

# **Evaluation Grid of RDM Policies in Europe**

Between July 2015 and June 2016, the Library of the University of Vienna (as the leader of Work Package 3 – Policy Development and Alignment of the LEARN Project) collected and analysed over 40 European RDM policies. In the course of this preparation phase it became obvious that in many countries (especially in continental Europe) there have been published hardly any guiding principles regarding RDM. After a further selection process, 20 policies were examined more closely based on (identified) format and content-related criteria. Using the following analysis grid, 11 RDM policies from the United Kingdom, four from Germany, one from the Netherlands and four from Finland (see list at the end of this document) were evaluated and checked for possible significant changes during this period at regular intervals. This compact overview is also supplemented by a detailed evaluation of the selected policies with extensive comments (see below).

Criteria	Status - Overview	V	
Number of institutions: 20	It was NOT taken into consideration	It was PARTLY taken into account	It has been CONSIDERED
Authorship	III	+++ +++ 11	1111
Validity	II	++++ ++++ 11	++++ 1
Review	++++ +++ 11	III	
Subject			++++ ++++ 1
Scope and coverage		++++ 11	++++ ++++ 111
Preliminaries and definitions	II		++++ ++++ 111
Institutional awareness, support and services		++++ 11	++++ ++++ 111
Objectives ("what and how")		++++ ++++ 111	++++ 11
Roles and responsibilities		++++ 1111	++++ ++++ 1
DMP	I	III	++++ ++++ 1
Costs	++++ 11	++++ ++++	III
External		<del>    </del>	++++ 111
Ownership	##	++++ +++	++++
Retention	++++ ++++		++++ 1
Deletion	+++ +++ +++	ll	I
Legal aspects		++++ III	++++ ++++ 11
Ethics	II	<del>    </del>	++++ 1111
Open data / restricted data / closed data	II	++++ ++++	++++ 1
Storage and access	I	++++ 111	++++ ++++ 1
Metadata curation	HH III		++++ 111
Exceptions	+++ 11	###	++++ 11
Research infrastructure	++++ ++++	###	
Long tail of data / head of project data	++++ ++++ 111	ll	
Educational data	++++ ++++ ++++		
Cultural heritage	++++ ++++ ++++		

### It has been **CONSIDERED** \*

UCL

University of Bath

University of Edinburgh

Aalto University

University of Turku

Radboud University

### Comments

UCL: Approving policy | Ensuring resources | Implementation

University of Bath: Date of last modification is indicated

University of Cambridge: Date of last modification is indicated | "The University acknowledges that a full implementation of this policy framework will be a long-term process."

University of Edinburgh: Aspirational policy: implementation will take some years | RDM Roadmap: Timeframe August 2012 – July 2016

**University of Glasgow**: Information about release only available on the website, not in the document

University of Leeds: Institutional RDM Policy Evolution on the website

University of Turku: Realisation is followed with indicators | Policy and implementation are developed

Universität Bielefeld: Information about release only available on accompanied webpage "Resolution on RDM"

**Universität Göttingen:** Information about release only available on the website, not in the document

Universität Heidelberg: Date of last modification is indicated

NOT         It was PARTLY         It has been           nto         taken into         CONSIDERED *           eration *         account *	Comments Number of It was NOT taken into consideration *	It was PARTLY It has been taken into account *
University of Glasgow     UCL     UCL       by F     University of of Bath     by F       university of Helsinki     University of Bath     University of Bath       Universität Heidelberg     University of Cambridge     University of Leeds       sity of rgh     University     University of Leeds       sity of the ndon     University     University of Oxford       niversity     University     University of Oxford       sity of logy     university     University of Oxford	UCL: Reviewed at least every 3 years by RISG and UCL Research Data and Network Services Executive       Initial Constraints         University of Fistol: Revision History only in the previous draft version, not in the updated document       Iniversity of Cambridge: Regulary reviewed by the Open Access Project Board         University of Leads: Research and Innovation Board is responsible for reviewing and updating the policy       Iniversity of Oxford: Research and Information Sub-Committee is responsible for updating of the policy         University of Oxford: Research and Information Sub-Committee is responsible for updating of the policy       4.Subject. It should be clear what the subject of the policy is	University of Birmingham University of Edinburgh Universität Bielefeld Universität Heidelberg University of Bath University of Bristol University of Cambridge University of Glasgow University of Glasgow University of Leeds University of the Arts London Aalto University of the Arts London Aalto University of Helsinki University of Technology University of Turku Radboud Universitat zu Berlin Universität Göttingen

LEARN

## THE MODEL RDM POLICY

tions: 20	It was PARTLY taken into account * CONSIDERED * University of Diminisher	Comments University of Bristol: Applies to all	Number of institutions: 20	It was NOT taken into consideration * University of
be and ge. The and the ge of the should ned	BirminghamUCLUniversity of CambridgeUniversity of BathUniversity of EdinburghUniversity of BristolUniversity of LeedsUniversity of GlasgowAalto University MadboudUniversity of OxfordUniversity UniversityUniversity of OxfordUniversity UniversityUniversity of OxfordUniversity UniversityUniversity of OxfordUniversity UniversityUniversity of TechnologyUniversity of TurkuUniversity of TurkuHumboldt- Universität zu BerlinUniversität BielefeldUniversität GöttingenUniversität Göttingen	research conducted by University staff and postgraduate research students (PGRs) regardless of whether or not the research is externally funded but not to taught postgraduate students or undergraduates University of Glasgow: "For all staff, including technical and other support staff and persons with honorary positions and students carrying out or supporting research at, or on behalf of, the University." University of Oxford: "Researchers, departments/faculties, divisions, central administrative units and service providers and, where appropriate, research sponsors and external collaborators, need to work in partnership to implement good practice ()." University of the Arts London: The policy applies to all staff involved in externally funded research at the University, especially where the funding body requires a DMP Aalto University: RDM Policy "to make data management easier for individual researcher" Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin: Policy is addressed to all researchers	<ul> <li>6. Preliminaries and definitions.</li> <li>A policy should contain key RDM terms, indicating answers to these questions:</li> <li>a. What is "research data"?</li> <li>b. What is "research"?</li> <li>c. Who is a "researcher"?</li> </ul>	Edinburgh * University of Helsinki

146

### It has been **CONSIDERED** \*

STFC

UCL

University of Bath

University of Bristol

Universitv of Glasgow

University of Leeds

University of Oxford

University of the Arts London

Tampere University of Technology

University of Turku

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

Universität Bielefeld

Universität Göttingen

#### Comments

STFC: Implicit definition of data their policy applies to | Detailed data definition

UCL: Research | Detailed data definition

University of Bath: Research | Research data

University of Birmingham: Data Management

University of Bristol: Research data | Data Steward

University of Cambridge: Research data

**University of Glasgow**: Data (research data) | Metadata | DMPs Persistent object identifier

University of Leeds: Research data | Research data lifecycle

University of Oxford: Research data | Research | Researcher

University of the Arts London: Research data

Aalto University: Policy applies to digital research materials produced, used and revised in research projects, i.e. research data. (Physical materials shall be excluded). "The concept of research data is not specified further in this policy (...)."

Tampere University of Technology: Research material | DMP

University of Turku: Glossary of Open Science and Research project (http:// avointiede.fi/keskeinen-sanasto)

Radboud University: Metadata | DMP

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin: Research data

Universität Bielefeld: Research data

Universität Göttingen: Research data | RDM

Universität Heidelberg: Lifecycle

Number of Institutions: 20	It was <b>NOT</b> taken into consideration *	It was <b>PARTLY</b> taken into account *	It has been CONSIDERED *	Comments	Number of institutions: 20	It was <b>NOT</b> taken into consideration *	It was <b>PARTLY</b> taken into account *	It has been CONSIDERED *	Comments
Institutional wareness, support nd services.		STFC University of Bath University of Leeds University of the Arts London Radboud University Humboldt- Universität zu Berlin Universität Bielefeld	UCL University of Birmingham University of Bristol University of Cambridge University of Edinburgh University of Glasgow University of Oxford Aalto University Tampere University of Technology University of Helsinki University of Turku Universität Göttingen Universität Heidelberg	<ul> <li>UCL: Identify and implement training or skills development (by the Heads of Department)</li> <li>University of Birmingham: University provides training, support, advice, guidelines and templates for RDM and DMPs</li> <li>University of Bristol: Training and guidance for researchers   Support with DMP and in depositing research data in the University's Research Data Repository</li> <li>University of Cambridge: Dedicated website providing guidance in good data management practice</li> <li>University of Edinburgh: Training, support and advice for RDM and DMPs</li> <li>University of Glasgow: Own webpage for support with RDM at Glasgow, Funder Requirements, Storage and Costs, Creating – Organising – Accessing Data   Discipline-specific data management training, support and advice, particularly on aspects such as data ownership and ethics   Local guidance and support to assist researchers in developing and implementing DMPs</li> <li>University of Oxford: University should provide necessary resources for services and training</li> <li>Tampere University of Technology: Training and orientation for university is Support</li> </ul>	8. Objectives ("what and how"). It should be clear what should be done and how it should be done		STFC University of Edinburgh University of Leeds University of Oxford University of the Arts London Tampere University of Technology University of Helsinki University of Turku Radboud University Humboldt- Universität Bielefeld Universität Göttingen Universität Heidelberg	UCL University of Bath University of Birmingham University of Bristol University of Cambridge University of Glasgow Aalto University	University of Bath: Registration of data within 12 months Aalto University: 5 Principles for ope access publishing of research data (recommended to be acknowledged in data management in general)
				community including students I Support for identifying and solving legal issues University of Helsinki: Training as part of studies and staff training University of Turku: Support for researchers for identifying and solving legal and ethical issues related to research data I Training as part of studies and staff training I University community is informed about data management and media visibility of data is followed Radboud University: <u>Services</u> on the website Universität Bielefeld: Commitment of the university to support implementation and quality-assurance is available on accompanied webpage " <u>Resolution on RDM</u> " Universität Heidelberg: "Kompetenzzentrum <u>Forschungsdaten</u> " (consulting and support)					

Number of institutions: 20	It was <b>NOT</b> taken into consideration *	It was PARTLY taken into account *	It has been CONSIDERED *	Comments	Number of institutions: 20	It was <b>NOT</b> taken into consideration *	lt ta ac
		STFC University of	UCL University	UCL: Detailed description of responsibilities   Students as Data Creators   UCL Research Data Service		Humboldt- Universität zu Berlin	Un of
		Edinburgh University of Leeds	of Bath University of Birmingham	University of Bath: e.g. Data Steward   Data loss   Contact for queries			Un Art Aa
		Aalto University Tampere University of Technology	University of Bristol University of Cambridge	University of Birmingham: PI   Researchers   Students   University   All those undertaking research within the University (including students) have a responsibility to manage their data effectively			
		University of Helsinki University of Turku	University of Glasgow University of Oxford	University of Bristol: Researchers   Pl   Data Steward   Postgraduate Research Students and Supervisor   University University of Cambridge: University   University staff and students	10. DMP.		
9. Roles and responsibilities.		Humboldt- Universität zu Berlin Universität Heidelberg	University of the Arts London Radboud University	University of Edinburgh: PI   University University of Glasgow: Researchers   School and College Level Support   University Services	The policy should specify a requirement to complete a DMP		
Definition of the responsibilities, tasks and instruments, of: a. the researchers / P.I.			Universität Bielefeld Universität	University of Leeds: Responsible owners   Pl   University   Researchers   Research and Innovation Board	(either institutional or funder)		
(data producing entity) b. research supporting entities (e.g. research			Göttingen	University of Oxford: Researchers   University University of the Arts London: University   P    Director of Research Management and			
services, libraries, IT services) c. the institution				Administration (RMA)   Research assistants <b>Radboud University</b> : Researcher   Project leader   Director of research institute   Director of education   University			
				University of Turku: Each university community member			
				Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin: Obligation of researchers includes instructing students and doctoral candidates about handling of research data properly   "Researchers should take responsibility for deciding at what time and on what legal terms research data may be accessed."			
				Universität Bielefeld: Researcher   PI   Rektorat (on accompanied webpage " <u>Resolution on RDM</u> ")			
				Universität Göttingen: PI   Researcher   University			
				Universität Heidelberg: PI   University			

### It has been **CONSIDERED** \*

STFC

UCL

University of Bath

University of Birmingham

University of Bristol

University of Cambridge

University of Edinburgh

University of Glasgow

University of Leeds

Tampere University of Technology

University of Helsinki

University of Turku

Radboud University

Universität Bielefeld

Universität Göttingen

Universität Heidelberg

#### Comments

STFC: Consistent with DMPs of other facilities | National and international recommendations for best practice (DCC guidance)

University of Birmingham: From 2015, all new research proposals must include DMPs or protocols

University of Bristol: DMP should be written before research commences | DMP guidance for specific funders on website | DMP template for PGR students – <u>DMP online tool</u> by DCC

University of Cambridge: Guidance by University of Cambridge and DCC | If funders require a DMP, such plan needs to be prepared according to funders' requirements | Researchers should update their DMPs regularly, ensure that at the end of the project all their research outputs, together with their location, are indicated in their DMPs and deposit their final DMPs into an appropriate repository

University of Edinburgh: All new research proposals must include DMPs

University of Glasgow: Researchers have to produce DMP for every research project that will generate a dataset

University of Leeds: DMP must be created for each proposed research project or funding application to allow costing and infrastructure planning. Once project is approved DMP should be updated

### It has been **CONSIDERED** \*

STFC

\*

UCL \*

+

\*

\*

\*

\*

University of Bath

University of Birmingham

University of Bristol

University of Cambridge

University of Glasgow

University of Oxford

#### Comments

UCL: Collaborative research

University of Birmingham: Data retained elsewhere should also be recorded with University | Funder-compliant storage | Thirdparty Intellectual Property rights in "Code of Practice for Research" (3 Research Data)

University of Bristol: Where research is carried out under a grant or contract: terms of agreement will determine ownership and rights to exploit the data | External research partners | Third party funded research data of PGRs should be passed on to supervisor before the student leaves the University

University of Cambridge: "The University is committed to achieving compliance with the data policies of its external research sponsors, publishers and governmental agencies, and requires its staff and students to abide by terms and conditions agreed with third parties. The University also recognises that such third parties' policies are evolving and that they may require higher levels of data accessibility and dissemination in the future."

University of Edinburgh: Data retained elsewhere should be registered with the University

University of Glasgow: Data retained elsewhere should also be recorded with University data registry | Researchers have to "familiarise themselves with relevant funder data policies and expectations and endeavour to comply with these policies."

University of Leeds: Research Funder data requirements available on the website | Data held outside the University should be recorded in the University data registry

University of Oxford: Overview of major research funders' data policies (DCC)

Universität Heidelberg: Data retained elsewhere should also be recorded with the University

umber of stitutions: 20	It was NOT taken into	It was PARTLY taken into	It has been CONSIDERED *	Comments	Number of institutions: 20	It was NOT taken into
	consideration *	account *				consideration *
	University of Edinburgh	STFC	University of Bath	UCL: Owner is responsible for preserving research data		University of Glasgow
		*	UI Datii			
	**	UCL	*	University of Bath: Student's data		University
	University of the	*	University	University of Birmingham: in "Code of		of Leeds
	Arts London		of Bristol	Practice for Research" (3 Research Data)		University of the
	*	University of Birmingham	*	University of Bristol:		Arts London Aalto University
	Radboud	*	University	<ul> <li>Where research is carried out</li> </ul>		-
	University		of Glasgow	under a grant or contract: terms of		Tampere
	*	University of	*	agreement will determine ownership		University of
	Universität	Cambridge	Aalta	Where no external contract exists:		Technology
	Universität Bielefeld	*	Aalto University	University normally has ownership		University of
		University		of primary data generated in the		Helsinki
	*	of Leeds	*	course of research undertaken by		University
	Universität	*	University	researchers in its employment		of Turku
	Göttingen	*	of Helsinki	<ul> <li>University does not automatically own</li> </ul>		Universität
		University		student Intellectual Property (IP)		Bielefeld
Quanarahin		of Oxford		Suitable agreements for ownership should		
3.0wnership.		*		be established and agreed in writing by		Universität
he questions		_		parties concerned before a project starts	14. Retention.	Göttingen
oncerning the		Tampere University of		University of Glasgow: Researchers have to:	The length of time	Universität
wnership of		Technology		, ,	The length of time	Heidelberg
esearch data				"Clearly state who owns the data     that are being generated through the	and criteria on what	
hould be taken		*		that are being generated through the research activity. Where this is not clear,	research data is	
nto consideration		University		researchers will work with IPR specialists	required to be kept,	
		of Turku		in Research Strategy and Innovation,	should be defined.	
		*		the Library and College support teams		
				to verify data ownership as early as		
		Humboldt-		possible in the research data lifecycle."		
		Universität zu Berlin		"Ensure that, when leaving the		
				University (for retirement or a position		
		*		elsewhere), data of long-term value		
		Universität		which were generated using University		
		Heidelberg		resources are deposited in the Institutional Data Repository for long-		
				term storage and preservation."		
				University of Leeds: Responsibilities		
				of the <u>responsible owners</u>		
				Aalto University: Ownership of copyright		
				protected research data is transferred to the		
				University if the data is created in externally		
				funded research project of the University		

154

### It has been **CONSIDERED** \*

STFC

UCL

University of Bath

University of Bristol

Universitv of Oxford

Radboud University

### Comments

STFC: Original data retained for the longest possible period | 10 years after end of project reasonable minimum | Not re-measurable data: retain in perpetuity

UCL: Min. 10 years after publication | Plan for custodial responsibilities

University of Bath: Data must be retained for 10 years. "Researchers should avoid retaining data using methods that might not persist for 10 years, such as use of project websites or personal computing equipment."

University of Birmingham: in "Code of Practice for Research" (3 Research Data): 10 years | clinical, major social, environmental or heritage importance: 20 years

University of Bristol: "In order to meet funder requirements around the storage, preservation and accessibility of research data, unless otherwise agreed the University is expected to keep a copy of any significant research data for a specified period after the end of the research (generally 10 years)."

University of Cambridge: As long as data seems to be valuable to data creator or to others, or required by funder/other regulatory requirements

University of Edinburgh: Research data of future historical interest (and records of University) will be offered and assessed for deposit and retention

University of Oxford: Min. 3 years after publication | As long as they are of continuing value

Radboud University: "The retention period for research data is a minimum of ten years." The minimum retention period for Radboud University is longer than the code of academic practice suggests. A longer minimum period can be applied by each discipline. A maximum period cannot be defined, because it is dependent on the discipline."

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin: Researchers are committed to secure their research data for the long term

taken into     taken into     consideration *     account *     Consideration     taken into     taken into
unon mo

### It has been **CONSIDERED** \*

#### STFC

University of Bath

University of Birmingham

University of Bristol

University of Cambridge

University of Glasgow

University of Leeds

Aalto University

Tampere University of Technology

University of Helsinki

Universitv of Turku

Universität Heidelberg

#### Comments

STFC: Compromising data integrity (modification of data or incorrect metadata) considered as serious breach of policy | Users acknowledge source of data

University of Bath: Guidance on selecting licence for research data

University of Bristol:"Researchers must ensure that they abide by licences or terms of use when using or sharing third party data." | "(...) Exclusive rights to research data must not be assigned, licenced or otherwise transferred to external parties."

University of Cambridge: Intellectual Property Rights

University of Glasgow: Exclusive rights to reuse or publish should not be handed over to commercial publishers or agents without retaining rights to make data openly available for re-use (unless this is condition of funding)

University of Leeds: Guidance on sharing and publication of research data | Relevant legislative frameworks

Aalto University: Guidelines with "Rules of handling of information materials" | Recommended license for research data: CC BY 4.0, metadata: CC0 1.0, software: MIT Licence | User rights of third parties – University may charge a fee for the use of research data

Tampere University of Technology: Security and data protection | Authors appropriately acknowledged by reuse | Fee and restrictions on data sets processed for industry or society

University of Helsinki: Good practice for attribution of authorship | University of Helsinki must always be indicated as the source of data | Fee for data sets processed for business and society

University of Turku: Attribution of authorship | University of Turku must always be indicated as the source of data | University has at least rights of use | Fee for data sets processed for business and society | Creator's right to primary use of research data | Commercial utilisation and related protection of rights

### It has been **CONSIDERED** \*

STFC

UCL

University of Bath

University of Birmingham

Universitv of Bristol

University of Cambridge

University of Glasgow

University of Leeds

Aalto University

Radboud University

Universität Göttingen

#### Comments

STFC: Published data to publication available within 6 months | Use of different repositories

UCL: Research data: attributable, citable, identifiable, retrievable, available, secure (...) | Long-term preservation

University of Bath: Security measures

University of Birmingham: Security of research data

University of Bristol: University's Research Data Repository - limited amount of free storage | Long-term retention | Statement on how to access supporting data of published outputs should be ensured by researchers | Information security policies

University of Cambridge: Publicly accessible discipline-based or institutional repository | When depositing research data into external data repositories, researchers should choose repositories which support Open Researcher and Contributor ID (ORCID)

University of Edinburgh: National or international data service or domain repository or a University repository

University of Glasgow: Researchers have to:

- "Work with IT Services and College IT teams to identify storage requirements that may exceed those currently offered by the institution.
- "Store their data during the course of their research in accordance with guidance from IT Services and funder requirements."
- "Deposit data in a reputable repository for long term preservation and sharing."

| University Services have to "provide a dedicated institutional research data repository with appropriate security and backup."

University of Leeds: All relevant research data should be offered and assessed for deposit and preservation in an appropriate University, national or international data service or domain repository: Guidance

University of Oxford: Planning for the ongoing custodianship (at the University or using third party services) of data after the completion of research or, in event of departure or retirement from the University | Agreement with the head of department/faculty as to where data will be located and how this will be stored

Number of institutions: 20	It was <b>NOT</b> taken into consideration *	It was <b>PARTLY</b> taken into account *	It has been CONSIDERED *	Comments		Number of institutions: 20	It was <b>NOT</b> taken into consideration *	It was PAR taken into account *
				Aalto University: Research data and necessary software to access data shall be easily accessible   Embargo period can be agreed upon   Data chosen for long-term preservation shall be safely stored and curated   Necessary software stored together with research data Tampere University of Technology: Long-term preservation and reuse   All materials must be retrievable and citable University of Helsinki: Discoverability and citability University of Turku: Discoverability and citability Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin: Long-term preservation   Open Access Declaration Universität Göttingen: "Storage and archiving of digital research data is carried out within the technological and informational infrastructure of the University or in acknowledged external		20. Metadata curation.	University of Bristol University of Edinburgh University of Leeds University of Oxford Humboldt- Universität zu Berlin Universität Bielefeld Universität Göttingen Universität Heidelberg	UCL University Birmingha University Arts Londo Aalto Unive
				or internal subject repositories." Universität Heidelberg: Long-term preservation   Open-Access-Policy			UCL University of Cambridge University of Edinburgh	University Birmingha University Arts Lond Aalto Univ
					]	21. Exceptions. It should be clear what exceptions there are in the	University of Leeds Tampere University of Technology	Radboud University Universitä Göttingen
							1	1

160

### It has been **CONSIDERED** \*

#### STFC

University of Bath

University of Cambridge

University of Glasgow

Tampere University of Technology

University of Helsinki

University of Turku

Radboud University

#### STFC

University of Bath

University of Bristol

University of Glasgow

University of Oxford

University of Helsinki

Humboldt-

Universität

zu Berlin

Universität

Universität

Heidelberg

Bielefeld

policy and what

their extent is

University of Turku

#### Comments

STFC: Sufficient metadata to enable re-use

University of Birmingham: Sufficient metadata description to aid discovery and re-use

University of Cambridge: Metadata Guidance

University of Glasgow: Definition of metadata | Support by the University Services

University of the Arts London: To enable discoverable, accessible and effective re-use

Tampere University of Technology: Metadata describes structure of data and how it was created | Must specify owner and legal restrictions

University of Helsinki: Metadata must contain owner and legal restriction

University of Turku: Metadata must contain owner and legal restriction

University of Bristol: "The policy does not currently apply to taught postgraduate students or undergraduates (apart from in exceptional circumstances)."

University of Oxford: "(...) Where research is supported by a contract with or a grant to the University that includes specific provisions regarding ownership, retention of and access to data, the provisions of that agreement will take precedence."

University of Helsinki: "This policy does not cover the physical resources on which research data are based (e.g., paper materials) or the use of biological research material."

University of Turku: "The data policy does not apply to physical and biological materials and the University's practices related to them are presented in the research infrastructure policy of the University of Turku."

Number of t institutions: 20	Comments	It has been CONSIDERED *	It was <b>PARTLY</b> taken into	lt was <mark>NOT</mark> taken into	umber of
Uni			account *	consideration *	stitutions: 20
Un of Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Un Ca Ca Un Ca Ca Un Ca Ca Un Ca Ca Ca Ca Ca Ca Ca Ca Ca Ca Ca Ca Ca	<ul> <li>University of Cambridge: Infrastructure and training to promote best practice in data management amongst academics</li> <li>University of Glasgow: Technical infrastructure and services</li> <li>University of Leeds: Costing_ and infrastructure planning</li> <li>Tampere University of Technology: Tools and services</li> <li>University of Helsinki: Tools and services</li> <li>University of Turku: Tools and services</li> <li>I "() Data infrastructure is built and developed together with national and international parties, taking into account the services and infrastructures that they offer."</li> <li>Universitä Göttingen: Services for research data infrastructure</li> </ul>	University of Glasgow Tampere University of Technology University of Helsinki University of Turku Radboud University	University of Bath University of Cambridge University of Leeds Aalto University Universität Göttingen	STFC UCL University of Birmingham University of Bristol University of Edinburgh University of Oxford University of the Arts London Humboldt- Universität zu Berlin Universität Bielefeld Universität Heidelberg	'here Ild be a mmendation nstitutional arch structure

162

Helsinki University of Turku Radboud University Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Universität Bielefeld Universität Göttingen Universität Heidelberg\*

It has been CONSIDERED *	Comments
	STFC: Very large data sets
	UCL: Curate smaller collections of digital research data

umber of ostitutions: 20	It was NOT taken into consideration *	It was <b>PARTLY</b> taken into account *	It has been CONSIDERED *	Comments
	*			
	STFC			
	UCL			
	University of Bath			
	University of Birmingham			
	University of Bristol			
	University of Cambridge			
	University of Edinburgh			
	University of Glasgow			
	University of Leeds			
	University of Oxford			
Educational a should	University of the Arts London			
itioned policy	Aalto University			
псу	Tampere University of Technology			
	University of Helsinki			
	University of Turku			
	Radboud University			
	Humboldt- Universität zu Berlin			
	Universität Bielefeld			
	Universität Göttingen			
	Universität Heidelberg			
	*			

### THE MODEL RDM POLICY

## **Selected European Policies**

### STFC (UK): STFC scientific data policy- (April 2016)

Very detailed policy addressing most of the identified main topics. Contains also advice: "Any deliberate attempt to compromise [data] integrity, e.g. by the modification of data or the provision of incorrect metadata, will be considered as a serious breach of this policy."

[http://www.stfc.ac.uk/stfc/cache/file/D0D76309-252B-4EEF-A7BFAF6271B8EC11.pdf; last accessed 07/03/2017]

#### UCL - University College London (UK): UCL Research Data Policy (2 August 2013)

Clearly arranged policy addressing most of the identified main topics with particular focus on roles and responsibilities (data creators, students, supervisors and researchers) | UCL Research Data and Network Services Executive | Director of UCL Library Services and UCL Records Manager | RIISG | Vice Provost (Research) | Provost).

[http://www.ucl.ac.uk/isd/services/research-it/documents/uclresearchdatapolicy.pdf; last accessed 07/03/2017]

### University of Bath (UK): Research Data Policy (9 April 2014)

The policy is only available on the website of the university (not as pdf document) and the policy text is complemented by: Research Data Policy guidance (27 March 2015). Very detailed policy addressing most of the identified main topics. Contains also limitation: "Researchers should avoid retaining data using methods that might not persist for 10 years, such as use of project websites or personal computing equipment." [http://www.bath.ac.uk/research/data/policy/research-data-policy.html and http://www.bath.ac.uk/research/data/policy/ research-data-policy-guidance.html; last accessed 07/03/2017]

### University of Birmingham (UK): University RDM policy (May 2014)

The policy is only available on the website of the university (not as pdf document) under the overarching topic: "Principles of Research Data Management". The policy is a single-page ten-point paper addressing most of the identified main topics.

[https://intranet.birmingham.ac.uk/as/libraryservices/library/research/rdm/rdm-principles.aspx; last accessed 07/03/2017]

University of Bristol (UK): Research Data Management and Open Data Policy (19 October 2015) The University of Bristol provided a draft policy in June 2014 (Research Data Management Principles). The content of the draft version was substantially expanded but the clearly arranged document history disappeared. Very detailed policy addressing most of the identified main topics. Guidance with additional information is also provided on the website (not as pdf document): Research Data Management and Open Data Policy Guidance. The first issue the policy addresses is "Ownership of Data". Document for guidance about costs: Anticipating the costs of research data management (October 2015). Contains also commitment: "(...) Funders require that research data is preserved after the end of a project (typically for at least 10 years). There is a cost to the technical curation of data which cannot be built into project funding, therefore the University is committing to meeting these costs".

[http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/university/documents/governance/UOB\_RDM\_Policy.pdf, https://data.bris.ac.uk/ rdm-policy-guidance/ and https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0B-sxe4ro-QTTSzIIRDBUeHIGY0U; last accessed 07/03/2017]

University of Cambridge (UK): Research Data Management Policy Framework (23 April 2015) The policy is only available on the website of the university (not as pdf document). Detailed policy addressing most of the identified main topics. The focus is on the responsibilities of the University, staff and students; e.g.: "The University is responsible for managing a dedicated website providing guidance for the University's academics in good data management practice." Contains also a collection of RDM policies of major research funders in the UK. [http://www.data.cam.ac.uk/university-policy; last accessed 07/03/2017]

The University of Edinburgh (UK): Research Data Management Policy (16 May 2011) The policy is only available on the website of the university (not as pdf document) and is complemented by the Research Data Management (RDM) Roadmap. August 2012-July 2016 (September 2015) from the Information Services RDM Policy Implementation Committee. It is a 1 page document with 10 points partly addressing a large part of the identified main topics. [http://www.ed.ac.uk/information-services/about/policies-and-regulations/research-data-policy and http://www.ed.ac.uk/files/ atoms/files/uoe-rdm-roadmap\_-\_v2\_0\_0.pdf; last accessed 07/03/2017]

University of Glasgow (UK): Good Management of Research Data Policy (19 November 2015) The draft version was updated (significantly expanded) at the end of 2015. Very detailed policy. No information about retention or deletion. Contains also advice: "It should be noted by all research staff that many major funders now mandate certain research data management actions and failure to meet funder expectations can lead to sanctions as detailed in funder data policies. In addition to this, failure to implement good research data management can potentially lead to situations which expose researchers to research misconduct allegations."

[http://www.gla.ac.uk/media/media\_435489\_en.pdf; last accessed 07/03/2017]

University of Leeds (UK): University of Leeds Research Data Management Policy (June 2015) The first final version of the policy: Research Data Management Policy (July 2012) as part of a policy timeline is available as pdf document, the updated version is only available on the website. The older version had comments on sufficient metadata, the new version has comments on costing and infrastructure planning instead. Clearly arranged 1 page policy with 10 points, many embedded links and additional guidance for most of the identified main topics. Contains a list of benefits (9) by implementing the policy. [https://library.leeds.ac.uk/research-data-policies] (Last accessed 07/03/2017)

University of Oxford (UK): Policy on the Management of Research Data and Records - (9 July 2012) Clearly arranged, precisely formulated policy with 12 points addressing most of the identified main topics. Contains well-defined information about deletion and reference to other university policies. [http://blogs.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/126/2014/01/Policy on the Management of Research Data and Records.pdf ; last accessed 07/03/2017]

University of the Arts London (UK): UAL Research Data Management Policy (2014) Clearly arranged policy addressing most of the identified main topics. The text is divided into the following topics: Background | Aims | Principles | Scope (What does it cover? Who does it apply to?) | Roles and responsibilities | Workflow.

[http://www.arts.ac.uk/media/arts/research/documents/UAL-Research-Data-Management-Policy-2014.pdf; last accessed 07/03/2017]

Aalto University (FIN): Aalto University Research Data Management Policy (10 February 2016) The precisely formulated policy seems to be rather a strategy focusing on the promotion of open access publishing (+ 5 Principles for open access publishing of research data). Roles and responsibilities are hardly addressed. [https://tinyurl.com/hol4fyt; last accessed 07/03/2017]

Tampere University of Technology (FIN): TUT Research data policy (21 January 2016) The policy (divided into 6 points with the main focus on DMPs) is only available on the website of the university (not as pdf document). Contains a detailed description of the work of the Research data policy working group. [http://scienceport.tut.fi/researchdataservices; last accessed 07/03/2017]

University of Helsinki (FIN): Research Data Policy (11 February 2015) The policy (divided into 8 points) is only available on the website of the university (not as pdf document). Contains also licence: "© 2015 University of Helsinki, licenced under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International licence". Addresses most of the identified main topics but "Definition" and "Retention". [http://www.helsinki.fi/kirjasto/en/get-help/management-research-data/research-data-policy/; last accessed 07/03/2017]

**University of Turku (FIN)**: Open science and research data policy of the University of Turku (9 February 2016) Clearly arranged, well-designed policy with 12 points which indicates other "utilised data policies": University of Helsinki, Concordat on Open Research Data coordinated by the Research Councils UK, and training sessions of the Open Science and Research project of the Ministry of Education and Culture. Main topics are: Starting points | Responsibility (of each university community member) | Legal and ethical issues | Data Management Infrastructure | Training, Orientation and Instructions | Communication | Realisation of data policy. [https://www.utu.fi/en/news/Documents/datapolitiikka-en-2.pdf; last accessed 07/03/2017]

**Radboud University (NL)**: University policy for storage and management of research data (25 November 2013) A brief summary with 4 main elements of the policy is available on the university website. The policy focuses mainly on the storage of selected data (including dissertations, Bachelor's and Master's theses) with a well-defined retention period (minimum 10 years) and on the responsibilities within the university. The university RDM policy will be supplemented by each research institute: 9 thematic focal points are listed which should be included in these policies (Responsibilities | Selection on data | Metadata | Storage | Safety of data | Retention | Accessibility and reuse | Privacy of sensitive data | Support and training). Contains also limitation: "The principles of validation and reproducibility imply that storage on a PC/laptop or a mobile device is not an option."

# **Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (D**): Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Research Data Management Policy (8 July 2014)

Clearly arranged policy with main focus on the responsibilities of researchers (individual topics have not been disclosed in detail). Contains also unusual obligation: "Researchers should take responsibility for deciding at what time and on what legal terms research data may be accessed." The policy is complemented by: *Guidelines - A supplement to the Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Research Data Management Policy* (25 August 2014).

[https://www.cms.hu-berlin.de/de/dl/dataman/hu-rdm-policy/view; last accessed 07/03/2017]

# **Universität Bielefeld (D)**: *Principles and guidelines on handling research data at Bielefeld University* (19 July 2011)

The policy is only available on the website of the university (not as pdf document) and is complemented by: *Resolution on Research Data Management* (12 November 2013). Brief policy with general statements mainly about responsibilities.

[https://data.uni-bielefeld.de/en/policy and https://data.uni-bielefeld.de/en/resolution; last accessed 07/03/2017]

**Universität Göttingen (D):** Research data policy of the Georg-August University Goettingen (incl. UMG) (28 August 2014)

A 1 page document with 10 points partly addressing most of the identified main topics. [http://www.uni-goettingen.de/en/488918.html; last accessed 07/03/2017]

**Universität Heidelberg (D)**: Research Data Policy. Richtlinien für das Management von Forschungsdaten (18 July 2014)

The policy is only available in German on the website, not as pdf document. It deals mainly with legal and ethical issues

[https://www.uni-heidelberg.de/universitaet/profil/researchdata/; last accessed 07/03/2017]



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 654139.