Accelerometer and survey assessed Physical Activity in Children with Epilepsy (PACE): A case-controlled study

Keywords: epilepsy, children, physical activity, quality of life

Number of tables:3

Number of figures: 1

Abstract

Purpose: Anecdotal evidence suggests that children with epilepsy (CWE) are limited in the frequency of their daily physical activity (PA). However, there is limited research utilising device-based measures of PA. We compared levels of PA and sedentary behaviour in CWE (11-15 years) and age- and gender-matched healthy controls.

Method: Participants (n=60 CWE (25 males, 35 females) and n=49 controls (25 males, 24 females)) wore a Actigraph accelerometer (GT3X or GT3X+) for 7 consecutive days during waking hours and self-reported their PA and sedentary behaviours. CWE were compared to control children on time spent in different intensities of PA and on self-reported PA and sedentary behaviour. Factors associated with PA were analysed using linear regression.

Results: CWE spent less time in accelerometer assessed light (189.15 vs 215.01 min/day $p<0.05$) and vigorous PA (35.14 vs 44.28 min/day $p<0.05$) on weekdays compared with weekdays. There were no significant differences between CWE and control participants in accelerometer assessed time spent sedentary or time spent in PA on weekends. Among CWE, older children engaged in more reported sedentary behaviour and younger children spent more time in most domains of PA ($p<0.05$). Furthermore, CWE reported less PA than controls ($p=0.006$). Sixteen percent of controls met WHO PA guidelines compared to 10% of CWE. There was a positive relationship between accelerometer assessed PA and quality of life for CWE.

Conclusion: CWE spent less time in light and MVPA on weekdays. Further research is needed to understand reasons for these differences.

Introduction

During childhood and adolescence, lifestyles that include adequate levels of physical activity (PA) and low levels of sedentary time are essential to support growth, maintain positive physical and mental health, prevent chronic disease and promote healthy weight trajectories [11,38,40]. However, many young people are insufficiently active (i.e., do not meet the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended minimum of an average of 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-physical activity (MVPA) per day) [8], and spend prolonged periods of time sedentary (e.g., sitting at school and during leisure time) [43]. Low levels of PA are of particular concern for young people living with disabilities and chronic medical conditions [9], not least because of the power of PA in improving health and overall well-being.

Epilepsy is the most common serious neurological condition in childhood. It is a disease of the brain typically defined by the presence of two unprovoked (or reflex) seizures occurring > 24 h apart or the presence of an epilepsy syndrome [22]. The prevalence of epilepsy in children ranges from 3.2–5.5/1,000 children in developed countries and 3.6 – 44/1,000 children in underdeveloped countries [21]. In addition to epileptic seizures, many children with epilepsy (CWE) have co-occurring neurodevelopmental and mental health difficulties including an increased risk for intellectual disability, difficulties with motor coordination autism, ADHD, depression and anxiety [12,39]. Therefore, it is important to consider these difficulties is possible contributors to levels of PA in children with epilepsy. Additionally, previous research suggests that seizure related factors may be related to levels of PA in some children with epilepsy [29]. Previous studies have also shown that age, gender, sleep and BMI are associated with levels of PA in children in the general population [6,17,32,33,44]

and in some survey based studies of PA in children with epilepsy [29]. Parental mental health difficulties are more common in children with epilepsy than parents of healthy children [28,20]. Additionally, parental mental health problems have been associated with reduced physical activity in children with some chronic health conditions [16] and therefore, it is important to consider parental mental health when exploring contributors to PA in CWE.

A recent systematic review found, based on self- or parent-report data, that children and adults with epilepsy engage in less PA than their peers [29]. CWE who are physically active have better physiological and psychological profiles than those with epilepsy who are not active [13]. However, there is evidence that CWE are often subjected to restrictions on PA participation because of parental concerns about injury, concerns about safety in relation to the occurrence of seizures, and a lack of understanding of the benefits and risks associated with PA participation [50].

Children and adolescents with epilepsy are at increased risk of social isolation [36] and PA could play a role in improving quality of life and social integration. However, to better understand PA levels and the outcomes associated with PA among CWE, so that PA can be promoted, it is essential to accurately measure the behaviour. To date, few studies have utilised more objective devices (such as accelerometers) to assess PA among CWE. Studies have shown that pedometers are feasible to assess step counts among CWE [15,49] but to date there are no studies utilising accelerometers. Accelerometers are able to provide more robust and detailed information on time spent in different intensities of PA.

The Physical Activity in Children with Epilepsy (PACE) study is an observational study of PA levels among young adolescents (11-15) with 'active' epilepsy and age matched peers. The aim of this study is to compare levels of PA among children with 'active' epilepsy (CWE), and age- and gender-matched healthy controls, using both accelerometers and survey methods. Furthermore, this study aims to examine factors (e.g., age, gender, BMI, seizure related factors, sleep, behaviour-emotional functioning, motor coordination and parent wellbeing) associated with accelerometer assessed PA in CWE, and to examine the associations between PA and quality of life (QoL).

Methods

Study Procedure

Following ethical approval from the XXXX Research Ethics Committee, cross-sectional data were collected between March 2020 and June 2022. Recruitment to the study began in March 2020, but was subsequently put on hold due to COVID-19 restrictions. The study re-opened in April 2021 and a blended approach to recruitment was adopted where potential participants could either self-refer via an online portal (which was advertised via PACE Study Flyer (thechannel.org.uk), or were referred to the study from two participating hospitals. Once CWE had registered their interest in the study, they were then contacted by the research team via telephone or video-call and introduced to the study. Digital written consent from caregivers and assent from children was then taken before participation in the study could commence. Those who had provided consent to take part in the study received a package through the post

containing questionnaires for completion at home and an accelerometer to wear for days.

To be eligible for the study, CWE needed to have 'active' epilepsy (i.e., currently taking anti-seizure medicine (ASM) and/or had a seizure within the last 12 months). Parent reported epilepsy diagnoses were validated against medical records provided via a clinical extraction document completed by the children's general practitioner or paediatrician (see supplement 1). Control participants were children matched on age with the participants with epilepsy. Children in both groups with significant physical/motor impairment that restricted ability to participate in PA were excluded. This was formally defined as having a Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) greater than level 2. Children at GMFCS Levels 1 and 2 could be included. The children in both groups had to be attending secondary school in England and be aged between 11 and 15 years at the time of participation.

Recruitment

Figure 1 shows recruitment in PACE study

Figure 1: Recruitment in PACE study

In total 94 CWE expressed an interest in participating (n=79 from Mainstream school and n=15 from Special schools). Eighteen participants dropped out before providing consent, 13 withdrew after providing consent, and three did not complete the assessments. One of the primary reasons for withdrawal (n=10) was concerns about wearing the accelerometer, a particular concern was that it would be visible to others.

Eighty-eight children expressed an interest in participating in the control group (84 mainstream school and 4 special school). Twenty-eight controls dropped out before providing consent, and a further five dropped out after providing consent. A further six participants did not complete the full assessment process. In total 60 CWE completed the assessment and 49 control participants. One epilepsy and one control participant completed the surveys measures but did not provide accelerometer data.

Measures

Accelerometer assessed physical activity and sedentary behaviour

All participating children received an Actigraph accelerometer (GT3X or GT3X+) in the post with detailed instructions on how to wear it. All children were asked to wear the accelerometer on an elasticated belt, over their right hip for seven consecutive days during waking hours. Children were instructed to remove the devices when sleeping or during water-based activities to limit discomfort and potential device damage. Actigraph accelerometers are an established measure of PA and sedentary time among all populations [26,41].

Accelerometers were initialised to collect data at 100 Hz using ActiLife version (Version 6.13.4), and the data were re-integrated into 15 second epochs and processed using Kinesoft (version 3.3.20, XXXXXX UK. http://www.kinesoft.org). Periods of ≥60 minutes of consecutive zeros (with a tolerance of two minutes of non-zero interruptions) were classified as non-wear time and excluded, as was the period 12am to 7am to minimise possible misclassification of overnight wear/sleep as sedentary time.

A day was defined as valid when participants had worn the accelerometer for at least 480 minutes. All participants with at least 3 valid days were included in the analyses. Accelerometer data were expressed as average counts per minute (CPM), which is the total counts per valid day, divided by valid monitor wear time per day. Sedentary time (ST) was considered when CPM were less than 100, light physical activity (LPA) when CPM were between 101 and <2995, moderate physical activity (MPA) when CPM were between 2995 and <4012, and vigorous physical activity (VPA) when CPM were 4012 or more, following established cut-points by Evenson [18].

Survey Measures of Physical Activity

All participants were asked to complete the Physical Activity Questionnaire for Adolescents (PAQ-A), a 7-day recall used to assess general PA levels [30] (see supplement 2). The PAQ comprises 13 validated questions relating to PA undertaken in the previous week and is designed for school-age children and young people. Each question is scored out of five and a final score is calculated as the mean of all nine responses; higher scores indicate higher activity.

The original questions were validated for a Canadian population and so minor revisions were made in terms of sporting activities and phrasing to adjust for cultural and sporting preferences in the UK. In particular, question 1 lists 22 sports that respondents are asked to quantify involvement in over the preceding week; in place of floor hockey, street hockey, ice hockey, ice skating, baseball and Canadian football, we inserted tennis, athletics, cricket, rugby, martial arts and gymnastics. Previous

studies conducted in the UK and other countries have shown that the revision of questions to suit the local population provides satisfactory results and validity [27,3,46,1]. Questions 2–10 relate to activity at specific times of the day and question 11 asks how often PA was performed for each day of the preceding week. Question 12 and 13 focus on injuries which may have impeded engagement in PA.

Participants were also asked to complete the Adolescent Sedentary Activity Questionnaire (ASAQ) [24], (see supplement 3) which focusses on self-reported weekday and weekend sedentary behaviours (outside of school). Participants reported the duration of time they engaged in a variety of sedentary behaviours in their free time on a typical weekday and weekend day. The ASAQ measures 11 sedentary behaviours across 5 different domains: small screen recreation (SSR) (TV, videos/DVDs, computer for fun), education (doing homework with/without a computer, being tutored), travel (seated in a vehicle), cultural (reading, playing an instrument, crafts or hobbies), and social (sitting around with friends, talking on the telephone, religious activities). Time spent in each domain was calculated. Totals from the five categories were then summed to yield three outcomes; total time spent in sedentary behaviour on weekdays, total time spent in sedentary behaviour on weekend days, and total time spent in sedentary behaviour during the week (i.e., weekdays + weekends).

Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated using the NHS healthy weight calculator (https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/healthy-weight/bmi-calculator/ accessed 12th November 2022), BMI was determined for each participant based on their height and weight. This calculator is based on the measurements found within the UK national growth charts[45].

The calculator provides BMI scores as a percentile, with percentiles then falling into four categories, underweight (on the 2nd percentile or below), healthy weight (between the 2nd and 91st percentile), overweight (on the 91st percentile or above), very overweight (on the 98th percentile or above).

Epilepsy variables

In the CWE we collected data on clinical parameters (e.g., seizure frequency via a seizure diary, current epilepsy medication, age of epilepsy onset, number of seizure types) and current educational provision from medical records (see supplement 1) and parent report.

Deprivation

Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) was calculated for each participant based on their home post codes. This is an indicator of area level deprivation, using the IMD 2019 rankings (Department of Communities and Local Government), English indices of deprivation. Retrieved from http:// imd-by-postcode.opendatacommunities.org/ (Accessed during study period)). Lower scores are associated with lower deprivation.

Measures of child behaviour and caregiver wellbeing.

The children and caregivers in both groups completed the following assessments: Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) – a measure of child behaviour/mental health [23], PEDs QL - Self-report– a measure of quality life in children [47] and the Insomnia Severity Index – a measure of sleep difficulties [2]. The primary caregiver of the CWE and controls also completed the Developmental Coordination Disorder Questionnaire (DCDQ) [51] – a measure of child motor coordination. Additionally, all

primary caregivers completed the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale [25] which is a measure of parental mental health.

Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to characterise the participants. Time spent in sedentary, light, moderate and vigorous PA on weekdays and weekend days were compared between the epilepsy group and control groups using independent sample t-tests. Regarding gender, between group differences were also explored using independent t-tests. We compared total score and individual question scores on the PAQ-A and subscales on the ASAQ between the 2 groups using sample independent t-tests.

Univariable linear regression was used to identify factors associated with sedentary behaviour and activity levels on weekdays and weekend days. Factors associated at the $p < 0.200$ level on univariable analyses were included in multivariable linear regression modelling [34]. Factors considered were epilepsy variables (e.g., seizure frequency, age of first seizure, Antiseizure Medicine (ASM) status,) and child factors (e.g., gender, age, socioeconomic status (via IMD data), sleep, emotional behavioural difficulties, coordination difficulties, school placement) and caregiver mental health. All analyses were done with IBM SPSS version 28.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Demographics of children with epilepsy and children in the control group

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the CWE and the participants in the control group. There was no significant difference between the two groups with respect to gender, age, school type, ethnicity, deprivation, and Body Mass Index.

Table 1: Characteristics of Children in PACE study

	Participants with Epilepsy (n=60)	**Controls (n=49)**	**p Value**
School Type			
Mainstream	53	47	0.139
Special	7	2	
Age in years (M, SD)	12.9 (1.41)	12.8 (1.39)	0.815
Range	11 – 16 years	11-15 years	
Gender			
Female	25 (41.7%)	25 (51%)	0.330
Male	35 (58.3%)	24 (49%)	
Ethnicity			
White	52 (86.7 %)	41 (83.7%)	0.660
Non-White	8 (13.3%)	8 (16.3%)	
Index of Multiple Deprivation, M (SD)	5.9 (3.02)	6 (3.03)	0.977
Low (1-5)	28	23	
High (6-10)	32	26	

Body Mass Index Centile Categories			
Underweight	3 (5%)	2 (4.1%)	NA
Healthy Weight	40 (66.7%)	34 (69.4%)	
Overweight	11 (18.3%)	9 (18.4%)	
Very overweight	6 (10%)	4 (8.2%)	
Body Mass Index Categories			
Healthy weight	40 (66.7%)	34 (69.4%)	
Unhealthy weight	20 (33.3%)	15 (30.6%)	0.762
Reported Neurodevelopmental Diagnosis	4	1	0.376
ADHD	10	0	0.002
Autism	1	0	1.000
DCD	11	1	0.011
Seizure frequency			
Weekly or more often	26 (43.3%)	NA	NA
Monthly or less often.	34 (56.7)	NA	NA
Seizure Type			
1 seizure type	27	NA	NA
2 seizure type	18	NA	NA
3 seizure type	15		
Seizures reported whilst wearing activity tracker	14 (23.3%)	NA	NA
Yes	46 (76.7%)	NA	NA
No			
Age of Onset of epilepsy (Years) M (SD)	8.05 (3.93)	NA	NA

Range	0.01 – 15	NA	NA
Number of current ASMs			
M (SD)	1.72 (1.12)	NA	NA
Range	1-5	NA	NA
Polypharmacy (prescribed more than one Antiseizure Medicine (ASM))			
Yes	30 (50%)	NA	NA
No	30 (50%)	NA	NA

M= Mean, SD= SD, ADHD= Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, DCD= Developmental Coordination Disorder.

The control group were similar to the general population with respect to ethnicity (control group white/non-white 84%/16% and general population in England white/non-white 82%/18%) (https://www.ethnicity-facts-figures.service.gov.uk/ accessed October 17th 2023). In the control group 47% of children were in the lowest 5 deciles (most deprived) and 53% were in the highest 5 deciles (least deprived) of the IMD index and thus similar to the proportions in the general population.

Comparison between children with epilepsy and children in control group regarding sedentary behaviour and physical activity

Table 2 shows a comparison between the two groups with respect to accelerometer measured sedentary time and PA during weekdays and weekend days.

Table 2. Accelerometer data for Children with Epilepsy (CWE) and controls on weekdays (n=51 CWE and n=38 control) and weekend days (n=47 CWE and n=33 control)

	CWE Mean (SD)	Control Mean (SD)	P value	Mean Difference	Effect Size Cohens d 95% CI
Weekday Wear minutes/day	802.31 (117.70)	822.09 (79.45)	0.374	-19.779	-0.612 to 0.230
Weekend day Wear minutes/day	736.81 (140.83)	767.41 (108.22)	0.297	-30.600	-0.684 to 0.209
Weekday sedentary minutes/day	577.29 (115.59)	561.83 (78.879)	0.480	15.456	-0.269 to 0.572
Weekend day sedentary minutes/day	526.59 (136.04)	534.17 (109.03)	0.791	-7.580	-0.505 to 0.385
Weekday Light Physical Activity minutes/day	189.15 (51.74)	215.01 (53.94)	**0.024***	-25.864	-0.916 to -0.630
Weekend day Light Physical Activity minutes/day	181.30 (58.71)	202.98 (64.58)	0.123	-21.683	-0.802 to 0.095
Weekday Moderate Physical Activity minutes/day	18.10 (7.01)	21.20 (8.09)	0.057	-3.098	-0.837 to 0.012
Weekend day Moderate Physical Activity minutes/day	13.13 (8.76)	13.64 (11.86)	0.825	-0.511	-0.495 to 0.395-
Weekday Vigorous Physical Activity minutes/day	17.04 (11.53)	23.08 (14.60)	**0.032***	-6.04	´-0.892 to -0.040
Weekend day Vigorous Physical Activity minutes/day	15.00 (14.61)	15.97 (25.24)	0.776	-0.965	-0.510 to 0.381
Weekday MVPA minutes/day	35.14 (16.87)	44.28 (19.27)	**0.020***	-9.14	-0.935 to -0.082

Weekend day MVPA minutes/day	28.13 (22.04)	29.61 (25.45)	0.783	-1.476	-0.508 to 0.383

MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous-physical-activity

*p<0.05

Fifty-one CWE and 38 controls had valid data from the accelerometer for weekdays and 47 CWE and 33 controls had valid data for the weekend days. CWE wore the accelerometer for just over 13 hours on a weekday and around 12 hours on a weekend day. The control participants wore the accelerometers for approximately 20 minutes more that CWE on a weekday and 30 minutes more on a weekend day (Table 2). CWE spent 71% of their weekday and weekend day sedentary, whereas control participants spent 68.5% of their wear time sedentary. There were no significant differences between CWE and control participants in time spent sedentary on weekdays or weekend days (Table 2). CWE spent significantly less time in light, vigorous and moderate-vigorous PA on a weekday (Table 2). Sixteen percent of control children met the World Health Organization (WHO) PA guidelines (Physical activity (who.int) accessed 24th April 2024) compared to 10% of CWE.

Factors associated with accelerometer assessed sedentary time and physical activity

Table 3 shows the factors significantly associated (p<0.05) with accelerometer assessed sedentary time and PA in the multivariable analysis. All considered factors and associated p values are in supplement 4a (Epilepsy group) and supplement 4b (Control group).

337 **Table 3**: Factors significantly associated with sedentary behaviour and Physical Activity in children with epilepsy (*) and children in

338 control group (*) on multivariable analysis. (p values in brackets) (Regression coefficient and 95% Confidence Interval (CI)

Variable	Sedentary weekday	Sedentary weekend	Light PA Weekday	Light PA weekend	Moderate PA Weekday	Moderate PA Weekend	Vigorous PA Weekday	Vigorous PA Weekend
Participant Age	* (0.001) 37.444 (95%CI 15.881 to 59.007)	* (0.021) 32.875 (95%CI 5.249 to 60.500)	* (0.006) -14.147 (95%CI -24.391 to -4.443) * (0.003) -16.428 (95%CI -26.298 to -5.928)	(<0.001)* -21.706 (95%CI -32.840 to -10.573)		* (0.001) -2.775 (95% CI -4.413 to -1.136)		***(0.017)** -3.708 (95%CI -6.720 to -0.695
Gender			*(0.007) -39.854 (95%CI -68.104 to -11.604)		* (0.048) -5.171 (95%CI -10.284 to -0.059)			
School Type					* (0.028) -6.876 (95%CI -12.991 to -0.762)	* (0.009) -9.003 (95% CI -15.653 to -2.352)		

Mental health/behaviour				*(0.044) -3.247 (95%CI -6.409 to -0.085)				
Motor Coordination			* (0.045) 1.024 (95%CI 0.025 to 2.024)				* (0.040) 0.347 (95% CI 0.017 to 0.676)	
Age of seizure onset					* (0.037) -0.059 (95%CI -1.084 to -0.035)		* (0.004) -1.229 (95%CI -2.036 to -0.423)	
No. of seizure types								
Epilepsy medication			* (0.027) -30.371 (95% CI -57.141 to -3.600)			* (0.007) -6.337 (95% CI -10.848 to -1.27)		

339

In the epilepsy group, the factor most consistently associated with sedentary time and PA was age. Older children accumulated significantly more sedentary time, and younger children spent significantly more time in most types of PA. Children in special schools engaged in significantly less moderate PA than children in mainstream schools on both weekdays and weekends. Additionally, children with an earlier onset of seizures engaged in significantly less moderate and vigorous PA on weekdays than children with a later onset of seizures. In contrast to the epilepsy group, younger age was only associated with increased light PA on weekdays in the control group. Additionally, being female was associated with less light PA on weekdays and less moderate PA on weekends in the control group.

Gender - between group analysis on accelerometer

Males with epilepsy engaged in more sedentary behaviour and less physical activity than males in the control group. However, the only statistically significant difference was for light weekday physical activity (p=0.35) (see supplement 4c). For females, the epilepsy group engaged in more sedentary behaviour than the control group, but the differences did not reach statistical significance. For physical activity, females in the epilepsy group engaged in less activity than the control group for most intensities but there were no statistically significant differences between the groups.

Comparison between children with epilepsy and children in control group on survey measures

CWE reported less physical activity (PAQ-A total score) than participants in the control group (p=0.006). At the individual question level CWE reported less physical active for: ‘Active travel to school’ (p<0.001) ‘Active during physical education classes

(p=0.014) and 'Active travel from school' (See supplement 5a). There were no differences between the groups regarding the domains of activities engaged in (supplement 5a). There were also no significant differences on the report time in any of the subdomains of sedentary behaviours (ASAQ) for weekdays or weekends (see supplement 5b).

Quality of Life for children with epilepsy and children in control groups

There was a positive correlation between PA (of all intensities) and self-reported quality of life in CWE. Lower quality of life was associated with higher sedentary behaviour. For weekend MVPA the relationship reached statistical significance (p=0.008) (see supplement 6). Similar relationships were found for participants in the control group. A positive relationship was also noted between survey measured PA on the PAQ-A and quality of life in CWE (p=0.002) and the control group (p=0.012).

Discussion

This study provides novel pilot data on accelerometer assessed PA in secondary school-aged CWE in comparison with age and gender-matched controls, as well as data on factors associated with PA in this age group. Data from the accelerometers indicate that young people with epilepsy engage in less PA than peers across a range of PA intensities. The survey data also revealed less self-reported PA among the CWE. Chronological age would appear to be an important factor for PA in CWE, such that PA levels reduce with increasing age, even after considering other possible contributory factors.

389 This is one of the first studies to provide accelerometer measured PA in CWE and thus
390 comparisons with previous studies are difficult. The fact that young people with
391 epilepsy of secondary school age engage in less accelerometer assessed PA than
392 their peers has potential negative implications. Physical inactivity is associated with
393 many non-communicable diseases and has significant economic costs for healthcare
394 systems and wider society [14,31]. Additionally, research has established that levels
395 of PA in childhood track into adulthood [45], highlighting the need to facilitate
396 behaviour change as early as possible. In addition to the physical benefits [48],
397 engaging in PA is also associated with improvements in cognitive functioning [5] and
398 has mental health benefits [4], both of which are often challenges for young people
399 with epilepsy.
400
401 The survey data, provides novel contextual information on PA and suggests that young
402 people with epilepsy may not be engaging in PA to the same degree as peers, which
403 is in line with a previous survey-based study of CWE compared to peers [37] and
404 sibling controls [52]. Differences were noted surrounding activity during travel to and
405 from school, and during physical education classes. Regarding travel to school, it may
406 be that caregivers are reluctant to let CWE walk/cycle to school unaccompanied due
407 to the perceived risk of having seizures. A potential solution would be to travel with a
408 caregiver or peers, however, or look to 'compensate' (i.e., fit in extra PA) elsewhere in
409 the day. Regarding physical education classes in school, extra training may be needed
410 for school staff so that they understand that CWE can engage in the same activities
411 as peers once an appropriate risk assessment has been undertaken. The differences
412 noted in the survey data for travel to school and physical education classes might at
413 least partly explain the lack of significant differences between CWE and control

participants in time spent on accelerometer measured physical activity at weekends. However, more research is needed to explore why differences exist between weekday and weekend activity levels.

This study also reports on factors which are associated with PA. We observed a negative association between age and PA in CWE. This reduction in PA with age has also been noted in the general population of adolescents [19] regarding MVPA and thus it will be important to see if there are epilepsy specific issues at play and if the reduction in PA of all intensities happens at a similar rate. It might be that as sports/activities become more formalised during the adolescent years, young people with epilepsy are restricted from accessing these more structured sporting activities due to barriers including lack of knowledge of epilepsy and seizure management. The data from the measure of quality of life suggests that engaging in more PA is associated with better quality of life, this is an observation seen in other clinical and non-clinical populations [35]. Given that quality of life is so reduced in young people with epilepsy [42] increasing PA is likely to have benefits across a range of quality-of-life domains.

In terms of feasibility, data from the current study suggests that using accelerometers is a feasible way to measure PA in young people with epilepsy. This is line with a previous study which suggests that CWE would wear pedometers to measure PA [7,49]. However, the fact that 10 CWE dropped out from the study after expressing interest, citing wearing of the accelerometer as an explanation, means alternative methods should be explored. The literature has seen a move towards wrist worn accelerometery in part because it is less visible and has shown high compliance with

adolescence [10]. Thus, future research in CWE should consider using wrist worn accelerometers.

Study Limitations

There are several limitations that should be considered when interpreting the findings of the current study. Firstly, we had difficulties recruiting CWE and controls who attend special schools. Our limited sample size should be considered when interpreting the results of our statistical analysis. In order to account for the potential impact of COVID-19 restrictions we used a healthy control group. Despite this it is still possible that COVID-19 restrictions impacted on the groups differently. We had also initially hoped to assess the children's cognitive abilities via formal cognitive testing. Unfortunately, this proved impossible as we had to abandon in-person visits in order to adhere to COVID-19 guidelines at the time of testing. Furthermore, the analyses were unable to consider the potential impact of variation in biological maturity status of the children had on the results of the study. Strengths of this study included age and gender matched controls and the use of accelerometers to assess physical activity.

Clinical Implications and Future Research

Clinicians (e.g., paediatricians, paediatric neurologists and epilepsy nurses) working with the paediatric epilepsy population should routinely ask about levels of PA in CWE and potential barriers for participating. There is also a clear need for epilepsy professionals to liaise with parents and schools to ensure that CWE can engage in PA outside and inside schools so that CWE are not excluded from PA.

There is a need for more data on PA with larger samples but also considering younger children and children attending special schools. In terms of CWE attending special schools it is likely that to gather this data there we will need to further engage with special schools regarding recruitment and consider whether waist worn accelerometers are the most appropriate way to collect data in this group. Interventions to promote PA in children with epilepsy should be developed with the young people and outcome measures should include not only PA measures but also quality of life and mental health measures.

Conclusion

Secondary school age CWE (aged 11-15 years) in the UK engaged in less PA than peers across a range of intensities on weekdays. There is a need for further research to better understand PA in CWE across the age ranges to inform the development of interventions to increase PA in this group.

Acknowledgements

The PACE team would like to thank all participating children and their caregivers. We would also like to thank supporting doctors and nurses at the two participating hospitals who supported recruitment..

Funding

The study was funded by XXXXXXXXXXXX.

References

[1]Aggio D, Fairclough S, Knowles Z, Graves L. Validity and reliability of a modified english version of the physical activity questionnaire for adolescents. Archives of Public Health. 2016 Dec;74(1):1-9.

[2]Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the Insomnia Severity Index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. Sleep medicine. 2001 Jul 1;2(4):297-307.

[3] Bervoets L, Van Noten C, Van Roosbroeck S, Hansen D, Van Hoorenbeeck K, Verheyen E, Van Hal G, Vankerckhoven V. Reliability and validity of the Dutch physical activity questionnaires for children (PAQ-C) and adolescents (PAQ-A). Archives of Public Health. 2014 Dec;72(1):1-7.

[4]Biddle SJ, Asare M. Physical activity and mental health in children and adolescents: a review of reviews. British journal of sports medicine. 2011 Sep 1;45(11):886-95.

[5]Bidzan-Bluma I, Lipowska M. Physical activity and cognitive functioning of children: a systematic review. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2018 Apr;15(4):800.

[6]Breslin, G., Gossrau-Breen, D., McCay, N., Gilmore, G., MacDonald, L., & Hanna, D. (2012). Physical activity, gender, weight status, and wellbeing in 9-to 11-year-old children: a cross-sectional survey. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, *9*(3), 394-401.

[7]Brown DM, Mahlberg N, Pohl D, Timmons BW, Bray SR, Streiner DL, Ferro MA, Hamer S, Rosenbaum PL, Ronen GM. Can behavioral strategies increase physical activity and influence depressive symptoms and quality of life among children with epilepsy? Results of a randomized controlled trial. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2019 May 1;94:158-66.

[8]Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, Carty C, Chaput JP, Chastin S, Chou R, Dempsey PC. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. British journal of sports medicine. 2020 Dec 1;54(24):1451-62.

[9]Carbone PS, Smith PJ, Lewis C, LeBlanc C. Promoting the participation of children and adolescents with disabilities in sports, recreation, and physical activity. Pediatrics. 2021 Dec 1;148(6).

[10]Chandler JL, Brazendale K, Beets MW, Mealing BA. Classification of physical activity intensities using a wrist-worn accelerometer in 8–12-year-old children. Pediatric obesity. 2016 Apr;11(2):120-7.

[11]Chaput JP, Willumsen J, Bull F, Chou R, Ekelund U, Firth J, Jago R, Ortega FB, Katzmarzyk PT. 2020 WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour for children and adolescents aged 5–17 years: summary of the evidence. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2020 Dec;17:1-9.

[12] Davies S, Heyman I, Goodman R. A population survey of mental health problems in children with epilepsy. Developmental medicine and child neurology. 2003 May;45(5):292-5.

[13]Dimitri P, Joshi K, Jones N. Moving more: physical activity and its positive effects on long term conditions in children and young people. Archives of disease in childhood. 2020 Nov 1;105(11):1035-40.

[14]Ding D, Lawson KD, Kolbe-Alexander TL, Finkelstein EA, Katzmarzyk PT, Van Mechelen W, Pratt M. The economic burden of physical inactivity: a global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. The Lancet. 2016 Sep 24;388(10051):1311-24.

[15] Do J, Webster RJ, Longmuir PE, Reddy D, Pohl D. Poor adherence to sleep and physical activity guidelines among children with epilepsy. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2021 Feb 1;115:107722.

[16]Dulfer, K., Duppen, N., Van Dijk, A. P., Kuipers, I. M., Van Domburg, R. T., Verhulst, F. C., ... & Utens, E. M. (2015). Parental mental health moderates the efficacy of exercise training on health-related quality of life in adolescents with congenital heart disease. *Pediatric cardiology*, *36*, 33-40.

[17]Ekstedt, M., Nyberg, G., Ingre, M., Ekblom, Ö., & Marcus, C. (2013). Sleep, physical activity and BMI in six to ten-year-old children measured by accelerometry: a cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *10*, 1-10.

[18]Evenson KR, Catellier DJ, Gill K, Ondrak KS, McMurray RG. Calibration of two objective measures of physical activity for children. Journal of sports sciences. 2008 Dec 15;26(14):1557-65.

[19]Farooq A, Martin A, Janssen X, Wilson MG, Gibson AM, Hughes A, Reilly JJ. Longitudinal changes in moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Obesity Reviews. 2020 Jan;21(1):e12953.

[20]Ferro, M. A., & Speechley, K. N. (2009). Depressive symptoms among mothers of children with epilepsy: a review of prevalence, associated factors, and impact on children. *Epilepsia*, *50*(11), 2344-2354.

[21]Fiest KM, Sauro KM, Wiebe S, Patten SB, Kwon CS, Dykeman J, Pringsheim T, Lorenzetti DL, Jetté N. Prevalence and incidence of epilepsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of international studies. Neurology. 2017 Jan 17;88(3):296-303.

[22]Fisher RS, Acevedo C, Arzimanoglou A, Bogacz A, Cross JH, Elger CE, Engel Jr J, Forsgren L, French JA, Glynn M, Hesdorffer DC. ILAE official report: a practical clinical definition of epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2014 Apr;55(4):475-82.

[23]Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. Journal of child psychology and psychiatry. 1997 Jul;38(5):581-6.

[24]Hardy LL, Booth ML, Okely AD. The reliability of the adolescent sedentary activity questionnaire (ASAQ). Preventive medicine. 2007 Jul 1;45(1):71-4.

[25]Henry JD, Crawford JR. The short-form version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS-21): Construct validity and normative data in a large non-clinical sample. British journal of clinical psychology. 2005 Jun;44(2):227-39.

[26]Hänggi JM, Phillips LR, Rowlands AV. Validation of the GT3X ActiGraph in children and comparison with the GT1M ActiGraph. Journal of science and Medicine in Sport. 2013 Jan 1;16(1):40-4.

[27]Janz KF, Medema-Johnson HC, Letuchy EM, Burns TL, Gilmore JE, Torner JC, Willing M, Levy SM. Subjective and objective measures of physical activity in relationship to bone mineral content during late childhood: the Iowa Bone Development Study. British journal of sports medicine. 2008 Aug 1;42(8):658-63.

[28]Jones, C., & Reilly, C. (2016). Parental anxiety in childhood epilepsy: a systematic review. *Epilepsia*, *57*(4), 529-537.

[29]Johnson EC, Helen Cross J, Reilly C. Physical activity in people with epilepsy: A systematic review. Epilepsia. 2020 Jun;61(6):1062-81.

[30]Kowalski KC, Crocker PR, Kowalski NP. Convergent validity of the physical activity questionnaire for adolescents. Pediatric exercise science. 1997 Nov 1;9(4):342-52.

584 [31]Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT. Effect of
585 physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of
586 burden of disease and life expectancy. The lancet. 2012 Jul 21;380(9838):219-29.
587 [32]Lioret S, Maire B, Volatier JL, Charles MA. Child overweight in France and its
588 relationship with physical activity, sedentary behaviour and socioeconomic
589 status. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2007; 61: 509–16.
590 [33]Livingstone B. Epidemiology of childhood obesity in Europe. *Eur J*
591 *Pediatr* 2000; 159 Suppl 1: S14–34
592 [34]Maldonado G, Greenland S. Simulation study of confounder-selection strategies.
593 American journal of epidemiology. 1993 Dec 1;138(11):923-36.
594 [35]Marker AM, Steele RG, Noser AE. Physical activity and health-related quality of
595 life in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Health
596 Psychology. 2018 Oct;37(10):893.
597 [36]McEwan MJ, Espie CA, Metcalfe J, Brodie MJ, Wilson MT. Quality of life and
598 psychosocial development in adolescents with epilepsy: a qualitative investigation
599 using focus group methods. Seizure. 2004 Jan 1;13(1):15-31.
600 [37]Ogwumike OO, Agboke MA, Adeniyi AF. Association between physical activity
601 level and health-related quality of life of children with and without epilepsy. Journal of
602 Pediatric Neurology. 2016 Sep;14(03):095-101.
603 [38]Pearson N, Sherar LB, Hamer M. Prevalence and correlates of meeting sleep,
604 screen-time, and physical activity guidelines among adolescents in the United
605 Kingdom. JAMA pediatrics. 2019 Oct 1;173(10):993-4.
606 [39]Reilly C, Atkinson P, Das KB, Chin RF, Aylett SE, Burch V, Gillberg C, Scott RC,
607 Neville BG. Neurobehavioral comorbidities in children with active epilepsy: a
608 population-based study. Pediatrics. 2014 Jun;133(6):e1586-93

609 [40]Salway R, Foster C, de Vocht F, Tibbitts B, Emm-Collison L, House D, Williams
610 JG, Breheny K, Reid T, Walker R, Churchward S. Accelerometer-measured physical
611 activity and sedentary time among children and their parents in the UK before and
612 after COVID-19 lockdowns: a natural experiment. International Journal of Behavioral
613 Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2022 Dec;19(1):1-4.
614 [41]Santos-Lozano A, Marín PJ, Torres-Luque G, Ruiz JR, Lucía A, Garatachea N.
615 Technical variability of the GT3X accelerometer. Medical engineering & physics.
616 2012 Jul 1;34(6):787-90.
617 [42]Speechley KN, Ferro MA, Camfield CS, Huang W, Levin SD, Smith ML, Wiebe S,
618 Zou G. Quality of life in children with new-onset epilepsy: a 2-year prospective cohort
619 study. Neurology. 2012 Oct 9;79(15):1548-55.
620 [43]Steele RM, Van Sluijs EM, Cassidy A, Griffin SJ, Ekelund U. Targeting sedentary
621 time or moderate-and vigorous-intensity activity: independent relations with adiposity
622 in a population-based sample of 10-y-old British children. The American journal of
623 clinical nutrition. 2009 Nov 1;90(5):1185-92.
624 [44]Stone EJ, McKenzie TL, Welk GJ, Booth ML. Effects of physical activity
625 interventions in youth. Review and synthesis. *Am J Prev Med* 1998; 15: 298–315.
626 [45]Telama R, Yang X, Viikari J, Välimäki I, Wanne O, Raitakari O. Physical activity
627 from childhood to adulthood: a 21-year tracking study. American journal of
628 preventive medicine. 2005 Apr 1;28(3):267-73.
629 [46]Thomas EL, Upton D. Psychometric properties of the physical activity
630 questionnaire for older children (PAQ-C) in the UK. Psychology of Sport and
631 Exercise. 2014 May 1;15(3):280-7.
632 [47]Varni JW, Seid M, Rode CA. The PedsQL™: measurement model for the pediatric
633 quality of life inventory. Medical care. 1999 Feb 1:126-39.

[48]Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. Cmaj. 2006 Mar 14;174(6):801-9.

[49]Whitney R, Bhan H, Persadie N, Streiner D, Bray S, Timmons B, Ronen GM. Feasibility of pedometer use to assess physical activity and its relationship with quality of life in children with epilepsy: a pilot study. Pediatric neurology. 2013 Nov 1;49(5):370-3.

[50] Wilfred AM, Humphreys C, Patterson S, Brown DM, Pohl D, Moyes C, Rosenbaum PL, Ronen GM. Being physically active with epilepsy: Insights from young people and their parents. Epilepsy Research. 2022 Dec 1;188:107035.

[51]Wilson BN, Kaplan BJ, Crawford SG, Roberts G. The developmental coordination disorder questionnaire 2007 (DCDQ'07). Administrative manual for the DCDQ107 with psychometric properties. 2007 Oct:267-72.

[52]Wong J, Wirrell E. Physical activity in children/teens with epilepsy compared with that in their siblings without epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2006 Mar;47(3):631-9.

[53]World Health Organization. WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: methods and development. World Health Organization; 2006