## Observation of $B^{+} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{3 7 7 0}) K^{+}$
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We report the first observation of the decay $B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}$where the $\psi(3770)$ is reconstructed in the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $D^{+} D^{-}$decay channels. The obtained branching fraction is $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right)=$ $(0.48 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. We have measured the branching fraction for the decay $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$ to be $(1.17 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ and set a $90 \%$ confidence level upper limit of $0.90 \times 10^{-3}$ for the decay $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-} K^{+}$. We also present the results of a search for possible decays to $D \bar{D}$ and $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} \pi^{0}$ of the recently observed $X(3872)$ particle. The analysis is based on $88 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ of data collected at the $\Upsilon(4 S)$ resonance by the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric-energy $e^{+} e^{-}$collider.
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$B$ decay modes with charmonium in the final state are extensively used by the Belle and BaBar Collaborations for measurements of the $C P$ violation parameter $\sin 2 \phi_{1}$ [1,2]. Belle has recently reported the first observations of the decays $B^{+} \rightarrow \chi_{c 0} K^{+}$[3] and $B \rightarrow \chi_{c 2} X$ [4]. The decay rates for these modes were measured to be comparable to those for $J / \psi$ and $\psi(2 S)$.

In contrast to the charmonia seen so far in $B$ decays, the $\psi(3770)$ state is just above open charm threshold and decays dominantly to pairs of $D$ mesons [5]. The $\psi(3770)$ is generally considered to be predominantly the $1^{3} D_{1}$ charmonium state. However, it has a nonzero leptonic width, which indicates that there is some mixing with the nearby $\psi(2 S) S$-wave state [6]. A large $S$ - $D$-wave mixing angle could result in comparable decay rates for $B$ decays to the $\psi(3770)$ and the $\psi(2 S)$. For a pure $D$-wave state, an estimate of $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \psi(3770) X)$ based on the color-octet model gives a value of $0.28 \%$ [7], which is as large as the measured values for $J / \psi$ and $\psi(2 S)$. Experimental studies of $\psi(3770)$ production in $B$ decays test theoretical models and provide additional information on the structure of the $\psi(3770)$ wave function.

In this Letter, we report the first observation of the decay $B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}$[8]. We also report measurements of the $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$and $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-} K^{+}$decay modes [9] and searches for the decays $B^{+} \rightarrow X(3872) K^{+}$, $X(3872) \rightarrow D \bar{D}$, and $X(3872) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} \pi^{0}$. The analysis is performed using data collected with the Belle detector [10] at the KEKB asymmetric-energy $e^{+} e^{-}$collider [11].

The data sample consists of $88 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ taken at the $\mathrm{Y}(4 S)$ resonance, which corresponds to $96 \times 10^{6} B \bar{B}$ pairs.

We select charged pions and kaons that originate from the region $d r<1 \mathrm{~cm},|d z|<3 \mathrm{~cm}$, where $d r$ and $d z$ are the distances of closest approach to the interaction point in the plane perpendicular to the beam axis and along the beam direction, respectively. Charged kaons are required to satisfy $\mathcal{L}(K) /[\mathcal{L}(K)+\mathcal{L}(\pi)]>0.6$, where $\mathcal{L}(K / \pi)$ is the particle identification likelihood for the $K / \pi$ hypotheses calculated by combining information from the time-of-flight system and aerogel Cherenkov counters with $d E / d x$ measurements in the central drift chamber. Candidate $\pi^{0}$ mesons are identified as pairs of non-charged-track-associated electromagnetic calorimeter (ECL) clusters that have an invariant mass within $\pm 15 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ of the $\pi^{0}$ mass. The energy of each photon is required to be greater than 50 MeV , and the momentum of the $\pi^{0}$ in the center of mass system (c.m.s.) is required to be greater than $0.15 \mathrm{GeV} / c$.

The $D^{0}$ meson is reconstructed in the $K^{-} \pi^{+}$, $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, and $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ modes, and the $D^{+}$in the $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{+}$and $K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+}$modes. We use a $\pm 10 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2} D$ signal window for the charged modes $(\sim 2.5 \sigma)$ and $\pm 15 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ for the $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ mode $(\sim 2 \sigma)$. Massand vertex-constrained fits are applied to all $D$ candidates to improve their momentum resolution. The $B^{+}$candidates (i.e., $D \bar{D}$ pairs combined with the positive kaons in the event) are identified by their c.m.s. energy difference, $\Delta E=\Sigma_{i} E_{i}-E_{\text {beam }}$, and their beam-energy constrained


FIG. 1. The $\Delta E$ distributions for the $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$(upper) and $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-} K^{+}$(lower) candidates. Points with errors represent the data and curves show the results of the fits described in the text.
mass, $M_{\mathrm{bc}}=\sqrt{E_{\text {beam }}^{2}-\left(\Sigma_{i} \vec{p}_{i}\right)^{2}}$, where $E_{\text {beam }}=\sqrt{s} / 2$ is the beam energy in the c.m.s. and $\vec{p}_{i}$ and $E_{i}$ are the three-momenta and energies of the $B^{+}$candidate's decay products. For the $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$final state, we require that one $D^{0}$ is reconstructed in the $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+}$mode, which has the smallest background. We accept $B$ candidates with $5.272<M_{\mathrm{bc}}<5.288 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ and $|\Delta E|<$ 0.2 GeV . To suppress the continuum background we require the normalized Fox-Wolfram moment [12] $R_{2}$ to be less than 0.5 and $\left|\cos \theta_{\text {thr }}\right|<0.8$, where $\theta_{\text {thr }}$ is the angle between the thrust axis of the $B$ candidate and the thrust axis of the rest of the event. The last requirement is not applied for the cleanest subset of $B$ candidates where both $D^{0}$ 's are reconstructed in the $K \pi$ mode. In the case of multiple $B$ candidates, we choose the candidate with the smallest value of $\chi^{2}=\left[\left(M_{\mathrm{bc}}-M_{B^{+}}\right) / \sigma_{M_{\mathrm{bc}}}\right]^{2}$.

The $\Delta E$ distributions for the $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$and $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-} K^{+}$candidates are shown in Fig. 1, where
the superimposed curves are the results of the fits. The fit to the $\Delta E$ distribution is a sum of a Gaussian with a fixed width taken from Monte Carlo (MC) simulation to describe the signal and a first order polynomial to parametrize the background [13]. In the fit to the $\Delta E$ distribution, the region $\Delta E<-0.08 \mathrm{GeV}$ is excluded to avoid contributions from other $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)} K$ decays. Table I summarizes the results of the fits, the reconstruction efficiencies [14], the statistical significance [15] of the signals, and the calculated branching fractions. For the latter, we assume $N\left(B^{0} \bar{B}^{0}\right)=N\left(B^{+} B^{-}\right)$. For the $D^{+} D^{-} K^{+}$final state, a substantial signal is not seen and we set a $90 \%$ confidence upper limit. The systematic error in the branching fraction measurement is dominated by the uncertainty in the tracking efficiency ( $1 \%$ per track), kaon identification efficiency ( $2 \%$ for each kaon), $\pi^{0}$ reconstruction efficiency ( $6 \%$ ), $D^{0}$ branching fraction uncertainty (in total 8\%), MC statistics (3\%), and the signal and background parametrization (5\%).

We plot the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $D^{+} D^{-}$invariant mass distributions for events in the $B$ signal region defined as $5.272<$ $M_{\mathrm{bc}}<5.288 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ and $|\Delta E|<0.02 \mathrm{GeV}$ in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. Here, for $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$candidates, when one of the $D^{0}$,s is reconstructed in the $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ mode, we use a looser $\Delta E$ requirement $(|\Delta E|<$ 0.025 GeV ) to take into account the poorer energy resolution due to shower leakage in the ECL. In the case of multiple $B$ candidates, we choose the candidate with the smallest value of $\quad \chi^{2}=\left(\Delta E / \sigma_{\Delta E}\right)^{2}+\left[\left(M_{\mathrm{bc}}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.M_{B^{+}}\right) / \sigma_{M_{\mathrm{bc}}}\right]^{2}$. The $M\left(D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ distribution has a peak at low masses, which we attribute to the $\psi(3770)$ signal.

The superimposed hatched histogram in Fig. 2(a) shows the $M\left(D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ mass distribution for events in the $\Delta E$ sidebands [16]. The curve (shown as a solid line) is the result of a fit where the low-mass peak is described by a $p$-wave Breit-Wigner function [17] with a floating mass and its natural width fixed to its nominal value of $\Gamma(\psi(3770))=23.6 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ [5]. The combinatorial background along with the contribution from nonresonant $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$decays is described by the product of square root threshold factor and ( $M_{\max }-$ $\left.M\left(D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)\right)^{\alpha}$ function and is represented as a dashed line in Fig. 2(a).

The fit yields a $\psi(3770)$ signal of $N=33.6 \pm 8.3$ events with a statistical significance of $5.9 \sigma$. The mass of the $\psi(3770)$ is found to be $M(\psi(3770))=3778.4 \pm$ $3.0 \pm 1.3 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, which corresponds to a mass differ-

TABLE I. Summary of the fit results, efficiencies, statistical significance, and branching fractions for $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$and $B^{+} \rightarrow$ $D^{+} D^{-} K^{+}$decays.

| Mode | $\Delta E$ yield | Efficiency $\left(10^{-4}\right)$ | $\mathcal{B}\left(10^{-3}\right)$ | Significance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$ | $97.5 \pm 17.6$ | 8.7 | $1.17 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.15$ | $5.5 \sigma$ |
| $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-} K^{+}$ | $20.7 \pm 9.9$ | 5.0 | $0.43 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.06<0.90$ (90\%C.L.) | $2.7 \sigma$ |



FIG. 2. (a) The $M\left(D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ distribution for the events from the $B$-signal region. The dashed line represents the background parametrization (see the text). The hatched histogram is constructed from the $\Delta E$ sidebands. (b) Fitted $M\left(D^{+} D^{-}\right)$distribution.
ence $\quad \Delta m=M(\psi(3770))-M(\psi(2 S))=92.4 \pm 3.0 \pm$ $1.3 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, where we used $M(\psi(2 S))=3685.96 \pm$ $0.09 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ [5]. Table II compares our measurement of $\Delta m$ with the available results from the MARK I, DELCO, and MARK II Collaborations [5,18]; our measurements agree with the MARK I and DELCO results and are $\sim 3 \sigma$ above the MARK II result. The systematic error on the mass measurement is evaluated by varying the background function, the width of the $\psi(3770)$ within its errors [5], changing the fit range and changing the bin width. It also includes the uncertainty in the $D^{0}$ mass.

A fit to the $M\left(D^{+} D^{-}\right)$distribution of Fig. 2(b) yields $7.7 \pm 4.2$ events with a statistical significance of $2.5 \sigma$. Here the $\psi(3770)$ mass was fixed at $3778.4 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, the value found from the $M\left(D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ fit.

From a MC sample of generic $B \bar{B}$ events that has the same size as the data sample, we find that the $D \bar{D}$ invariant mass exhibits a smooth behavior without peaks. We also analyzed off-resonance data taken 60 MeV below the

TABLE II. Result of the measurement of $\Delta m=$ $M(\psi(3770))-M(\psi(2 S))\left(\mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}\right)$ obtained in this Letter and previous measurements.

| Belle | $92.4 \pm 3.0 \pm 1.3$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| MARK I | $88 \pm 3$ |
| DELCO | $86 \pm 2$ |
| MARK II | $80 \pm 2$ |

$\mathrm{Y}(4 S)$ with a $10 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ data sample. The same selection applied to $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$combinations results in one event over the whole $M\left(D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ region, which corresponds to a negligible contribution from the continuum.

The $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ helicity distribution, determined by fitting the $M\left(D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ distribution for the $\psi(3770)$ yield in each of eight $\cos \theta_{\psi(3770)}$ bins [19], is shown in Fig. 3. The points are data, and the histogram gives the result of a fit using MC-based expectations for a $J^{P C}=1^{--} \psi(3770)$ with a floating normalization. The confidence level of the fit is $10.5 \%$.

The MC-determined efficiencies for $B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}$ followed by $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-}$ are $10.3 \times 10^{-4}$ and $5.7 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. This gives $\quad \mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right) \times \mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)=$ $(0.34 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right) \times$ $\mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-}\right)=(0.14 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-3}$, where the first error is statistical and the second is systematic. The latter measurement corresponds to a $90 \%$ C.L. upper limit of $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right) \times \mathcal{B}(\psi(3770) \rightarrow$ $\left.D^{+} D^{-}\right)<0.27 \times 10^{-3}$. In addition to the sources already mentioned, the systematic error includes the uncertainties from varying the signal and background shapes in $M(D \bar{D})$ fitting ( $5 \%$ ) and from varying the $\pi^{0}$ reconstruction efficiency ( $6 \%$ ). From these two measurements we obtain the ratio $\mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right) / \mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-}\right)=$ $2.43 \pm 1.50 \pm 0.43$. Given the large errors, our measurement is consistent with the previous measurement of this ratio by the MARK III Collaboration of $1.36 \pm 0.23 \pm$ 0.14 [20].

To extract $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right)$from the measurements of $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right) \times \mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ and $\quad \mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right) \times \mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-}\right)$, we assume that the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $D^{+} D^{-}$modes com-


FIG. 3. Helicity distribution for $B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}$decay followed by $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$. The points with errors are obtained from fits to the $M\left(D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ data in each $\cos \theta_{\psi(3770)}$ bin. The histogram shows the expected distribution for $B^{+} \rightarrow$ $\psi(3770) K^{+}$(see text).
pletely saturate the $\psi(3770)$ decay width. Summing both measurements gives $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right)=$ $(0.48 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$.

Belle recently reported the observation of a narrow charmoniumlike state $X(3872)$ that decays to $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} J / \psi$ [21]. This state, which is seen in the exclusive decay $B \rightarrow K X(3872)$, is above $D \bar{D}$ threshold. Information about the $X(3872) \rightarrow D \bar{D}$ decay rate would be useful for determining its quantum numbers. We refitted the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $D^{+} D^{-}$invariant mass distributions including possible contributions from $B^{+} \rightarrow X(3872) K^{+}$, $X(3872) \rightarrow D \bar{D}$ decays. The fits yield $2.1 \pm 1.8$ and $0.4 \pm$ 0.8 events for the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $D^{+} D^{-}$channels, respectively. From this we determine $90 \%$ C.L. upper limits $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow X(3872) K^{+}\right) \times \mathcal{B}\left(X(3872) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)<6 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow X(3872) K^{+}\right) \times \mathcal{B}\left(X(3872) \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-}\right)<$ $4 \times 10^{-5}$. We have also searched for a possible reflection from $B^{+} \rightarrow X(3872) K^{+}, \quad X(3872) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} \pi^{0}$ decays. This decay mode of the $X(3872)$ is interesting because it is predicted to be large if the $X(3872)$ is a $D \bar{D}^{*}$ multiquark "molecular state" [22]. A MC study shows that these decays produce a narrow, nearly Gaussian reflection peak ( $\sigma=9 \mathrm{MeV}$ ) centered at $\Delta E=-145 \mathrm{MeV}$. Using the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$signal described above, we require $M\left(D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ to be less than $M(X(3872))-M\left(\pi^{0}\right)=3737 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ and fit the resulting $\Delta E$ distribution to a Gaussian with mean and width fixed at the values expected for the reflection peak and a linear background contribution. The fit yields $2.2 \pm 1.7$ events. From this we determine a $90 \%$ C.L. upper limit $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow X(3872) K^{+}\right) \times$ $\mathcal{B}\left(X(3872) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} \pi^{0}\right)<6 \times 10^{-5}$.
In summary, we have measured the branching fraction for $B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$decay to be $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}\right)=$ $(1.17 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$. A search for $B^{+} \rightarrow$ $D^{+} D^{-} K^{+}$decay results in an upper limit of $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.D^{+} D^{-} K^{+}\right)<0.90 \times 10^{-3}(90 \%$ C.L. $)$. We observe a peak in the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ invariant mass spectrum from $B^{+} \rightarrow$ $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} K^{+}$decays with a mass near $3770 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ that we attribute to exclusive $B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}$decay. This signal, which has a statistical significance of $5.9 \sigma$, is the first observation of this decay mode. The mass of the $\psi(3770)$ is measured to be $3778.4 \pm 3.0 \pm 0.8 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$. The value of $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right) \times \mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)$ is measured to be $(0.34 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$. For $B^{+} \rightarrow$ $\psi(3770) K^{+}$followed by $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-}$we extract $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right) \times \mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-}\right)=$ $(0.14 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-3}$. The ratio $\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^{+} D^{-}\right)}$is $2.43 \pm 1.50 \pm 0.43$. By assuming that the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $D^{+} D^{-}$modes totally saturate the $\psi(3770)$ decay width we obtain $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \psi(3770) K^{+}\right)=(0.48 \pm 0.11 \pm$ $0.07) \times 10^{-3}$, which is comparable to $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\psi(2 S) K^{+}\right)=(6.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ [5]. This result suggests a large amount of $S-D$ mixing in the $\psi(3770)$.

For the decays $B^{+} \rightarrow X(3872) K^{+}$followed by $X(3872) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $D^{+} D^{-}$we have set $90 \%$ C.L. upper
limits on $\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow X(3872) K^{+}\right) \times \mathcal{B}(X(3872) \rightarrow D \bar{D})$ of $6 \times 10^{-5}$ and $4 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively. For the decay $B^{+} \rightarrow$ $X(3872) K^{+}$followed by $X(3872) \rightarrow D^{0} \bar{D}^{0} \pi^{0}$ we have set a $90 \%$ C.L. upper limit of $6 \times 10^{-5}$.
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