

Photovoice as a research method for exploring nutrition and diet quality at a household level: the case of the Mau Narok-Cheragany complex in Kenya

Ndungu Nyokabi

Institute for Global Prosperity (IGP), University College London (UCL), United Kingdom and University of Edinburgh Business School, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Lisette Phelan

Environmental Economics and Natural Resources group (ENR)
Department of Social Sciences, Wageningen University and Research (WUR), Netherlands

Henrietta L. Moore

Institute for Global Prosperity (IGP), University College London (UCL), United Kingdom

Photovoice as a research method

- Photovoice is rooted in **problem-based inquiry** and draws from **empowerment education for critical consciousness, feminist theory** and **documentary photography** theoretical frameworks.
- Photovoice **democratises** the **research processes** by **acknowledging** the **agency** of research participants.
- Photovoice as a research tool generates **accurate information** and can **catalyse social change** in a community as it facilitates the **sharing of lived experiences** and **generates context-relevant knowledge**.
- Photovoice is a **user-friendly data collection method** that enables **community participation** – most smartphones have cameras.
- It is a **less invasive, extractive research method** – fewer **ethics and privacy-related risks**.

Nutrition and diet quality at a household level

- **Household dietary choices are important for health and nutrition quality**, particularly for children.
- Household **dietary diversity** and **choice** are influenced by **access to food, cost, and preparation time** among other factors.
- **Low dietary diversity** in Kenya **causes stunting, malnutrition and cognitive developmental delay**.
- **Dietary choices** are **linked to emerging non-communicable diseases** such as obesity and cardiovascular problems.
- **Improving dietary diversity at household level can contribute to children's health status, reduce disease burdens, and contribute to livelihood sustainability.**

Study area and methodology

- Research conducted in seven counties in Kenya: **Uasin Gishu, Elgeyo-Marakwet, Nandi, Narok, Bomet, Kericho and Trans Nzoia**
- **16 citizen scientists** working for the Prosperity Co-learning Laboratory program (PROCOL-Africa) **identified 70 households**, mainly smallholder farmers.
- Recruited **households took pictures of their meals** including breakfast, lunch, dinner, fruits and snacks and **shared that with the research team via WhatsApp**.
- Photographs were printed and **discussions were held** to provide context and understand **drivers of dietary diversity at household level**.
- **Focus group discussions and key informant interviews** were conducted to gain further insights into household drivers of dietary diversity.

Research objective

- Over **900 photos of foods and meals** were submitted by smallholder farming households to the research team and used to explore the households' dietary diversity and quality.
- Households consumed a **high amount of carbohydrates and vegetables** and **low amounts of proteins and fruits**.
- Due to changes in farming practices, traditional foods particularly vegetables, fruits and tubers were reported to be 'disappearing'.
- Households' dietary choice was influenced by **concerns about nutrition, age, food availability, food prices at the market, food preparation time, and off-farm income availability**, among other factors.





Food group based on submitted pictures

	Food Group	(n=962)	%
1	Cereals and breads	784	81.4
2	Roots or tubers	62	6.4
3	Legumes and pulses	177	18.4
4	Fish shellfish seafood	16	1.7
5	Eggs	18	1.9
6	Milk and dairy products	95	9.9
7	Vegetables	372	38.7
8	Fruits	75	7.8
9	Sugar or honey	0	0
10	Meat poultry offal	55	5.7
11	Oil fats	1	0.1
12	Miscellaneous & other foods, such as coffee and tea	414	43.0

Food group consumption based on recall method

	Food Group	Last 24 Hrs (n)	%	Last 7 days.(n)	%
1	Cereals and breads	120	91.6	125	95.4
2	Roots or tubers	63	48.1	101	77.1
3	Legumes and pulses	44	33.6	72	55.0
4	Fish shellfish seafood	10	7.6	36	27.5
5	Eggs	55	42.0	100	76.3
6	Milk and dairy products	177	89.3	125	95.4
7	Vegetables	127	96.9	128	97.7
8	Fruits	66	50.4	113	86.3
9	Sugar or honey	97	74.6	111	84.7
10	Meat poultry offal	20	15.3	76	58.0
11	Oil fats	118	90.1	124	94.7
12	Miscellaneous & other foods, such as coffee and tea	76	58.0	95	72.5

Research objective

- Photovoice **empowers individuals and communities** to **participate and contribute** to the research process.
- **Information collected** through Photovoice can be more **accurate** than classical recall methods.
- **Knowledge and information** collected is **context-specific** and can guide the design of **tailored interventions**.
- There are **challenges** associated with Photovoice, including **fatigue** and **dropouts**, and the **low inclusion of poor households** without access to mobile phones and/or internet access.
- Photovoice **addresses the power imbalance** between **the researcher** and **the researched** and can facilitate **co-learning, empowerment** and **community participation**.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the PROCOL-Africa citizen science team:

- Joel Kibet Bitok
- Joseph Kipngetich Kones
- Hellen Jepngetich Cheptoo
- Noah Kiplagat
- Andrew Kibet Yano
- Nelson Kirotych Bailengo
- Joseph Kimutai Cheptorus
- Thompson Kipkore Kiptum
- Chepngeno Selim
- Fredrick Keriasek
- Beatrice Kibelekenya
- Stephen Arap Tuwei
- Timothy Kipkeu Kiprutto
- Solomon Ole Ntaiya
- Evelyn Njau
- Wycliffe Guguni.



<https://www.procolkenya.com>

This work is dedicated to the memory of our late colleague, **Duncan Suter Kipkore**, a citizen scientist from Eldoret.