COUNCILON URBANS INITIATIVES





Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose





COUNCIL ON URBAN INITIATIVES

The Council is an independent body established as a collaboration between UN-Habitat, UCL Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose, and LSE Cities.

Constituted initially by an international group of eighteen pioneering mayors, practitioners, designers, activists and academics, the Council's mission is to position urban issues at the heart of global debates; influence agendas at the highest levels of the UN and international community; and promote experimentation to overcome barriers to innovation and progressive policymaking at the city level.

The Council's work will be organized around three ambitious challenges: the just city, the healthy city, and the green city.

Council Members

The initial membership will be expanded to ensure wider diversity, regional and professional representation.



Yvonne Aki-Sawyerr



Chan Heng Chee is a



Leilani Farha



Lesley Lokko is the



Rahul Mehrotra is a



Richard Sennett is an



Ricky Burdett



Ada Colau Ballano



Dan Hill is the Director of



Claudia López Hernández is the Mayor



Fatma Sahin is the



Claudia Sheinbaum Pardo is a scientist and



LaToya Cantrellis the



Liz Diller



Alcinda Honwana is a



Mariana Mazzucato (co-chair) is a Profes



Saskia Sassen is a



Maria Soledad Nuñez Mendez was

Changing the Trajectory

Mariana Mazzucato and Ricky Burdett, Co-chairs, Council on Urban Initiatives

The COVID-19 pandemic has starkly revealed and accelerated the pressures facing cities – home to the majority of the global population, leading drivers of social development and engines of economic growth. Cities were on the frontline of the pandemic, crippled by the highest infection rates, and restricted in their response to the crisis by inadequate resources and contracting economic activity.

The challenges cities faced throughout the pandemic were exacerbated by decades-long trends that have led urban areas to become increasingly spatially fragmented, more socially divisive and environmentally damaged. This trajectory must be altered, and a bold new narrative is needed to reshape the future of cities. With its diverse membership of urban leaders, activists, designers and thinkers, the *Council on Urban Initiatives* will help inform and promote this paradigm shift.

Despite the overwhelming challenges they experienced, cities demonstrated their significant capacity to respond to the crisis at the political, spatial and human level. Many cities demonstrated an ability to innovate, responding proactively to overlapping health, social, economic and environmental stresses. Access to services, open space and community support sustained fragile groups and individuals in some of the world's largest and densest conurbations.

Short-term tactical interventions in cities – turning hotels into safe refuges for the homeless, closing streets to traffic to enable outdoor eating, protecting public transport for keyworkers, implementing a district care system or addressing food security – highlights their dynamism and ability to confront challenges boldly and solve problems adaptively. The swift response of many cities across diverse contexts, each facing distinct challenges resulting from the pandemic, has provided front-line urban workers and decision-makers with new practices and alternative thinking to explore.

The *Council* provides a platform to showcase these local initiatives and to replicate and scale them. Many of these stopgap solutions were temporary, however, designed as a new layer within a weak system rather than an opportunity to recast and rebuild. Existing governance structures and economic reasoning have put cities at a severe disadvantage. There is, therefore, an opportunity to both expose the fragility of existing forms of governance and investment and advocate for new and more sustainable tools, frameworks and partnerships that deliver real change on the ground for the people who need it most.

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals provide a clear direction for improving the relationship between the built environment and patterns of habitation. The *Council on Urban Initiatives* will not only promote experimental initiatives that respond to the urban SDGs but also identify barriers to innovation and progressive policymaking that hold cities back.

The tactical solutions cities implemented in response to the pandemic provide a crucial opportunity to inform long-term strategic change that should not be missed. It is clear that cities are constrained by the inadequate distribution of resources, and an imbalance in the legal powers between local and national institutions. Yet they are tasked with generating the tools and frameworks needed to navigate the complexities of governance, policy and design at the city-level. The pandemic has shown that for cities to be drivers of change on the 21st century's grand challenges, the role of 'the urban' within regional, national and international politics must be recalibrated.

To begin tackling these challenges and exploring positive examples of new practices and experimental thinking on how cities can do things differently, the Council will focus on three related thematic entry points for urban development: health, social justice and sustainability. Drawing together global expertise, the Council will contribute renewed thinking on urban values and paradigms. The trajectory of cities must change, and the Council will shine a light to support urban change-makers to remake our urban age.

The Council has been established to centre the urban in global debates, about how to redirect our economies and societies towards ambitious social goals, to influence agendas in international fora including at the highest levels of the UN, and to help to lay the groundwork for a renewed prominence of cities in the international arena.

This will enable cities to better respond to the global challenges of the 2020s and beyond.









Rethinking Cities

Maimunah Mohd Sharif, UN-Habitat Executive Director

The UN Secretary-General António Guterres has made it clear that our planet is facing a red alert when it comes to the global climate emergency. He identified the pandemic as an 'opportunity to reflect and reset how we live, interact and rebuild our cities'. The work of the *Council on Urban Initiatives* can help shape that, drawing on the latest research and the impressive expertise gathered here.

The pandemic has exposed the growing inequalities in cities which forces us to focus more on issues of social justice. Moreover, the least well off in cities and communities will bear the brunt of climate change in the form of floods, landslides and extreme heat. There are more than one billion people living in informal settlements, with 70 per cent highly vulnerable to climate change – a poverty multiplier that traps the poor further in the absence of a pro-poor approach to planning, building and managing our cities.

The impact of climate change is likely to increase sudden migration to cities, which already host 60 percent of migrants. The influx of new migrants into cities which are already experiencing a multitude of pressures will add to the complexities of urban planning, management and governance. UN-Habitat is seeing this first-hand in the Sahel, which is destabilizing a whole region and likely to spill over into other places.

The success of society in the 21st century depends on our collective response to interlinked global crises. Already the climate crisis, the social justice crisis, the impact on biodiversity and our environment, and the ongoing health crisis have exposed the fragility in the design and governance of our cities and eco-systems.

Mayors have been shown to be bold practical visionaries, imagining new ways of living that are more inclusive and sustainable: reclaiming streets for public use, reclaiming assets to house the homeless, preventing evictions of the most vulnerable and expanding social

safety nets. National governments need to create the conditions in which this innovation and experimentation can scale up and become enduring policy.

I have asked global thought leaders such as Richard Sennett, Saskia Sassen, Ricky Burdett and Mariana Mazzucato, to set up the *Council on Urban Initiatives*, in order to bring together visionary mayors, creative thinkers and practitioners to the table to help address these interlinked global crises and reframe the discourse on the role of cities, urban governance, design and planning. This is a true collaboration between UN-Habitat, the Institute for Innovation and Public Policy at University College London, and LSE Cities at the London School of Economics and Political Science. It will provide big-picture insight to frontline urban decision-makers around the world.

The public sector, both at national and local level, has to define the mission for all investments large and small and set ambitious targets. However, ambitious targets alone will not do the trick. We need fundamental shifts in the way we live, work and consume; and in the way we plan and design our cities to be healthy, green and inclusive. In doing so we should leave no person or place behind.

We need leadership in thought that turns the latest science into practice at scale, supported by the right kinds of policies. I look forward to the work of the Council, as we ask ourselves critical questions, think outside the box, and find solutions at scale. UN-Habitat, as focal point within the UN system, will use the work of the Council on Urban Initiatives to raise the bar within the United Nations and inform progressive policymaking, with a view to designing cities that are healthier, more sustainable and more equitable.





What forms of governance, public finance tools and policymaking best support sustainable urban growth?

How do urban form and innovative design make urban lives healthier, fairer and greener?

Have existing governance structures and economic reasoning put cities at a severe disadvantage?

What are the political and economic barriers to lasting urban change?

How do we bring together different kinds of urban knowledge, expertise and practice to deliver real change on the ground for the people who need it most?

What kind of experimentation, risk-taking and failure create the preconditions for innovation in progressive cities?

Global Population Dynamics

The growth of cities around the world is far from uniform, with faster growing urban areas in parts of Africa and Asia, and more modest or low growth in Latin America, North America, or Europe. Using population projections from United Nations to 2035, the global map shows the geographical spread of cities over 500,000 people and includes the 22 cities featured in this report.

According to demographers like Oxford University's Professor Danny Dorling, an increasing number of researchers believe global population figures may be between 8 to 9 billion by 2100, rather than the 11 billion previously projected by the UN.


Urban data is the basis for debate and discussion, not the motivation for technical interventions. The data presented here and in the following section is not an objective status on where our cities stand. Rather, it provides contextualising information to better understand and analyse the challenges ahead which is needed to identify solutions and take action.

The matrix on the following page includes selected information on population dynamics and other social, health and environmental indicators of cities represented on the Council of Urban Initiatives – London, New York, Accra, Mumbai, Stockholm, Ottawa, Bogotá, Freetown, Mexico City, Asunción, Barcelona, New Orleans and Singapore. A further eight cities have been included – Mumbai, Shanghai, Seoul, Istanbul, Addis Ababa, Kuala Lumpur and Jakarta – to provide a stronger comparative regional balance.


Current population (2020)



Average annual population growth forecast 2020-2035 (%)



Data source: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2018).



	•	•								
	T	ŧŤ		Î		_1		\$	X	
	Population (thousands)	Average annual growth rate (%)	Birth rates per '000 population	Young Population (%) (under 14s, 18s, or 19s)	Homicide rate per 100,000 people	Gini coefficient	Foreign born population (as % of total)	% of population with access to internet	Unemployment rate pre- COVID-19 (%)	% of the population with higher education
Asunción	521 2020	1.9 2018	17.3 2017	26.8 2020	5.7 2015	44 2019 / Country	2.3 2015 / Country	88 2020	6.8 2019	13 2018 / Country
Bogotá	7,835	1.6 2018	9.4 2020	22.6 2021	13.1 2020	55.9 2020	2.9 2018	77 2018	10.9 2019	35 2018
Mexico City	21,804 2020 / Region	0.8 2018	5.5 2020	16.3 2020	12.3 2020	34.1 2020	36.6 2020	39.6 2016	5 2019	20.5 2020
New Orleans	384	0.8 2018	12.1 2019	20.1	9.5 2019	41 2016	12.4	73.4 2015-2019	4.2 2019	37.6 2015-2019
New York	8,337	0.5 2018	11.8 2019	20.8 2019	5.1 2020	50.2	36.8 2015-2019	88.4 2020	3.7 ₂₀₁₉	49.3
Ottawa	970	1.1 2018	9.8 2018	22.7	1.4 2018	37	23.6	96.2	7.2	37.6
Hong Kong	7,395	0.7 ₂₀₁₈	5.8 2020	13.6 2021	0.4 2020	54 2017	39.3 ₂₀₁₆	93.9 2020	2.9 ₂₀₁₉	34.5
Seoul	9,963	0.2 2018	4.7	14.2	1.3	37.2	3.8	99.8 ₂₀₂₀	3.5	35.6
Shanghai	24,871	2.3	3.6	12.2	0.5	32.4	0.6	74.6	2.3	29.7
Singapore	5,454	2018 0.9	2019 8.5	19.6	2019 0.1	37.5	28.9	2018 / Urban, Country	2019 2.3	58.3
Jakarta	10,517	2018 1.5	2020 17	2020 23.8	2020 0.3	2020 40.1	2020 1.9	63.4	2019	2020 14.8
Kuala Lumpur	7,564	2018 2.4	2019 15.2	2020 / Region 20.1	2014 1.7	45.2	2020 / Region 12.3	95.5	2019 / Region 2.6	2020 25.8
Mumbai	2018 / Region 19,980	2018	2019 15.3	2019	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
Accra	2018 / Region 5,055	2018 2.2	2019 20.3	2020 26.8	2019 2	2020	2011 1.7	2020 / State 17.3	2019 16.3	2020 11.2
	2020 / Region	2018	2020 / Region	2020 / Region	2017 / Country	2016/2017	2020 / Region	2016	2019	2010 / Region
Addis Ababa	4,794 2020	4.4 2018	22.3	25.9 2018	4.6 2019	32.4 2015	2017 / Country	87.4 2019	14.5 2019	20 2014-2015
Freetown	1,202	2.9 2018	26 2019 / Urban, Country	32.6 2015 / Region	1.7 2015 / Country	18 2019 / Region	1.3 2015 / Region	51.4 2019 / Region	4.7 2019 / Country	15.8 2019 / Region
Barcelona	1,664 2020	0.6 2018	8.2 2019	20.2 2020	0.6 2018 / State	31.7 2020	20.1 2020	96.5 2020	10.7 2019	31.8 2020
Istanbul	15,462	1.3 2018	12.3 2021	28 2021	1.8 2019	45.1 2021	1.3 2014 / Country	96.4 2021	23 2021	19.8 2021
London	9,002	1.1 2018	12.8 2019	23.7 2019	1.3 2020	31.9 2020	37 2020	99.4 2020	4.6 2019	37.6 2020
Paris	12,213 2018 / Region	0.6 2018	12 2020	16.4 2018	1.2 2017	44.3 2018	20.2 2018	95.9 2020	6.3 2019	63 2018

	althy				C.	201					
(b) T)					A					
X		Ų۶				个				2	Ø\$
Li expectan	fe Average annual particulate matter (PM2.5 μg/m³)	Active physicians per 1,000 population	Obesity rate (% of adult population)	Diabetes rate (% of adult population)	Emissions per capita (t CO2/cap)	Renewable energy as percentage of total electricity (%)	Car registstrations (per 1,000 population)	Daily water consumption (litres per capita)	% of trips made by public transport	Share of waste recycled (%)	% of trips made by walking and cycling
74.		1.3 2018 / Country	25.2 2016 / Urban, Country	9.6 2019 / Country	7.5 2019	47 2017 / Country	70.3 2018	175 2015	54.1 2015	5 2017 / Country	6.4 2015
70		2.1 2015 / Country	16.7 2015	2.6 2017	2.2 2018	88.3 2020	326 2018	74.9 2015	35.8 2019	29.5 2021	30.5 2019
76.		0.4 2019	34 2018	13.9 2015	3.6 2018	12.4 2017	284.9 2018	177 2019	44 2019	1.3 2018	27 2019
75.		8.4 2018	30 2020	10.3 2019	8.8 2017	5	312.5 2019	1412	8.2 2019	3	8.3 2019
81. 20		5.2 2018	22 2021	9.6 2019	5.8 2016	7.6 2019	248 2015	446.6 2020	32 2019	16.8 2018	30.3 2019
83. 2014-20		3.3 2019	23.4 2015-2016	5.5 2015-2016	6 2018	22.6 2020 / State	530.7	178 2015	19.6 2016	43 2015	9.6 2016
85. 20:		2.1 2020	29.9 2015	8.4 2014-2015	5.3 2019	0.2 2017	108.1 2020	137.5 2020	88 2018	34 2019	5 2018
84.		2.3 2019 / Country	33 2019 / Urban,	11.3 2019 / Urban,	4.5	1.9 2019	295.1	306	65 2019	36 2018	20.7
83. 20		3 2013 / Country	20 2013	Country 11.9 2020 / Country	10.9	28 2020 / Country	129.1 2019	207.5	33 2020	21.3 2019-2020	40 2020
83.		2.5 2020	8.7 2017	8.6 2017	9.2 2017	0.5	171.2	141 2018 / Region	44 2018 / Region	59 2019	23 2018 / Region
70.		0.2 2015	30 2018	6.3 2019 / Country	2.7 2014	0 2019	406.6 2017-2019	130.4 2014-2018/	10 2020	7.5 2018	N/A
7 ′ 2019 / Regio		1.5 2015 / Country	19.7 2019 / Country	17.4 2015	3.3 2017	8.8 2015	534.9 2019 / Region	288 2015 / Region	22.5 2018	10.4 2018	N/A
73. 2010-20		0.8 2018 / Country	23.6 2015-2016/	11.8 2015-2019/	1.8 2015	26 2010 / State	248 2017	165.7	70 2018	N/A	33 2013
61.		0.1 2015	State 25.1 2006-2016 /	Country 1.8 2020	1.1 2015	0.3 2019 / Country	70 2015 / Region	134.6 2000 / Country	30 2019	5 2018	54.4 2019
65.		0.08 2018	Country 1 2016	6.5 2019	5.6 2016	25.8 2021	81 2021	110 2021	31 2019	6.3 2021	54 2019
57. 2015/Regio		0.02 2006-2013 /	8.7	2.4 2017 / Country	1.1 2018	58 2018	25 2015; 2017 /	96.1 2005 / Country	48	N/A	N/A
82. 20	7 16.6	3.16 2015	14.2 2017 / State	12.2 2019 / State	1.7 2019	18 2018	273 2018	107	40.1 2017	21.2 2018	35.2 2019
78.	7 16.5	2	21.1	11.1	3.2	18 2021	195	190	28 2021	6 2021	45 2021
82. 2017-20	5 11.4	Province 3.6 2019 / State	Country 22.5 2019	Country 6.5 2017	3.7 2018	24.6 2016	308 2013	164 2013	35.2 2017-2018	33.6 2018	26.8 2017-2018
82. 2017-20	8 14.7	4.2 2019	10.7	4.1	2.5 2018	26.9	197 2018	120	26 2018	20.7 2019	67 2018
82.	8 6.1	4.5 2015	10 2018	4.8 2019 / Country	1.5 2018	63 2018	361 2019	94.6 2015	29 2019 / Region	19.1 2018	28 2019

Measurement wears and methodologies used to calculate indicator values may vary between cities and are not always comparable. For information on sources, please contact LSECities@ilse.ac.uk


City Analytics

Governance

This section analysis explores the overall urban form and administrative boundaries of 22 selected cities. Urban leadership depends on the extent of the powers of city administrations, how de-centralised those powers are, and on how much of the urban area extends beyond its administrative boundaries. The maps below illustrate the 2015 urban footprint, illustrating the relationship between the metropolitan, city and sub-city administrative boundaries and the built-up area in which people live. Jakarta, Paris or New York City are examples with a significant proportion of the urban growth occurring outside the official city limits, while in Istanbul or London growth is contained within the city's official limits.

Density

Residential density is one of the key tools to determine the efficiency of the urban footprint. The 3D density diagrams illustrate the number of people living in each square kilometre (hexagon) of a 100 x 100 kilometre area. The taller the spikes the higher the density in any given area. The darker orange indicates the density at which people live within the city limits, the light orange indicates the metropolitan area and all areas beyond are shown in grey. The diagrams show that density differs widely in the 22 cities, with Shanghai and Bogota with higher and more concentrated densities in the city core, Seoul and Asuncion with the surrounding outskirts densities as high as the city core densities, or Stockholm and London presenting lower and more balanced distribution of densities.




Data source: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2018); Joint Research Centre (2015) GHS population grid, derived from GPW4, multitemporal (1975-2015); Joint Research Centre (2015) GHS built-up grid, derived from Landsat, multitemporal (1975-1990-2000-2014); The Humanitarian Data Exchange; DIVA-GIS; Institutional data (see acknowledgements page) and LSE Cities

Asunción


Total Population: 3,337,000 Average density (inside admin area): 3,705 pers/km²






Bogotá


Total Population: 10,574,000 Average density (inside admin area): 7,148 pers/km²






Mexico City


Total Population: 21,581,000 Average density (inside admin area): 5,576 pers/km²






New Orleans

Total Population: 383,000 Average density (inside admin area): 406 pers/km²







The labels on the density maps denote the peak density within the city administrative area

New York


Total Population: 8,337,000 Average density (inside admin area): 8,725 pers/km²






Ottawa


Total population: 1,018,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 321 pers/km²






Hong Kong


Total Population: 7,429,000 Average density (inside admin area): 6,456 pers/km²






Seoul


Total Population: 9,963,000 Average density (inside admin area): 13,569 pers/km²







Shanghai


Total population: 24,871,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 4,215 pers/km²





Singapore
Total population: 5,792,000
Average Density: 5,169 pers/km²







Jakarta

Total population: 10,517,000


Average Density (inside admin area): 11,310 pers/km²






Kuala Lumpur


Total population: 7,564,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 6,354 pers/km²






Mumbai


Total population: 19,980,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 20,609 pers/km²






Accra


Total population: 2,514,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 5,911 pers/km²






Addis Ababa

Total population: 4,794,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 5,397 pers/km²






Freetown


Total population: 1,202,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 7,157 pers/km²






Barcelona


Total population: 5,494,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 11,716 pers/km²






Gazientep


Total population: 1,704,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 301 pers/km²






Istanbul


Total population: 14,751,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 3,906 pers/km²






Paris

Total population: 10,901,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 18,269 pers/km²






London


Total population: 9,002,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 4,697 pers/km²







Stockholm

Total population: 1,633,000 Average Density (inside admin area): 3,317 pers/km²







Council on Urban Initiatives

Founding Partners

UN-Habitat

UCL Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose (IIPP) LSE Cities, London School of Economics and Political Science

Council Members

Ricky Burdett (co-chair), Director, LSE Cities, London Mariana Mazzucato (co-chair), Founding Director, UCL Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose, London Yvonne Aki-Sawyerr, Mayor, Freetown

voille Aki-Sawyeii, Mayoi, Fleetowii

LaToya Cantrell, Mayor, New Orleans

Chan Heng Chee, Professor, Lee Kuan Yew Centre for Innovative Cities, Singapore

Ada Colau, Mayor, Barcelona

Liz Diller, Founding Partner, Diller Scofidio + Renfro, New York City Leilani Farha, Global Director, The Shift, Ottawa Dan Hill, Director of Strategic Design, Vinnova, Stockholm

Dan Hill, Director of Strategic Design, Vinnova, Stockholm Alcinda Honwana, Inter-Regional Advisor, UN DESA, New York City Lesley Lokko, Founding Director, Africa Futures Institute, Accra Claudia López, Mayor, Bogotá

Rahul Mehrotra, Founding Principal, RMA Architects, Mumbai Soledad Nuñez, Founder, Alma Cívica, Paraguay

Fatma Şahin, Mayor, Gaziantep

Saskia Sassen, Robert S. Lynd Professor, Columbia University, New York City

Richard Sennett, Honorary Professor, IIPP, London; Visiting Professor of Urban Studies, MIT, Boston Claudia Sheinbaum, Mayor, Mexico City

Secretariat

Project Co-ordination

Rebecca Flynn, Project Co-ordinator and Project Lead, LSE Cities r.flynn1@lse.ac.uk

Ryan Bellinson, Project Lead, IIPP r.bellinson@ucl.ac.uk Filiep Decorte, Project Lead, UN-Habitat decorte@un.org

UN-Habitat

Filiep Decorte, Chief Programme Development Branch Cerin Kizhakkethottam, Programme Management Officer

Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose Ryan Bellinson, Senior Research Fellow Gyorgyi Galik, Head of Strategic Partnerships Sasha Milonova, Head of Global Engagements (to October 2021) Nikolett Puskas, Researcher

SE Cities

Rebecca Flynn, Postgraduate Research Fellow Tayo Isa-Daniel, Researcher

Press and Communications

Peyman Pejman, Communications Officer, UN-Habitat Sonika Tapley, Head of Communications and Marketing, IIPP Zahraa Ahmed, Comms, Marketing & Events Officer, IIPP Emily Cruz, Outreach Manager, LSE Cities Lizzy Garlan, Comms and Outreach Assistant, LSE Cities

The Council on Urban Initiatives acknowledge the generous support of James and Morag Anderson.

Publication Credits

Research and editing

Rebecca Flynn, Postgraduate Research Fellow, LSE Cities Ryan Bellinson, Senior Research Fellow, IIPP Megumi Kamiya, Data Analysis and Research, LSE Cities Alexandra Gomes, Spatial Analysis and Research, LSE Cities

Production and Design

Emily Cruz, Outreach Manager, LSE Cities Tayo Isa-Daniel, Project assistant, LSE Cities Atelier Works, Graphic Design Dayfold, Print production

The Council on Urban Initiatives would like to thank the following organisations for assistance in obtaining data on individual cities: Addis Ababa City Council; Ajuntament de Barcelona; Alcaldía Mayor de Bogotá; Alma Cívica, Paraguay; Apur - Atelier Parisien d'Urbanisme; Census and Statistics Department, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region; Centre for Liveable Cities, Singapore; Department of Social Statistics and Analysis, Sweden; Do Young Oh, School of Graduate Studies, Lingnan University, Hong Kong; Freetown City Council; Gaziantep Metropolitan Municipality; Gobierno de la Ciudad de México; Hayal Desta, Addis Ababa University; Istanbul Metropolitan Municipality; Kuala Lumpur City Hall; Lee Kuan Yew Centre for Innovative Cities, Singapore; National Bureau of Statistics of China; Orthner Orthner & Associates, Ghana; The City of New Orleans; Stockholm Statistics Department

Knowledge Partner

Impact on **Urban Health**

This publication is supported by Impact on Urban Health

For further information please contact

Rebecca Flynn
Project Co-ordinator
Council on Urban Initiatives
LSE Cities
London School of Economics and Political Science
London WC2A 2AE
r.flynn1@lse.ac.uk

UN HABITAT FOR A BETTER URBAN FUTURE

The UN-Habitat's vision of "a better quality of life for all in an urbanizing world" is bold and ambitious. UN-Habitat works with partners to build inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable cities and communities. UN-Habitat collaborates with governments, intergovernmental, UN agencies, civil society organizations, foundations, academic institutions and the private sector to achieve enduring results in addressing the challenges of urbanization.

IIPP and Public Purpose

The UCL Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose (IIPP) aims to develop a new framework for creating, nurturing and evaluating public value in order to achieve economic growth that is more innovation-led, inclusive and sustainable. We intend this framework to inform the debate about the direction of economic growth and the use of mission-oriented policies to confront social and technological problems. Our work will feed into innovation and industrial policy, financial reform, institutional change, and sustainable development. IIPP is housed in The Bartlett, a leading global Faculty of the Built Environment at University College London (UCL), with its radical thinking about space, design and sustainability.

LSECities

LSE Cities is an international centre that investigates the complexities of the contemporary city. It carries out research, graduate and executive education, outreach and advisory activities in London and abroad. Extending LSE's century-old commitment to the understanding of urban society, LSE Cities investigates how complex urban systems are responding to the pressures of growth, change and globalisation with new infrastructures of design and governance that both complement and threaten social equity and environmental sustainability.