \textit{H}, \textit{CH}_3, \textit{O}_2, \textit{HO}_2, \textit{OH}, \textit{O} are considered here. The MCH molecule possesses five different H-abstraction sites; reactions with each of the above radicals produce five cyclic \textit{C}_7\textsubscript{H}_{13} radicals, as shown in Table 2. R represents \textit{H}, \textit{CH}_3, \textit{O}_2, \textit{HO}_2, \textit{OH}, \textit{O}, respectively.

Rate constants of these reactions depend on the carbon site type of H-abstraction and the various attacking radicals. The sites can be divided into three types, which are the primary,
secondary, and tertiary carbon sites, according to the different numbers of H atoms present on the sites.26 Besides the carbon sites and attacking radicals, rate constants of H-abstraction reactions also depend on the local environment of the H atoms to be abstracted.27 H-abstraction from the same carbon site of different hydrocarbon molecules is slightly different. In the JetSurF 2.0 mechanism,16 the rate constants were referred to analogous reactions of n-propane and iso-butane, and slight changes were made to account for different molecular structures. The kinetic data used in the present mechanism are derived from recommendations of JetSurF 2.0.16

2.1.3. Ring-Opening Reactions Cyclohexyl and Cyclic C7H13. After CH3 loss and H-atom abstraction of MCH, cyclohexyl (c-C6H11) and cyclic C7H13 radicals arise and then undergo ring-opening reactions, which play a dominant role in the consumption of c-C6H11 and cyclic C7H13 radicals. The ring-opening of c-C6H11 forms hex-5-en-1-yl (p-C6H11), and cyclic C7H13 radicals producing alkenyl C7H13 radicals are shown in Table 3. Due to the symmetric structures of CH2-c-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulas</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Reaction No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c-C6H11</td>
<td>p-C6H11</td>
<td>(R34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH2-c-C6H11</td>
<td>p-C6H13</td>
<td>(R35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-CH3-c-C6H10 = 2-CH3-p-C6H10</td>
<td>(R36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-CH3-c-C6H10 = 2-C6H13</td>
<td>(R37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-CH2-c-C6H10 = 3-CH3-c-C6H10</td>
<td>(R38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-CH3-c-C6H10 = s-C6H13</td>
<td>(R39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-CH3-c-C6H10 = 4-CH2-p-C6H10</td>
<td>(R40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-CH3-c-C6H10 = 5-CH2-p-C6H11</td>
<td>(R41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C6H13, 1-CH3-c-C6H10, and 4-CH3-c-C6H10, one alkenyl C6H13 radical isomer is produced from these three cyclic C6H13 through R35–R36 and R41, respectively. Two alkenyl C6H13 radical isomers derive from 2-CH3-c-C6H10 and 3-CH3-c-C6H10 through R37–R38 and R39–R40, respectively.

A lot of theoretical and experimental studies have focused on the ring-opening of small alkyl radicals, like cyclopropyl and cyclopropylcarbinyl.29–31 Matheu et al.32 proposed high pressure limit rate rules for radical additions and ring-opening/closing reactions, including cyclobutyl, cyclopentyl, and cyclohexyl. In the Orme et al. mechanism,33 ring-opening reactions were written in the reverse intramolecular alkyl radical ring closure direction; rate constants were referred to rate constant expressions of Matheu et al.32 for primary carbon radical addition to an external doubly bound carbon atom with activation energy alteration. In a more recent study, Sirjean et al.33 investigated rate constants of ring-opening reactions of unbranched cycloalkyl radicals (C5-C7) and branched cycloalkyl radicals (C5-C10). In the present mechanism, rate constants of ring-opening reactions (R34–R41) are taken from Sirjean et al.33

2.1.4. Decomposition of the Alkenyl Radicals. For the decomposition reactions of alkenyl radicals C5H11, C6H11, and C7H13, β-scissions of the C–C bond take place to produce alkyl (alkenyl) radicals and dieolefins (alkenes). It is worth noting that unimolecular isomerization reactions through five-, six-, and seven-membered cyclic transition complexes (1,4/1,5/1,6 H-shifts) are important and can compete with its thermal decomposition.34 However, a large number of species and reactions are involved if all possible isomerization and decomposition reactions of C5H11 (hex-5-en-1-yl) and alkenyl C6H13 radicals are taken into account. According to reaction flux analysis performed by Orme et al.14 reaction pathways that contribute to 98% consumption of the hex-5-en-1-yl and alkenyl C6H13 radicals are retained in the present mechanism along with the associated species and reactions. The loss of removing the less important reaction pathways is evaluated and found to be negligible to the predictions of autoignition and laminar flames. The retained isomerization and decomposition reactions of hex-5-en-1-yl and alkenyl C6H13 radicals are shown in Table 4. R42 is the isomerization through 1,4 H-shift. R43–

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulas</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Reaction No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-CH3-p-C6H11</td>
<td>3-CH3-c-C6H10</td>
<td>(R42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-CH2-p-C6H11 = 1,5-C6H10=CH2</td>
<td>(R43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-C6H13 = C6H11=CH2</td>
<td>(R44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-C6H13 = p-C6H2+CH2</td>
<td>(R45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-C6H13</td>
<td>= s-C6H13=CH2</td>
<td>(R46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-CH2-p-C6H11 = 2-CH2-p-C6H10</td>
<td>(R47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-CH2-p-C6H11 = CH2-p-C6H11=CH2</td>
<td>(R48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-CH2-p-C6H11=CH=CH2</td>
<td>(R49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-CH2-p-C6H11 = 2-CH2-p-C6H10</td>
<td>(R50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-CH2-p-C6H11 = 2-CH2-p-C6H10 = CH2</td>
<td>(R51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-CH2-p-C6H11=1,3-C6H10=CH2</td>
<td>(R52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-CH2-p-C6H11=CH=CH2 = CH2</td>
<td>(R53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-C6H10=CH=CH2</td>
<td>(R54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-C6H11=CH=CH2</td>
<td>(R55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RS5 are decomposition reactions of hex-5-en-1-yl and alkenyl C6H13 radicals to form smaller alkyls and alkenes (C5H11, C6H13), and dieolefins and alkyls (C5H11, C6H13, C7H17). As for alkenyl C6H13 radicals including p-C6H13, s-C5H11=CH2, s-C6H11=CH2, and CH2-p-C6H17, they are produced from the decomposition of alkenyl C6H13 radicals, and consumed by C–C bond decomposition and reacting with H atom or HO2 radicals to produce smaller radicals (not shown in Table 4).

Matheu et al.32 investigated the intramolecular hydrogen transfer (H-Shift) rules, which were used in the MCH oxidation mechanism of Orme et al.14 and cyclohexene oxidation mechanism of Silke et al.35 In a more recent study of...
isomerization of branched hydrocarbons, McGivern et al.\textsuperscript{36} presented the rate constant of the 1,4-H shift reaction of 4-methyl-1-pentyl radicals, which is more similar to R42. The rate constant of R46 in the present mechanism is taken from McGivern et al.\textsuperscript{36}

Rate constants for alkenyls decomposition in the Orme et al.\textsuperscript{14} mechanism were estimated in the exothermic direction, and taken from a study for C\textsubscript{1}-C\textsubscript{4} alkyl radical decompositions by Curran et al.\textsuperscript{37} In a more recent study, Tsang et al.\textsuperscript{38} measured a series of decomposition and isomerization reactions of octyl radicals. Rate constants of reactions R43–R55 are referred to the analogous decomposition reactions of octyl radicals from Tsang et al.\textsuperscript{38}

2.1.5. Decomposition and H-Abstraction of Alkenes. Decomposition and H-abstraction reactions of alkene C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{14} are shown in Table 5. Heptenes (C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{14} and C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{14}-2) react mainly via thermal decomposition reactions (R56–R58). H-addition to heptenes and the following decomposition are lumped into one reaction, expressed by R59–R61. Heptenes can also react with R radicals (H, O, O\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{3}, OH, HO\textsubscript{2}) by H-abstraction (R62–R67) to produce alkenyl C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13} radicals. R68 is a lumped reaction that consumes heptenes through OH radicals addition and decomposition. Rate constants of these reactions are taken from JetSurF 2.0.16 Decomposition reactions of C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{10} (1,5-C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{10}) and C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{8} (2-CH\textsubscript{3}-p-C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{4} and 1,3-C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{8}) follow similar patterns and are not shown here.

2.2. A Minimal Low-Temperature Submechanism. According to the studies of Pitz et al.,\textsuperscript{4} the low-temperature reaction pathways of MCH oxidation are similar to \textit{n}-alkanes. Reactions can be decoupled from high-temperature chemistry and lumped into a few steps for predicting ignition delays and cool flame behaviors (e.g., Negative Temperature Coefficient, NTC). The low-temperature submechanism in the present mechanism is lumped into 4 species and 11 reactions as shown in Table 6.

Under low-to-intermediate temperature conditions, the first step of the low-temperature chemistry sequence is the addition of O\textsubscript{2} to cyclic C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13} radicals to produce methylcyclohexylperoxy (C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}O\textsubscript{2}) radicals. In order to reduce the mechanism, C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}O\textsubscript{2} isomers are lumped into one, as is recommended by Bikas and Peters.\textsuperscript{17} C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}O\textsubscript{2} radicals undergo isomerization to produce methyl-cyclohexylhydroperoxy (C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{12}OOH) through R74. The fraction of C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{12}OOH radicals consuming through isomerization back to C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{13}O\textsubscript{2} (R75) is most responsible for the prediction of the NTC region—ignition delays in the NTC region are very sensitive to this reaction. Another important reaction pathway of C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{12}OOH radicals is O\textsubscript{2} addition to produce O\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{12}OOH through R76. O\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{12}OOH then undergoes decomposition to release OH and produce keto hydroperoxide (OC\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{11}OOH) through R78. The generation of OH leads to chain branching and accelerates the autoignition process. OC\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{11}OOH then decomposes to generate OH, vinyl radical (C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}), ethylene, formaldehyde, and CH\textsubscript{2}CO through R79.

The lumped low-temperature submechanism is developed to reproduce global quantities of low-temperature ignition (e.g., ignition delays), but not to describe the detailed low-temperature oxidation process. The rate constants of the reactions are referred to analogous reactions in our previous study.\textsuperscript{22}

2.3. Assembly of the Mechanism. In order to obtain a compact C\textsubscript{5}-C\textsubscript{7} submechanism, reaction flow analysis (RFA)\textsuperscript{39} and sensitivity analysis (SA)\textsuperscript{40,41} are used to conduct a reduction based on a skeletal C\textsubscript{0}-C\textsubscript{4} mechanism developed by Wang,\textsuperscript{42} which consists of 56 species and 428 reactions. On the basis of RFA, the contribution of each reaction to the generation and consumption of each species is quantified. Reactions that contribute, e.g., 5%, to the total consumption of species are removed. SA is then employed to quantify the sensitivity of reactions to ignition delays, laminar flame speeds, and species profiles in premixed flames of MCH. Reaction pathways that have little influence on ignition delays, laminar flame speeds, and species profiles are removed along with the associated species and reactions. Sixteen species and 152 reactions are removed from the skeletal C\textsubscript{0}-C\textsubscript{4} mechanism of Wang.\textsuperscript{42} A new C\textsubscript{5}-C\textsubscript{7} kernel for MCH containing 40 species and 276 reactions is thereby developed. Combined with the C\textsubscript{5}-C\textsubscript{7} submechanism, a mechanism of 66 species and 366 reactions is obtained to describe the high-temperature oxidation of MCH.

Low-temperature reactions are chemically lumped into a small submechanism that involves only 4 species and 11
reactions. Rate constants are optimized through sensitivity analysis to fit experimental measurements and mainly the detailed Pitz et al. mechanism calculations under a wide array of conditions. The same optimization technique is employed in our previous study. Combined with the high-temperature mechanism, a final mechanism containing 70 species and 377 reactions is obtained, which can be found in the Supporting Information.

3. VALIDATIONS OF THE MECHANISM

In this section, validations of the newly developed mechanism are performed to evaluate the abilities to predict MCH ignition and combustion characteristics. Experimental data obtained from different reactors are used for comparison including (i) ignition delays from shock tube and rapid compression machine (RCM), (ii) OH species histories from high pressure shock tube, (iii) species concentration profiles in laminar premixed flames, and (iv) laminar flame speeds obtained at different pressures.

Simulations of ignition in shock tubes and RCMs are conducted using the SENKIN program with the assumption of constant-volume, homogeneous, and adiabatic conditions. This assumption is commonly used in the literature. Ignition delays are defined as the time at which the maximum temperature gradient is reached. The PREMIX program is employed for the simulations of species profiles and flame speeds in laminar premixed flames. A mixture-average transport model including thermal diffusion effect is employed in the simulations.

3.1. Autoignition Delays at High Pressures. The present mechanism is first applied to reproduce a great deal of experimental results of ignition delays obtained in shock tubes and RCMs under various temperatures, pressures, and equivalence ratios. Figures 1 and 2 show the comparison of experimental data and predicted ignition delays using the present mechanism and the detailed Pitz et al. mechanism.

Figure 1a presents the comparison between experimental data and the simulated results at stoichiometric ratio and various pressures: (a) $p = \sim 12$ atm, (b) $p = \sim 20$ atm, (c) $p = \sim 50$ atm.
reasonable. Nevertheless, the present mechanism shows the same accuracy with the detailed Pitz et al.4 mechanism in the high-temperature and NTC region, and even superiority at \( T < 700 \) K, which indicates the prediction capability of the optimized low-temperature submechanism in the present mechanism.

Figure 1b shows a comparison between experimental data and simulations at stoichiometric ratio and \( p \approx 20 \) atm. It can be seen that, in the high-temperature region \( T > 1000 \) K, predictions by the present mechanism are consistent with the detailed Pitz et al.4 mechanism, although both mechanisms predicting slower ignition delays compared with experimental data by Vasu et al.6 at \( p = 20 \) atm. In the NTC region 700 K < \( T < 1000 \) K, the present mechanism predicts shorter ignition delays than the Pitz et al.4 mechanism by a factor of 2 at \( p = 20 \) atm. However, experimental data is absent in this region. Improvements have been achieved by the present mechanism in the low-temperature region \( T < 700 \) K, where the Pitz et al.4 mechanism overpredicts the ignition delays.

Figure 1c shows calculated and measured ignition delays at \( p = 50 \) atm. It can be seen that the present mechanism provides better predictions compared with the Pitz et al. mechanism4 at \( T < 900 \) K.

Autoignition of combustible mixtures in real engines does not always happen in the stoichiometric ratio region. Lean and rich cases with equivalence ratios \( \phi = 0.5 \) and \( \phi = 1.5 \) are simulated with the present mechanism and Pitz et al.4 mechanism at various pressures. The results are shown in Figure 2.

In Figure 2a,b, at \( p \approx 12 \) and 50 atm, \( \phi = 0.5 \), overall predictions of ignition delays by the present mechanism agree well with the experimental data, especially for the low-temperature region. Albeit, experimental data of ignition delays under high temperatures is absent at \( \phi = 1.5 \) in Figure 2c–e, satisfactory predictions by the present mechanism are obtained when comparing with the detailed Pitz et al.4 mechanism. While comparison against the experimental data at \( p = 15.1 \) and 25.5 bar shows some differences in Figure 2c,d, simulations agree well with the experimental data at \( p = 50 \) bar in Figure 2e.
However, considering the uncertainty in these experimental data, the predictions by the present mechanism remain favorable.

### 3.2. Autoignition Delays at Low Pressures

Orme et al.\textsuperscript{14} measured ignition delays at low pressures ($p = 1$–$4$ atm), temperatures ($T = 1200$–$2100$ K), and equivalence ratios ($\varphi = 0.5$–$2.0$). Further calculations of the ignition delays are performed to validate the present mechanism at low pressures in Figures 3 and 4. Detailed MCH mechanisms from literatures including the Orme et al. mechanism,\textsuperscript{14} Pitz et al. mechanism,\textsuperscript{4} and JetSurF 2.0 mechanism\textsuperscript{16} are used for comparison. The simulations are performed under the same conditions as experiment. Predictions by the present mechanism show some differences from the other three mechanisms, which almost coincide. The differences may be caused by the simplification of the present mechanism. Overall, a good agreement is obtained for ignition delays between the present mechanism and the experimental data, considering the scatter in these experimental data.

### 3.3. OH Time Histories during Autoignition

Vasu et al.\textsuperscript{7} measured OH concentration time histories during MCH oxidation behind reflected shock waves in a heated, high-pressure shock tube. Experimental conditions covered temperatures $T = 1121$–$1332$ K, pressures $p = 15$ atm, and initial fuel concentrations of 750 and 1000 ppm (by volume), and an equivalence ratio of 0.5 with O$_2$ as the oxidizer and argon as the bath gas. Figure 5 shows the comparison between the experimental data and calculations with different mechanisms (JetSurF 2.0 mechanism,\textsuperscript{16} Pitz et al. mechanism,\textsuperscript{4} and the
present mechanism) under various temperatures and initial MCH concentrations.

In Figure 5a, at $T = 1285$ K, both the present mechanism and JetSurF 2.0 mechanism well predict the shape of OH profiles with less than 10% overprediction of the peak value. However, the Pitz et al. mechanism predicts a much slower rise during ignition and a near flat peak in contrast to the sharp peak observed during experiments. As the temperature decreases to $T = 1213$ K, the present mechanism predicts an earlier increasing of OH while JetSurF 2.0 predicts a delayed increasing. Both the two mechanisms are within the uncertainty of the experimental data. However, OH concentration predicted by the Pitz et al. mechanism rises even slower than $T = 1285$ K and barely changes during the residence time. Vasu et al. indicate that the discrepancies predicted by the Pitz et al. mechanism may be caused by inaccuracy of higher hydrocarbons radical chemistry (such as those linking cyclic-C$_7$ to n-C$_7$ species).

For an initial MCH concentration of 750 ppm and $T = 1303$ K in Figure 5b, predictions by the present mechanism are consistent with the JetSurF 2.0 mechanism and agree with the experimental data well within 25% overprediction of the OH profile after ignition. At temperature $T = 1266$ K, improvement is achieved in predicting the onset of OH increasing by the present mechanism compared with the JetSurf 2.0 mechanism.

3.4. Species Profiles in Laminar Premixed Flames. Wang et al. investigated the species formed in the premixed flame of MCH at equivalence ratios $\phi = 1.25$ and $\phi = 1.75$, and $p = 30$ Torr. The concentrations of a number of reactants, products, stable intermediates, and radicals have been quantified. Predictions by the present mechanism are compared to the experimental data from Wang et al. in this section. The experimental temperature profiles are used as input data in simulations.

The comparison of mole fractions between calculated and experimental results for MCH, O$_2$, H$_2$, CO, CO$_2$, H$_2$O, and Ar is shown in Figure 6. In Figure 6, the present mechanism is shown to be capable of reproducing the main experimental observations on reactants and products within 15% error on average. MCH is mainly consumed by thermal decomposition and radicals attack, leading to H-abstraction, while the residual oxygen is consumed by the combustion of intermediates after the complete consumption of the fuel. With the consumption of MCH and O$_2$, CO and H$_2$O increase steadily on the flame front. In the lean and stoichiometric cases, the main source of CO is from three reactions of HCO with OH, H$_2$O, and M. However, under fuel rich conditions, the path C$_2$H$_2$ $\rightarrow$ HCCO $\rightarrow$ CO becomes more important and can be dominant. CO is further consumed to form CO$_2$ mainly through the slow reaction CO + OH = CO$_2$ + H. When the mixture becomes richer as is shown in Figure 6b, the decay of CO is not obvious and the concentration of CO is high at the postflame zone because there are not enough OH radicals in the very rich flame condition. In general, the simulated results match the mole expansion effect. The overall agreement between the calculations and experimental measurements on the consumption of MCH and O$_2$, and the formation of H$_2$, H$_2$O, CO, and CO$_2$ offers a preliminary validation of the present mechanism for flames.
The oxidation kinetics of small species (<C₄) is extremely important for flame speeds, heat release, and emissions. As a result, it is important to validate small species profiles in flames. Simulated and measured mole fraction profiles of several main small species are shown in Figures 7 and 8. It should be noted that there exists a discrepancy between experiment and simulation close to the burner surface, which is mainly caused by the perturbation of the sampling nozzle. Thus, the measured data at distances shorter than 2 mm from the burner surface might not represent the true mole fractions of the measured species. Considering uncertainty of experimental data in measuring small species, satisfactory agreements within a factor of 2 have been achieved with the present mechanism.

In Figures 7 and 8, as the equivalence ratio increases from φ = 1.25 to φ = 1.75, small species concentrations increase. Concentrations of C₂ species (C₂H₄ and C₂H₂) are relatively higher than other species in both flames. It is worth noting that the concentration of 1,3-butadiene C₄H₆ is higher than C₃ species. A good description of the C₄H₆ submechanism is important for MCH oxidation, as reaction path analysis of the present mechanism shows that nearly all MCH decomposes through C₄H₆, which was also indicated in previous studies. The main consuming path of C₄H₆ is to react with H atom and then decomposes to C₂H₄, nC₄H₈, and iC₄H₈. iC₄H₈ undergo oxidation and decomposition to form smaller species, e.g., C₂H₃, CH₂CO, and CH₂CHO.

Propene (C₃H₆) is a product of C₃ species decomposition. C₃H₄ then converts to C₄H₆ through H-abstraction by H, O, OH, and most of aC₃H₄ come from H-abstraction of aC₃H₅. aC₃H₄ consumes through isomerization to form pC₃H₄, which then decomposes and yields C₂H₂. The present mechanism does not consider the reaction paths of aC₄H₈ and pC₃H₄ forming C₃H₄. This simplification may explain the over-prediction of aC₄H₈ and pC₃H₄ by a factor of 2, while the overall agreement is still reasonable.

Similarly, ethylene (C₂H₄) is consumed by H-abstraction to produce vinyl (C₂H₃) radicals and subsequently form C₂H₂ through C₂H₃ + M = C₂H₂ + H + M; the C₂H₃ radicals are the main source of C₂H₂. C₂H₂ reacts with O atom to produce HCCO, which then leads to CO.

Methane (CH₄) is mainly formed from H addition to methyl radical. The main consumption paths of CH₄ are the reactions with H, O, and OH radicals. Predictions of CH₄ and CH₃ by the present mechanism are in good agreement with the experimental measurement.

3.5. Laminar Flame Speeds. Several experiments studied laminar flame speeds of MCH/air mixtures using different flame configurations. Wu et al.10 measured flames speeds of spherically expanding flames at unburnt temperature T₀ = 353 K and p = 1–10 atm. Ji et al.11 measured flames speeds in a counterflow configuration at T₀ = 353 K and p = 1 atm. Figure 9 presents the comparison of predicted laminar flame speeds using the present mechanism against experimental data.10,11 Considering the experimental uncertainty, the flame speeds predicted by the present mechanism show satisfactory agreement with the experimental data under various pressures and equivalence ratios, and unburnt gas temperature T₀ = 353 K.

4. CONCLUSIONS
We developed a new compact mechanism consisting of 70 species and 377 reactions for MCH autoignition and combustion under engine relevant conditions. The aim was to retain only a small number of species and reactions without losing accuracy. This was accomplished by combining the high-temperature C₅-C₇ submechanism with a simplified C₆-C₄ kernel, and an optimized semiglobal low-temperature submechanism. In the low-temperature submechanism, species...
isomers and reaction pathways were lumped into one chain, which could reproduce the NTC behaviors in ignition delays.

Extensive validations of the present mechanism were performed through comparing with experimental data available in literatures, with special emphasis on the engine relevant operating conditions in a wide range of temperatures (650–2000 K), pressures (1–50 atm), and equivalence ratios (0.5–2.0). The experimental data for validation included ignition delays in shock tubes and rapid compression machines, as well as OH time histories in a heated, high-pressure shock tube. Moreover, laminar premixed flame experimental data, including species concentration profiles and flame speeds, provided further validations. The results indicated that predictions by this mechanism agree with the experimental data.

On average, our mechanism contains 93% fewer species and 91% fewer reactions, respectively, in comparison to the detailed Pitz et al. mechanism.1 The computational time can be reduced by an order of magnitude for the reduction in species numbers.

Moreover, laminar premixed flame simulations, which could reproduce the NTC behaviors in ignition delays. Extensive validations of the present mechanism were performed for multidimensional engine simulations, which is promising for autoignition and combustion characteristics, the present mechanism does not introduce significant errors and performs similarly to the detailed mechanism agree with the experimental data. The newly developed mechanism for autoignition and combustion of MCH (TXT)
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