

**Title: Reduction of severe visual loss and complications following intra-arterial chemotherapy (IAC) for refractory retinoblastoma**

**M. Ashwin Reddy MD FRCOphth<sup>1,2</sup>, Zishan Naeem BMedSci<sup>1</sup>, Catriona Duncan MBBS MRCP<sup>1,3</sup>, Fergus Robertson MRCP FRCR<sup>4</sup>, Jane Herod MBBS FRCA<sup>4</sup>, Adam Rennie MBBS BMSc<sup>4</sup>, Alki Liasis PhD<sup>5</sup>, Dorothy Thompson PhD<sup>5</sup>, Mandeep S Sagoo PhD FRCS (Ed)<sup>1,2,6</sup>**

1. The Royal London Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK
2. Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
3. Department of Oncology, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, UK
4. Department of Radiology, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, UK
5. Department of Electrophysiology, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, UK
6. National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK

The corresponding author is Mr. Maddy Ashwin Reddy (M. Ashwin Reddy).

This research was accepted and presented as a paper at the International Society of Ocular Oncology in Paris, June 2015.

Financial support: none

There is no conflicting relationship for any author.

Address for reprints: Mr. M. Ashwin Reddy, Retinoblastoma Unit, Department of Ophthalmology, Royal London Hospital, Whitechapel Road, London, UK E1 1BB

ashwin.reddy@bartshealth.nhs.uk

Tel: + 44 203 594 1419

Fax: +44 203 594 3262

Precis: The proportion of visual and ocular motility complications may be reduced by using age adjusted doses of intra-arterial melphalan in children with refractory retinoblastoma.

Word count : 2701

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Intra-arterial chemotherapy (IAC) for retinoblastoma has been documented as causing visual loss and ocular motility problems. A lack of safety data has precluded its acceptance in all centres.

**Methods:** Retrospective cohort study of patients with retinoblastoma from 2013 to 2015 who had a healthy foveola and relapsed following systemic chemotherapy. All required IAC. The correlation of complications with doses of melphalan +/- topotecan used and putative catheterisation complications was assessed. Ocular complications were determined using vision, macular (including Pattern Visual Evoked Potentials (PVEPs)), retinal (Electroretinograms (ERG)) and ocular motility functions. Efficacy (tumour control) was also assessed.

**Results:** All eyes had age appropriate doses of melphalan with five having additional doses of topotecan. Severe physiological reactions requiring adrenaline were seen in six patients during the catheterisation procedure. Difficulty was documented in accessing the ophthalmic artery in 7/ 27 catheterisations. The median / mean number of courses of chemotherapy was three. No child had severe visual loss as assessed by age appropriate tests (median follow-up 20.9 months range 3.7– 35.2 months). One child had nasal choroidal ischemia and a sixth nerve palsy. Post-IAC pVEPs were performed in eight and reported as normal. All post-IAC ERGs were normal apart from one (total dose 20mg melphalan 0.8mg topotecan). Tumour control was achieved in 6 of 9 cases.

**Conclusion:** The proportion of visual and ocular motility complications may be reduced by providing age adjusted doses of melphalan. Dose rather than complications from catheterisation is the most important risk factor for ocular injury.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

2 There has been a paradigm shift in the management of retinoblastoma with the acceptance of  
3 chemotherapy being delivered directly to the ophthalmic artery: intra-arterial chemotherapy  
4 (IAC). Many units around the world are using IAC for retinoblastoma<sup>1</sup> but the lack of safety  
5 profile data has delayed universal acceptance<sup>2-5</sup>. Globe salvage without risk of metastases yet  
6 with retained vision would be the goal of any treatment strategy for retinoblastoma. Using  
7 thorough orthoptist assessments, age appropriate visual testing in combination with visual  
8 evoked potentials (VEPs) and electroretinograms (ERGs) on awake children, we have  
9 previously demonstrated that 40% of our earliest cohort developed 3<sup>rd</sup> nerve palsies<sup>5</sup> and 42%  
10 of eyes with healthy foveolae had severe visual loss after intra-arterial melphalan<sup>6</sup>. We  
11 identified high doses of melphalan, catheterisation complications and previous radiotherapy  
12 as potential risk factors for visual loss and were interested in how modification of these  
13 factors could ameliorate the complications.

14

## 15 METHODS

16 This was a retrospective cohort study conducted between January 2013 and December 2015.  
17 Eyes with tumours involving the foveola extending to the foveola were excluded. Approval  
18 for the use of IAC in this study was obtained from the Great Ormond Street Hospital  
19 Children Drugs and Therapeutics Committee and Barts Health Clinical Effectiveness Unit  
20 (#6594) within the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from  
21 the parents or legal guardians, after discussion of the findings, potential risks and benefits of  
22 the procedure. IAC was considered in cases where the tumours failed to respond adequately  
23 to previous treatments or there was a new recurrence not amenable to local therapy (laser,  
24 cryotherapy or plaque therapy). All patients were assessed by MAR or MSS and graded  
25 according to the International Intraocular Retinoblastoma Classification (IIRC)<sup>7</sup> and AJCC<sup>8</sup>.

26 All patients had received systemic chemotherapy in the form of six cycles of carboplatin,  
27 vincristine and etoposide as first line treatment. Our method of catheterisation of the  
28 ophthalmic artery has been previously reported<sup>5,6</sup>. Adrenaline was given following severe  
29 autonomic reactions<sup>9</sup>. In addition, we assessed the duration of the procedure and compared  
30 this with our initial cohort<sup>6</sup>.

31 We gave age-appropriate doses<sup>10,11</sup> at the time of treatment. For melphalan this resulted in  
32 3mg for 6-12 month olds, 4mg for 1 to 3 year olds and 5 mg above this age. For topotecan,  
33 doses were consistently 0.3 to 0.5 mg for under 3 year olds and 1mg for one child over 3. All  
34 children had 3 cycles of IAC spaced at 4 weeks. All patients had an examination under  
35 anaesthesia three weeks after each treatment. FFAs were performed in patients after  
36 treatment.

37 ERGs and VEPs were performed before and after the procedure wherever possible as  
38 previously described<sup>6</sup>. Pattern and flash VEPs were recorded according ISCEV standards<sup>12</sup>  
39 from 3 occipital electrodes; O1,Oz and O2 referred to FpZ. PrVEPs (Pattern reversal VEPs)  
40 were elicited to high contrast checkerboards. Data from the midline Oz were analysed and  
41 reported in this paper.

42 As part of our protocol, patients had orthoptic examinations before and three weeks after  
43 each IAC treatment. This included Visual Acuity (VA) assessment, cover testing at near  
44 (1/3m) and distance (6m), ocular motility examination, pupillary assessment and  
45 investigation of binocular vision. Visual acuities were assessed using Cardiff Cards (Fixed  
46 Choice Preferential Looking:FCPL), Keeler Cards (FCPL), Kays picture tests (Optotype),  
47 and Crowded LogMAR, depending upon the age of the child. When possible VA was  
48 assessed unilaterally, otherwise binocular VA was measured. If quantitative assessment was  
49 not possible qualitative methods were used, i.e. fixing and following on a target and whether  
50 there was a fixation preference<sup>6</sup>.

51

52 **RESULTS**

53 From January 2013 to December 2015, 23 eyes of 23 patients were treated with IAC in our  
 54 department. 14 patients with tumours involving the foveola were excluded. Table 1 lists the  
 55 baseline patient and ocular features of the 9 eyes from 9 patients who were recruited into this  
 56 study. The median age at the time of the first IAC treatment was 14 months (range 6-125  
 57 months). 3 children presented with D eyes according to the IIRC<sup>7</sup> and the other 6 eyes had  
 58 less advanced disease (Table 1). All patients were alive at last follow-up (median 20.9  
 59 months range 3.7– 35.2 months) with no indication of metastases.

60 Table 1. Summary of patient and ocular features

Feature			Number (%)
Age (months)	Mean (median, range)		n = 9
At first IAC			31 (14, 6-125)
Laterality of retinoblastoma			
	Bilateral		5 (55.6%)
	Unilateral		4 (44.4%)
Affected Fellow eye status			
	Foveal tumor		1 (11.1%)
	Extra-foveal tumor		3 (33.3%)
	Enucleated		1 (11.1%)
Affected eye status	Previous treatments		
		Cryotherapy	5 (55.6%)
		Laser thermotherapy	7 (77.8%)
		EBRT	0
		Plaque brachytherapy	0
		Systemic chemotherapy	9 (100%)
Indication for IAM	Edge relapse		
		Solitary	3 (33.3%)
		Multiple	5 (55.6%)

	Vitreous seeding		1 (11.1%)
International Intraocular Retinoblastoma Classification at presentation (American Joint Committee on Cancer Staging <sup>8</sup> )			
	A (cT1a)		2 (22.2%)
	B (cT1b)		2 (22.2%)
	C (cT2a)		2 (22.2%)
	D (cT2b)		3 (33.3%)
	E		0

61

62

63

#### 64 **Treatment**

65 All children had received 6 cycles of systemic chemotherapy (Carboplatin, Etoposide and  
66 Vincristine) prior to IAC. None had received radiation in the form of plaque or external beam  
67 radiation therapy. The indications for treatment included multiple areas of relapse (5 or 55%),  
68 solitary relapse (3) and vitreous seeding (1). All children had age-appropriate doses of  
69 melphalan: 3mg in 3 infants under 12 months, 4 mg in 4 children (aged 1 to 3) and 5 mg in 2  
70 above 3 years of age. Four children had solely intra-arterial melphalan (3-5 mg) and five had  
71 additional topotecan (0.3 to 1 mg). The median dose of melphalan was 4 mg and the median  
72 number of cycles was 3 (range 2-4) as shown in Table 2.

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

Patient no (age in months)	Dose of melphalan (number of IAC treatments)	Dose of topotecan and which treatment	Catheterisation complications	Tumor controlled at last follow up	Complications	Visual acuity deterioration directly after IAC
1 (6)	3,3,3 mg (3)	0.3mg (1,2,3)		Yes	No	No
2 (10)	3,3,4 mg(3)	0.3mg (1,2,3)	Autonomic reaction on 2 <sup>nd</sup> injection	Yes	No	No
3 (12)	4,4,4 mg(3)	0 mg	Autonomic reaction on 2 <sup>nd</sup> injection	Yes	No	No
4 (24)	4,4 mg(2)	0 mg	Autonomic reaction on 2 <sup>nd</sup> injection	No	No	No
5 (36)	4,4,5 mg(3)	0 mg	Autonomic reaction on 2 <sup>nd</sup> injection	No	No	No
6 (14)	4,4,4 mg(3)	0 mg	Initial failed attempt	Yes	Sluggish pupil	No
7 (125)	5,5,5,5mg(4)	0.4mg (3,4)		No	Slight ptosis	No
8 (38)	5,5,5mg(3)	1mg (1,2,3)	Autonomic reaction on 3 <sup>rd</sup> injection	Yes	Yes (nasal choroidal ischemia and VIth nerve palsy)	No
9 (11)	3,3,3mg(3)	0.5mg (1,2,3)	Autonomic reaction on 2 <sup>nd</sup> injection	Yes	No	No

80

81

82

83 Table 2. Visual outcomes and complications following intra-ophthalmic artery melphalan +/-  
84 Topotecan for retinoblastoma: Dose, complications and results.

85

86 **Catheter complications**

87 No child suffered from a neurological event following catheterisation. Difficulty was found in  
88 seven of 27 catheterisations. Six of nine patients suffered from a severe autonomic episode.

89 One child (Patient 8) had two uneventful injections of melphalan (5mg) and topotecan (1mg)  
90 yet the third injection into the ophthalmic artery was associated with an autonomic episode  
91 (Table 2). He subsequently developed a temporary sixth nerve palsy and choroidal ischemia.

92 **Learning Curve**

93 The average length of time for each procedure was 1 hour 52 minutes (range 1 hour 6 minutes  
94 to 3 hours 8 minutes). This compares with our initial cohort<sup>6</sup> of 12 patients where the average  
95 duration was 1 hour 32 minutes (range from 1 hour to 2 hours 20 minutes).

## 96 **Outcome**

97 Tumour control was achieved in 6 eyes (66%) in this group and the other 3 eyes (33%)  
98 eventually went onto enucleation. The 3 eyes that underwent subsequent enucleation  
99 presented with IIRC grades C (1) and D (2) and were assessed for ocular complications of the  
100 treatment prior to enucleation. Of the 6 eyes that avoided enucleation, a partial response was  
101 found in 2, requiring additional treatment to one of the initial tumours and new tumours  
102 respectively. Two other eyes had post-IAC consolidation laser.

## 103 **Vision**

104 All nine patients had Age Appropriate Normal vision<sup>6</sup> (Tables 2 and 3) at the last follow up  
105 (median follow-up 20.9 months range 3.7– 35.2 months). The assessment of infants can be  
106 difficult. Four children were assessed with FCPL, 4 with Optotypes (Kay pictures) and one  
107 was old enough to use crowded LogMAR testing. No child had a deterioration of vision  
108 following IAC. Although 3 eventually had enucleations for progressive disease, none lost  
109 vision prior to surgery.

## 110 **Ocular Complications**

111 Although no child developed a third nerve palsy, two had a slight ptosis following IAC and  
112 one (Patient 6) had a sluggish pupil (with no motility abnormality nor ptosis) at last follow-  
113 up. One child developed a sixth nerve with -4 limitation of abduction directly after the 3<sup>rd</sup>  
114 cycle of IAC. The same child also developed nasal choroidal ischemia. Visual acuity did not  
115 deteriorate and at last follow-up, he had vision of LogMAR 0.1 with limitation of abduction



116 of only -0.5. Fundus fluorescein angiograms demonstrated nasal choroidal ischemia in Patient  
 117 8 but not in any of the other children. The foveal avascular zone was intact in all children.

118 **Electrodiagnostic Tests (EDTs)**

119 Eight of nine patients had pre-IAC VEPs and ERGs. One child (Patient 5) was unable to be  
 120 tested before the IAC was given. Eight of nine patients had post-IAC VEPs (Table 3)  
 121 demonstrating good vision. Patient 5 showed an improvement in vision as assessed using  
 122 optotypes. All patients had post-IAC ERGs and 8 of 9 showed normal values on testing. The  
 123 only patient with a subtle reduction of cone and rod function had a cumulative dose of 20mg  
 124 of melphalan and 0.8mg of topotecan. The melphalan dose was the highest in this cohort.

125 Table 3. Visual outcomes, visually evoked potentials (VEP) and electroretinograms (ERG)  
 126 following IAC.

Patient (age in months)	FUNCTION			
	VA/VEP pre-IAC	VA/ VEP post IAC	ERGs Pre-IAC	ERGs Post-IAC
1 (6)	Fix and follow VEP: good	LogMar 0.3 FCPL VEP: Good	Normal	Normal
2 (10)	LogMAR 0.6 VEP:ND	LogMAR 0.2 FCPL VEP:Good	ND	Normal
3 (12)	LogMAR 0.3 VEP:Good BEO	LogMAR 0.1 Opto VEP: Good	Normal	Normal
4 (24)	LogMAR 0.1 VEP: Good	LogMAR 0.2 FCPL VEP: Good	Normal	Normal
5 (36)	LogMAR 0.2 VEP:good	LogMAR 0.0 Opto VEP : ND	Normal	Enucleated ND
6 (14)	Not F+F VEP:Good BEO	LogMAR 0.8 Opto VEP : Good	Normal	Normal
7 (125)	LogMAR 0.36 VEP: Good	LogMAR 0.24 Log VEP: Good	Normal	Subtle reduction rod and cone b-waves
8 (38)	LogMAR 0.3 VEP: Good	LogMAR 0.1 Opto VEP: Good	Normal	Normal
9 (11)	LogMAR 0.6 BEO VEP: Good	LogMAR 0.48 BEO FCPL VEP: Good	Normal	Normal

127

128 **Abbreviations:**

129 ND: not done

130 BEO: both eyes open, FCPL: Fixed Choice Preferential Looking, Opto: Optotype ,

131 F+F: Fixing and Following, Good: Pattern reversal VEPs are evident to 50' or smaller checks

132

133 **DISCUSSION**

134 The use of IAC in eyes with retinoblastoma has gained considerable momentum, with trends  
135 away from enucleation to more attempts at eye-conserving therapy. We have reported on our  
136 early experience of IAC for refractory tumours including complications<sup>5</sup> ,visual outcomes<sup>6</sup>  
137 and pathology findings<sup>13</sup>. This report aims to quantify the amelioration in side effects and  
138 improvement in visual outcomes.

139 **Efficacy**

140 There is not a direct correlation between dose and complications as not all children who were  
141 given high doses of melphalan in our original visual outcome study lost vision<sup>6</sup> : 40% still  
142 retained good vision. Titrating the dose that is efficacious yet is not associated with  
143 complications is difficult. In this work, eight of nine patients had doses of melphalan in  
144 keeping with Gobin et al's work<sup>10</sup> but we note that the authors had advised a reduction in  
145 dose if systemic chemotherapy had been given prior to treatment. We did not reduce our IAC  
146 melphalan dose.

147 A child with a C eye (patient 7) had multiple vitreous seeds following systemic  
148 chemotherapy and would have been treated with intravitreal chemotherapy now rather than  
149 IAC in 2013. That child went on to have an enucleation. Two thirds of patients (6 of 9) with  
150 refractory retinoblastoma avoided enucleation using lower doses of melphalan (compared to  
151 our earlier cohort) and this compares with success rates of 50 to 67% that have previously  
152 been reported<sup>4, 10, 14</sup>. Peterson<sup>14</sup> and colleagues only treated Group D eyes and found that 7.5  
153 mg was effective in salvaging the globe in 5 children (ages 6 months to 7 years). Group D

154 eyes often have poor visual potential and choroidal ischemia is a valid sacrifice to avoid  
155 enucleation. The patients in our cohort all had visual potential and we were keen to avoid  
156 iatrogenic visual loss. It is felt that children who have choroidal ischemia are unlikely to  
157 relapse due to the high concentration of drug in the choroidal vascular bed. The only child to  
158 have choroidal ischemia in this cohort was fortunate that the ischemia was located nasally  
159 and therefore did not affect his visual acuity.

### 160 **Learning Curve**

161 A potential cause for the reduction of complications may be attributed to a learning curve. A  
162 surrogate for experience that we were able to measure is length of time for the procedure. The  
163 first cohort<sup>6</sup> involved 12 patients from the first 20 who had IAC. The recent cohort was  
164 treated after at least 35 patients had undergone treatment. We were surprised to find that the  
165 average length of time of the procedure had actually increased over time. As there were  
166 complications during catheter insertion in both cohorts, we felt that the learning curve may  
167 play a part but is unlikely to be sole cause for the ocular and cranial nerve complications.

### 168 **Catheter position**

169 We used the small and flexible 1.2F microcatheter (Balt, Montmorency, France Extrusion),  
170 either lodged at the ostium or tracked over a wire into the ophthalmic artery proper if ostial  
171 stability cannot be achieved. The ophthalmic artery was catheterised in a stable, non-wedged  
172 position to ensure antegrade flow of chemotherapy whilst maintaining angiographic perfusion  
173 of the choroid. Injection of chemotherapeutic agents only took place if angiography  
174 demonstrated antegrade flow around the catheter and a visible choroidal blush was seen.  
175 Many units use larger catheters<sup>10, 14, 15</sup> which are more likely to cause a wedge effect if  
176 inserted into the ophthalmic artery.  
177 One patient (#8) developed complications following an autonomic reaction<sup>9</sup> and it is difficult  
178 to state if the reaction caused the complications as 5 other patients had a reaction without

179 consequence. This is the second case of a sixth nerve palsy<sup>15</sup> to be described in the literature  
180 with the first case involving a 4F catheter with 5mg of Melphalan in a 3 year old.

### 181 **Toxicity**

182 No child suffered severe visual loss and one child (11%) developed a cranial nerve palsy and  
183 choroidal ischemia. This study provides reassurance to units that may consider using IAC in  
184 patients with age appropriate vision. Munier and colleagues<sup>3</sup> reported final visual acuities,  
185 but did not report the proportion of eyes starting with good visual potential. We have  
186 previously demonstrated that 42% of children suffer severe visual loss<sup>6</sup>. It is reassuring that  
187 with lower doses of IAC melphalan, normal ERGs were noted in nearly all patients. A  
188 deterioration of photopic response has been correlated with improved outcomes<sup>16</sup> and a  
189 potential association of 14 mg of melphalan has been associated with ERG deterioration<sup>17</sup>.  
190 The one child had a subtle ERG deterioration and had a cumulative dose of melphalan of  
191 20mg pointing to dose as being an important factor. One child had choroidal ischemia yet the  
192 ERG was normal demonstrating a large area of functioning retina was present.

193 The innovative approach of age appropriate visual testing in infants and children with  
194 retinoblastoma and awake electrodiagnostic studies including VEPs have enabled us to assess  
195 a treatment modality and modify risk factors to determine the cause of complications. The  
196 necessarily small sample size reflects the patients with normal visual potential. In addition,  
197 there is a mixture of melphalan and topotecan given in some patients and it is reassuring that  
198 there was no summative damage to the retina as demonstrated on electrophysiology.

### 199 **CONCLUSIONS**

200 It is essential with new treatments to inform families of potential complications and modify  
201 iatrogenic risk factors. A recent review<sup>2</sup> of IAC has emphasized the lack of visual outcome  
202 data. By analyzing a subset of patients, we have shown that an age adjusted dose of  
203 melphalan is associated with reduced toxicity and excellent salvage rates.

204 Acknowledgements

205 M. Ashwin Reddy had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the  
206 integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. We are saddened by the recent  
207 demise of our colleague Dr Judith Kingston.

208

209 Author contributions: Mr Reddy and Dr Duncan had full access to all the data and take  
210 responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Dr Liasis and  
211 Dr Thompson had access to electrodiagnostic data and take responsibility for the accuracy of  
212 the data analysis.

213

214 Study concept and design: Reddy, Sagoo, Duncan

215 Acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data: All authors

216 Drafting of manuscript: Reddy

217 Critical revision for important intellectual content: All authors

218 Study supervision: Reddy

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233 REFERENCES

234

235

- 236 1. Abramson DH, Shields CL, Munier FL, et al. Treatment of Retinoblastoma in 2015:  
237 Agreement and Disagreement. *JAMA Ophthalmology*. 2015;1-7.
- 238 2. Yousef YA, Soliman SE, Astudillo PP, et al. Intra-arterial Chemotherapy for Retinoblastoma: A  
239 Systematic Review. *JAMA Ophthalmology*. 2016;134:584-591
- 240 3. Munier FL, Beck-Popovic M, Balmer A, et al. Occurrence of sectoral choroidal occlusive  
241 vasculopathy and retinal arteriolar embolization after superselective ophthalmic artery  
242 chemotherapy for advanced intraocular retinoblastoma. *Retina*. 2011;31:566-573.
- 243 4. Shields CL, Bianciotto CG, Jabbour P, et al. Intra-arterial chemotherapy for retinoblastoma:  
244 report No. 1, control of retinal tumors, subretinal seeds, and vitreous seeds. *Archives of*  
245 *Ophthalmology*. 2011;129:1399-1406.
- 246 5. Muen WJ, Kingston JE, Robertson F, et al. Efficacy and complications of super-selective intra-  
247 ophthalmic artery melphalan for the treatment of refractory retinoblastoma. *Ophthalmology*.  
248 2012;119:611-616.
- 249 6. Tsimpida M, Thompson DA, Liasis A, et al. Visual outcomes following intraophthalmic artery  
250 melphalan for patients with refractory retinoblastoma and age appropriate vision. *Br J Ophthalmol*.  
251 2013;97:1464-1470.
- 252 7. Murphree L. Intraocular Retinoblastoma: the case for a new group classification. *Ophthalmol*  
253 *Clin North Am*. 2005;18:41-53.
- 254 8. Mallipatna AC, Chevez-Barrrios P, Lumbroso-Le Rouic L, et al In: Amin MB,ed. *AJCC Cancer*  
255 *Staging Manual 8th Edition*: Springer 2016:819.
- 256 9. Phillips TJ, McGuirk SP, Chahal HK, et al. Autonomic cardio-respiratory reflex reactions and  
257 superselective ophthalmic arterial chemotherapy for retinoblastoma. *Paediatric anaesthesia*.  
258 2013;23:940-945.
- 259 10. Gobin YP, Dunkel IJ, Marr BP, et al. Intra-arterial chemotherapy for the management of  
260 retinoblastoma: four-year experience. *Archives of ophthalmol*. 2011;129(6):732-737.
- 261 11. Marr BP, Brodie SE, Dunkel IJ, et al. Three-drug intra-arterial chemotherapy using  
262 simultaneous carboplatin, topotecan and melphalan for intraocular retinoblastoma: preliminary  
263 results. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2012;96:1300-1303.
- 264 12. Odom JV, Bach M, Brigell M, et al. ISCEV standard for clinical visual evoked potentials (2009  
265 update). *Doc Ophthalmol*. 2010;120:111-119.
- 266 13. Pavlidou E, Burris C, Thaug C, et al. Anterior Segment Seeding in Eyes With Retinoblastoma  
267 Failing to Respond to Intraophthalmic Artery Chemotherapy. *JAMA Ophthalmology*. 2015;133:1455-  
268 1458.
- 269 14. Peterson EC, Elhammady MS, Quintero-Wolfe S, et al. Selective ophthalmic artery infusion of  
270 chemotherapy for advanced intraocular retinoblastoma: initial experience with 17 tumors. *Journal of*  
271 *Neurosurgery*. 2011;114:1603-1608.
- 272 15. Ong SJ, Chao AN, Wong HF, et al. Selective ophthalmic arterial injection of melphalan for  
273 intraocular retinoblastoma: a 4-year review. *Japanese journal of Ophthalmology*. 2015;59:109-117.
- 274 16. Liu CY, Jonna G, Francis JH, et al. Non-selectivity of ERG reductions in eyes treated for  
275 retinoblastoma. *Documenta Ophthalmologica Advances in ophthalmology*. 2014;128:13-23.
- 276 17. Francis JH, Abramson DH, Gobin YP, et al. Electroretinogram monitoring of dose-dependent  
277 toxicity after ophthalmic artery chemosurgery in retinoblastoma eyes: six year review. *PloS one*.  
278 2014;9:e84247.

279

280

