


ISBN 978-1-4951-2763-2

2014 DESIGN COMMUNICATION CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS
OCTOBER 01 - 04, 2014 | ATLANTA | GEORGIA | USA
DEPARTMENT OF ARCHITECTURE | SOUTHERN POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY




DESIGN & GRAPHIC PALIMPSET [*DIALOGUE-DISCOURSE-DISCUSSION*]
EDITORS: M. SALEH UDDIN, Ph.D., and CHRISTOPHER WELTY, AIA.

2014 Design Communication Conference Proceedings


Design & Graphic Palimpsest

[Dialogue-Discourse-Discussion]

ISBN 978-1-4951-2763-2







Proceedings

2014 Design Communication Conference

Design & Graphic Palimpsest [Dialogue-Discourse-Discussion]

ISBN 978-1-4951-2763-2

October 01 - 04, 2014

Host School | Southern Polytechnic State University

The contents of the papers in this proceedings are the sole responsibilities of the individual authors

Editors

M. Saleh Uddin, Ph.D.
Christopher Welty, AIA



Cover design & artwork: M. Saleh Uddin | Southern Polytechnic State University

2014 Design Communication Conference Proceedings
Design & Graphic Palimpsest *[Dialogue-Discourse-Discussion]*

2014 Proceedings Editors

——— **M. Saleh Uddin**
——— **Christopher Welty**
Southern Polytechnic State University

Officers and Board of Directors of the
Design Communication Association, 2012 - 2014

——— **President**
Mohammed Bilbeisi, *Oklahoma State University*

——— **2014 Conference Chair**
Saleh Uddin, *Southern Polytechnic State University*

——— **Executive Secretary**
Matt Brehm and Joern Langhorst, *University of Idaho and University of Colorado*

——— **Treasurer**
Zuzanna Karezweska, *Montana State University*

——— **Journal Editor**
M. Saleh Uddin, *Southern Polytechnic State University*

——— **Website Editor**
Henry Sorenson, *Montana State University*

——— **Electronic Newsletter Editor**
Michael Chisamore, *University of Memphis*

——— **Exhibition Coordinator**
Roman Montoto, *University of Idaho*

——— **Proceedings Editor**
Saleh Uddin and Chris Welty, *Southern Polytechnic State University*

——— **Blog Editor**
Kathe Julin, *University of Wisconsin – Stevens Point*

——— **Archives Editor**
Bradley Walters and Saleh Uddin, *U of Florida and Southern Polytechnic State U*

2014 Design Communication Conference Proceedings
Design & Graphic Palimpsest [Dialogue-Discourse-Discussion]

2014 DCA Conference Chair

M. Saleh Uddin
Southern Polytechnic State University

SPSU DCA Conference Core Team

Bronne Dytoc
Ameen Farooq
Mine Hashas
Robert Tango
M. Saleh Uddin
Christopher Welty
Pegah Zamani

Keynote Speakers

_____ **Matthias Hollwich** | *Co-founder, HWKN, New York*
_____ **Todd Bertsch** | *Director of Design, HOK, Atlanta*
_____ **Michael Webb** | *Founder Member of Archigram*

Workshop Leaders

Francis D. K. Ching | *Distinguished Author*
Gernot Riether | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Henry Sorenson | *Montana State University*
Peili Wang | *Savannah College of Art & Design*
Edward T. White | *Florida A&M University*
So-Yeon Yoon | *Cornell University*

Exhibition

Bronne Dytoc | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Roman Montoto | *University of Idaho*

Atlanta Architecture Tour

Bill Carpenter | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Arief Setiawan | *Southern Polytechnic State University*

2014 Conference Website Design

M. Saleh Uddin | *Southern Polytechnic State University*

Conference Event Sponsors

Perkins & Will, Atlanta
Department of Architecture | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Dick Blick, Atlanta
Sam Flax, Atlanta

2014 Conference Paper Review Committee

Ryadi Adityavarman | *Kansas State University*
Ed Akins | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Moh'd Bilbeisi | *Oklahoma State University*
David L. Boeck | *University of Oklahoma*
Matt Brehm | *University of Idaho*
Jack Breen | *Delft University of Technology (TU Delft)*
Michael K Chisamore | *The University of Memphis*
Bronne Dytoc | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Ameen Faoq | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
David Fox | *University of Tennessee at Knoxville*
Mine Hashas | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Tim Johnson | *Penn State*
Steven Juroszek | *Montana State University*
Zuzanna Karczewska | *Montana State University*
Thomas Lesko | *Wentworth Institute of Technology*
Eugenio Morello | *Politecnico di Milano, Italy*
Barbara E. A. Piga | *Politecnico di Milano, Italy*
Arief Setiawan | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Henry Sorenson | *Montana State University*
Saleh Uddin | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Bradley Walters | *University of Florida*
Chris Welty | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Jeffrey Williams | *Okaloosa State University*
So-Yeon Yoon | *Cornell University*

Paper Session Moderators

Ed Akins | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Jack Breen | *Delft University of Technology, Netherlands*
Matthew Brehm | *University of Idaho*
William Carpenter | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Michael Carrol | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Francis D. K. Ching | *Distinguished Author*
Ameen Farooq | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Tim Frank | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Mine Hashas | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Dustin Headley | *Kansas State University*
Meg Jackson | *University of Houston*
Román Montoto | *University of Idaho*
Harry Eggink | *Ball State University*
Tim Johnson | *Penn State*
Tom Lesko | *Wentworth Institute of Technology*
Gernot Riether | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Henry Sorenson | *Montana State University*
Bradley Walters | *University of Florida*
Christopher Welty | *Southern Polytechnic State University*
Pegah Zamani | *Southern Polytechnic State University*

In Memorium of professor **Kirby Lockard**
founder of Design Communication Association

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 01: Paper Sessions A1, A2, A3

Session A1. Digital Exploration: Virtual Reality & Communication

001

- Expanding the Limits of VR Simulation: Perceptual Engagement through Immersive Simulation
Antonieta Angulo, Ball State University
- The Effect of Virtual Reality and Augmented Reality in the Design Process
Tilanka Chandrasekera, Oklahoma State University, So-Yeon Yoon, Cornell University
- Interactive Environments: A New Spatial Dialogue
Michael Gonzales & Meg Jackson, University of Houston
- Immersive Environment Communicates the Design Drawing Learning Process
Carrie Vielle, Washington State University

Session A2. Design & Graphic Application: Graphic & Rep. Techniques

020

- Perspective as a Method of Engaging Critical Thinking.
Robert Krikac (Washington State University), Dana Vaux (University of Nebraska, Kearney), Kathleen Ryan (Washington State University)
- Beyond Photo-realism: The Art and Science of Digital Rendering with Vue.
Timothy P. Johnson, Penn State
- Freehand Visual Presentation - Ink Watercolor
Peili Wang, Savannah College of Art and Design-Atlanta
- A Logic of Learning to Draw.
Stephen Temple, University of Texas San Antonio

Session A3. Urban/Community

038

- Foodspace: Communicating Physical Traces and Spatial Constructs
Rebecca O'Neal Dagg, Auburn University
- Urbaninguistics: Creation of the City Codex of Conjunctions
Alyson Silva, University of Florida
- Charrette Graphics: Old and New Schools of Quick Visual thinking.
Simon Bussiere, Harry A. Eggink, Ball State University
- The urban model as the hub towards the sustainable university campus.
B. Piga, E. Morello, V. Signorelli, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
- Beyond The Boundary in the Cityscape of Dhaka, The Boundary Wall
Sumaya Islam, American International University-Bangladesh

CHAPTER 02: Paper Sessions B1, B2, B3

Session B1. Digital Exploration: Virtual Reality & Communication

067

- FaceSpace: Social Media's Influence on Public Art & Design (Virtual Reality & Communication)
Román Montoto, University of Idaho
- My Body: My Temple, an Augmented Reality Design Process.
Rebecca Heavner, University of Colorado, Denver
- An Approach to Promoting the Ethnically Diverse Artists' Works from the Culturally Rich Underserved Regions by Developing a Virtual Museum
Habibur Rahman, Claflin University
- Embracing the pictorial turn: Time-based explorations in communicating design research.
Amy Huber, Florida State University

Session B2. Communication & Design Education

089

- Computation and Composition.
Dave Lee, Clemson University
- Development of an Introductory Course in an Architecture Program.
Murat Şahin, Özyeğin University, İstanbul, Turkey
- Learning Solar Geometry by Drawing and Modeling.
Bronne Dytoc, Southern Polytechnic State University
- Creativity and Idea Generation for the Design Communication Process.
Thomas Lesko, Wentworth Institute of Technology

Session B3. Observation Representation: Drawing and Sketching in Design Education

106

- forward ever_backward never avoiding hesitance to foster confidence.
Brian Dougan, American University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates
- Drawing from Experience: The Value of Study Abroad to Design Education.
Jerry L. Stivers, Oklahoma State University
- Observational Drawing: Creating a Graphic Palimpsest of the Mind.
Matthew T. Brehm, University of Idaho
- Ticked in Tecolutla—Image and Story.
Henry E. Sorenson, Jr., Montana State University

CHAPTER 03: Paper Sessions C1, C2, C3

Session C1. Digital Exploration: Visualization, Education, BIM

125

- Building Information Modeling - Case Study
Mauro Buffa and Chuck Eastman, GA Tech
- Architectural knowledge management and digital tools: a step for a proposal-Digital models and knowledge
Houssein Eddine MNEJJA, Temy TIDAFI, Université de Montréal, Canada
- Revit as a Creative Medium?
Jeanne Homer, Oklahoma State University
- Smart Lab Using BIM and QR Code.
Pavan Miadati and Mahmoodha Jagabar Sathick, SPSU

Session C2. Communication & Design Education: Studio Context**149**

- Covered / Uncovered: Site research within architecture studios.
Ed Akins, Southern Polytechnic State University
- Axonometric: Strategies for the Capstone Studio Setting.
Genevieve Baudoin, Kansas State University
- Place and Its Discovery through Embodied Representation.
Zuzanna Karczewska, Montana State University
- Changing Scales: Spatial Design Visualization and Experiential Learning Strategies.
Elizabeth Poher, University of Oklahoma

Session C3. Investigation & Representation**166**

- "Unorthodox orthographies": projective deregulation for depicting time from Le Corbusier to OMA.
Fobio Collonnese, Sapienza University of Rome
- Un-earthing Eisenman: Deep Interiority and Present Absence in the work of architect.
Rebecca O'Neal Dagg, Auburn University
- On Process Drawings: studying sketches of Mario Botta.
Arief Setiawan, Southern Polytechnic State University
- The Artist Inside Me.
Faredah Mohsen alMurahhem, Umm alQura University, Saudi Arabia

CHAPTER 04: Paper Sessions D1, D2, D3, D4**Session D1. Digital Exploration: Parametric Modeling****0187**

- The Parametric Palimpsest of the Building Skin.
Aaron Paul Brakke, Universidad Piloto de Colombia
- Inductive Programming: Designing Activities and Space with 4D Parametric Modeling.
Michael Everts, Montana State University
- Digital Palimpsest in Parametric Design Environment: A Pedagogical Experiment.
Jean-Pierre Goulette and Sandra Marques, École Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture (ENSA) de Toulouse, France
- Lost in Translation or Mediating the Medium: Axioms for New Visual/Digital Practices.
Joern Langhorst, University of Colorado Denver

Session D2. Communication & Design Education: Studio Context**217**

- Word | Image.
Margaret Fletcher, Auburn University
- Interrogating Spatial Perceptions.
Lisa Huang, University of Florida
- On Translations: notes on the use of drawings and models in the design process.
Arief Setiawan, Southern Polytechnic State University
- Here be Dragons: On the Value of Incompleteness in Drawing.
Bradley Walters, University of Florida

Session D3. Media Convergence- Methods & Exploration**239**

- The Rhetoric of Designing Graphic Displays Combining Text and Images.
Richard Hoag, David Smit, Kansas State University
- Exploring Architectural Grammar: Landscape Literacy.
Allison Hennie, University of Memphis
- Tornado's, Treehouses and the Tropics: The Embodied Cognition of Drawing to Building.
Jon Daniel Davey, Southern Illinois University
- A comparison between Multi-Media Instructions and Written Instructions in Teaching Computer Aided Design
• Hussein Abaza, SPSU and Jacqueline F. Stephens, Westwood College

Session D4. Visualization, Analysis, and Interpretation**259**

- Visualizing Tectonics: the Heavy and the Light.
Genevieve Baudoin, Kansas State University
- Towards Evocative, Thematic Design Dossiers.
Jack Breen, TU Delft, The Netherlands
- 64 Wakefield: Tips on House Design Formalized.
Jeffrey Collins and Athanassios Economou, GA Tech

CHAPTER 05 Paper Sessions E1, E2, E3**Session E1. Digital Exploration: Methods and Application****281**

- Digital Joinery: Representational Techniques in the Absence of Space.
Stephen Belton, Lee-Su Huang, University of Florida
- Laser Scanning the Aiken-Rhett Historic Parlors for Multi-Modal Documentation and Interpretation.
Amalia Leifeste, Katherine Pemberton, Mark Scara and Jay White, Clemson University
- Jivā: Computing the living.
Parantap Bhatt and Jayant Khanuja, CEPT University, Synth lab, Mississauga, Canada
- Focus Devices in Hybrid Data: Usefulness in Design Thinking and Communication.
Rob Snyder, Bentley Systems, Mark O'Bryan, University of Kentucky, Hugo Mulder, IT University Copenhagen, and Stéphane Côté, Bentley Systems

Session E2. Visualization, Analysis, and Interpretation**306**

- Education in Architectural Analysis through Hybrid Graphical Means: a Setup for Critical Thinking.
Denis Derycke, David Lo Buglio, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB) – Belgium
- Generate Spatial Form from Visualization and Analysis.
Wang Li, Mingxue Huang, Jin Feng, Lawrence Technological University
- Drawing Formal Massing Studies as *archiMedia* in AFOnline.
Eric Ingler, University of Cincinnati
- Slowing Down 'High-Speed' Digital Drawing Tools: Heuristic Techniques through Multiplicity, Seriality, and Reversibility.
Tim Frank, Southern Polytechnic State University

Session E3. Media Convergence: Manual/Tactile/Digital**329**

- An Iterative Palimpsest: Testing algorithms as a vehicle for new complexities and patterns in composition.
Lohren Deeg and Rochelle Jansing, Ball State University
- Rule-Based Palimpsests.
Dustin Headley, Kansas State University
- Modeling Beyond Representation and Communication: Teaching Novice Designers to use Physical Architectural Models for Creative Purposes.
Michael McGlynn, Kansas State University/Martin Stellingwerff, TU Delft, The Netherlands

CHAPTER 06: Paper Sessions F1, F2, F3, F4**Session F1. Digital Exploration: Tools and Application****347**

- Simulation and optimisation of the light shelf system in hot and arid zones.
Safa Daich, Saadi Mohamed Yacine, Zemmouri Noureddine, Science and Technology, Biskra, Algeria
- 3D Simulation Game for Concrete Formwork.
Pavan Miadati and Jon A Preston, SPSU
- Development and validation of Tool for the design of day lighting indoor space.
Saadi Mohamed Yacine, Daich Safa, Zemmouri Noureddine, Daiche Ahmed Motie, Department of Architecture, Science and Technology, Biskra, Algeria

Session F2. Media Convergence: Manual/Tactile/Digital**362**

- Drawings for Thinking/Working and Making.
Michael Carol, Southern Polytechnic State University
- Hybrid Palimpsest: Fusing Analog and Digital Modes of Drawing.
Onur Yuce Gun, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- Helping students to regain the sense of space and scale in 3D visualization in the digital age.
Jiang Lu, Eastern Michigan University

Session F3. Graphic Communication & Education**381**

- Illuminations: an investigation in the nature of graphic communication.
Mohd Bilbeisi, Oklahoma State University
- Seeing to Begin.
David Fox, University of Tennessee, Knoxville
- Drawing Objects and the Object of Drawing.
Rhett Russo, New Jersey Institute of Technology
- Architectural Perception and Communication: A drawing to design sequence.
Thomas Lesko, Wentworth Institute of Technology
- Discovering "What is Architecture?" Through the Assemblage of Topical Sketches
Sean Burns, Ball State University

Session F4. Observation Rep: Drawing and Sketching in Design Education

402

- Student Sketching Viewed Through the Framework of Expertise Theory: The Advanced Beginner.
Jim Dawkins, The Florida State University
 - Active Sketching: Power Tool for a Rapidly Changing Paradigm of Design Problem Solving.
Dean Isham, East Tennessee State University, Wei Dong, University of Wisconsin – Madison
 - Representing Experience: Instantaneous and Continuous Perception.
Michael Chisamore, University of Memphis
 - Travel in Dialogue – Sketch Journals and the Internet.
Kathe Julin, University of Wisconsin – Stevens Point
-

session A3

The Combined Use of Urban Models to Support a Collaborative Approach to Design Towards the Sustainable University Campus: Participation, Design, Transformation

B. Piga & E. Morello, V. Signorelli | *Politecnico di Milano, Italy*

Abstract

The mission toward urban sustainability requires tools for developing a vision and for monitoring change through time. For instance, representation of past, current and future places is the first medium we can work with in order to map the path toward sustainability. The launch of the university project ‘Città Studi Campus Sostenibile’ for the renewal of the campus according to sustainability principles was the occasion to use modeling as a powerful “hub” for converging and disseminating relevant information, thus supporting and fostering urban change. In this study we explore the role of urban modeling and simulation within a highly collaborative design process and introduce several applications for the sustainable campus project.

In particular, we are interested in exploring the integrated use of these different techniques of modeling and simulation. For instance, urban modeling refers not only to the reconstruction of digital and physical environments, but also to the simulation of future scenarios that will impact on the campus layout. In fact, the proposed models are currently used in different ways and with different aims, like communication, participation, design (including evaluation), decision-making, education, monitoring (including archival of memories). Firstly, the model used for communication and design purposes supports sustainable practices and works as the connector between the involved stakeholders (public, institutional and private ones): for instance, in public meetings, the urban model was the basis for the debate and in some cases the accelerator of processes thanks to the power of communication and the proof of evidence given by representation. Secondly, the digital model is a collector of memories and actions and helps in monitoring and reporting changes. In this sense, the model reinforces the task of mapping the change

and contributes to the measurements of traditional sustainability indicators.

Visualizing urban sustainability through models

The Politecnico di Milano together with the Università degli Studi di Milano launched in 2011 the project ‘Città Studi Campus Sostenibile’¹, an initiative aiming at renewing the district in a sustainable way, by applying innovation from our university research, supporting more sustainable lifestyles on campus and promoting a shared and collaborative co-design open to all the actors of the local community of the university district Città Studi. In particular, our laboratory² was asked to lead and support the process by structuring the project and reporting its evolution. Our approach to design relies on the use of urban modeling and simulation as a way to achieve urban transformation. The task in this project was to use urban modeling and simulation to visualize sustainability, i.e. representing and defining visions for a sustainable future and actions taken during the process (Videira Lopes & Lindström, 2012). In doing this job we have to face three main challenges: firstly, how to make use of digital models and simulations in order to reinforce and stimulate a collaborative approach to urbanism and collective co-creation of the urban transformation among different actors (citizens, municipality, universities); secondly, to find modalities to report on the model mainly minute and diffused actions; thirdly, to find the proper form for the design and the representation of an evolving masterplan that relies on an inclusive approach, and is not based on the unique action and decision making by the designer, but rather on the definition of rules and guidelines to conduct the process (Piga & Morello, 2013). We argue that the use of modelling and simulation can give a relevant impulse to the process, because it allows guiding and speeding up the process by creating alignments among the actors.




Figure 1. The construction of the 3-D urban model of the campus, illustrating different levels of detail for the same area.

Combined use of different model types

The reconstruction of the campus model includes a variety of representation devices, ranging from physical to digital items. As part of digital models we include, among others, 2-D cartography, urban photography in various forms (single pictures, sequences, panoramas), video recording and the 3-D model (Fig.1). Moreover, the attempt to augment the model with additional

layers of information, like for example the inclusion of sensory cues and dynamic features (people, means of transport), or user-generated narrative contents, highlights the potentialities of the model for recreating the *ambiance* (or atmosphere) of places (both real and envisioned ones). Finally, the model can be immersive and the use of game engine technologies is showing great potentialities in this sense.

	PHYSICAL URBAN MODELS	DIGITAL URBAN MODELS	PHYGITAL URBAN MODELS
<i>Application</i>	The urban maquette	Renderings, immersive models	Augmented Reality, (AR), Augmented physical models (ApM)
			
<i>Design phase and usability of the models</i>	Communication Participation processes Design Decision-making Education		
<i>Human Interaction to the models</i>	Physical and direct interaction to the model (no interfaces). Comprehensive view from the top. General understanding of the physicality and layout of intervention and possibility of multiple views by the observer.	Human interaction to digital models is mediated; hence, it requires the use of technical devices. Digital models are usually separated from a direct human experience by computer screens, or printouts of renderings. Innovative immersion techniques enable an interactive fruition of the models.	The connection between physical items (models or reality) with digital models allows exploiting and combining the different potentialities of the media. With AR onsite an immersive experience is enabled.
<i>Visual interaction to the models</i>	The immersive and subjective view is missing (if not integrated with a micro-camera recording moving through the model and projected on screen).	Digital models provide fixed points of view (renderings) or permit a dynamic visual fruition (navigable, interactive and immersive models as the ones realized with game engines). With some devices, such as the Head Mounted Display (HDM) it is possible to generate an immersive (generally visual and kinesthetic) experience.	AR on site allows to simulate an immersive interaction with the simulated project in the real context. ApM allows recreating an immersive and subjective view at the ground level if integrated with a micro-camera recording moving through the model and projected on screen).
<i>Dynamic fruition of the models</i>	Assured with a micro-camera recording moving through the model and projected on screen.	Animated videos, immersive and interactive models permit a dynamic fruition of the model.	AR on site allows a 1:1 interaction with the augmented real environment. ApM allows similar interaction as physical models but augmented with interactive elements (eg moving cars and people)
<i>Dynamic elements embedded in the models (traffic and pedestrian flows, light to simulate the solar path and the shadow casting, etc)</i>	It is possible to use dynamic elements in the maquette (depending on the scale), but it is more common to use just static elements.	It is possible to use dynamic elements in the digital model. These can have fixed paths or react to the physical environment.	AR on site can be augmented with dynamic elements ApM or projections on the physical model can enhance the dynamic perception of the model.

Table. 1: Synthetic comparison between physical, digital and phygital models and their interactive capabilities

The combined use of physical and digital urban models allows reaching a more comprehensive understanding of future transformations and thus a richer and argued approach to design and decision making (Appleyard, 1977; Bosselmann 2008; Batty, 2007). Moreover, the concept of “phygital” refers to the action of bridging the digital with the physical world, providing unique interactive experiences for the user. A number of technologies (QR Codes, Augmented Reality, 3-D models) enable interactivity and engagement between digital devices, physical materials and human beings. For instance, one technique is complementary to the other, as reported in table 1.

Integrating the temporal dimension into digital models

The representation of places through digital models has to be dynamic and report the changes happening over time if we want to get an understanding about the functioning and the ‘ambiance’ in time and space. In fact, renderings often represent snapshots taken at special moments which are not representative of the atmosphere of the place, but tend to celebrate dramatic events like a sunset, a foggy evening or a spring day. This is true especially for commercial renderings, used in the real estate industry for marketing. On the contrary, our aim is to give back the average conditions that best anticipate how people will experience the place.

Time in digital images can be declined according to different elements as follows:

- the environmental conditions of the place, originated from the time cycles due to Earth’s daily and yearly rotations, which define day and night (hence shadowing conditions), the seasons and the climate conditions;
- the variation of dynamic features during time: in the short term we can include people flows, nature (animal and plant life), objects (cars, artificial lighting, the sound components ; in the long term, we can list urban transformation, like the layout of building facades, the growth of vegetation.

Different techniques can give back time through digital models:

- a sequence of renderings depicting the same environment at different times (from simple snapshots and time-lapses to video-sequences), as represented in figure 2;
- immersive models that allow users to navigate and experience motion and time together (real-time);

In any case, time can be manipulated and accelerated, and this permits the user of simulation to get a faster understanding of changes. We also proposed to build an archive to monitor urban spaces over time (Piga et al, 2013). The archive should mainly collect pictures of places taken at different times and possibly from the same point of view, but also simulations of future

design schemes to represent places in future conditions. Several actions happening on public space are diffused and little ones. Hence, urban transformation might be invisible to people, which do not really notice change. The ultimate goal is to visualize these diffused and small changes and give back a sense of the place over time.

Simulation supports inclusion – towards a collaborative inclusive approach to urbanism

Before starting any kind of work on simulation and modeling, it is crucial to establish the role of simulation within the process, especially within a collaborative design approach like the sustainable campus initiative. Visualizations and simulations help in the process of understanding things by anticipating transformation scenarios both for professionals and laymen. Moreover, simulations support the process of people engagement, if visualizations are used to create awareness and build consensus among the community within an inclusive and highly collaborative process. Of course, we have to face the fact that simulations are never neutral: for instance, simulations are not unbiased *per se*, because, even if photo-realistic and possibly representing typical conditions of the ambiance of the place, they differ anyway from reality and introduce a gap in the human perception of simulation as opposed to the immersive experience of reality (Bosselmann, 1998). Nevertheless, our research on urban simulation works on reducing distortion of digital images (both renderings and photographs) aiming at providing an experience which is close as much as possible to human perception of reality.

Moreover – and this is our main concern in this work – we tackle the question “how and when to use simulations within the process”, knowing that these choices will affect the course of events. In other words, we are guiding the process and defining the rules of the game and by doing this, we are potentially driving the path. This opens up fundamental questions about participatory design (Selle, 2011) and how processes of co-creation should make use of technical expertise for providing physical actions and solutions (Sheppard, 2001). We argue that inclusive approaches to urbanism need technical expertise in design for two main reasons: in order to accelerate decision making and for envisioning future scenarios that laymen eventually do not take into account because these are not part of their technical background.




Figure 2. Renderings of Piazza Leonardo da Vinci (Milan) represented during day and night.



Figure 4. 'Città Studi Campus Sostenibile': alternative solutions for the transformation of Piazza Leonardo da Vinci discussed with the local community and public authorities

Applications of modeling and simulation for the sustainable campus initiative

In particular, in our work for the sustainable campus initiative, we used simulations with multiple aims, mainly communication, design, evaluation and monitoring. All these applications constitute our approach to design and are diversely used at different stages during the process. Below, we report the main steps when the models were used during the process.

Firstly, a launch video for the campus vision was proposed in order to engage the local community (both university and citizens) and share the initiative and the broader commitment to sustainability for the renewal of the entire district (Fig. 3). This specific use of visuals was supposed to accelerate participation even if it was initiated from the top. In other words, the whole sustainable campus project which was at first launched with a top-down approach aimed actually to

boost a bottom-up reaction and activate a self-sustained process.

In a second stage, we started envisioning future transformation of places using simulations based on the digital urban model of the district. The laboratory was not in charge of providing design schemes, but to collect and support incoming suggestions from the participation tables and the projects. Hence, what we proposed was to work on comparative studies and not providing one solution only, but to make evidence of the different characteristics of alternative design schemes. In particular, we propose simple drawings showing alternative design solutions supported by quantifications (Fig. 4) and we built up an interface where current conditions of places could be simultaneously compared to alternative design solutions from a subjective (visual) point of view (Fig. 5). In particular, the online interface allows navigating at the same time the spherical picture of the present condition and the digital image from the same point of view. Hence, this technique enables to facilitate the understanding of the impact of design.

We had the chance to test these tools with the community through two different modalities, namely public meetings and online discussion through social networks. Displaying alternative solutions supported by quantifications or enriched through dynamic features enhanced the discussion and enabled the convergence among the different stakeholders during meetings with the public authorities. Hence, we argue that the use of alternative design schemes enables to leverage the relationship among the actors at the table, because no one is meant to be the proponent or supporter of a unique solution. Moreover, since all the actors are at the same page, displaying multiple solutions allows introducing and making visible to everyone all the ingredients for setting up a concrete discussion on the physical elements of design whereby the participants have a certain degree of freedom given by the arguments emerging from the visualizations. Hence, even if not professionals, all the actors can make use of the model and react to their stimuli, thus effectively contributing to design. In short, we argue that simulation facilitates decision making if used in a proper way.

The risk is that a steered use of visualizations could drive the process towards the solution preferred by the proponent of the simulation. Hence, the proponent has to be neutral at this stage of the process, and does not have to convince people on one solution, but only deliver a series of elements to orient decision making. Only at a later stage of the process, once the convergence on one scenario has been reached, simulations can go deeper into the detail of design and provide more refined information. In short, a sort of trial-and-error and responsive process is emerging, whereby the mutual contribution of professionals and the community are co-creating the design solution within a collaborative process.



Figure 5. The online digital interface for comparing simulation and reality. The application on Piazza Leonardo da Vinci with alternative design schemes tested on the spherical image (www.labsimurb.polimi.it/tour/sim/pLeo.html)



Figure 3. 'Città Studi Campus Sostenibile': frames from the launch video for the strategic vision (superimposition of transformation scenarios on the photos representing the actual condition)

Moreover, in order to reinforce collaboration, we started to explore the use of social networks as integral part of the process. The increased reachability of people and sharing of ideas enabled by the World Wide Web opens up new possibilities for diffusing design and the use of simulation. In particular, we are testing the use of social networks as a novel and powerful opportunity for simulations to be shared and discussed through a e-collaborative process that will speed up public discussion at the organized tables with the community. In fact, online participation does not require people to sit down at the same time at the table and can thus happen in an asynchronous way, without losing relevance.

We are testing the use of Facebook® for promoting the renewal of via Celoria, a relevant street that crosses the university district and has the potential of becoming a terrific university walk between the two universities of Città Studi. The online page³ (Fig. 6) was opened in March 2014 and effectively promoted in the late spring

of 2014. We can count more than 100 followers as of June 2014. Facebook® represents an easy way to reach people and catch their attention, especially students. Anyway, Facebook® was not designed for the purpose we intend to use it, hence we had to adapt our work program according to the features offered by the social network, which enables different possibilities to sustain collaborative design.

On this page we uploaded three general scenarios represented by simple schemes showing three different car-accessibility scenarios, from a simple reduction of parking lots on the street, to the creation of a traffic calming area, to the creation of a car-free pedestrian area. The design alternatives are represented through plan views accompanied by the quantification of parking spaces and pedestrian spaces and simplified 3-D views of the street. Additionally, an online survey among the three scenarios was launched and the collection of comments on the schemes was encouraged. Anyway, we noticed that the use of



Figure 6. The use of social networks to promote the renewal of via Celoria in the university district



Figure 7. The attendance to public events to promote the renewal of via Celoria in the university district, where we make use of the physical model of the street, the use of posters with digital representations of places and the links to promote the online e-collaboration through social networks.

internet alone was not enough to support the initiative of the street renewal and the attendance at public events with a physical model, posters and postcards integrates the process (Fig. 7). Moreover, the physical model displayed at public events is actually the main attractor for engaging people and reconnecting to the digital world (the phygital bridge).

Considerations and future work

The use of the urban model reveals to be not just a tool for communication, evaluation and design, but it enables to structure a complex process towards a co-shared design approach: for instance, it can be used to engage people and create a critical mass to support (or contrast) urban transformation, to discuss projects, to evaluate alternative design schemes, to design and co-design places. In short, within an inclusive process, working on models and simulations can accelerate the decision making process in a very effective way.

The model is a hub in a double sense: firstly, it represents the anchor point where all the stakeholders can easily refer to for providing observations, changes, evaluations etc.; secondly, the urban model is the basis for enabling further simulations and studies (e.g. environmental and urban studies).

Of course, modeling and simulating future environments requires technical skills and resources (mainly human resources and data). Thanks to the advancement of ICT and the delivery of more user-friendly software, techniques are becoming cheaper and less time consuming. Future work will aim at collecting the outcomes of the numerous ongoing research projects on sustainability through the campus model and map the memories and the evolution of the process.

References

- Bosselmann, Peter. *Representation of Places: Reality and Realism in City Design*. Berkeley, Los Angeles, London: University of California Press, 1998.
- Batty, Mike. "Model cities", UCL Working Papers Series 113. London: University College London, CASA, 2007.
- Bosselmann, Peter. *Urban Transformation: Understanding City Form and Design*. Washington: Island Press, 2008.
- Appleyard, Donald. "Understanding Professional Media". In: Altman, Irwin, Rapoport, A., & Wohlwill, J. F. (Eds.), *Human Behavior and Environment*. New York: Plenum Press. 43–88, 1977.
- Piga, Barbara E.A., Cibien, Laura, Legnani, Anna, Mungo, Simona, Zappa, Davide, Signorelli, Valerio, Morello, Eugenio. "A sense-archive of urban space" in Morello E., Piga B. E. A. (eds.), *Envisioning Architecture: Design, Evaluation, Communication - Proceedings of the 11th conference of the European Architectural Envisioning Association*, Roma: Edizioni Nuova Cultura, 251-258, 2013.
- Piga, Barbara E.A., Morello, Eugenio. "Perceptual simulation for urban design: its use for developing and sharing urban design guidelines" in Morello E., Piga B. E. A. (eds.), *Envisioning Architecture: Design, Evaluation, Communication - Proceedings of the 11th conference of the European Architectural Envisioning Association*, Roma: Edizioni Nuova Cultura, 259-266, 2013.
- Selle, Klaus "»Participation« oder: Beteiligen wir uns zu Tode" *Planung Neu Denken* 3 (2013) 1-19.
- Videira Lopes, C., Lindström, C. "Virtual Cities in Urban Planning: The Uppsala Case Study" *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research* 7:3 (2012): 17–18.
- Sheppard, Stephen R.J. "Guidance for Crystal Ball Gazers: Developing a Code of Ethics for Landscape Visualization" *Landscape and Urban Planning* 54 (2001): 183–99.

Footnotes

- www.campus-sostenibile.polimi.it (Politecnico di Milano and Università degli Studi di Milano)
- Laboratorio di Simulazione Urbana 'Fausto Curti' (www.labsimurb.polimi.it) – DASTU, Politecnico di Milano
- www.facebook.com/pages/Via-Celoria-Milano-Città-Studi-Campus-Sostenibile/433083053490339?ref_type=bookmark