Technical feasibility of microwave absorbers for straylight mitigation in the LiteBIRD MHFT telescopes

INTRODUCTION MANUFACTURING RESULTS

University

1. LiteBIRD Collaboration et al., "Probing cosmic inflation with the LiteBIRD cosmic microwave background polarization survey," Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics 2023(4), 042F01 (2023)

2. F. Columbro et al., "Broadband spectral characterization of lossy dielectrics for mm/submm optical applications," in Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2022: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave, SPIE, vol. 12180, 2022, pp. 861–871.

3. L. Lamagna et al, "A testbed for modeling validation and characterization of quasi-optical elements in microwave receivers", in Journal of Low Temperature Physics 209(5), 1272–1279 (2022)

CONCLUSIONS

LiteBIRD¹ mission is dedicated to the search for primordial *B* modes in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)

The test prototype is a 60×60 mm² plate based on a mixture of STYCAST 2850FT (90%) and carbon black (10%). The

specular reflectance at 22,5° and 45° incidence angle is

not meet the requirement at lower frequencies due to the general deformation of the pyramidal shape. $[dB]$ ≤ -20 $\frac{4}{6}$ -25

Fig. 11 The measured specular reflectance for 22.5° and 45° incidence angles.

 $-$ Angle=22.5

 $-$ Angle=30 $^{\circ}$

 \rightarrow Angle=37.5

polarization. To achieve unprecedented sensitivity and accuracy in this measurement, the control of instrument systematics is paramount. In this context, we describe the development of **microwave absorbers** needed to mitigate the straylight within the telescope tubes of the *LiteBIRD* **Mid- and High-Frequency Telescopes** (MHFT). A baseline solution has been designed and validated through HFSS simulations, consistently demonstrating sub-percent level specular reflectance across the entire 90-448GHz band of the MHFT under a broad variety of incidence conditions. A prototype has been manufactured and characterized in laboratory measurements, demonstrating a promising reflectance mitigation despite the deviation from the nominal geometry.

The fabrication of prototypes with ARC occurs in three steps: the production of a positive master using a UV 3D printer, the

production of a negative silicone mold and the actual prototype production.

measured using an FTS. The measured reflectance is

below 1% for frequencies above 200GHz. However, it does

The dielectric properties of a thin layer (820μm) of **carbonloaded (10%) STYCAST 2850FT prototype** are measured with a Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FTS)^{2,3}. The transmittance is measured at 0° incidence angle and fitted in the

- 1. A **suitable design** for the MHFT microwave absorber is identified and validated using HFSS simulations.
- 2. A **preliminary manufacturing process** is developed and qualified trough SEM imaging.
- **3. Dielectric properties** of the mixture are subsequently measured and employed to refine the simulation**.**
- **4. Electromagnetic performance** are measured using two independent setup, confirming the **sub-percent** reflection level above 200GHz.

Fig.4 3D printed positive master

> An independent coherent setup is employed to measure the specular and diffuse reflectance of the prototype in comparison with some commercial alternative like AN72, HR10 and TKRAM. \rightarrow Angle=15°

Fig.5 Silicone

negative mold

Fig.6 Actual loaded STYCAST prototype

DESIGN

SIMULATION

The ARC design consists in an impedance matching through a square-based pyramid structure with a side length of **0.6mm** and a height of **1.5mm**, built directly on the vacuum/dielectric interface. The choice of the design of our prototype is driven by the need to achieve a reflectance below 1% across the entire frequency band of MHFT.

Fig. 1 The pyramidal nominal geometry and the mis-shape design used to simulate the actual prototype performance

SPIE Astronomical Telescopes + Instrumentation 2024 AS24-AS111-73 andrea.occhiuzzi@roma1.infn.it

Andrea Occhiuzzi^{1,2,3}, G. Singh⁴, E. Battistelli^{1,2}, P. de Bernardis^{1,2}, *F. Cacciotti^{1,2}, F. Columbro^{1,2}, A. Coppolecchia^{1,2}, G. D'Alessandro^{1,2},* M. De Petris^{1,2}, J.E. Gudmundsson^{4,6}, L. Lamagna^{1,2}, E. Marchitelli^{1,2} *, S. Masi^{1,2}, S. Micheli^{1,2}, M. Moriconi¹, A. Novelli^{1,2}, A. Paiella^{1,2},* Stockholm *F. Piacentini^{1,2}, G. Pisano^{1,2}, G. Savini⁵ and A. Shitov⁵ for the LiteBIRD Collaboration.*

Aøenzia Spaziale Italiana

 H Angle=22.5°

 H Angle=45°

SPIE,

^AUCL

SEM IMAGING

The base materials are two epoxy resins: Eccosorb CR110 is employed to fabricate flat plates as a preliminary test for the measurement setup while the STYCAST 2850FT is employed for the actual prototype manufacturing. The recipe includes the carbon black dust (grains size 10-250μm) as loading material to increase the prototype loss.

DIELECTRIC PROPRIETIES

(**tanδ=0.151**±**0.010**). The refractive index (**n=2.07**±**0.16**) is

estimated measuring the interferograms ZPD shift when the thin

The imaging of the prototype

scanning electron microscope

(SEM). A **non-negligible**

deviation of the average

pyramidal profile from the

is performed using

S*ignal A = SE2*
Mag = 37 X

EHT = 7.00 kV
WD = 10.0 mm

Date: 5 Jun 2023
 $T = 90.0$ \circ NANOWEROFAB

Overall reduction of the height ∼300μm and truncation of the pyramid tips by ∼250μm, resulting in a lower total height of ∼**300-500μm**. Filling of troughs at the pyramid base by \sim 280μm. Fig.8 Lateral view: height size measurement.

Fig.7 Top view: side size measurement.

REFERENCES

FUTURE WORK:

- **Addition of silica powder or alumina to the recipe in order to match the thermal expansion coefficient to that of the aluminum substrate.**
- **Thermo-mechanical characterization of the prototypes and the definition of**

an attachment strategy on the optical tube wall.

Fig. 12 Left: Prototype specular reflectance. Right: comparison with commercial alternatives

Fig. 13 Left: Prototype diffuse reflectance. Right: comparison with commercial alternatives

¹Department of Physics, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy 2 INFN-Sezione Roma1, Rome, Italy

Department of Physics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy Department of Physics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden Physics and Astronomy Dept., University College London, London UK Science Institute, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland