1 2

Title: The global loss of avian functional and phylogenetic diversity from anthropogenic extinctions

Authors: Thomas J. Matthews^{1,2*}, Kostas A. Triantis³, Joseph P. Wayman¹, Thomas E.
Martin^{4,5}, Julian P. Hume⁶, Pedro Cardoso^{7,8}, Søren Faurby^{9,10}, Chase D. Mendenhall¹¹, Paul
Dufour^{12,13}, François Rigal^{2,14}, Rob Cooke¹⁵, Robert J. Whittaker^{16,17}, Alex L. Pigot¹⁸, Christophe
Thébaud¹⁹, Maria Wagner Jørgensen¹, Eva Benavides¹, Filipa C. Soares²⁰, Werner Ulrich²¹,
Yasuhiro Kubota²², Jon P. Sadler¹, Joseph. A. Tobias²³⁺, Ferran Sayol²⁴⁺

8 Affiliations:

- 9 ¹GEES (School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences) and Birmingham Institute of
- 10 Forest Research, University of Birmingham; Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.
- ¹¹ ²CE3C Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes/Azorean Biodiversity Group
- 12 / CHANGE Global Change and Sustainability Institute and Universidade dos Açores Faculty
- 13 of Agricultural Sciences and Environment; PT-9700-042, Angra do Heroísmo, Açores, Portugal.
- ¹⁴ ³Department of Ecology and Taxonomy, Faculty of Biology, National and Kapodistrian University
- 15 of Athens; Athens GR-15784, Greece.
- ¹⁶ ⁴School of Natural Sciences, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Bangor
- 17 University; UK.
- ¹⁸ ⁵Operation Wallacea, Wallace House, Old Bolingbroke, Lincolnshire, PE23 4EX, UK.
- ⁶Bird Group, Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum; Akeman Street, Tring HP23
- 20 6AP, UK.
- ²¹ ⁷Laboratory for Integrative Biodiversity Research (LIBRe), Finnish Museum of Natural History
- 22 Luomus, University of Helsinki; Helsinki, Finland.
- ²³ ⁸CE3C Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes / CHANGE Global Change
- and Sustainability Institute, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon; Portugal.
- ⁹Department of Biological & Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg; Gothenburg,
 Sweden.
- ²⁷¹⁰Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences,
- 28 University of Gothenburg; Gothenburg, Sweden.
- ²⁹ ¹¹Slippery Rock University, 1 Morrow Way, Slippery Rock, PA 16057, USA.
- ³⁰ ¹²CEFE, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE-PSL University, IRD; Montpellier, France.
- ³¹ ¹³Station Biologique de la Tour du Valat; Arles, France.
- 32 ¹⁴CNRS Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour E2S UPPA, Institut Des Sciences Analytiques
- et de Physico Chimie pour L'environnement et les Materiaux; UMR5254, 64000 Pau, France.

- ³⁴ ¹⁵UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology; Maclean Building, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford,
- 35 Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, UK.
- ¹⁶School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford; Oxford, UK.
- ³⁷ ¹⁷Center for Macroecology, Evolution and Climate, GLOBE Institute, University of Copenhagen;
- 38 Copenhagen, Denmark.
- ³⁹ ¹⁸Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, Department of Genetics, Evolution and
- 40 Environment, University College London; Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK.
- ⁴¹ ¹⁹Centre de Recherche sur la Biodiversité et l'Environnement (CRBE), UMR 5300 Centre National
- 42 de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD),
- 43 Université Paul Sabatier (Toulouse III); Toulouse Cedex 9, France.
- ⁴⁴ ²⁰Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (cE3c), Departamento de Biologia
- 45 Animal & CHANGE Global Change and Sustainability Institute, Faculdade de Ciências,
- 46 Universidade de Lisboa; 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal.
- ⁴⁷ ²¹Department of Ecology and Biogeography, Nicolaus Copernicus University; Toruń, Poland
- ⁴⁸ ²²Faculty of Science, University of the Ryukyus; Okinawa, Japan.
- ⁴⁹ ²³Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College; London, Ascot, UK.
- ⁵⁰ ²⁴CREAF, Edifici C Campus UAB, E08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Catalonia, Spain
- 51 *Corresponding author. Email: <u>txm676@gmail.com</u>
- 52 +Shared senior authorship
- 53 Abstract: Humans have been driving a global erosion of species richness for millennia, but the
- 54 consequences of past extinctions for other dimensions of biodiversity functional and
- 55 phylogenetic diversity are poorly known. Here, we show that, since the Late Pleistocene, the
- 66 extinction of 610 bird species has caused a disproportionate loss of the global avian functional
- 57 space along with ~3 billion years of unique evolutionary history. For island endemics,
- proportional losses have been even greater. Projected future extinctions of more than 1000
- 59 species over the next two centuries will incur further substantial reductions in functional and 60 phylogenetic diversity. These results highlight the severe consequences of the ongoing
- biodiversity crisis and the urgent need to identify the ecological functions being lost through
- 62 extinction.
- 63
- 64 **One-Sentence Summary:** Anthropogenic bird extinctions caused major losses of global
- 65 functional and phylogenetic diversity.
- 66

67 Main Text

The last 130,000 years have been characterised by substantial global environmental change due

69 to natural climatic fluctuations and, increasingly, human actions, through drivers including

habitat loss, hunting, introduced species, intensive agriculture and climate change (1,2).

71 Anthropogenic drivers are known to have increased species extinction rates by orders of

magnitude compared to the background extinction rate (1,3,4). Species losses have been

especially severe on islands, with insular species representing c.75% of IUCN documented post-

1500 CE extinctions despite islands comprising only c.7% of Earth's land area (2,5).

Birds have been particularly impacted, with hundreds of known extinctions (6-10). 75 However, biodiversity is multidimensional and the ecological and evolutionary consequences of 76 this species loss are still not fully understood (11, 12). Birds contribute a range of important 77 78 ecological functions, including pollination, predator-prey interactions, and seed dispersal (13-79 17). The ecological role of particular species is dictated by their functional traits: the morphological and ecological characteristics determining an organism's fitness or performance 80 (17–19). Thus, estimates of functional diversity (FD) – the range of functional traits of all 81 species in an assemblage - can provide a more mechanistic understanding of the effects of 82 extinctions on ecosystem function than the traditional focus on species richness (17,19,20). In 83 84 addition, phylogenetic diversity (PD) – the breadth of evolutionary history represented by a set of species - provides a complementary metric of ecological structure, offering insight into both 85 the evolutionary processes shaping biodiversity and unmeasured niche dimensions that may not 86 87 be captured in a given trait dataset (21-25). A combination of FD and PD therefore provides a vital window onto the ecological implications of extinction and the uniqueness of the species that 88 have been lost. 89

Bird extinctions during the Late Pleistocene and Holocene, which on some archipelagos 90 represent most of the native avifauna (26), are thought to have reduced avian FD and PD (8), but 91 to what extent is unclear. Given the apparent high functional overlap among bird species at 92 global scales, a null expectation would be that anthropogenic extinctions have resulted in 93 relatively small reductions in global FD and PD (16,27). However, species traits are known to 94 95 have influenced the susceptibility of island birds to extinction drivers (2, 10, 28). Hence, we may expect the loss of FD over this period to have exceeded that predicted by a null model that 96 assumes no association between traits and extinction. If these traits are non-randomly associated 97 with phylogenetic uniqueness, we may also expect PD loss to have been greater than expected. 98 To date, these combined hypotheses remain untested at the global scale. 99

100 Here, we provide complete global estimates of the avian FD and PD lost through anthropogenic extinctions over the last 130,000 years, as well as estimates of the magnitude of 101 expected future loss. As a first step, we compiled the most comprehensive dataset to date of all 102 known bird extinctions during the Late Pleistocene and Holocene, distinguishing between 103 anthropogenic extinctions and extinction events of unknown cause (29). For each extinct species, 104 105 we measured eight functional traits (including beak, tarsus, and wing length) from museum skins and skeletal specimens (fig. S1). All are continuous traits previously shown to provide accurate 106 and fine-grained information on the functional, behavioural and trophic niches of birds (16,27). 107 To augment these measurements, we obtained published trait values from the literature where 108 possible (including body mass) and filled remaining data gaps using Bayesian Hierarchical 109 Probabilistic Matrix Factorization (29,30). This dataset was combined with a dataset of traits 110 111 measured using the same methods from all the world's 11,003 extant bird species (17).

Using these global datasets, we calculated the amount of avian FD that has been lost 112 through extinctions using kernel density hypervolumes built with the one-class support vector 113 machine (SVM) method (31,32). FD was measured as the total volume of the hypervolume 114 (functional richness), a measure of the amount of trait space occupied by an assemblage (32). To 115 assess the robustness of our conclusions, we also calculated FD (i) as the dispersion of points 116 within the hypervolume (functional dispersion; 32), (ii) using body mass corrected traits, and (iii) 117 with alternative approaches, including neighbour joining trees and convex hulls. We also 118 examined specific traits or trait combinations known to be important indicators of bird function: 119 body mass (correlated with a range of key functional attributes; 16), hand-wing index (HWI; a 120 measure of wing shape predicting dispersal ability; 33), and beak morphology (linked to trophic 121 niche and resource competition; 16,17). Finally, we developed a null model to test whether the 122 observed losses of FD were greater than expected based on the number of extinct species (10). 123

Using published data and expert taxonomic knowledge, we built a global bird phylogeny (fig. S2) including all known Late Pleistocene and Holocene extinct species by grafting the extinct species onto trees from the posterior distribution provided by (25). Using multiple phylogenetic tree topologies to account for phylogenetic uncertainty, alongside the same null model architecture as for FD, we then estimated the amount of avian PD that has been lost through extinction. PD was measured using Faith's PD metric (23) and the phylogenetic dispersion metric of (34).

We split our dataset into four subsets relating to different time periods: (i) species that 131 were extant 130,000 years ago ('All'), including all extant and known extinct species, (ii) species 132 recognised by the IUCN Red List as being extant in 1500 CE ('IUCN'), (iii) species that are 133 currently extant (Current ['Cur'] avifauna), and (iv) hypothetical simulated Future ['Fut'] 134 135 scenarios (the avifauna predicted to be present in 200 years' time) where a number of currently extant species have gone extinct (29). In the latter case, a species' extinction likelihood was 136 weighted by their current IUCN Red List classification and generation length. We then assessed 137 FD and PD loss across three time periods (see Fig. 1) by comparing: (i) the species known to be 138 present 130K BP and the current global avifauna (All→Cur), (ii) the species considered extant in 139 1500 CE by the IUCN and the current avifauna (IUCN→Cur), and (iii) the current and simulated 140 future avifaunas (Cur→Fut). The All→Cur comparison represents the total loss of FD and PD 141 from known extinctions, while the IUCN \rightarrow Cur comparison corresponds to the IUCN-142 documented loss since 1500 CE. The IUCN→Cur comparison offers a useful perspective given 143 that previous analyses of bird extinctions (e.g., 35,36) have generally focused on this more-144 recent subset of extinction events, allowing us to determine how far such studies underestimate 145 the true loss of diversity from anthropogenic extinctions. We first ran the analyses considering 146 all the world's bird species (the 'global avifauna'). Then, given that most known bird extinctions 147 involve island endemics (2,8,10), we (i) reran the analyses focusing only on this subset of species 148 and (ii) assessed the contribution of island endemics to overall FD and PD loss (29). 149

150

151 Global loss of avian functional and phylogenetic diversity from extinction

152 Over the last 130,000 years, we found records of 610 avian extinctions globally (Fig. 1),

- representing 5.3% of the known avifauna occurring within the period (based on the BirdLife
- 154 taxonomy for extant species; 17). Of these global extinctions, 165 occurred post-1500 CE and
- are documented by IUCN. We found evidence (see SI^{29}) that humans have contributed to most of
- these 610 extinctions (at least 562 species; 92%). Focusing exclusively on these 562 species
- suggests an anthropogenic extinction rate over the 130,000-year period of at least 0.37

- extinctions per million species per year (E/MSY), a value that increases to ~ 28 E/MSY when 158
- considering only IUCN-documented extinctions since 1500 CE. Both these values are likely 159 higher than the background extinction rate (1). All these estimates are limited to known
- 160
- extinctions, and it is likely that there are many as-yet-undiscovered extinct bird species, 161 particularly those that disappeared before 1500 CE (3, 6, 37, 38). Indeed, a recent study (37) that 162
- combined known extinctions with models utilising data on fossil-record completeness estimated 163
- 1,430 bird extinctions over the same 130,000-year time-period, suggesting an accelerated 164
- anthropogenic extinction rate over this period of 0.88 E/MSY. 165
- The known bird extinctions since the Late Pleistocene (All \rightarrow Cur) have resulted in a loss 166 167 of \sim 7% of avian functional diversity (FD), quantified as the total volume of the functional hypervolume (31,32). This FD loss was significantly larger than expected under random 168 extinction (P < 0.01; Fig. 3; tables S1-S2). Given the extensive functional overlap exhibited by 169 birds at a global scale (16, 19, 27), random extinction would be expected to result in much smaller 170 percentage losses of FD (a median 1.6% decrease estimated from 1000 null model runs, well 171 below the percentage loss of species [5.3%]; see also Fig. 3). The loss of FD (3%; volume of the 172 173 functional hypervolume) was also greater than expected for the IUCN \rightarrow Cur comparison (P = 0.047). Our estimates therefore suggest that avian extinction has been non-random with respect 174 to traits, with certain types of species (e.g. large-bodied, flightless, ground-nesting; 10,28,39) 175 more likely to have been lost. These patterns of FD loss also indicate that extinct species 176 contributed disproportionately in terms of unique ecological functions. 177
- When considering all avian extinctions (All \rightarrow Cur), there has also been a ~3% loss of 178 phylogenetic diversity (PD), measured using Faith's PD metric (median value across 50 179 phylogenies = 3.3%; range = 3.0-3.5%; Fig. 2). Overall, approximately 3 billion years of unique 180 181 evolutionary history have been lost (median value across 50 phylogenies = 2.91; range = 2.51-3.31 billion years). However, in contrast to functional traits, PD loss was not significantly greater 182 than expected for any of the 50 analysed phylogenies for the All-Cur comparison or the 183 IUCN→Cur comparison (Fig. 3; tables S3 and S4). These findings are likely related to the fact 184 that, while three entire avian Orders (Aepyornithiformes [elephant birds], Dinornithiformes 185
- [moas] and Gastornithiformes: Dromornithidae [demon ducks]; 7) have been lost, known 186 extinctions have also involved the loss of multiple species within groups of numerous relatively 187
- young and closely-related species (e.g., Macaronesian quails and Pacific Island rails). 188
- Island endemics have suffered disproportionate losses: 489 extinct species were island 189 endemics (22% of the total known island endemic avifauna at 130K BP). These extinctions 190 resulted in a significantly greater than expected loss (All→Cur) of 31% of the FD of island 191 endemic birds (P < 0.01), and an average of 17% loss of PD, again similar to that predicted by 192 null models in the majority of cases (47 out of 50 phylogenies) (Fig. 3). For the IUCN→Cur 193 comparison, the loss of FD (13%) was also greater than expected (P < 0.01), while the loss of PD 194 (average of 5%) was not significantly different than expected. The extinction of island endemic 195 species accounts for 78% of the total loss of FD over the last 130K years, and a median of 70% 196 of estimated PD losses (66%-73% across 50 phylogenies). 197
- The sensitivity of island endemics to extinction is well known, arising from their small 198 geographical ranges and population sizes, coupled with the evolution of trait combinations 199 associated with increased extinction risk (e.g., flightlessness; 28). The preponderance of island 200 extinctions and the morphological uniqueness of island fauna (2) may help to explain why we 201 202 find that anthropogenic extinctions have resulted in greater than expected losses of FD, but not PD. Specifically, many island taxa have undergone divergent trait evolution (e.g., as a result of 203

the island rule or rapid adaptive radiation; 2,5), and extinction clusters on archipelagos can wipe
out multiple relatively young yet morphologically distinctive species (e.g., extinct Hawaiian
honeycreepers). Overall, patterns of lost FD and PD support the view that anthropogenic
extinctions are not targeted towards evolutionary uniqueness, but instead tend to remove species
with high morphological and ecological uniqueness (*19*). Irrespective of the underlying
mechanisms, our results highlight how FD and PD can show distinct patterns of loss, and caution
against the widespread use of PD as a proxy for FD (*21,22*).

To further explore the impact of extinctions on FD and PD, we estimated the contribution 211 of each species to overall FD (measured using a dendrogram) and PD (see the 'Functional and 212 phylogenetic contributions' section in 29). Overall, extinct species and threatened extant species 213 (together comprising ~20% of total FD) represent significantly larger contributions to the total 214 215 FD than expected based on the number of species involved, whereas lower-risk species contributed significantly less (Fig. 4 and tables S12–S13). The results were similar for island 216 endemics, although here, extinct and threatened extant species represent 50% of the total FD of 217 island endemics (Fig. 4). The summed contribution values across groups (extinct, threatened, 218 219 lower-risk) were similar for PD, and were consistent across the 50 phylogenies (table S14). However, there was more variation in the significance of contribution values across phylogenies 220 221 for each of the three groups, although there were no cases where extinct species contributed 222 significantly more to total PD than expected (table S15). Anthropogenic extinctions contributed a much larger proportion (~5% in both cases) of total FD and PD (i.e., the FD and PD present 223 130,000 years ago) compared to the extinctions of unknown cause (<1% of both total FD and 224 225 PD) (Fig. 4).

Results were broadly consistent when using alternative FD approaches and metrics, with 226 227 only minor differences (figs. S3-S6 & S10, tables S5-S11). For example, functional and phylogenetic dispersion both decreased significantly, by 2% and 1% respectively (and 7% and 228 5% respectively for island endemics), in the All \rightarrow Cur comparison. In the All \rightarrow Cur and 229 IUCN→Cur comparisons, FD loss was significantly greater than the null expectation across all 230 three primary FD metrics tested (hypervolumes, convex hulls and trees). FD loss for the 231 All→Cur comparison was slightly larger than in our main analyses when using body mass 232 233 corrected traits (e.g., FD loss of 10% for the global avifauna) and convex hulls, but slightly lower when using trees, Gaussian hypervolumes and hypervolumes fitted using only body shape axes. 234 235

236 Predicted future loss of avian functional and phylogenetic diversity

Our simulations predict that c.1,305 bird species could go extinct over the next 200 years (based 237 on the BirdLife taxonomy; the equivalent number for the BirdTree taxonomy is 1,141). These 238 239 simulated future extinctions (Cur→Fut; Fig. 3) generate decreases of an average of 6% of FD and 7% of PD relative to current assemblage values (no. simulations = 100; details in tables S1-240 S8). Similar patterns were obtained for island endemics (Fig. 3), although the forecasted average 241 reductions in FD (17%) and PD (15%) are even more severe. These scenarios indicate that, 242 without effective conservation actions to avert further losses of avian biodiversity, future 243 extinctions may have severe consequences on ecosystem functioning and resilience (19,20,40-244 43). 245

Interestingly, while the loss of FD (measured using a hypervolume) under our future extinction scenarios (global avifauna) was significantly larger than expected given random species loss (Z = -1.75 & P = 0.04; Table S2), the loss of PD was not (Table S4). The latter

- finding matches our analysis of extinct species, as well as previous studies of both mammals and
- birds (24,43). Further analysis indicated that the apparently random future loss of PD was not
- simply an artefact of our simulations, but instead indicates that threatened bird species are not,
- collectively, more phylogenetically unique than expected (see the 'Additional analyses' section in 29). Also noteworthy is that future FD loss was not significantly higher than expected when
- measured using convex hulls, indicating that the species selected to go extinct in our simulations
- are located at various points within morphospace rather than being focused exclusively around
- 256 the periphery (but see 19).

257 Extinction-driven changes in the distributions of individual traits

As well as overall FD, we observed (sometimes substantial) changes in the distributions of

- individual traits due to extinctions (full results presented in tables S16-S17). Median body mass
- and body mass standard deviation (SD) decreased significantly more than expected across both
- time frames (All \rightarrow Cur, IUCN \rightarrow Cur), for both the global avifauna and island endemics, with the exception of body mass SD for the global avifauna IUCN \rightarrow Cur comparison (fig. S7). These
- decreases were relatively large (e.g. All \rightarrow Cur: 7% and 27% decreases in median body mass and
- 77% and 98% decreases in the SD of body mass, for the global avifauna and island endemics,
- respectively). There were significant decreases in median hand-wing index (HWI; higher HWI \approx
- greater dispersal ability) for both comparisons (e.g. All \rightarrow Cur comparison: 2% and 5% decreases
- for the global avifauna and island endemics, respectively) (fig. S8). The volume of avian beak
- 268 morphospace did not significantly decrease across either comparison when focusing on the
- global avifauna, but there were significant decreases in the All \rightarrow Cur comparison for island endemics (15% decrease; fig. S9). In the Cur \rightarrow Fut global avifauna comparison, simulated future
- endemics (15% decrease; fig. S9). In the Cur \rightarrow Fut global avifauna comparison, simulated future bird species extinctions would cause a significant further 3% decrease in median body mass (fig.
- S7), and a non-significant 3% decrease in the volume of beak morphospace (fig. S9).

While the changes in median and SD of body mass following extinction match *a priori* expectations (*10,39*), the decrease in median HWI (lower HWI generally representing poorer dispersal ability) may seem counter-intuitive. However, this may be because while flightless bird species (whose extinction would increase median HWI, all else being equal) are known to have been disproportionately affected by extinction (*28, 39*), many groups of species with relatively high dispersal ability, such as Procellariiformes and Charadriiformes, have also been heavily impacted (*2,10,40,44*).

280

281 Implications of avian extinctions for ecosystem function

Previous work based on genomic data found evidence that avian FD remained relatively stable 282 for a million years before the global spread of humans, albeit with some changes in particular 283 areas of functional space (45). Our results reveal that this situation has changed substantially 284 over the last 130,000 years: the global avifauna has undergone substantial recent declines in 285 functional diversity, coupled with large losses of evolutionary history. This is particularly 286 concerning for islands, where approximately 50% of the FD and PD of island endemic birds has 287 been lost or is threatened with future loss (Fig. 4). Some have already lost almost all of their 288 native bird species (6,26). Similar processes of functional decline may be underway on 289

continents, where species losses are increasing as extinction debts related to habitat loss start to

291 be paid (46).

Given the wide range of important ecological roles performed by birds, the loss of avian FD has far-reaching implications for overall ecosystem functionality. It is likely that particular ecosystem services beneficial to humans have been impaired (*41*), although the specific impacts in a given ecosystem will depend on the type and magnitude of local losses. Removal of avian functional diversity can have various negative consequences, including disrupted mutualistic (*47*) and antagonistic interaction networks (*13*), resulting in reduced flower pollination (*15*, *48*), reduced seed dispersal (*12*, *14*), the breakdown of top-down control of insect populations,

including many pests and disease vectors (41), as well as increased disease outbreaks due to

- reduced consumption of carrion (40). In addition, the downsizing of the global avifauna that we
- have documented here will likely affect the ability of many plant species to track present and
- 302 future climate change (49).

Overall, these results are a timely reminder that the current extinction crisis is not just about species numbers. By identifying declines in avian functional and phylogenetic diversity driven by human actions, our findings highlight the urgent need to understand and predict the impacts of past and future anthropogenic extinctions on ecosystem function (*41*). This information is vital for setting effective targets for global conservation strategies, as well as ecosystem restoration and rewilding efforts (*50*).

309

310 **References and Notes**

- 3111.S. L. Pimm *et al.*, The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution,312and protection. *Science* **344**, 1246752 (2014).
- R. J. Whittaker, J. M. Fernández-Palacios, T. J. Matthews, *Island biogeography: geo- environmental dynamics, ecology, evolution, human impact, and conservation* (Oxford
 Univ. Press, 2023).
- 3. S. Pimm, P. Raven, A. Peterson, Ç. H. Şekercioğlu, P. R. Ehrlich, Human impacts on the
 rates of recent, present, and future bird extinctions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103,
 10941–10946 (2006).
- 4. T. Andermann, S. Faurby, S. T. Turvey, A. Antonelli, D. Silvestro, The past and future human impact on mammalian diversity. *Sci. Adv.* 6, eabb2313 (2020).
- 3215.J. M. Fernández-Palacios *et al.*, Scientists' warning the outstanding biodiversity of322islands is in peril. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **31**, e01847 (2021).
- B. W. Steadman, *Extinction and biogeography of tropical Pacific birds* (Univ. of Chicago Press, 2006).
- 325 7. J. P. Hume, *Extinct birds* (Bloomsbury, 2017).
- 3268.F. Sayol *et al.*, Loss of functional diversity through anthropogenic extinctions of island327birds is not offset by biotic invasions *Sci. Adv.* 7, eabj5790 (2021).
- F. C. Soares, R. F. de Lima, J. M. Palmeirim, P. Cardoso, A. S. L. Rodrigues, Combined
 effects of bird extinctions and introductions in oceanic islands: decreased functional
 diversity despite increased species richness. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 31, 1172-1183 (2022).
- 10.
 T. J. Matthews *et al.*, Threatened and extinct island endemic birds of the world:
 distribution, threats and functional diversity. *J. Biogeogr.* 49, 1920-1940 (2022).
- L. Valente *et al.*, A simple dynamic model explains the diversity of island birds
 worldwide. *Nature* 579, 92–96 (2020).
- J. H. Heinen *et al.*, Novel plant–frugivore network on Mauritius is unlikely to
 compensate for the extinction of seed dispersers. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 1019 (2023).

337	13.	J. K. Carpenter <i>et al.</i> , The forgotten fauna: native vertebrate seed predators on islands.
338		<i>Funct. Ecol.</i> 34 , 1802–1813 (2020).
339	14.	Ç. H. Şekercioğlu, D. G. Wenny, C. J. Whelan, <i>Why birds matter: avian ecological</i>
340	1.5	function and ecosystem services (Univ. of Chicago Press, 2016).
341 342	15.	S. H. Anderson <i>et al.</i> Cascading effects of bird functional extinction reduce pollination and plant density. <i>Science</i> 331 , 1068–1071 (2011).
343	16.	A. L. Pigot <i>et al.</i> , Macroevolutionary convergence connects morphological form to
344		ecological function in birds. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 4, 230–239 (2020).
345	17.	J. A. Tobias <i>et al.</i> , AVONET: morphological, ecological and geographical data for all
346		birds. Ecol. Lett. 25, 581-597 (2022).
347	18.	D. Tilman, in <i>Encyclopedia of Biodiversity</i> , S. A. Levin, Ed. (Academic Press, 2001), pp.
348		109–120.
349	19.	J. R. Ali, B. W. Blonder, A. L. Pigot, J. A. Tobias, Bird extinctions threaten to cause
350		disproportionate reductions of functional diversity and uniqueness. Funct. Ecol. 37, 162-
351		175 (2023).
352	20.	C. P. Carmona <i>et al.</i> , Erosion of global functional diversity across the tree of life. <i>Sci.</i>
353	•	<i>Adv.</i> 7 , eabf2675 (2021).
354	21.	C. M. Tucker <i>et al.</i> , A guide to phylogenetic metrics for conservation, community
355	22	ecology and macroecology. <i>Biol. Rev.</i> 92, 698–715 (2017).
356	22.	F. Mazel <i>et al.</i> , Prioritizing phylogenetic diversity captures functional diversity
357	22	unreliably. Nat. Commun. 9, 2888 (2018).
358 250	23.	D. P. Falth, Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. <i>Biol. Conserv.</i> 01 , 1–10 (1002)
259 260	24	(1992). M. Davis, S. Faurby, J. C. Syanning, Mammal diversity will take millions of years to
361	24.	recover from the current biodiversity crisis <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci USA</i> 115 11262
362		11267 (2018)
363	25	W Jetz G H Thomas J B Joy K Hartmann A O Mooers The global diversity of
364		birds in space and time. <i>Nature</i> 491 , 444–448 (2012).
365	26.	M. Walther, J. P. Hume, <i>Extinct birds of Hawai'I</i> (Mutual Publishing, 2022).
366	27.	E. C. Hughes <i>et al.</i> , Global biogeographic patterns of avian morphological diversity.
367		<i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 25 , 598-610 (2022).
368	28.	F. Sayol, M. J. Steinbauer, T. M. Blackburn, A. Antonelli, S. Faurby, Anthropogenic
369		extinctions conceal widespread evolution of flightlessness in birds. Sci. Adv.6, eabb6095
370		(2020).
371	29.	Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials.
372	30.	F. Schrodt <i>et al.</i> , BHPMF – a hierarchical Bayesian approach to gap-filling and trait
373		prediction for macroecology and functional biogeography. <i>Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.</i> 24,
374		1510-1521 (2015).
375	31.	B. Blonder <i>et al.</i> , New approaches for delineating n-dimensional hypervolumes. <i>Methods</i>
376		<i>Ecol. Evol.</i> 9 , 305–319 (2018).
377	32.	S. Mammola, P. Cardoso, Functional diversity metrics using kernel density n-
378	22	dimensional hypervolumes. <i>Methods Ecol. Evol.</i> 11 , 986–995 (2020).
379	33.	C. Sheard <i>et al.</i> , Ecological drivers of global gradients in avian dispersal inferred from
380	24	wing morphology. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 11, 2463 (2020).
381	34.	C. O. WEDD, D. D. ACKETIY, M. A. MICPEEK, M. J. DONOGNUE, Phylogenies and
382 282	25	Community ecology. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 33, 4/3-303 (2002).
381 381	<i>33</i> .	J. K. SZAUU, N. KIIWAJA, S. I. GAIHELL, S. H. M. BUICHAIL, GIODAL PALETIS AND UNVERSION avian extinctions at the species and subspecies level PLOS ONE 7 a47080 (2012)
504		avian exametions at the species and subspecies level. $I LOS ONE I, 647000 (2012)$.

385	36.	M. J. Monroe, S. H. M. Butchart, A. O. Mooers, F. Bokma, The dynamics underlying
386		avian extinction trajectories forecast a wave of extinctions. Biol. Lett. 15, 20190633
387		(2019).
388	37.	R. Cooke et al., Undiscovered bird extinctions obscure the true magnitude of human-
389		driven extinction waves. Nat. Commun. 14, 8116 (2023).
390	38.	R. P. Duncan, A. G. Boyer, T. M. Blackburn, Magnitude and variation of prehistoric bird
391		extinctions in the Pacific. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 6436-6441 (2013).
392	39.	A. Fromm, S. Meiri, Big, flightless, insular and dead: characterising the extinct birds of
393		the Quaternary. J. Biogeogr. 48, 2350–2359 (2021).
394	40.	E. R. Buechley, Ç. H. Şekercioğlu, The avian scavenger crisis: looming extinctions,
395		trophic cascades, and loss of critical ecosystem functions. <i>Biol. Conserv.</i> 198, 220–228
396		(2016).
397	41.	Ç. H. Şekercioğlu, G. C. Daily, P. R. Ehrlich, Ecosystem consequences of bird declines.
398		Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101, 18042–18047 (2004).
399	42.	R. S. C. Cooke, F. Eigenbrod, A. E. Bates, Projected losses of global mammal and bird
400		ecological strategies. Nat. Commun. 10, 2279 (2019).
401	43.	E. C. Hughes, D. P. Edwards, G. H. Thomas, The homogenization of avian
402		morphological and phylogenetic diversity under the global extinction crisis. Curr. Biol.
403		32 , 3830-3837 (2022).
404	44.	C. Richards, R. S. C. Cooke, A. E. Bates, Biological traits of seabirds predict extinction
405		risk and vulnerability to anthropogenic threats. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 30, 973-986
406		(2021).
407	45.	R. R. Germain et al., Changes in the functional diversity of modern bird species over the
408		last million years. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 120, e2201945119 (2023).
409	46.	A. C. Lees, S. Attwood, J. Barlow, B. Phalan, Biodiversity scientists must fight the
410		creeping rise of extinction denial. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 4, 1440–1443 (2020).
411	47.	S. B. Case, C. E. Tarwater, Functional traits of avian frugivores have shifted following
412		species extinction and introduction in the Hawaiian Islands. Funct. Ecol. 34, 2467-2476
413		(2020).
414	48.	K. G. Leimberger, B. Dalsgaard, J. A. Tobias, C. Wolf, M. G. Betts, The evolution,
415		ecology, and conservation of hummingbirds and their interactions with flowering plants.
416		<i>Biol. Rev.</i> 97 , 923-959 (2022).
417	49.	E. C. Fricke, A. Ordonez, H. S. Rogers, JC. Svenning, The effects of defaunation on
418		plants' capacity to track climate change. Science 375, 210-214 (2022).
419	50.	A. H. Schweiger, I. Boulangeat, T. Conradi, M. Davis, JC. Svenning, The importance of
420		ecological memory for trophic rewilding as an ecosystem restoration approach. Biol. Rev.
421		94 , 1-15 (2019).
422	51.	T. J. Matthews et al., The global loss of avian functional and phylogenetic diversity from
423		anthropogenic extinctions [Dataset] (2024); https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.12jm63z44
424	52.	T. J. Matthews et al., The global loss of avian functional and phylogenetic diversity from
425		anthropogenic extinctions [Software] (2024); https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12505713
426	53.	I. Hershkovitz et al., The earliest modern humans outside Africa. Science 359, 456-459
427		(2018).
428	54.	A. Bergström, C. Stringer, M. Hajdinjak, E. M. L. Scerri, P. Skoglund, Origins of modern
429		human ancestry. Nature 590 , 229-237 (2021).
430	55.	IUCN, "IUCN Red List of threatened species." (IUCN, 2021); www.iucnredlist.org.

431	56.	J. A. Oswald, D. W. Steadman, The changing diversity and distribution of dry forest
432		passerine birds in northwestern Peru since the last ice age. <i>The Auk</i> 132 , 836-862, 827
433		(2015).
434	57.	P. L. Perrig, E. D. Fountain, S. A. Lambertucci, J. N. Pauli, Demography of avian
435		scavengers after Pleistocene megafaunal extinction. Sci. Rep. 9, 9680 (2019).
436	58.	T. Price, Speciation in birds (Roberts and Company, 2008).
437	59.	M. G. Harvey et al., Positive association between population genetic differentiation and
438		speciation rates in New World birds. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 6328-6333
439		(2017).
440	60.	K. A. Triantis et al., Deterministic assembly and anthropogenic extinctions drive
441		convergence of island bird communities. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 31, 1741-1755 (2022).
442	61.	S. W. Kim, S. P. Blomberg, J. M. Pandolfi, Transcending data gaps: a framework to
443		reduce inferential errors in ecological analyses. Ecol. Lett. 21, 1200-1210 (2018).
444	62.	B. Blonder, C. Lamanna, C. Violle, B. J. Enquist, The n-dimensional hypervolume. <i>Glob</i> .
445		<i>Ecol. Biogeogr.</i> 23 , 595–609 (2014).
446	63.	C. Loehle, W. Eschenbach, Historical bird and terrestrial mammal extinction rates and
447		causes. Divers. Distrib. 18, 84–91 (2012).
448	64.	J. P. Bird <i>et al.</i> , Generation lengths of the world's birds and their implications for
449		extinction risk. Conserv. Biol. 34, 1252-1261 (2020).
450	65.	T. Andermann, S. Faurby, R. Cooke, D. Silvestro, A. Antonelli, iucn_sim: a new program
451		to simulate future extinctions based on IUCN threat status. <i>Ecography</i> 44 , 162–176
452		(2021).
453	66.	A. Ø. Mooers, D. P. Faith, W. P. Maddison, Converting endangered species categories to
454		probabilities of extinction for phylogenetic conservation prioritization. PLOS ONE 3,
455		e3700 (2008).
456	67.	P. Cardoso, F. Rigal, J. C. Carvalho, BAT – Biodiversity Assessment Tools, an R
457		package for the measurement and estimation of alpha and beta taxon, phylogenetic and
458		functional diversity. <i>Methods Ecol. Evol.</i> 6 , 232–236 (2015).
459	68.	T. J. Matthews <i>et al.</i> , A global analysis of avian island diversity–area relationships in the
460		Anthropocene. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 26 , 827-1026 (2023).
461	69.	L. J. Revell, phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
462		things). Methods Ecol. Evol. 3 , 217–223 (2012).
463	70.	C. R. Marshall. Five palaeobiological laws needed to understand the evolution of the
464		living biota. <i>Nat. Ecol. Evol.</i> 1 , 0165 (2017).
465	71.	M. R. Smith, TreeTools: create, modify and analyse phylogenetic trees (Comprehensive
466		R Archive Network, 2019). doi:10.5281/zenodo.3522725.
467	72.	A. Grealy <i>et al.</i> , Eggshell palaeogenomics: palaeognath evolutionary history revealed
468	/	through ancient nuclear and mitochondrial DNA from Madagascan elephant bird
469		(Aepvornis sp.) eggshell. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 109, 151-163 (2017).
470	73	O. Schwery, B. C. O'Meara, MonoPhy: a simple R package to find and visualize
471	731	monophyly issues <i>PeerI Comput Sci</i> 2 e56 (2016)
472	74	K I Mitchell <i>et al</i> Ancient DNA reveals elephant birds and kiwi are sister taxa and
472 173	/ 4.	clarifies ratife bird evolution Science 344 898-900 (2014)
474	75	T Yonezawa <i>et al</i> Phylogenomics and morphology of extinct Paleognaths reveal the
475	15.	origin and evolution of the ratites Curr Riol 27 68-77 (2017)
т і Ј Л76	76	B I hotsky <i>et al</i> Changes in assembly rules along a stress gradient from open dry
470	70.	grasslands to wetlands I Ecol 104 507_517 (2016)
+//		$g_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{1}a_{3}a_{3}a_{3}a_{3}a_{3}a_{3}a_{3}a_{3$

- 77. T. J. Matthews, F. Rigal, K. Kougioumoutzis, P. Trigas, K. A. Triantis, Unravelling the 478 small-island effect through phylogenetic community ecology. J. Biogeogr. 47, 2341-479 2352 (2020). 480 T. W. Yee, Vector generalized linear and additive models: with an implementation in R 78. 481 482 (Springer, 2015). W. Ulrich, N. J. Gotelli, Null model analysis of species nestedness patterns. Ecol. 88, 79. 483 1824-1831 (2007). 484 80. N. J. B. Isaac, S. T. Turvey, B. Collen, C. Waterman, J. E. M. Baillie, Mammals on the 485 EDGE: conservation priorities based on threat and phylogeny. PLOS ONE 2, e296 486 (2007).487 S. Villéger, N. W. H. Mason, D. Mouillot, New multidimensional functional diversity 81. 488 indices for a multifaceted framework in functional ecology. Ecol. 89, 2290–2301 (2008). 489 82. S. Villéger, E. Maire, F. Leprieur, On the risks of using dendrograms to measure 490 functional diversity and multidimensional spaces to measure phylogenetic diversity: a 491 comment on Sobral et al. (2016). Ecol. Lett. 20, 554–557 (2017). 492 N. Saitou, M. Nei, The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing 493 83. 494 phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4, 406-425 (1987). P. Cardoso et al., Calculating functional diversity metrics using neighbor-joining trees. 84. 495 Ecography (2024). https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.07156 496 85. E. Maire, G. Grenouillet, S. Brosse, S. Villéger, How many dimensions are needed to 497 accurately assess functional diversity? A pragmatic approach for assessing the quality of 498 499 functional spaces. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 24, 728–740 (2015). 86. O. L. Petchey, K. J. Gaston, Functional diversity (FD), species richness and community 500 501 composition. Ecol. Lett. 5, 402–411 (2002). B. Blonder, "hypervolume (R Package reference manual)" (CRAN, 2023); https://cran.r-87. 502 project.org/web/packages/hypervolume/hypervolume.pdf 503 C. P. Carmona, F. de Bello, N. W. H. Mason, J. Lepš, Trait probability density (TPD): 504 88. 505 measuring functional diversity across scales based on TPD with R. Ecol.100, e02876 (2019). 506 507 89. T. Guillerme, P. Cardoso, M. W. Jørgensen, S. Mammola, T. J. Matthews, The what, how and why of trait-based analyses in ecology. *bioRxiv* 06.05.597559 [Preprint] (2024). 508 509 https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.05.597559 A. Toussaint, M. Pärtel, C. P. Carmona, Contrasting impacts of non-native and threatened 90. 510 species on morphological, life history, and phylogenetic diversity in bird assemblages. 511 Ecol. Lett. 27, e14373 (2024). 512 513 91. R Core Team, R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, version 4.3.1, (R foundation for statistical computing, 2023); https://www.R-project.org/. 514 515 516 Acknowledgments: Jay Margolis assisted with measuring bird skeletons at the Carnegie 517 Museum of Natural History, and Manina Dourali helped construct Fig. 1. Computations 518 described in this paper were performed using the University of Birmingham's BlueBEAR HPC 519 520 service, and the data compilation was supported by the University's GEES Research Support
- 521 Fund. Further collection of museum specimen data was supported by Natural Environment
- Research Council grant NE/I028068/1 (JAT). MWJ was supported by NERC CENTA2 grant
- 523 NE/S007350/1. YK was supported by the Program for Advancing Strategic International
- 524 Networks to Accelerate the Circulation of Talented Researchers (the Japan Society for the

- 525 Promotion of Science). FS was supported by "la Caixa" Foundation (ID 100010434) through a
- 526 Junior Leader program (fellowship code LCF/BQ/PI23/11970019).
- 527
- 528 Author contributions:
- 529 Conceptualization: TJM, KAT, JAT, SF, FS
- 530 Data compilation: FS, TJM, TEM, KAT, JPH, CDM, PD, FCS, CT, JAT
- 531 Phylogeny grafting algorithm: JPW, TJM
- 532 Analysis and methodology: TJM, PC, RC, FS, FR, JPW, SF
- 533 Visualization: TJM, FR, KAT
- 534 Writing original draft: TJM
- 535 Writing review & editing: All authors
- 536 **Competing interests:** Authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- Data and materials availability: The computer code and data used for this study are available
 on GitHub (txm676/GlobalFDPDLoss). The data have been archived with Dryad (51) and
 the code with Zenodo (52).
- 540
- 541 Supplementary Materials
- 542 Materials and Methods
- 543 Figs. S1 to S10
- 544 Tables S1 to S19
- 545 References (53–91)

546

- 547
- 548
- 549

Submitted Manuscript: Confidential Template revised November 2022





555 CE and post-1500 CE extinctions are shown; note that there are 19 post-1500 CE extinctions not currently 556 recognised by IUCN. Some islands were grouped into archipelagos (e.g., Hawaii). Continental species are organised 557 by realm: Nearctic, Palearctic, Australasia and Neotropics. In a small number of cases, species were endemic to multiple island groups or realms. The number of extinctions has been logged (with 1 added to each value) for visual 558 clarity. (C) An illustrative phylogeny of avian orders showing the proportion of (i) species known to be present 559 130Kya that are extinct (EX), and classified as threatened (TH; species classified as CR, EN and VU) and lower-risk 560 561 (LR) on the IUCN Red List; (ii) PD lost to extinction; and (iii) PD lost after removing both extinct and threatened 562 extant species. PD proportions are averaged over 50 trees. † indicates extinct orders. (D) The 2-dimensional global avian functional space, where each point in the space represents an individual species. Point colour distinguishes 563 564 EX, TH and LR species. Point size shows each species' functional contribution, calculated using a global functional dendrogram (29). The density curves along the top and right show the distribution of points along each axis, for each 565 566 species category. Illustrations show, left, a passenger pigeon (Ectopistes migratorius) (drawing by K. Hayashi and in 567 the public domain) and, bottom right, a great auk (Pinguinus impennis) (drawing by Julian Hume), two species 568 driven to extinction by humans.

569 570



571 572



574 time. Presented as the percentage of each metric remaining in each of three time period datasets (1500 CE_[IUCN], 575 Current and Future datasets; see Materials and Methods for details) relative to that known to be present 130,000 576 years ago (130K BP_{IAIII} dataset). Values are presented for the global avifauna ('all'; triangles) and for just island 577 endemics ('isl'; circles). The PD values represent median percentage change values across 50 phylogenies. The Future FD and PD values are based on the percentage change between FD and PD in the 130K BP[AII] dataset and the 578 579 median FD and PD value of the Future datasets (i.e. the median of the 100 simulated Future datasets; see Materials and Methods). The uncertainty inherent within the future values is represented by the dashed lines. SR values are 580 based on analyses using the BirdLife taxonomy. Illustration shows an elephant bird (Aepyornis maximus), 581 582 representative of an extinct order native to Madagascar and one of the largest birds ever to exist, reaching three

583 metres in height (drawing by Julian Hume).

584

585

586



- 1. Number (*N*) of extinctions between the two time periods in the comparison (130K BP [A and Current [Cur]) calculated.
- 2.*N* species randomly chosen to go extinct from the first period (All), creating a 'null' species list for the second period (Cur).
- 3.FD is calculated using this null species list.



5. Observed FD of the second period (Cur) plotted as a diamond, that can be either:
a. Blue = observed FD value for the second period significantly less than expected.
b. Maroon = observed FD value for the second period not significantly different than expected.



587

Time Period

Fig. 3. The results of the null model analyses of functional (FD) and phylogenetic (PD) diversity change. 588 589 Analyses undertaken across four time periods (three comparisons: All \rightarrow Cur, IUCN \rightarrow Cur, and Cur \rightarrow Fut). Panel A 590 provides information on how to interpret the null model plots, for a hypothetical pairwise comparison. FD (B and C) 591 measured using kernel-density hypervolume diversity (the volume of the hypervolume) and PD (D and E) measured 592 using Faith's PD metric. The PD null distributions and observed values were taken from the analysis of a randomly selected phylogeny. Statistical significance was based on a majority rule across 50 phylogenies (maroon = 593 594 significant in ≤ 25 of phylogenies). All tests were one-tailed. Diamond size is constant and does not convey 595 information. Analyses were run twice, using the global avifauna (11,613 species in [B][BirdLife taxonomy] and

10,591 in [D][BirdTree taxonomy]), and only the island endemics (2,213 in [C] and 1,890 in [E]). Illustration shows
 a Rodrigues solitaire (*Pezophaps solitaria*), a flightless species endemic to the island of Rodrigues, driven extinct by

humans in the 18th century (drawing by Julian Hume).



600





Fig. 4. The contribution of different species groups to total functional (FD) and phylogenetic (PD) diversity. FD and PD were measured using a dendrogram and a randomly selected phylogeny, respectively. Results are presented for the global avifauna (all) and island endemics (isl). EX = extinct species: EX_U = pre-1500 CE extinctions of unknown cause; EX_A = anthropogenic pre-1500 CE extinctions, and post-1500 CE extinctions not documented by the IUCN (all of which are considered anthropogenic); EX_{IUCN} = post-1500 CE extinctions documented by the IUCN (all of which are classed as anthropogenic); TH = threatened extant species; and LR = lower-risk extant species. Illustration shows an extinct Malagasy crowned eagle (*Stephanoaetus mahery*) (drawing

- 610 by Julian Hume).
- 611
- 612
- 613
- 614